## NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM MICROFICHE. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE

# NASA Technical Memorandum 80546

## A DIGITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE DYNAMIC INTERACTION SIMULATION OF CONTROLS AND STRUCTURE (DISCOS)

## VOLUME IV, SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

## Harold P. Frisch

(NASA-TM-80546)A DIGITAL COMPUTER PROGRAMN80-13150FOR THE DYNAMIC INTERACTION SIMULATION OF<br/>CONTROLA AND STRUCTURE (DISCOS).VOLUME 4:SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION (NASA)53 pUnclasHC A04/MF A01CSCL 22B G3/1842000

AUGUST 1979

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771



A Digital Computer Program for the

Dynamic Interaction Simulation of

Controls and Structure (DISCOS)

Volume IV, Supplementary Documentation

Harold P. Frisch

そうちょうないないとう しょうしょうしょう たいていましたい いたいたい しんしょう ちょうちょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう

August 1979

#### **Previous Publications:**

Volume I: NASA Technical Paper No. 1219 Volume I, May 1978 Volume II: NASA Technical Paper No. 1219 Volume II, May 1978 Volume III: Demonstration Problems, distributed by COSMIC

> GODDARD SPACE FUGHT CENTER Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

All measurement values are expressed in the International System of Units (SI) in accordance with NASA Policy Directive 2220.4, paragraph 4.

ų V

#### A DIGITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE DYNAMIC INTERACTION SIMULATION OF CONTROLS AND STRUCTURE (DISCOS) VOLUME IV, SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

Harold P. Frisch

#### ABSTRACT

Volumes I, II and III contain the complete documentation for the program DISCOS distributed through Computer Software Management and Information Center (COSMIC). Since the time of original release, several additions have been made to the program. These provide an added measure of user convenience and versatility, and enhance computational speed and accuracy. Furthermore, a complete set of twelve demonstration problems has been run with the updated version (referred to as DISCOS2), and the output has been generated in a form convenient for distribution to potential users. This volume briefly defines the additions made to the original version.

#### CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
1. DEMONSTRATION PROBLEMS	1
1.1 DEFINITION AND FORMAT	1
1.2 RERUNNING DEMO PROBLEMS1.2.1 DEMO Problems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 71.2.2 DEMO Problem No. 61.2.3 DEMO Problem No. 8	2 2 2 2 2
1.2.4 DEMO Problem No. 9         1.2.5 DEMO Problem No. 10         1.2.6 DEMO Problem No. 11         1.2.7 DEMO Problem No. 12	2 3 3 3
1.3 CONFIGURATION DESCRIPTION, DEMO No. 12	3
1.4 INITIAL CONDITIONS, DEMO No. 12	7
1.5 CONSTRAINT CONDITIONS, SPRING AND DAMPING TORQUES, DEMO No.12         1.5.1 Nonlinear Spring Torque During Deployment.         1.5.2 Nonlinear Spring Torque for Partially Deployed Case	7 7 8
2. IMPROVED CAPABILITY	10
2.1 DEF6 SUBROUTINE	10
2.2 RANDOM COMMENTS FOR ANALYSTS	10
REFERENCES	12
APPENDIX I	A-1
ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figure	Page
1 Partially Deployed SCATHA Model	4
2 Fully Deployed SCATHA Model, Relative Orientation of Body Fixed Reference Frames	4

The state of the s

ŧ

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

### CONTENTS (continued)

## TABLES

Table		Page
1	Inertia Data	5
2	Hinge Point Location Data	6

- 7

#### A DIGITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE DYNAMIC INTERACTION SIMULATION OF CONTROLS AND STRUCTURE (DISCOS)

#### INTRODUCTION

The original purpose of this volume was to effectively reproduce and add to the contents of Volume III which is distributed via COSMIC. Time constraints have made this impossible; consequently, this volume draws heavily from Volume III and provides only supplemental comments where applicable. Demonstration problem 12 (not in Volume II<sup>1</sup>) is defined, and a few brief remarks are made per-taining to the new subroutines.

As with the work contained in Volumes I, II, and III, a preponderance of the theoretical work is a direct result of the research efforts of Carl S. Bodley of the Martin Marietta Corporation.

#### 1. DEMONSTRATION PROBLEMS

#### **1.1 DEFINITION AND FORMAT**

All Demonstration (DEMO) problems, with the exception of DEMO problem No. 12, are defined in Volume III. These problems have been rerun with the new DISCOS2 program and crosschecked with the results obtained via the original DISCOS program.

For distribution purposes a DEMO problem output tape has been created. It has the following format:

• File 1:

A listing of all default subroutines used for DEMO problems 1 through 12. In the JCL deck the object files for these subroutines are contained in DISKLIB DSN=NFHPF.DISCOS2. DEMO.DEFAULT. In each of the DEMO problems, source decks are read in which override one or more of these routines.

• File 2:

A listing of the complete input deck used at NASA/GSFC to run DEMO problem No. 1, followed directly by a complete listing of all line printer output.

• File 3 through 13:

A listing of the complete input deck for DEMO problems 2 through 12, followed directly by a complete listing of all line printer output.

A partial listing of this DEMO tape can be found in the microfiche section of this volume. It contains the first thousand lines of data in each of the thirteen files. (If not attached, the microfiche is available through COSMIC, the University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30601.) Many of these DEMO problems have exercised the DISCOS plot capability. A complete set of all generated plots can also be found in the microfiche section.

#### 1.2 RERUNNING DEMO PROBLEMS

The following comments pertain to the rerunning of all DEMO problems:

1 2.1 DEMO Problems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7

DEMO problems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7 are in near-perfect agreement. Minor deviations in numerical magnitude of integrated quantities are explained by recognizing that an upgrade numerical integration subroutine is used in DISCOS2.

#### 1.2.2 DEMO Problem No. 6

For DEMO problem No. 6, a one-for-one crosscheck with data in Volume III is not possible. The algorithm FINDU used to generate Volume III data has been changed. Now the algorithm is biased to choose a set of independent state variables which more closely correspond to the set that the analyst would normally choose based on physical interpretation considerations. However, the net results for this problem agree with those published in Volume III.

#### 1.2.3 DEMO Problem No. 8

In the case of DEMO problem No. 8, some minor changing of output format for all frequency domain studies has been introduced into DYNS40 and associated subroutines. Results obtained agree perfectly with those obtained via DISCOS and provided in Volume III.

1.2.4 DEMO Problem No. 9

DEMO problem No. 9 has been, by far, the most difficult to numerically solve. Poles and zeros are very close and there is a several order of magnitude spread in system frequencies along with numerous system roots equal to zero. Determination of transfer function zeros has been the crux of the problem because the highest roots of the system are *numerically* near or beyond infinity.

To choose the best zero finding technique for DISCO2, the following methods have been coded and tested: those of Brockett (Reference 1), Sandberg and So (Reference 2), Kaufman (Reference 3), Davison (Reference 4), the original DISCOS routine (Reference 5), and a routine analogous to original DISCOS but with improved eiginanalysis and matrix inversion capability. For numerically simple problems, all methods gave identical results. For DFMO No. 9, they all failed in varying degrees.

In essence, each method is required to pick either a very large or a very small number. This chosen number is then used as a measure for determining the order of the numerator polynomial. When the zeros of the polynomial are a large magnitude, it becomes numerically difficult to distinguish between a zero and a root at infinity. For DFMO No. 9, the method now in DISCOS2 did the

best job. The improved eiginanalysis and inversion routines seem to have added a measure of numerical error control which makes it superior to the original DISCOS method and far superior to the other methods tested.

Another problem with DEMO No. 9 was the fact that certain zeros and poles were approximately equal. For different choices in tolerance factors, these may or may not cancel.

While DEMO problem No. 9 has proven to be extremely difficult to handle numerically, it has proven to be an excellent problem for forcing the incorporation of first class numerical techniques.

1.2.5 DEMO Problem No. 10

In DEMO problem No. 10 (as in No. 6) FINDU picks a different set of independent state variables. However, plotted output data for particular variables agree.

1.2.6 DEMO Problem No. 11

As with DEMO No. 8, there is minor output data format change from Volume III for problem No. 11. However, the results agree.

1.2.7 DEMO No. 12, Appendage Deployment Example

The purpose of DEMO problem No. 12 is to show the methodology used to implement an appendage deployment problem from initial release through lockup. This is one of the more difficult problems to numerically simulate because the total number of system independent degrees of freedom can change each time an appendage hinge release or latch condition is computed.

The problem to be simulated is a simplification of one studied for the SCATHA satellite. It consists of a nonsymmetrical central rigid body with two unequal folded two-segment experiment booms. The spacecraft spins and it is important to determine system attitude dynamics during the entire boom deployment/latch sequence.

1.3 CONFIGURATION DESCRIPTION, DEMO No. 12

A simplified model of the SCATHA spacecraft with the two booms partially deployed is shown in figure 1.



4

Figure 1. Partially Deployed SCATHA Model

The relative orientation of all body fixed reference frames in the fully deployed state is shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. Fully Deployed SCATHA Model, Relative Orientation of Body Fixed Reference Frames

All body mass and inertia data is provided in Table 1 and all hinge point location data is provided in Table 2. Vector and tensor components are relative to their respective body fixed reference frame and are in the inch-pound-second system of units. The body 2 and body 4 fixed reference frames are rotated 57.0939° and 237.0939° respectively from the body 1 fixed reference about the X<sub>1</sub> axis. The body 3 and body 5 reference frames are parallel to the body 2 and body 4 frames respectively.

.

Table 1. Inertia Data

•

1

.,

	Mass	J <sub>xx</sub>	J <sub>yy</sub>	J <sub>zz</sub>	J <sub>xy</sub>	J <sub>xz</sub>	J <sub>xy</sub>	Sx	Sy	Sz
Body 1	1.890	1390.0	1168.0	1216.0	40	1.210	-14.43			
Body 2	6.026E-3	7.353	0.0001	7.353					1549	
Body 3	2.538E-2	80.99	0.0001	80.99	. <u> </u>				-1.364	
Body 4	6.026E-3	7.353	100.0	7.353					1549	
Body 5	2.460E-2	78.09	0.0001	78.09					-1.364	

Note

Units: Mass = lb sec<sup>2</sup>/in Inertia = lb sec<sup>2</sup> in Mass Moment = lb sec<sup>2</sup> Location = in

Hinge	Body	X	Y	Z
2	İ	1.610	18.72	28.93
4		1.610	18.86	28.93
2	2	1.250		
3	2	1.250	56.25	
3	3	1.250		
+	4	1.250		
5	-1	1.250	56.25	
5	5	1.250		

Table 2. Hinge Point Location Data

Note

Units: Mass - Ib see? /in Inertia = Ib see? in Mass Moment = Ib sec? Location = in

...

#### 1.4 INITIAL CONDITIONS, DEMO No. 12

The body 1 fixed reference is assumed to have six degrees of freedom relative to an inertial reference which is coincident with the body 1 reference frame, at time zero. Initially body 1 is spinning at 3.5 rpm about the  $+X_1$  body axis.

One degree of rotational freedom is allowed at each shoulder hinge (2 and 4) and at each elbow hinge (3 and 5). At each hinge point, positive rotation is measured about a unit vector in the direction of the respective + Z body axis. Let

- $\beta_2$  = (otational angle at shoulder hinge 2 for SC2-1 boom
- $\beta_3$  = rotation angle at elbow hinge 3 for SC2-1 boom
- $\beta_4$  = rotation angle at shoulder hinge 4 for SC2-2 boom
- $\beta_5 =$  rotation angle at elbow hinge 5 for SC2-2 boom

at time zero.

 $\beta_2(0) = -91.5^{\circ}$   $\beta_3(0) = +180^{\circ}$   $\beta_4(0) = -91.5^{\circ}$  $\beta_5(0) = +180^{\circ}$ 

and all respective rates are zero.

#### 1.5 CONSTRAINT CONDITIONS, SPRING AND DAMPING TORQUES, DEMO No. 12

The deployment/latch sequence at each shoulder hinge (2 and 4) is simulated by a rheonomic condition of constraint: specifically, a fixed constraint is in effect prior to shoulder release and, after the latching condition, is satisfied. During the period of deployment, the single axis angular motion at each shoulder hinge is free and subject only to spring and damping torques.

The deployment/latch sequence at each elbow hinge (1 and 3) is simulated by a nonlinear spring effect. The nonlinear spring attempts to model the spring powered deployment up to latching and then to model the dynamics of first mode boom flexibility after latching.

**1.5.1** Nonlinear Spring Torque During Deployment:

During deployment, the net spring torque at each hinge is the result of two distinct effects: a power spring mechanism designed to ensure proper deployment, and the nonlinear elastic characteristics of the experiment wire bundle contained in each boom.

Let

$$\theta_i = \frac{180}{2\pi} |\beta_{i+1}| = \text{deployment angle at hinge point i+1 (degrees)}$$

Then the equations used to define the spring torque associated with the power springs are:

• Shoulder hinge power spring torque (i = 1, 3):

$$\text{TPW}_i = 3.0 * (.5860 + .01 \theta_i)$$

• Elbow hinge power spring torque (i = 2, 4):

$$TPW_i = 3.0 * (2.9333 + .010_i)$$

The spring torque associated with the wire bundle in each boom bent at the hinge points must be determined via experimentation. As a first approximation, the following equations are used:

• Shoulder hinge, wire bundle spring torque (i = 1, 3):

$$\Gamma BN_i = \frac{1.2 * 2.81}{90} \theta_i$$

• Elbow hinge, wire bundle spring torque (i = 2, 4):

$$TBN_{i} = \begin{cases} .8 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 0 \le \theta_{i} \le 34.29^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 80^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \le \theta_{i} \le 40^{\circ} \\ 1.2 * (-1.44 + \frac{1.68}{40}\theta_{i}) & 34.29^{\circ} \end{aligned}$$

1.5.2 Nonlinear Spring Torque for Partially Deployed Case

If a hinge has latched, the elastic characteristics of the situation are changed. The problem no longer is a deployment problem but an elastic vibration problem, and the constants of the system must be adjusted accordingly:

• Shoulder hinge latched (*i* = 1, 3):

$$TLT_{i} = 1950. * \theta_{i}$$

• Elbow hinge latched (i = 2, 4) with associated shoulder hinge also latched:

$$TLT_{i} = 105. * \theta_{i}$$

• Elbow hinge latched (i = 2, 4) with associated shoulder hinge not latched:

$$\Gamma L T_1 = 256 * \theta_1$$

• Rhenomic Constraint Conditions at Shoulder Hinge (i = 1, 3):

Deployment design conditions must be simulated: these dictate that the shoulder hinge will be restrained until the respective elbow hinge deployment has proceeded through an angle of  $22.4^{\circ}$ .

Shoulder hinge deployment can proceed after this point; however, there is a hard stop which prevents the shoulder angle from becoming less than  $-91.5^{\circ}$  and physical considerations dictate that even though deployment is possible, it will not take place if the constraint torque is posicive. Each of these conditions are checked in subroutine SET I. It should be noted that latching in the present context does not imply that motion is totally restrained: it implies a change in stiffness characteristics from a spring deployment to an elastic vibration situation.

• Damping torque during and after deployment:

During deployment, damping torques will be assumed to be negligible so that a worse case situation can be studied. After latching viscous damping will be assumed and taken to be:

(1) 12.5 in lbs sec/rad for shoulder hinges and

(2) 5.5 in lbs sec/rad for elbow hinges.

All other damping effects will be assumed negligible and ignored.

#### 2. IMPROVED CAPABILITY

#### 2.1 DEF6 SUBROUTINE

Continuing with the practice of putting the greater bulk of the documentation into the DISCOS source file, subroutine DEF6 has been written and included in DISCOS2. A copy of this routine is shown in Appendix 1. Theoretical background along with guides for user implementation are included in this subroutine.

#### 2.2 RANDOM COMMENT FOR ANALYSTS

- Eigenvector competation, subroutine EIGAVV sets up the calling sequence for the computation of all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a real nonsymmetric matrix. In general, the system matrix will be both defective and derogatory, i.e., there will be repeated roots and a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors will not exist. The EISPAC routines do not recognize this and hence blindly generate a full set of eigenvectors. The eigenvectors which contain extremely large numbers are the ones which are linearly dependent upon others. Since eigenvectors are only used herein as a data interpretation aid, no attempt is made to compute eigenvectors of grade greater than one. For further comments, see References 6 and 7.
- Don't expect a one-for-one exact crosscheck for DEMO No. 9. There are several sections of the output which are extremely sensitive to machine precision.
- The EISPAC cigenanalysis routines contain a machine precision number MACHEP = 2<sup>-52</sup>. This should be changed if the machine is not IBM-360.
- Eigenvectors are used to provide a measure of how much each state variable contributes to the system response at the associated eigenfrequency.
- Please call H. P. Frisch NASA/GSFC if you find any programming bugs or if you are having implementation problems.
- Don't change the aumerical integration algorithm unless you thoroughly understand logic used in implementing unilateral constraints.
- Subroutine LINFAR has two "small numbers," EPS1 and FPS2, defined via a DATA statement. These are used in the algorithm as a test for convergence. To date these values have worked satisfactorily in all applications; however, it would be better if these small numbers could be made to be functions of the machine precision number MACHEP used in the EISPAC routines.

• For large order time domain simulations, it is advisable to go through the linearization procedure and to obtain eigenvectors for the composite system. These results are useful as a time history data interpretation aid.

1.

• LINPACK is a collection of FORTRAN subroutines which analyze and solve various systems of simultaneous linear algebraic equations. I have not been able to review these extensively; however they perhaps can be used to upgrade several of the DISCOS subroutines which do the same task.

seta di Gradezia di

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Brockett, R. W., "Poles, Zeros and Feedback: State Space Interpretation," *IEEE Trans Auto* Control, Vol. No. AC-10, pp. 129-135, April 1965.
- 2. Sandberg, I. W. and H. C. So, "Two-Sets-of-Eigenvalues Approach to the Computer Analysis of Linear Systems," *IEEE Trans Circuit Theory*, Vol. No. CT-16, pp. 509-517, November 1969.
- 3. Kaufman, I., "On Poles and Zeros of Linear Systems," *IEEE Trans Circuit Theory*, Vol. No. CT-20, No. 2., March 1973.
- 4. Davison, E. J., "A Computational Method for Finding the Zeros of a Multivariable Linear Time Invariant System," *Autermatica*, Vol. 6, pp. 481-484, 1920.
- 5. Bodly, C. S., A. A. Devers, A. C. Park and H. P. Frisch, "A Digital Computer Program for the Dynamic Interaction Simulation of Controls and Structure (DISCOS)," NASA Technical Paper 1219, Vol. I and II, May 1978.
- 6. Golub, G. H. and J. H. Wilkinson, "Ill-Conditioned Eigensystems and the Computation of of the Jordan Canonical Form," *SIAM Review*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 578-619, October 1976.
- 7. Pease, M. C., Methods of Matrix Algebra, Academic Press, 1965.

¥.

8. Dongarra, J. J., J. R. Bunch, C. B. Moler, and G. W. Stewart, *LINPACK User's Guide*, SIAM, Phila., 1979.

#### APPENDIX

#### SUBROUTINE DEES

PERUG # 177

-

ホボオオオ	*********	** ** ** **
~ * *		41.84.24
عبد عاد عبته	015005-2	3,6 3,6 3,6
***	DECULENTATIEN	2,2 3 <sub>1</sub> 2 34
1		5. A. I.
A-0.423	u aju no itroficia, atrak uje agrage aje av urine uri	ي. موجود مرجو

#### DISCUS-2 IN THE FIRST WALLER UPDATE OF THE PROGRAM POISCONE ALL UPDATES ARE WALE TO INCREASE COMPUTATION SPEED, EFFICIENCY OF OPERATION AND MINIMIZATION OF USER INTERFACE REDUIREMENTS

#### ALL DISCOS COMMENTS CONTAINED IN SUBSCOTIVES DEE1, DEE2, DEE3, DEE4 AND DEE5, REMAIN VALID UNLESS AMMENDED BY COMPANIS IN THIS SUBROUTINE

MAJOR CHANGES FROM ORIGINAL DISCOS PROGRAM:

- 1) COMPUTE ATTITUDE DYNAMICS RELATIVE TO ACCELERATION.
- FRAME OF REFERENCE, IN DESTRED (DEMART)
- 2) COPRECT PEFICIENCIES IN (ID GRAVELY GRADIESE CAPABILITY (GRUGRD)
- 3) ALLOW FOR THE THENT OF A CRUDE ESTIMATE OF ELEXIBLE BODY DATA (RODALE)
- 4) IMPROVE ACCURACY OF TRANSFER FUNCTION ZERO FINDING ALGORITHM (MURS)
- 5) PROVISION FOR INCLUDING ORBIT FOUNTIONS (OPALT)
- 6) ADD IMPROVED VARIABLE STEP INTEGRATION WHICH YIELDS ESTIMATE DE SYSTEM STATE AT THH REFORE THE LAST CYCLE DE THE INTEGRATION STEP (RKADAD)
- 7) INCLUDE QUATERNION TECHNIQUE EUR EINGING HINGE AND SENSOR ROINT TRANSFORMATION MATRICES (ROITRO)
- A) ALLOW FOR UNILATERAL CONSTRAINTS, THE NOMBER OF ACTIVAL DEGREES OF ERREDOM MAY BE TIME DEPENDENT, IMPORTANT FOR DEPENDENT FROM RELEASE THROUGH LOCKUP (SETI)
- 9) IMPROVE CONTROL OF NUMERICAL FRADE IN FUNCTION COMPUTATION FOR NON-LIMEAR TIME CONVAIN ADDIVISTS (MODI)
- 10) INCHMERATE STATE OF THE ART ETICTIALYSTS CONTINUS REFERENCE: IMATRIX FIGENSYSTED CONTINUS - CLEAR CONTINUE LECTIME FOLES IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
  - VITELIAN 6 (SEE AESE VEL 51) SPRINGER-VELAG, 1976

с С

```
С
                                 BALANC, ORTHES, ORTAIN, HOR, HORZ, BALBAK
C
C
                               THE FOLLOWING SUPRIMITINES AND COMMON PLOCKS IN DISCOSE2
С,
                                          VAE EITHER WER ON HAVE REEN MUNIFIED
C
С
С
C
            CHMMON /COMPOS/
С
                  USED IN
С
С
                                         COMPIN
                                          YOUT
                                          PRNTON
C
C
                   PARAMETERS
С
                                 # AX6 INERTIA SATRIX FOR HODY NOT TITE T. AS INC.
                   XΛMU
                                     IN FORATION II-86
С
                                 = SYSTEM PRINCIPAL MOMENTS OF IMPRITE REPORTED FOR
ſ
                   AJVAL
                                = ROTATION TRANSFORMATION INFRITAL THIAD OF PRINCIPLE
С
                   A ....
                                     INFRIA TRIAD
1
                  117
                                 * SYSTEM INTETIA TENSIL HER TR CH. HAT ILRATIAL FOR A
C
                                 - SARL-W FLA FUCULING PARE TARKELVE BROOM
ſ
                   -00C
C.
Conserve and a constant of a segment of a constant of a constant segment of a segment of
C
            COMMON ZORATIOZ
C
                  HISED TA
                                          ("FILTER FROM RISCHS-2)
C
Consisting and a second se
C
            CINDON / HARKTAY
C
                 11540 IN
4
C
                                          (DELETED FROM OTSCOS-2)
\epsilon_{\rm c}
            COMMON /SAVEAU/
€.
٢,
                  JARD IN
                                          1001
C
                                          SETT
C
                  PARAMETE IS
\mathcal{C}
, •
                                   = ESTIMATE FOR VALUES OF THE LAGRANGE
                   AL AMS
                                        WHITIDE THAS AT THE BUD OF THE INTEGRATION STEP.
С
                                       COMPTE TO YONT, LEADED ON SECTIONS PASS THEIR PRADAD
C,
£
```

) és A

ς.

.

A-2

under under Schwarzum die Schwarzen Hetz war ander und V

. . . . . .

C\* С С SUBROUTINE ASOR DEBUG # 118 С CHANGES С (DELETED FROM DISCOS-2) С REASON С EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA EISPAC ROUTINES Ç С SUBROUTINE ASIMLR С DEBUG # 65 С CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ С С C\* С С SUBROUTINE BAKSLV DEBUG # 52 С CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ С С С С SUBROUTINE BALANC DFRUG # 131 С FIGENANALYSIS - FISPAL С CALLS С NONE С CALLED BY С EIGAVV с С EIGVAL PURPUSE С EISPAC ROUTINE TO BALANCE & REAL MATRIX AND С ISOLATE EIGENVALUES BY ROW AND COLUMN PERMUTATIONS С WHENEVER POSSIBLE С С С SUBROUTINE BALBAK DEBUG # 136 С EIGENANALYSIS - EISPAC С CALLS С NONE С CALLED BY С EIGAVV С PURPOSE С EISPAC ROUTINE TO BACK TRANSFORM THE EIGENVECTORS OF С THAT REAL MATRIX TRANSFORMED BY BALANC

A-3

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

÷. Ċ. С SUBROUTINE BOUTOP DEBUG # 51 C CHANGES C, REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ ٢ C 在这些消息最近要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要要。 C. SUBRINTINE RHGENR DERUG # 45 C CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /UDERHG/ ť. С С. SUBROUTINE BSGENR DEBUG # 47 C ( CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ 1  $igcell{eq: construction} igcell{eq: construction} iglell{eq: cons$ С SUBROUTINE COMPIN DEHUG # 124 MAIN LINE OPERATION C ŧ, CALLS ( ΜυζΤΔΡ нтана C SKEWV3 FIGNI С MULT3 WRITE r, CALLED BY С YPOT ٢ PURPASE C, TO COMPUTE TOTAL SYSTEM CENTER OF MASS LOCATION. AND INERTIA TENSOR RELATIVE TO THE SYSTEM CM. WRI ſ, C. THE INERTIAL FRAME. ALSO TO FIND PRINCIPAL MOMENTS OF IMPETIA AND ASSOCIATED ROTATION TRANSFORMATION. С RESULTS STORED IN /COMPOS/ EDK EVENTHAL CUITPHT C С IN PRATOU C. ٢ SUBROUTINE CRET3 DFHUG # 17 С C CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ C ſ C.其行我于我我学习读我曾有这些我的是在这些原始的是在这个意义的这些论的这些,我们这些情况,我就要要是我的是我的正确的是这些我的的是我的是我的问题,在这些的。 C

A-4

Ĺ

i. I

1

c	SUBROUTINE DI	FORMB	DEAUG	# 80		
Ċ	CHANGE 3	REDIMENSION	CODE 485		/LDEBUG/	
C						
() # N	*************	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	****	• ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡	****
C C	SUBROUTINE D	LMBRT	DEBUG	# 120		
ř	CALLS			Uach I	RETACI SUDE	(DOT DVF
r	() A (2 12 )		S HSER REG	NIESTED		
ř	CALLED BY		IS YOUN NUM	VUL 31 L (27		
ř	GACCED DI	YDOT				
ř		LANY REGULE	ED BY USER	1		
č	PURPOSE			· •		
č		TO PROVIDE	THE CAPART		MPUTE ATTIT	UDE
č		DYNAMICS RE	ATIVE TO	AN ACCELER	ATING REFER	ENCE
č		POINT. THIS	LAPARTI TT	Y REQUIRED	TO CIRCUMN	ENT
č		NUMERICAL	ROALEMS.	DIMBRT AS	SUMES THAT T	HE DRIGIN
ř		DE TH PERM	ME AT HIND	E POINT 1	IS THE COME	OSITE
č		SYSTEM CENT	ED DE MAC	AND THAT	ITS MOTION	RELATIVE
ř		TO A TRUE 1	NEUTIAL DE	SEPENCE TO	LISER DEETN	ANDE
ř		ETTHER IN C	HUCED EODY			
ř			EVIED FURD	a tus Altu la	ALCOUNT 10-4	UF 119
ř	REASON	1. NO M 1 10 N 3 C				
č	N(AS)N	TO AVOID TH	E STANDARD		COMPUTATIO	
č				TTAN AND SI	INTRACTION C	TE LARGE
ř		AND SMALL N	UIMBERS IT	IS OFTEN D	DESTRABLE TO	DEEINE
č		SYSTEM ATTI	TUDE DYNAM	AICS RELAT	IVE TO ΔΝ ΔΟ	CELERATING
č		ERAME DE RE	FERENCE			
ĉ						
č		THIS PROBLE	M USHALLY	OCCURS WHE	N THE SYSTE	M TS ACTED
č		UPON HY A L	INTITRECTIO	INAL EXTERN	VAL EURCE ET	LELD AND
č		THE PRIMARY		HE STMULAT	TINN IS THE	ASSESSMENT
č			DYNAMICS	RATHER TH	HAN TRANSLAT	TINAL
č		DYNAMICS				
ĉ						
č	* * *					
č	**** USER COD	EING RULES AN			ATION	
č	***					
č						
č	THE	DISCOS EDRMA	ITSM IS BA	SED UPON A	A LAGRANGE F	ORMULATION
ċ	ne	THE FOUATIONS	OF MOTION	N. INHEREN	T IN THE DEV	/FI OPFMENT
č	15	THE DEEINITIC	N THAT BUT	H I TNEAR	AND ANGULAR	VELOCITIES
č	ΔRF	MEASURED REI	ATTVE TO A	AN INFRITA	LY FIXED RE	FERENCE
č						· · · · · ·

•.

Sec. 1

.....

•

A-5

REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR ł

~		
( c		THE EDGATION OF THE COMPOSITE SYSTEM CENTER OF MASS
C C		CANNUL ALWAYS BE LAKEN TO BE MEAR THE IMERIAL REFERENCE.
с -		THE LUCATION IS OICLATED BY THE SIMULATION REDUIRS (FRUS.
<u>ا</u>		IN SOME CASES THE INERTIAL ORIGIN MUST HE AT A GRAVITY
C		SOURCE, IN OTHER CASES LARGE RESULTANT EXTERNAL FORCES
C		PRODUCE LARGE RELATIVE DISPLACEMENTS WHICH MAY DR MAY NDI
0		BE IMPORTANT TO MONITOR.
C,		
ſ		IF THE INERTIAL BRIGIN IS OR BECOMES FAR REMOVED FROM THE
С,		SYSTEM CENTER OF MASS NUMEPICAL PROBLEMS CAN RE
(		ENCUENTERED; THESE PROBLEMS ARE INHERENT IN DIGITAL
(.		COMPUTATION WHENEVER VERY LARGE AND VERY SMALL NUMBERS
ſ		MUST HE ACCURATELY KNOWN DURING COMPUTATION.
C		
C		PRUCEDURE
С		1) COMPUTE THE RESULTANT EXTERNAL ECRCE ACTING ON THE
Ċ.		SYSTEM.
Ċ		•
•		2) IF THE MOTION OF THE SYSTEM CENTER DE NASS RELATIVE
<u>_</u>		TO THE INERTIAL REFERENCE IS IMPOUTANT SET NO THEN
i -		EQUATIONS FOR INTEGRATION VIA SUBPOLITING CONTROL
(.		EREDUCTION THESE ARE THE OPATT FOUNTIONS
i		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Ċ.		3) RESULVE THE RESULTANT EDRCE VECTOR INTO THE DEPART
ć		REFERENCE ASSOCIATED WITH WICE DOINT 1. DECIMA
		M(1) = M(1)M(1)M(1) + M(1)M(2) + M(1)M(1) + M(1)M(2)
÷.		$\phi$ (1) = $\phi$ (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
r		ELEVITOR PERMIT
ć		VIZZ - THORP OF ALL PROPER VELICE VELOC PRE PREAM
r r		
r r		ALAY - A-DIARATER FERRE AFCHIR MRT PAPERANE
r r		AL HIMSE PHALT
c c		AN RESOLVE FORCE RECTOR INTO DOON FLYER RECORDINATION AND
r		AD ADDADEAT FORCE EEEETS TO THE TOPOLE ADDAR FOR
r r		AND AFFARTYL FURGE FFFCJS LU IMF TURNDE ARRAY EDR Earde Dody ac colloget
ć		
1		
è		$AE\Delta I = AV(3) + V(3)$
C	r	COMPUTE TOTAL MASS
r r	.,	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{MT} = (0, 0)$
ř		
-		
s E	C	T Hells → Nells → Nells(#########
r r	r. r	TURNEEDEN EDER VERTOU TO JODN COMPTNATES
c r	1	INFORMATION PORTA VELIAR LA RUDI CHURDINATEN.
ι.	0	しいっていてに ひちちなたがい たいだいと チャナドレイメチ

A-6

```
C
                ADD TO TOROUE ARRAY
     С,
С
            DO 2 N=1+NB
C
            HV(1) = ROL(1,1,N) + V(1) + ROL(2,1,N) + V(2) + ROL(3,1,N) + V(3)
С
            BV(2) = ROL(1+2+N)*V(1) + ROL(2+2+N)*V(2) + ROL(3+2+N)*V(3)
С
            BV(3) = ROL(1,3,N)*V(1) + ROL(2,3,N)*V(2) + ROL(3,3,N)*V(3)
С
     С
                GET STARTING LOCATION IN TOKOUF ARRAY FOR BODY N
С
            LOC = LOCU(N)
С
                 APPARENT TUROHE ON HODY N
     C.
C,
            G(LDC ) * G(LDC )
                         -(AMU(1.5.N)#8V(2) + AMU(1.6.N)#8V(3))/A%1
ſ,
           緯
C.
            G(LOC+1) = G(LOC+1)
С
           *
                         -(AMU(2+4+N)#RV(1) + AMU(2+6+N)+RV(3))/A"T
C
            G(LOC+2) = G(LOC+2)
С
           *
                         -(AMU(3,4,N)#RVi)) + AMU(3,5,N)#RV(2))/AMT
С
     C,
                APPARENT FURCE ON BODY N
Ċ.
            G(LOC+3) = G(LOC+3) - AMU(4+4+N) + HV(1) / AMT
            G(LOC+4) = G(LOC+4) - AMU(4+4+N)+RV(2)/AMT

G(LOC+5) = G(LOC+5) - AMU(4+4+N)+RV(2)/AMT
C
С
С
     C,
                APPARENT MODAL FORCE ON MODES OF BODY N
C
            IF(IRGELX(N).E0.0) GD TO 2
C
            DO 3 K=1.IRGFLX(N)
C
            G(LOC+5+K) = G(LOC+5+K)
C
           *
                          -(AMU(4,6+K,N)*BV(1) +
С
           зX
                            AMU(5,6+K,N) = RV(2) +
С
           ×
                            AMU(6,6+K,N)+BV(3))/AMT
C
          3 CONTINUE
C
          2 CONTINUE
С
            RETURN
C
С
С
               5) NOTE THAT IT IS IMMATERIAL WHERE THE FORCE VECTOR
۵
                   (V(1),V(2),V(3)) IS COMPUTED. IT CAN BE COMPUTED
С
                   HEREIN OR IN SUBROUTINE CONTRL. WHICH EVER IS MOST
С
                   CONVIENENT FOR THE USER
С
C * *
      С
С
      SUBROUTINE DYNSIO
                                    DEBUG # 2
С
         CHANGES
С
                     IF INPUT DATA CODE 'NYTPE' = 3 CALL MODALN(N)
         REFER TO
C
С
                     DEF3 DEFINITION OF DYNSIO INPUT DATA READ STATEMENTS
С
С
      ***
                                           ***
С
      ****
             DATA INPUT MODIFICATIONS
                                         ***
```

A-7

***	x * *
	1) LAST READ STATEMENT READS INTEGER CODE NEVER, NOV
	IF(NTYPE.FO.3) CALL MUDALN(N)
	ΑΠΠΕΟ ΤΟ ΟΥΝSIO
	2) CALL READ (IMDATA, 1, 3, 1, 3) New definition:
	ΙΕ(ΤΜΝΑΤΑΕ2).ΕΤ.Ο) - ΥΔΡΙΔΗΓΕ STEP PHNEE ΚΗΤΤΑ ΙΝΤΕΓΡΑΤΙΝ ΒΙΤΗ STEP ST20 ΠΕΓΙΑΤ(ΜΑΧ) = ΔΗS(ΤΜΠΑΤΔ(Ζ))
	ΙΕ(1ΜΟΔΤΔ(2),GT.Ο) ΕΊΧΕΟ ΣΤΕΡ ΚΟΝΔΕ ΕΠΤΙΔ ΙΝΤΕGRATION WITH STEP SIZE DEUTAT = ΤΜΟΔΤΔ(2)
	3) CALL READ (HV, 1, 4, 1, 5)
	VECTOR SIZE 1 BY 4 FOR GRAVITY GRADIENT DATA THIS DATA CAN BE UPDATED AND MADE TIME DEPENDED VIA USE DE SUBROUTINE ORBIT
	IF( WV(1) = WV(2) = WV(3) = 0,0 ) DISCOSH2 ASSUMES GRAVITY GRADIERT FEFECT DEGLIGISLE AND SUBROUTINE GRAIT WILL NOT BE CALLED VIA GRYGRD
REASIN	
	<ol> <li>AT LIMES IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR ACCORATE SIMULATION TO IMPOLE FULL FINITE FLEMENT FLEXINGE RODY MODEL. MODALN WILL READ IN ONLY FIRST ORDER FLEXING ACCY MODEL. MALE REOUTED USUALLY AVAILANCE WITHOUT TO MUCH TROUBLE</li> </ol>
	2) INTEGRATION PACKAGE IN DISCOS-2 HAS VARIANCE STEP CAPABILITY, ADAMS PREDICTOR/CORRECTOR CAPABILITY OF DISCOS REMOVED BECAUSE IT WAS MEVER USED AND A RUNGE KUTTA VARIABLE STEP ROUTIME WITH CERTIAN UNIONE CHARACTERISTICS WAS MEEDED FOR UNILATERAL CONSTRAINT CAPABILITY

( () () ()

0 0 0

() () ()

د د . چ 3) PROCESSEE FOR COMPUTING GRAVITY DRADIENT EFFECTS SPON A CLUSTER OF COUPLED FLEXIBLE BODIES WAS

С LIMITED IN APPETCARILITY IN DISCUS С С NEW SUBROUTINE GRUGED CALLS SUBRDUTINE ORBIT FOR Ċ A DEFINITION OF HRBET PAPAMETERS ORIGINALLY SET. С BY ABOVE READ STATEMENT. NOW FULLPTIC DEBITS AND С CLUSTERS OF COUPLED FLEXIBLE BODIES CAN ROUTINELY С HE ANALYZED WITH MINIMAL USER INTERFACE C C \* \* С. С SUBROUTINE DYNS20 DE411 # 37 С CHANGE C COMMON ZOPRKTAZ DELETED С COMPUTATION TO LOAD ARRAYS IN /OPRKTA/ PRIFTED С REASON С IN DISCOS-2 THE NEW VERSION OF SUBROUTINE REAGAN C DUES NOT REQUIRE THE STORAGE AREA RESERVED BY С /OPRKTA/ C С, C, SUBRIETINE DYNS40 DEB1# #64 С NAIN LINE OPERATION С CALLS (NEW) С PUNCH C FIGVAL C EIGAVV С CHANGES C C 1) CALL TO PUNCH ADDED SO THAT THE SYSTEM CHARACTERISTIC MATRIX ALONG WITH FACH TRANSFER C, FUNCTION CHARACTERISTIC MATRIX AND COLUMN OF INPUT С SIGANL COFFFICIENTS CAN BE PUNCHED FOR FIGLION-CO С. Г, INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS С \*\*\* \*\*\* DATA INPUT MUDIFICATION С \*\*\*\* \*\*\* C, \*\*\* \*\*\* С С FIRST READ IN DYNS40 CHANGED TO С READ(NIT, FORMAT = 244) LNAM, LPNCH C----С LNAM = (ND CHANGE)С LPNCH = 4HPNCH IF SO, PUNCH SYSTEM AND TRANSFER С EUNCTION CHARACTERSITIC MATRICES C IF NOT. NO ACTION TAKEN

> REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR

A-9

1

C 2) SHIFT CONSTANT FOR ZERO FUNDING ALGORITHM IN NUMS 00000000 IS NOW SUBJECT TO INPUT CONTROL. IF DESIRED \*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\* DATA INPUT MODIFICATION \*\*\*\* \*\* \*\*\* Ċ THIRD READ IN DYNS40 CHANGED TO С C----CALL READIM(IRY,4,NCYC,4,KR) с С IRY(1.J) - (NO CHANGE) C C IRY(2, J) - (NU CHANGE) IRY(3,J) - (NO CHANGE) IRY(4.J) = SHIFT CONSIANT FOR NUMS SET TO MINUS C (NEW) 1 SOUARE RINT OF INPUT С, DEFAULTE С CON = -DSORT(3.0DO)C OTHERWISE: C CUN = -DSORT(1, 0DO#IRY(4, J))0000000000000 3) REPLACE CALLS TO OLD FIGENANALYSIS ROUTINES **NRDRVR** ΔND FIGVEC WITH CALLS TO THE FISPAC FIGENANALYSTS ROUTINES REASON C FISPAC ROUTINES ARE CONSIDERED TO BE STATE OF THE C, ART. WHEREAS ORTGINAL DISCOS POUTINES ARE ADEMUATE C THEY DE NOT CONTAIN AS MELLE MEMERICAL ERVER ٢, CONTROL LOGIC IN THEIR CODETEG. Ċ AL SO С EIGAVY COMPUTES ALL EIGENVECTORS WHEREAS FIGVEC С COMPUTED ONLY SELECTED ONES AND BROKE DONE IF C C SYSTEM HAD REPEATED FIGENVALUES C C 4) COMPUTE AND OUTPUT ALL EIGENVECTORS С \*\*\* 以本本 Ĉ DATA INPUT MODIFICATION \*\*\* \*\*\*\*

A-10

С \*\*\* С C READ RANGE FOR FIGENVECTOR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 COMPUTATION DELETED. IT IS COMPUTATIONALLY MORE EFFICIENT TO BLINDLY COMPUTE ALL OF THEM С\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* C C SUBROUTINE EIGAVV DEBUG # 130 FIGENANALYSIS - FISPAC 0000000000000 CALLS BALANC HOR 2 ORTHES WRITE ORTRAN BALBAK CALLED BY DYNS40 PURPOSE EISPAC DRIVER ROUTINE. TO SEQUENTIALLY CALL THE C EISPAC ROUTINES REQUIRED TO COMPUTE ALL EIGENVALUES C AND UNNORMALIZED EIGENVECTORS OF A REAL GENERAL С MATRIX С C C SUBROUTINE EIGN1 DEBUG # 125 UTILITY.MATRIX OPERATION CALLS WRITE CALLED BY COMPIN PURPOSE TO COMPUTE THE EIGENVALUES AND ASSOCIATED FIGENVECTORS OF ANY REAL SYMMETRIC MATRIX USING METHOD OF JACOBL, THRESHOLD VERSION WITH PIVOTING. COMPUTED FIGENVALUES AND FIGENVECTORS ARE REAL REASON REQUIRED BY COMPIN TO COMPUTE SYSTEM PRINCIPAL MOMENTS OF INERTIA AND ASSOCIATED ROTATION TRANSFORMATION MATRIX С С

۸-11

6	SUBROUTINE EIC	VAL DERUG & 129 BIGENAMALYSIS - E15PAC
Ċ.	CALLS	LINGHOMARIAL LINNA
č		BALANC HOR HORTE
p	PALLER BY	Neture Statts
	CALCEN DI	511187 A
*	· · · · ·	
5	P. 18 P. M.7 P	NUMS
ت : ج	PURPHSE	
<b>(</b> .	1 W 1 1 1 1	FISPAC DRIVER ROWTING. TO SEMIPHTIALLY CALL THE
<b>G</b>		EISPAC BUILTINES BEOMIBEN IN COMPATE ALL FIGUNALIES
ſ,		NP A REAL GENERAL MATRIX
C		
C****	******	***************************************
C		
C	SUBROUTINE EIG	ivec debug # 117
C	CHANGES	
C		(DELETED FROM DISCOS-2)
C	RFASON	·
C		EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA EISPAC ROUTINES
С.,		
C#####	*****	*******
C		
C	SUBROUTINE FIN	IDT DFRUG # AA
C	CHANGES	
C		REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LOFBUG/
C		•
C****	*****	***********
C		
C	SUBROUTINE FIN	IDU DEHUG # 38
C	CHANGES	
С		REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDFBUG/
с·		
C****	*****	******
C		
Ċ	SUBROUTINE GRV	GRD DEBUG # 50
ċ		MAIN LINE OPERATION
ĉ	CALLS	n ner an
č.		WRITES ORBIT
č		MILTR
č		мптар
ř	CALLED BY	TINE DEST
r	CASCED BI	VDOT
č	("WANCES	
c c	UNANGES	COBULCTED TO UDDEDLY DECINE CUANTY OBACTOUT
L I		CORRECTED IN ARNAGETA DEFINE GRAVILA GRADIENT

FORCE AND TOROUE ACTING UPON EACH BODY OF THE MULTI-BODY SYSTEM THEORY DISCOS-2 ASSUMES THAT AN ORBITING REFERENCE FRAME WITH ORIGIN IN THE VICINITY OF THE SPACECRAFT MASS CENTER IS DEFINED. THIS REFERENCE FRAME 15 FIXED. INERTIALLY IN ROTATION BUT IT'S ORIGIN TRANSLATES. THE MOTION OF THE ORIGIN AND THE DIRECTION OF THE GRAVITY FIELD IS DEFINE VIA SUBROUTINE ORBIT LET: GR( ) = POSITION VECTOR FROM GRAVITY SOURCE TO THE ORIGIN OF THE ORBITING REFERENCE FROM SUBROUTINE ORBIT GR() = RCMAG\*GAMGI()DOL(N) = POSITION VECTOR FROM ORBITING REFERENCE POINT TO THE BODY N REFERENCE POINT GM = FARTH'S GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT AMU(N) = MASS OF BODY N GF(N) = GRAVITATIONAL FORCE VECTOR ACTING ON HODY N GMAG = LOCAL GRAVITATIONAL ACCELERATION FROM SUBROUTINE ORBIT GMAG = GM/RCMAG\*\*2THEN THE GRAVITATIONAL FORCE VECTOR IS (GR( )+DOL(N)) |GR( )+DOL(N)|\*\*3 EXPAND THE DENOMINATOR IN A BINOMIAL SERIES AND RETAIN ONLY FIRST ORDER TERMS IGR( )+DOL(N) |\*\*(-3) =(((GR())+DOL(N)).(GR())+DOL(N)))\*\*(-3.0/2.0) =RCMAG\*\*(-3)\*(1.0 - 3.0\*GAMGI( ).DOL(N)/RCMAG)

С

C

С

С

c c

с С

C C

С С

С

С

C C

Ċ

С

С С

С С

С С

C C

С

C C

С С

С С

С

С

С С

С

С С

С С

C C

C		
C	SUBSTI	TUTE BACK INTO THE GRAVITATIONAL FORCE FOUATION
С,	TO OF	STAIN
Ċ		
C	(JE ( N	$I = -\Delta M U(N) * GMAG * (GAMGI()) + DOL(N) / RCMAG$
C,		
C		- 3.0*(GAMGI( ).DUL(N))*GAMGI( )/RCMAG
С		
С		+ HIGHER ORDER TERMS )
С		
С	IN TH	IS EQUATION THE ZERU ORDER TERM NAMELY
ſ,		
С		$-\Delta MU(N) + GMAG + GAMGI()$
C		
(.	GOES 1	INTO THE ORBIT EQUATION. THE GRAVITY GRADIENT FORCE
C	WHICH	MUST BE UTILIZED IN SUBROUTINE GRVGRD IS
C		
6		- AMU(N) *(-MA(-*P()[[(N)
1. C		
C C	WHIKE	
Ċ	DOLL/	$h = h = h = 2 \pi (c A W = 1) = 0 + (h = 1) \pi (c A W = 1) + 2 \pi (c A W = 1)$
c.		$\mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{y}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} = \mathbf{y}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{$
Ċ	FIXES	
Č	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	HE THEORETICAL DEVELOPEMENT CAN BE EIXED BY
Ċ		INSIDERING & CHUSTER OF DEFORMABLE BODIES IN
Ċ		IGURE 7 PAGE 49 AND INTRODUCING THE ORBITING
Č		EFERENCE POINT
Ĉ		
С	I	N VOL 1 SECTION F THE MET RESULTS ARE CORRECTED IF
C	ו	HE ELEMENTS OF GAMMA SUR G IN FOUATION 11-120 ARE
C	F	QUATED TO THE ELEMENTS OF THE VECTOR DULL(N)
C	r	DEFINED AHOVE.
С		
С	T	HE SUBROUTINE GRVGRD WAS FIXED BY SIMPLY USING
C	т	HE VECTOR DOLL(N) IN THE APPROPRIATE FOUATIONS
C		
C * * * * *	****	****
С		
ι.	SUBROUTINE LINE	AR DEBUG # 40
С	CHANGES	
С	R	EDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/
C		
() 24 25 26 36 36 36	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ικ φ <b>κ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ φ</b>
ι.		

÷,

C	SUBROUTINE GA	USSI	DEBUG # 31	
С				UTILITY,MATRIX OPERATION
С	CHANGE			
Ċ		COMPLETELY REA	RITTEN.	
Ċ	CALLS			
č	0-660	WRITES		
ř	CALLED BY	WATES		
~	GALGED DI	MEMODIC		
ř				
C C		ASIMLK		
	00000000	LIKESP		
C C	PURPUSE			
C		A MODIFIED ALC	GORITHM FOR I	MATRIX INVERSION VIA GAUSS
C		ELIMINATION, A	LGORTHM DOES	S A SEARCH FOR THE LARGEST
С		POSSIBLE DIVIS	SOR. THE INPU	ITTED N BY N MATRIX "A" IS
С		DESTROYED DURI	NG COMPUTAT	ION
C.	REASON			
С		NEW METHOD FOR	OBTAINING 1	FRANSFER FUNCTION ZEROS
С		DOES NOT REQUI	IRE GAUSSI FO	OR SUPPORTING COMPUTATION
С		GAUSSI REWRITT	EN TO REFLEC	T THIS AND IMPROVE
Ċ		COMPUTATION AL	GORTHIM	
č				
C****	*******	***	ie alle alle alle alle alle alle alle al	in min war wir wir wir wir wir wir wir min min min wir
č				
ř	CHRODITTNE CE	TOMO		
č	SUBRIUTINE GE	IDMP	UENU19 # 45	
ĉ	CHANGES			
č		REDIMENSION CU	JDE 485 ADDEI	1 FOR ZLDEBUGZ
ل. د س س س م				
C****	*****	******	*****	****
c c		<b>n</b>		
	SUBROUTINE HU	ĸ	DEBUG # 134	+
C	•			EIGENANALYSIS - EISPAC
C	CALLS			
C.		NONE		
C	CALLED BY			
С		EIGVAL		
C	PURPOSE			
Ċ		ETSPAC ROUTINE		E THE ETCENVALUES OF A
č		BEAL HODED HES	CENDERC MATE	STV
ř		NUME VEFLA DES	JOLINDENN PAIR	
(77777 ()	****	د. ماه ماه ماه ماه ماه دان واو چه چه چه چه واو چه خ	د	و المان المان المان المان المان المان المان المان المان ولي
C++++		ም ም ም ም ም ም ም ም <b>ም ም ም ም ም ም</b> ም ም ም	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
ĉ	CHREATTER DO	0 1		
ř	SUBRUUTINE HU	NC	DEBUG # 135	
č	CALLE			EIGENANALYSIS - EISPAC
	LALLS			
ί.		NUNE		

A-15

C	CALLED BY	FIGAVV
č	PURPOSE	
C C C		EISPAC ROUTINE TO DETERMINE ALL EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS OF A REAL UPPER HESSENBERG MATRIX
C****	****	******
С		
C	SUBROUTINE IN	VINP DEBUG # 41
C C	CHANGES	
č	011414020	REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/
С		
(**** C	******	***************************************
č	SUBROUTINE LU	DATE DEBUG # 137
С		UTILITY, MATRIX OPERATION
C	CALLS	
C C	CALLED BY	NUNE
č	GAULU DI	LUINVD
С	PURPOSE	
C		PERFORM THE L-U DECOMPOSITION OF A REAL GENERAL
C C		MAIRIX WITH TEST FOR ALGORITMIC SINGULARITY USING
c		THE CRUCE ALOUATION .
C * * * *	****	*******
C	C1100/007705 100	
C C	SUBRIGHTINE LU	INVO DEBUG # 138 HETHITY, MATRIX OPERATION
č	CALLS	
C		LUDATF
C	CALLED BY	Autor C
C C	PURPOSE	N()m.5
č		TO COMPUTE FOR A REAL GEMERAL MATRIX ITS DETERMINAN
С		AND ITS INVERSE USING L-U DECOMPOSITION BY THE
C		CROUT ALGORITHM
∪ C****	***	******
C		
С	MAIN	DEBUG # 1
C	CHANGES	
C C		COMMON ZURALIUZ DELETED DISCOS Subroutine Location Index Extended
~		CINCLE CONCENTINE CONTINUES INTO CATURDED

A-16

C C REASON UPDATED VERSION OF SUBROUTINES GAUSSI AND NUMS Ĉ NO LONGER NEED /DRATID/ STORAGE AREA Ĉ C\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* C C SUBROUTINE MGEN DEBUG # 49 ° C **CHANGES** REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ С C\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* С С SUBROUTINE 'MODALN(NBOD) DEBUG # 126 С MAIN LINE OPERATION С CALLS С UNITY ZERO С С READ ROTTR WRITE С CALLED BY С DYNS10 PURPOSE С С TO SIMPLIFY THE DATA INPUT FOR FLEXIBLE BODIES WHEN c c THE COMBINED EFFECTS OF SPIN MAGNITUDE AND ELASTIC DEFORMATION ARE NEGLIGIBLE. THE USER INPUTS ONLY A c c BODY'S RIGID BODY CHARACTERISTICS, NATURAL FREQUENCIES, MODAL DAMPING, MODAL AMPLITUDE AND Ċ SLOPE AT HINGE AND SENSOR POINTS ON BODY С С \*\*\* С DATA INPUT (ALSO SEE COMMENT CARDS IN MODALN) \*\*\* \* \* \* С \*\* \*\*\* С С------CALL READ (AMU, N, N, KMU, KMU) C С KMU = KMODE + 6C NE = NUMBER OF ELASTIC MODES с С N = EITHER 6 OR 6+NEAMU = ARRAY CONTAINING ELEMENTS OF EQUATION II-39 С С IF NO MODAL DATA OTHER THAN THE FREQUENCIES С READ IN 6X6 RIGID BODY MASS MATRIX. C IF MODAL DATA AVAILABLE READ IN ALL ELEMENTS С С OF EQUATION II-39 (6+NE) X (6+NE) MATRIX С

A-17

日日本の人名日本日本の日の市田市

CALLER LAND STREET

ž

С +-C 0 -SZ SY DX(1) DX(NF) С IJXX -JXY -JXZ . . JYY -JYZ SZ 0 - SX DY(1) С DY(NE) . . . С JZZ -SY SX 0 DZ(1) DZ(NE) . . . Ĉ м 0 AX(1) AX(NE) 0 . . C C М 0 AY(1) AY(NE) . . . AZ(NF) M AZ(1) • • . FULL SYMMETRIC MUST BE READ IN С AMU = E(1,NF) E(1,1) . . 1 . С . С EITHER 6X6 ٠ С DR (6+NE)X(6+NE) С E(NE+NE) С \_! С C-----CALL READ (AMU, NE, NE, KMU, KMU) C AMU = FULL NE X NE MODAL STIFFNESS MATRIX С USUALLY DIAGONAL MATRIX WITH C С OMEGA(N)\*\*2, N=1....NE С BEING DIAGONAL ELEMENTS С С c------CALL READ (AMU, NE, NE, KMU, KMU) С AMU = FULL NE X NE MODAL DAMPING MATRIX С USUALLY DIAGONAL MATRIX WITH С C 2.0\*ZETA\*OMEGA(N). N=1....NE BEING DIAGONAL ELEMENTS С С C-----CALL READ (AMU, 1, NE, KMU, KMU) С AMU = 1 X NE MATRIX OF INITIAL MODAL DISPLACEMENT С С CONDITIONS С C-----CALL READ (AMU, 1, NE, KMU, KMU) С AMU = 1 X NF MATRIX OF INITIAL MODAL RATE С CONDITIONS С С С С HINGE POINT LOOP С С NHB = NUMBER OF HINGES ON BODY NBOD (EXCLUDING HINGE POINT 1 OF BODY 1) С ,

A-18

1

С С 00 10 II=1.NHB C c------READ(NIT,FORMAT = 215) NOH, ITYPE С С NOH HINGE NUMBER = EULER ROTATION TYPE TO DRIENT HINGE Ċ ITYPE = С TRIAD WRT BODY TRIAD С С C------READ(NIT,FORMAT = 3E10.3) (V(J).J=1.3) С С EULER ANGLES TO ORIENT HINGE TRIAD - PERMUTATION С ORDER DEFINED BY ITYPE С С V(1) = THETA 1 (FIRST ROTATION) С V(2) = THETA 2 (SECOND ROTATION) С V(3) = THETA 3 (THIRD ROTATION)С C - - - - - READ(NIT, FORMAT = 3E10, 3) (V(J), J=1, 3) С C. VECTOR TO POSITION HINGE TRIAD WRT BODY TRIAD С С V(1) = X (BODY REF POINT TO HINGE POINT, BODY TRIAD) V(2) = Y (BODY REF POINT TO HINGE POINT, BODY TRIAD) С V(3) = Z (BODY REF POINT TO HINGE POINT, BODY TRIAD) C. С **C**-----CALL READ(AMU, 3, NF, KMU, KMU) С С AMU = 3 X NE MATRIX OF MODAL AMPLITUDES AT HINGE POINT С С AMU(1,N) = X-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT HINGE POINT AMU(2.N) = Y-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT HINGE POINT С С AMU(3,N) = 7-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT HINGE POINT С С------CALL READ(AMU, 3, NE, KMU, KMU) С С AMU = 3 X NE MATRIX OF MODAL SLOPES AT HINGE POINT С AMU(1.N) = THETA X ROTATION AT HINGE POINT FOR MODE N C С AMU(2.N) = THETA Y ROTATION AT HINGE POINT FOR MODE N С AMU(3.N) = THETA Z ROTATION AT HINGE POINT FOR MODE N С С **10 CONTINUE** С

> REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS FOOR

A-19

.,

-----

С С Г SENSUR POINT LOOP ¢ NSR = RUTHER OF SENSOR STILLS SE HODY NROD C С DO 20 11=1+NSB C, C-----READ(NIT,FORMAT = 215) NOS. ITYPE С С NDS \* SENSOR POINT NUMBER ITYPE = EULER ROTATION TYPE TO OPIENT SENSOR С С THIAD WRT BODY THIAD С ----READ(NIT, FURMAT = 3E1(1,3)) (V(J), J=1,3) C---С С FULER ANGLES TO URIENT SENSOR TRIAD - PERMUTATION С ORDER DEFINED BY ITYPE ¢ С V(1) = THETA 1 (FIRST ROTATION)С, V(2) = THETA 2 (SECOND ROTATION) V(3) = THETA 3 THIRD RETATION) С С С C----READ(NIT, FORMAT = 3E10.3) (V(J), J=1.3) С C, VECTOR TO POSITION SENSOR TRIAD WRT BODY TRIAD C С V(1) = X (BODY REE POINT TO SENSOR POINT, HODY THIAD) V(2) = Y (HODY REE POINT TO SENSOR POINT, HODY TRIAD) C V(3) = 7 (BODY REF POINT TO SENSOR POINT, BODY TRIAD) С C C----CALL READ(AMU, 3, NF, KMU, KMU) С AMD = 3 X ME MATRIX OF MODAL AMPLITUDES AT SENSIN POINT С, С AMU(1.N) = X-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT SENSOR POINT С, AMU(2,N) = Y-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT SENSOR POINTAMU(3,N) = Z-COMPONENT OF MODE N AT SENSOR POINTĉ С С C C----CALL READ(AMU, 3, NE, KMU, KMU) C, AMU = 3 X NE MATRIX OF MODAL SLOPES AT SENSOR POINT С С С AMU(1,N) = THETA X ROTATION AT SENSOR POINT FOR MODE N

C	AMU	(2,N) = T	HETA Y	ROTATIO		SENSOR	POINT	FOR	MODE	N
c c	AMU	(3+N) = 1	HCIA Z	KUTATI		SENSUR	PUINT	rus	m(11)5	P.
ĉ										
č	20 CONTINU	F								
č										
č	RETURN									
č	END									
Ĉ										
C***	*****	******	*****	*****	****	*****	****	***	*****	* >> >> ** >>
С										
C	SUBROUTINE N	UMS		DEBUG	#68					
Č										
C C	CHAN DE			AVE HEL	05 61	TATE OF	T		15040	
C					115 - 5 767 - 5	IAIP VE Thvedet/	יא ידיין אריטטואלי	51 C 776/C	1384U 1	
ĉ	CALLS	EIGENAN	ALISIS	ANJ 144	1610	1119-6-511	JIV ROO	1.1.46	.,	
Ċ.		WRITE	STE	т						
č		FIGVAL	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•						
c		LUINVD								
C	CALLED BY									
С		DYNS40								
C	REASON									
C		THE COM	PUTATI	ON OF T	RANSFI	ER FUNC	TION 7	FROS	FUR L	ARGE
C		ORDER S	YSTEMS	IS NUM	FRICAL	LY AN E	XTREM	ELY (	DIFFIC	AIL T
C C		PROBLEM	• EVER	Y METHO	n MHI	CH CAN I	IF USEI	n ta	CONTR	
С С		NUMERIC	AL ERK	UK MUSI	46 0	1121250	5 THIS	15 1	SH Thus	OPNE
 C×≭≭	*****	*****	****	*	*****		* ** ** ** ** ** **	*****	ie nie we we we nie	. xi x x x x x
C										
č	SUBROUTINE O	RBIT		DEBUG	<b>#</b> 121	1				
С						USER DEI	INED	SUBR(	UTINE	:
С	CALLS									
C		(NONE U	NLESS	USER RE(	JUIRFI	1)				
C,	CALLED BY									
c		GRVGRD								
C	13	(ANY RF	DUIRED	BY USER	< <b>)</b>					
C C	PURPUSE									
۱. ۲		10 0661	NE URB	ITAL PAP	(AME1)	TRN REDU	11840			
ĉ			AFI FR	UM A GM/ Dence. '	INCOT'	TALLY EN	NUURLE LYGD IN	- WK-1 1 DOI		1
č		0.1110.0111.0	17 NGPE	SEMURY 4	LUENT:	I M 1, T. T. F.	IVEN D	< =Q		-
č	* *									
č	*** USER CODE	ING RULES	AND M		G CON	SIDERATI	INS			
C	<b>东东东</b>		••••							

j.

C С С INDER TO AVOID NUMERICAL COMPUTATION PROBLEMS AN С, с С ORBITING REFERENCE FRAME IS USED. IT IS INFRITALLY FIXED IN ROTATION AND FOR CONVIENENCE LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF THE SYSTEM CENTER OF MASS C C С SUBROUTINE ORBIT MUST DEFINE THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS: С С = SCALAR DISTANCE FROM THE GRAVITATIONAL RCMAG С, SOURCE TO THE ORIGIN OF THE ORBITING REFERENCE FRAME С C G' AG \* LUCAL GRAVITATIONAL ACCELERATION, FOR AN ſ FARTH ORBIT C FARTH'S GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT С 6MAG = -----C RCMAG##2 Ĉ GAMGI(1) = X-COMPONENT OF THE UNIT VECTOR IN THE DIRECTION OF THE GRAVITY FIELD WRT. С THE ORBITING REFERENCE C GAMGI(2) = Y-COMPONENT OF THE UNIT VECTOR IN THE DIRECTION OF THE GRAVITY FIELD WRT. C C THE OPBITING REFERENCE GAMGI(3) = Z-COMPONENT OF THE UNIT VECTOR IN THE DIRECTION OF THE GRAVITY FIELD WRT ۲ С THE ORHITING REFERENCE С C C. SUBRHULLINE DRIVES DENER # 132 Ċ EIGENANALYSIS- FISPAU C. CALLS £, NONE C CALLED BY C FIGAVV C FIGVAL PURPHSE Ċ, EISPAC ROUTINE TO REDUCE A REAL GENERAL MATRIX TO С UPPER HESSENHURG FORM USING ORTHOGONAL C TRANSFORMATIONS C 

A-22

С DEBUG # 133 С SUBROUTINE ORTHAN 000000000 FIGENANALYSIS - FISPAC CALLS NONE CALLED BY EIGAVV PURPOSE EISPAC ROUTINE TO ACCUMULATE THE TRANSFROMATIONS C C IN THE REDUCTION OF A REAL GENERAL MATRIX BY ORTHES С\* с С С SUBROUTINE PLOTWR DEBUG # 61 CHANGE C C CALL POINT ADDED REASON C C TO ACCOMODATE USER DESIRED VARIABLES TO BE PLOTTED MORE THAN JUST STANDARD SET OF TIME DEPENDENT C C VARIABLES CAN NOW BE PLOTTED C\* \* С C C SUBROUTINE POINT DEBUG # 123 USER DEFINED SUBROUTINE с с с с с CALLS (NONE UNLESS USER REDUESTED) CALLED BY PLOTWR с С PURPOSE TO DEFINE ANY AUXILIARY STATE VARIABLES c c TO BE PLOTTED AS A FUNCTION OF TIME C\* **朱武亦孝亦之事故,李武公之,亦不不不不不**。 [1] С 000000000 SUBROUTINE PRNTOU DEBUG # 60 CHANGE 1) ADD COMMON BLOCK /COMPOS/ 2) CALLS TO INVINE DELETED. REQUIRED DATA IS NOW IN /COMPOS/ 3) PRINT OUT COMPUSITE SYSTEM CENTER OF MASS LOCATION AND INERTIA TENSOR DATA STORED IN /COMPOS/ С REASON

A-23

C GOOD DATA GENERATED IN COMPINE THIS IS PRINTED С OUT IN THIS ROUTINE С С С SUBROUTINE PUNCH DEBUG # 128 C UTILITY (1/0) С CALLS С NONE С CALLED BY £ DYNS40 С PURPOSE C TO PUNCH A TWO DIMENSIONAL MATRIX OF REAL NUMBERS IN A FORMAT COMPATABLE WITH SUBROUTINE READ C С C, C SUBROUTINE ORCON DEBUG # 85 C CHANGES C (DELETED FROM DISCOS-2) REASON C C EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA EISPAC ROUTINES C C С, SUBROUTINE ORDRVR DERUG # 84 С. CHANGE 5 (DELETED FROM DISCOS-2) C €. REASON С EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA EISPAC ROUTINES С С C SUBROUTINE OR2 DEBUG # 87 С, CHANGES C (DELETED FROM DISCOS-2) C REASON EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA FISPAC ROUTINES С, G C SUBROUTINE RKADAM(NEQ) С DEBUG # 59 С UTILITY NON-MATRIX OPER. С CALLS С YOOT

A-24

C	CALLED	BY	DYNSI	10										
C	CHANGE		COMP( 1)	ETELY ADD \ CALLE VALUE	( REV /ARI/ ED FO E FOF	VRIT AALE DR A R ST	TEN STE SY IN FEP S	TO P IN IPUTT SIZE	NTEGF ING (TMC	ATION A NEG DATA(2	N CAPA Sative ?) in	ABILII MAXI DYNSI	FY. IMUM LO INP	υT)
			2)	DELET (TWO NEET	FE AU FIXE DED)	DAMS ED S	5 PRE Step	DICT INTE	GRAT	ORREC ION R	CTOR C LOUTIN	(APAB) IES WE	LLTY RF NO	ï
Т	THEORY	1	) IF STA IN ) IF STE	INPUT NDARF FEGRAT NEGAT PECAT	TTED FOU FION FIVE PLIC	INT URTH USE A S IT,	FEGRA FORT ED SPECI RUNC	ATION DER F ALIA GE-KI	STF IXER NLLY	P SI2 STEP DEVEL ROUTI	ZE POS RUNG OPED INF IS	SITIV SF KUT VARIA S USFE	TTA NBLE D	
	τŋ	SOLVE												
	LET		Y ( Y ( Y ( T ( F	(T) (T) (T) (T,Y) YDT	= F = S <sup>°</sup> = II = I <sup>N</sup> = OI T <sup>°</sup> = C	(T,Y TATE NITI NITA UTPU IMF ALL	() IAL S IAL S IAL TI JT OF T AN YDOT	TIME TATE ME SUP SUP SUP	T SROUT ATE () =	TINE Y Y F(T,Y	(D) T (D) F	OR IN	vP{IT	
	nu	5 LO(	94	P2 =	Υ () F ( T (	0+YC	))							
	<b>2</b> NN	20 L0	00P;	IFLAG	= 2									
		JIL=1 JIL=2	ι γ	(T0+.5 P3 = (T0 -	5*H) YDT YDT + H)	= Y = () = F = Y	(0 + CALL = (TO+ (0 -	• 5*+ YD01 +• 5*+ H*P2	+*P2 F(TO+ +•YO+ 2 + ;	• 5H•) • 5*H*) • 8*H*P3	(0+.5× ×P7) }	*H*P2)	)	

•

.

- - --

A-25

-----

YDT = CALL YDOT(TO+H, YO-H\*P2+2\*H\*P3)FXIT DO 20 LOOP ENTER SECTION TO OBTAIN MEASURE OF NUMERICAL ERROR NOTE: A) SEGOND URDER ESTIMATE OF STATE AT (T0+H) 15 Y(TO+H) = YO + H\*P3 + O(H\*\*2)H) THIRD ORDER ESTIMATE OF STATE AT (TU+H) IS Y(TO+H) = YO + H\*(P2 + 4\*P3 + YDT)/6 + O(H\*\*3)ERROR CRITERIA HASED UPON THE ABSOLUTE PERCENT DIFFURENCE HETWEEN THE SECOND AND THIRD URDER ESTIMATES OF AVERAGE SLOPE OVER THE TIME INTERVAL H: THAT IS, P3 VS. (P2 + 4#P3 + YDT)/6 LFT: E = (ABSOLUTE PERCENT DIFFERENCE)/100 THEN  $H = H \approx D S \cap R T (0.07/F)$ NOTE 7 PERCENT IS THE SWITCH VALUE CHOOSEN, MUMERICAL EXPERIENCE HAS ESTABLISHED THAT THIS WORKS WELL THEN WITH THE RESET VALUE OF H RETURN TO THE PO 20 LODP. WITH IFLAG=3 AND GO THROUGH & STANDARD FOURTH OPDER RUNGE KUTTA STEP, NO ERROR CHECK TO BE MADE AFTER THIS CYCLE. 2 DO 20 LOOP: IFLAG = 3JIL=1 YDT = CALL YOOT(TO+.5H, YO+.5H\*P2)JIL=2 #3 = YDT YDT = CALL YDOT(TO+.5H.YO+.5H\*P3) JIL=3 P4 = YDTYDT = CALL YDDT(TO+H, YO+H\*P4)END DO 20 LOOP, 60 TO 45 J11=4

A-26

-

С С С C с С ¢ ٢. C C Ç ٢ Ç, C ί C Ċ, C. с, С С £ С C С Ċ Ċ, ć Ç C, С С С C с С Ç С С C С C С С

С

والانتجاب العدائميون

.

С		Y(T0+	H) = YO + H*(P2 + 2*(P3+P4) + YDT)/6 + O(H**4)
Ç			
C		Y	PT = CALL YOOT(TO+H,Y(TO+H))
ç			
C c		T F •	
C C	USER ND		WILL WE DENHIDED IN INCEPTING YOUR CTANDARD
r r		II CARC	WILL DE REQUIRED IN INDERIIMO TOUR STANDARD House inteodation darkage, the integed litel in
r		14-0	SUSE INTEGRATION PACKAGES THE INTEGER STL. IS
c c		(J 1) (J	THE OPE GOVE AS A EDULE FLAG
c C		21 0001	F GEAR, NUMERICAL INITIAL VALUE DROBLEMS IN
č		0kD1	TARY DIFFERENTIAL FOUNTIONS, DAGE AD
č.		+1	N GENERAL IT IS DIFFICULT TO JUSTIFY THE
Ĉ		Δ	ODITIONAL WORK OF THE IMPLICIT METHODS BY
Č		Т	HE INCREASED ACCURACY ATTAINABLE. SO THEIR
C		U	TILITY IS RESTRICTED TO SOME SPECIAL PROBLEMS
C		F	OR WHICH THEY HAVE DESTRABLE STARILITY
C.		С	HARACTERISTICS
C			
0		3) WHEI	STEP OR MULTIVALUE METHODS SHOULD BE USED WITH
С		EXIK	EME CAUTION IN A GENERAL PURPOSE CONTROL SYSTEM
C		SIMU	LATION PROGRAM: MORE BETEN THAN NOT, NON-LINEAR
С		CONT	ROL SYSTEM FLEMENTS WILL CAUSE SOME OF THE STATE
Ç		νακι	ABLES TO BE DISCONTINUOUS EUNCTIONS OF TIME. FOR
С		F×Δv	PLE LIMITERS OD THIS. SINCE THESE METHODS CAN
ç		HKFA	K DOWN ON SUCH PROBLEMS THEY SHOULD NOT BE USED.
C C			TENT MALL STON METHONE AND EASY TO UP THE OKING
C C			TO FEARLY THE ARTHURS ARREAR TO BE THE DATA OF THE TABLE
C C		1. 1941 David	TAR CHUICE FOR INCLUSION IN THE GENERAL MORPHAY -
č		P 3010	
Ċ		5) A ME	THEO APPLICABLE TO STIFE SYSTEMS WHICH UTH 1715
Č.		PART	IT LOWING TO ISOLATE THE SUBSET OF STIFF STALF
Ċ.		VVHI	ANTE EMIATIONS WOULD HE DESTRABLE. TE AVAILANLE
C.		CALL	H. P. FRISCH MASA/GSFC.
C.			
<b>(</b> *****	*****	40 20 21 21 24 24 24 26 24 26	<b>家教教的教育学校的研究教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育</b>
C,			
(	SUBREDUTINE	KITIM	134-14116, n 4.4
ί,			
C.	CHANGE		
ç		WHEN	ACCOUNTING FOR ELEXIBILITY IN THE DETERMINATION
ü –		0F ()	RIENTATION OF O AND P FRAMES WRT THEIR BODY
L		EIXE	N FRAFES USE SUBRINTINE ROTTRO

**A-**27

С REASON С ORIENTATION CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH FLEXIBILITY APE С SMALL ANGLE, OUATERNION TECHNIQUE OF ROTTRO С IS MORE EFFICIENT THAN EULER ANGLE TECHNIQUE OF С ROTTR, ALSO FULER METHOD CAN LEAD TO ERROPS С **C\*\*\*\*\*** C С SUBROUTINE ROTDS DEBUG # 46 С С CHANGE с С WHEN ACCOUNTING FOR FLEXIBILITY IN THE DETERMINATION OF ORIENTATION OF SENSOR FRAMES WRT THE BODY FIXED. С FRAMES USE ROTTRO С REASON C QUATERNION TECHNIQUE IS MORE REFICIENT THAN HULER С TECHNIOUE DUE TO SMALL ANGLE FLASTIC DEFORMATION С ALSO FULER METHOD CAN LEAD TO FRRORS С C\* С С SUBROUTINE ROTTRO DEBUG # 119 MAIN LINE OPERATION С С CALLS С (NONE) С CALLED BY С котрн С OTOS ¢ PURPOSE TO USE EULER PARAMETER (OUATERNION) TECHNIOUS TO С С COMPUTE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX С REASUN С REFERENCE FRAME ORIENTATION CHANGES ASSUCTATED HITH С BODY FLEXIBILITY ARE SMALL, OUATERMION TECHNIQUE С IS COMPUTATIONALLY MORE EFFICIENT THAN ENLEW ANGLE C TECHNIQUE USED DRIGINALLY IN DISCOS. ALSO FULEP С TECHNIQUE CAN LEAD TO FRADRS SINCE DRIENTATION CHANGE MEASURED IN DIRECTION COSINES NOT FULER С AWALES C. C С С SUBROUTINE SETI DEBUG # 122 С USER DEELMED SUBROUTINE С CALLS

ç			(NONE UNLESS USER REQUESTED)
C		CALLED BY	YDOT
		PURPOSE	TO IMPLEMENT UNILATERAL CONSTRAINT SPECIFICATIONS
		REASON	THE EXISTANCE OF PARTICULAR CONSTRAINTS AT HINGE PCINTS (DEFINED VIA INDATA ARRAY) CANNOT ALWAYS BE TAKEN AS TIME INDEPENDENT
			FOR EXAMPLE: THE SIMULATION OF STICTION (SLIDING FRICTION), SPACECRAFT APPENDAGE DEPLOYMENT THROUGH LOCK-UP, SPRING-DASHPOT SYSTEMS WITH STOPS, ETC ALL REQUIRE THAT THE EXISTANCE OF A CONSTRAINT BE DETERMINED VIA A FUNCTION OF TIME AND SYSTEM STATE.
ç	* *		
С С	** ***	USER CODI	EING RULES AND MODELLING CONSIDERATIONS
Č		<b>TN C</b>	
с С		IN SU HING	E POINTS ARE USER DEFINED VIA INPUT DATA
ç		<u>Cuon</u>	NUTINE CETT CAN BE HEED TO EFFECTIVELY OVER-GUDE
с С		THE C	DATA LOADED INTO THE IHDATA ARRAY
C		M = 7.10	
C C		METHU	1) REFER TO SUBROUTINE DEF3. DYNS10 INPUT
с с-		- MODIEV D	EFINITION OF THETHER THEATA THE INTEGED ADDAY
С- С			PENALLING OF COULCE INDALA COUL INTERCE ASEAL
С С			IHDATA(2-7.J) = 2 RHEONOMIC CONSTRAINT AT TIME ZERO DR SOMTIME LATER
C			ANY CONSTRAINT CONDITION SUBJECT TO CHATCH
С С			VIA SUBROUTINE SETI IS TYPE 2
Č C			2) IN SUBROUTINE YDOT, FIRST PASS THROUGH ALL NLAM ELEMENTS OF IRH ARRAY SET TO 1
C C	•		DD 2 I=1. NLAM
Č			2  IRH(1) = 1
ւ С С С			3) RECALL ALL LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS (FORCES AND TORQUES OF CONSTAINT) ARE CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED:

REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE ORIGINAL PAGE IS POOR .

A-29

and a second

\*. \*

- IV(I+L) = 0 IF DEGREE OF EREFORM 1+I=1+...,A AT HINGE POINT L ALWAYS FREE OF COMSTRAINT
  - NUMBER ASSIGNED TO LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIXED OR RHENOMIC CONSTRAINT WHICH IS OR WILL BE APPLIED TO DEGREE OF EREEDOM I+I=1+++++ AT HINGE POINT L

. .

IV STORED IN //IVCONS/

4) CONSIDER DEGREE OF FREEDOM I AT HINGE POINT L WHICH WILL AT SOME TIME BE SUBJECT TO FITHER A FIXED OR RHEONIMIC CONSTRAINT

PROVIDE THE LOGIC TO DEFINE WHETHER OF NOT THE DEGREE OF FREEDOM IS FREE

SET

IRH(IV(I+L)) = O IF DEGREE OF EREFUDM I AT HINGE POINT L IS FREE

IRH(IV(I+L)) = 1 IF DEGREE DE EREEDOM I AT HINGE POINT L IS FIXED OR RHEOMONICALLY CONSTRAINED

THE LOGIC USED TO SET AND RESET THE ELEMENTS OF IRE MAY HE A FUNCTION OF TIME AND ANY SET OF SYSTEM STATE VARIABLES.

- 5) /SAVALM/ CONTAINS ALAMS, ARRAY OF ESTIMATES OF WHAT THE FORCES AND TOROUES OF CONSTRAINT WILL BE AT THE END OF THE INTEGRATION STEP. THESE CAN BE USE IN THE FORL TO DETERMINE CONSTAINT CONDITIONS FOR OUT STEP
- 6) \*\*\* MAKE SURE THAT ALL COMSTRIANTS WHICH CAN BE AFFECTED BY SETI ARE OF TYPE 2 (RHEONORIC) THIS IS REQUIRED BY COMPUTATION LOGIC. IF NOT THEN EITHER RELATIVE POSITION OR LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER WILL NOT BE CALCULATED

C C

С

С

С

C\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* C C DERUG # 73 SUBROUTINE SFREQ2 C CHANGES REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ C С C\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* C С SUBROUTINE START DEBUG # 6 C CHANGES č REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ С REDIMENSION CODE 486 ADDED TO FIX LIMIT ON DO LOOP Č READ STATEMENT FOR DEBUG FLAGS FIXED C C REFER TO DEF3 DEFINITION OF START INPUT DATA READ STATEMENTS C, SECOND READ STATEMENT. NOW C, C READ(NIT,FORMAT = 601)) DENUG С С REASON С SIZE OF DEBUG ARRAY HAD TO BE ENLARGED FOR DISCUS-2 С C\*\* **家婆安那老婆婆那家你你要看着那里你要你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你你?**" C C SUBROUTINE SUBDIA DEBUG # 86 С CHANGES С (DELETED FROM DISCOS-2) С REASON С EIGENANALYSIS NOW DONE VIA EISPAC ROUTINES C C \*\* \*\*\*\*\* С С SUBROUTINE TETYPE DEBUG # 67 С CHANGES С REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ С С C SUBROUTINE TORQUE DEBUG # 57 С CHANGES С REDIMENSION CODE 485 ADDED FOR /LDEBUG/ C C\* \*\*\*\* С

A-31

C C	SUBROUTINE CHANGES	TTEE	DEH	UG # 83		
C		REDIMENS	ION CODE 4	85 ADDED F	DR /LDEBUG/	
() ()	the size of the size of the size of the size of		11			
(**** c	*******	********	*****	******	****	: # % %
c c	SHRROUTINE	YOOT	DER	110 # 20		
č	SUMMOTINE	1001	172.11	MA	TN LINE OPERATION	
č	CALLS			-		
Ċ		WRITES	котрн	BHGENR	ROTOS	
C		BSGENR	MGEN	COMPIN	FINDU	
C		MULT3	GRVGRD	DLMART	INVINP	
С		GETHMH	SETI	הכמאים	ΔΟΤ	
C		BAKSLV	TOROUE	BOOTOP		
С.	CALLED H	Y				
ι.		0YN520				
Ç		ΚΚΔΏΔΜ				
Ċ		LINFAR				
5	PURPOSE					
ç		(SAME AS	IN DISCOS	) TO COMPU	TE THE FIRST TIME	
(, ,		DERIVATIV	VE OF THE	STATE VECT	OR Y	
C .	CHANGE S	400 LE 1 E 0	TO 1105 11			
(			TU USE EM	PULSEZMIME	NIUM CHEDITICNS FO	
C C	2 E A C /1N	MATCHATN	INC PRESS	KINED CONS	TRAINE CUSDELEUMS	
c r	KCASTIN		EPDODC I			
c c		END CENT	LAN STMULA	TIONS, A U	51996 10028888008 L10113 51900 TO 3988008 TO1	<u>ر</u>
c			Y HAD TO	AE DEVELCO	ED DE LA	.)
c	THEORY		,	OF DEVILUE	4 1 •	
č		EDK SIMU	ATTON PUR	POSES NUME	RICAL ERROR CAN BE	
č		VIEWED AS	S AN IMPLU	SE. THE NE	T EFFECT DE THE ERROR	
C		INPLUSE 1	S TO CAUS	E AN ASSOC	TATED FRAIR TN THE	
C		COMPUTATI	LIN DE THE	DRDINARY	MOMENTA AND SYSTEM OF	611
C						
C		THIS ERRO	DR CAN HE	DETERMINED	HY UTH IZATION OF TH	F
С		CONSTRAIN	T FOUATIO	NS: ONCE K	NOPM IT CAN HE	
С		REAPPLIE	) TH ARRIV	E AT THE T	RUE VALUE EOR CURRENT	
r,		SYSTEM ST	TATE AND D	RDINARY MO	MENTA	
<u>(</u>						
r -		LET				
C		P(-)		ARY MOMENT	A AS COMPUTED WITH	
C .			NUMER	ICAL ERROR		
L C		U(-)	SYSTE	M STATE AS	COMPUTED WITH	
C			NUMER	ICAL ERROR		
L.		t F	FRKUR	IMPOLPE W	OFITEDTERN CID BE EOD	N( <b>) )</b>

A-32

С

P(+)	CORRECT VALUE OF ORDINARY MOMENTA
U(+)	CORRECT VALUE OF SYSTEM STATE
н	KINEMATIC COEFFICIENT MATRIX, SAME AS
	THAT USED IN EQUATION 11-1
.⊬××T	TRANSPOSE OF MATRIX B
9**T*EF	ACTUAL ERROR IMPLUSE TO HE APPLIED TO

COMPENSATE FOR NUMERICAL FRROR

MASS MATRIX AS USE IN EQUATION II-1

÷.,

UTILIZING THESE DEFINITIONS THE CORRECT VALUE FOR ORDINARY MOMENTA IS

 $P(+) = P(-) + R * * T * * FF \qquad (1)$ 

FROM EQUATION 11-49

м

P(+()R-) = M+U(+()R-) (2)

THEREFORE BY DIRECT SUBSTITUTION OF (2) INTO (1)

U(+) = U(-) + M \* \* (-1) \* B \* \* T \* E = (3)

UTILIZE THE CONSTRAINT EQUATION 11-5

$$B \neq U(+) = \Delta D T \tag{4}$$

WHERE

ADT PRESCRIBED VELOCITIES: EITHER ZERD FOR FIXED CONSTRAINTS OR USER DEFINED FOR RHEDNOMIC CONSTRAINTS

SUBSTITUE (3) INTO (4) AND SOLVE FOR FE TO OBTAIN

EF = (B\*M\*\*(-1)\*B\*\*(-1)\*(ΔDT - B\*U(-)) (5)

USE THIS FRROK IMPULSE MULTIPHIER (COMPUTED IN YOUT BETWEEN DO 180 AND PROGRAM POINT 1305) TO COMPUTE THE CORRECTED VALUES OF ORDINARY MOMENTA AND SYSTEM STATE: THAT IS, IN THE DO 190 LOOP

> P(+) = P(-) + H#\*T\*FF (A) U(+) = U(-) + A\*\*T\*M\*\*(-])\*FF (7)

IS EVALUATED AND CARRIED THRU ALL SUBSEQUENT CALCULATIONS.

С		
С		THIS PROCEEDURE IS ONLY CARRIED OUT FOR MON-LINEAR
C		TIME DONAIN ANALYSIS, IF(IFINER.FO.D) SKIP IT
C		
C		FUTHERMORE
С		
Ċ		YOOT NOW CALLS SUBBOLITIME DEMORT TO DEFINE FORCES OF
C		INERTIA WHICH MUST BE INTRODUCED IF AN ACCHURALING
0		FRAME OF REFERENCE IS TO BE UNED
Ċ		
С		CALL TO COMPIN ADDED. NOW COMPOSITE SYSTEM STUTE
Ċ		COMPUTED AT END OF FACH INTEGRATION STEP
č		
č		CALL TO FINDU NOT MADE FACH INTEGRATICS OVER LOW.
ĉ		ELIPPING FROM ONE TO ANOTHER SET OF THREEPENDENT
č		DEGREES OF FREEDOW AVIDED. ACCURACY PRIME STD
č		DHYSICAL INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS CARABILLY
í		
i		
· · * * * *	****	
c .		
C.	RETURN	
	L (N1)	

1