# NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM MICROFICHE. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE DOE/NASA/1062-7 NASA TM-81626



# High Power Densities from High-Temperature Material Interactions

(NASA-TM-81626)HIGH POWER DENSITIES FROMN81-16900HIGH-TEMPERATUREMATERIALS INTERACTIONS(NASA)22 p HC A02/MF A01CSCL 201

Unclas G3,75 41078

James F. Morris National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center

Work performed for U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Fossil Energy Office of Coal Utilization

Prepared for Sixteenth Thermophysics Conference, High Temperature Material Session, sponsored by American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Palo Aito, California, June 23-25, 1981

# High Power Densities from High-Temperature Material Interactions

James F. Morris National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Work performed for U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Fossil Energy Office of Coal Utilization Washington, D.C. 20545 Under Interagency Agreement EC-77-A-31-1062

Prepared for Sixteenth Thermophysics Conference, High Temperature Material Session, sponsored by American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Palo Alto, California, June 23-25, 1981

# HIGH POWER DENSITIES FROM HIGH-TEMPERATURE MATERIAL INTERACTIONS\*

James F. Morris National Aeronaulius and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

# Abstract

Thermionic energy conversion (TEC) and metallic-fluid heat pipes (MFHPs) offer important and unique advantages in terrestrial and space energy processing. And they are well suited to serve together synergistically. TEC and MFHPs operate through working-fluid vaporization, condensation cycles that accept great thermal power densities at high temperatures. TEC and MFHPs have apparently simple, isolated performance mechanisms that are somewhat similar. And they also have obviously difficult, complected material problems that again are somewhat similar. Intensive investigation reveals that aspects of their operating cycles and material problems tend to merge: "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance." Simplified equations verify the preceding statement for TEC and MFHPs. Material properties and interactions exert primary influences on operational effectiveness. And thermophysicochemical stabilities dictate operating temperatures which regulate the thermoemissive currents of TEC and the vaporization flow rates of MFHPs. Major high-temperature material problems of TEC and MFHPs have been solved. These solutions lead to productive, cost-effective applications of current TEC and MFHPs -- and point to significant improvements with anticipated technological gains.

# Energy Efficacy and High-Temperature Materials

"If there is a single general trend that applies to the various combinations of heat sources a d conversion methods, it is the one toward higher source temperature and higher sink temperature -- and consequently lighter weight systems. For this reason, the workshop felt that high-temperature-materials data was of prime importance .... " This is a quotation from W. A. Ranken of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, one of 150 experts who attended a recent symposium at NASA Lewis Research Center on "Future Orbital Power System Technology Requirements"1. The inexorable evolution toward high space-system power levels is a movement to not only high temperatures but also high efficiencies and high power densities.

Similarly high-temperature, high-power-density topping promises higher efficiency, lower cost and less pollution per watt of electricity on earth 2-20. And very important in these trends are two direct energy devices that process great power densities effectively through hightemperature material interactions alone: The thermionic energy converter and the heat pipe operate on thermal inputs only and have no moving parts. Their working fluids cycle continuously through evaporation, condensation and return flow by a self-induced voltage or a capillary-pressure difference (Fig. 1). Specially selected materials "Work funded by the U.S. Department of Energy

under Interagency Agreement EC-77-A-31-1062.

serve as interacting evaporators and condensers as well as containers for these working fluids. In such combinations thermionic-energy-conversion (TEC) and heat-pipe processes function at low temperatures. But their high-power-density capabilities prevail at high temperatures (Figs. 2 and 3). "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance"<sup>21</sup>.

Temperatures for optimum TEC and for some imnortant terrestrial topping applications appear in Fig. 2. Corresponding heat-pipe utilization could occur at temperatures near those for appropriate emitters and collectors. Possible heat-pipe service in projected space applications comprises the entries in Tible 1.22°25. Metallic fluid heat pipes (MFHPs) and TEC are also a synergistic combination for efficient high-temperature, highpower-density production of weight-effective space power near and above the megawatt level26,27. Aiming at thit goal the USSR reported in 1976 on "the tests of three 'Topaz' reactors" ("therm onic nuclear power plants") that" demonstrated ... long-term stable and reliable operation with good reproducibility of parameters"<sup>28</sup>.

#### TEC and MFHP Power Densities and Problems

TEC heat inputs can reach the order of 100 W<sub>t</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>, as implied by Fig. 2. There TEC outputs range up to tens of  $W_e/cm^2$  (P<sub>OL</sub>) and tens of percent efficiency (n<sub>OL</sub>):

$$P_{OL} = (\phi_E - \phi_C - v_D - v_A - v_L)(J_{ES} - J_R)$$
 (1)

$$n_{OL} = (J_{ES} - J_{BE}) \left\{ \phi_{E} - \phi_{C} - V_{D} - V_{A} - 2 \right\} \\ \left[ 2.45 \times 10^{-8} n_{EC} (T_{E}^{2} - T_{C}^{2}) / (2 - n_{EC}) \right]^{1/2} \right\} / \\ \left\{ J_{ES} (\phi_{E} + 2kT_{E}) - J_{BE} (\phi_{E} + 2kT_{C}) + 5.7 \times 10^{-12} \right\} \\ \left[ 0.05 + 7.5 \times 10^{-5} (T_{E} - 1000) \right] (T_{E}^{4} - T_{C}^{4}) \right\}$$
(2)

In these equations,  $\phi_E$  and  $\phi_C$  are emitter and collector work functions,  $V_D$  is the interelectrode voltage drop,  $V_A$  is the equivalent auxiliary input voltage for enhancement,  $V_L$  is the voltage loss required for optimum leads (equal to the expression within the square brackets in the numerator or (2)).  $n_{EC}$  is the TEC electrode efficiency (equal to (2) with  $2V_L$  deleted from the numerator),  $T_E$  and  $T_C$  are emitter and collector temperatures, the last term in the denominator of (2) approximates non-electronic thermal transport,  $J_R$  is reverse electronic flow (including reflections, backscattaring, back emission  $J_{BE}$ , and other effects that diminish output current), and  $J_{ES}$  is the current density

for emitter saturation:

$$J_{ES} = A(1 - R_E)T_E^2 \exp(-\phi_E/k_E^{T})$$
 (3)

where A and k are Richardson and Boltzmann constants and  $R_{\underline{E}}$  is the emitter reflection coefficient.

Equation (2) is a simplified, yet reasonable estimate applicable for low cesium concentrations, reduced enhanced-mode pressures, close electrode spacings, and small interelectrode losses. Inder such conditions the back emission  $(J_{BE})$  approximates<sup>21</sup>, 26, 29–31

$$J_{BE} = A(1 - R_{BE})T_{C}^{2} \exp[-(\phi_{C} + V_{D})/kT_{C}]$$
 (4)

where Rgg comprises Rc (collector reflection coefficient) and similar coefficients for all interelectrode mechanisms that return collectoremitted electrons to their source -- except those for noncollisional repulsion by the emitter sheath. With negligible interelectrode losses and reflections, back emission equals that for collector saturation:

$$J_{CS} = A(1 - R_{c})T_{c}^{2} \exp(-\phi_{c}/kT_{c})$$
 (5)

The preceding equations verify a previous assertion: High-temperature material effects ( $\phi_E$ ,  $R_E$ ,  $T_E$ ,  $\phi_E$ ,  $\phi_C$ ,  $R_C$ ,  $T_C$ ,  $J_CS...$ ) determine the level of TEC performance -- completely. This generalization includes enhanced-mode operation also because  $V_A$  represents a small fraction of TEC output recycled to increase efficiency. With this rather timited background a tabulation of TEC characteristics may now be apropos:

Thermionic-Energy Conversion (TEC) Advantages

Electricity directly from heat

No moving parts or inherent mechanical stresses High temperatures: nigh Carnot efficiencies Great power densities - with Broad near-maximum-efficiency plateaus Rapid responses to load or heat variations (constant temperature) Low weights Small volumes Modularity

## Modularity In TEC Applied Research and Technology (ART)

TEC ART is essentially independent of other system components

Development and testing on the lab bench are effective

Converters are scalable

Module building blocks adapt to system size and shape

Repetitious rotational fabrication modes apply Nearest-neighbor load sharing minimizes unitfailure effects

Modular designs allow TEC-unit replacements

Economy: research, development, fabrication, application Adaptability Reliability Maintainability Although TEC accepts great thermal power densities, MFHPs excel in this capability: They can receive and deliver thousands of  $W_t/cm^2$  radially and tens of thousands axially. Such performance falls within an envelope of mechanistic limitations typified by the following sketch.



HEAT-PIPE PERFORMANCE LIMITS

A simplified, yet informative expression for maximum heat-pipe thermal power  $Q_{max}$  results from reduction of a complicated quadratic equation by neglecting inertial and interphase effects 32-34:

$$Q_{\max} = \left(\frac{2A_{w}K_{w}}{r_{p}L}\right) \left(\frac{r_{1}\rho_{1}\lambda_{1}}{u_{1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{1}gLr_{p}\sin\psi}{2\sigma_{1}}\right) \quad (6)$$

In this equation the first factor is the "wick number"  $(N_w)$ ; the second, the "liquid-transport factor" or "zero-g figure of merit"  $(N_l)$ ; and  $2\sigma_l/g_{\sigma_l}$  is the "one-g wicking height"  $(N_p)$ . The subscripts w, l, and p designate "wick," "liquid" and "pore." And A is area; g, gravity vector; K, permeability; L, length; r, radius;  $\psi$ , inclination angle from horizontal;  $\lambda$ , heat of vaporization;  $\mu$ , viscosity;  $\rho$ , density; and  $\sigma$ , surface tension.

Equation (6) verifies that, aside from internal geometry, high-temperature-material properties  $(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \rho_1)$  and  $\sigma_1$ ) and their effects determine the level of MFHP performance. Perhaps this context makes the general characteristics of heat pipes more meaningful:

#### The Heat Pipe

- ls a thermal-energy transporter, transformer, and isothermalizer;
- ls a compact, lightweight, self-contained, selfpumped system;
- Operates with no mechanical or electrical inputs and no moving parts;
- Allows diverse temperature ranges, high thermalpower dentities, and low temperature gradients:

15,000 W/cm <sup>2</sup> at 1500° C	°C/cm	W/cm/°C
Lithium (Li) heat pipe Molten copper (Cu)	0.1	150,000

The preceding simplified algebraic expressions indeed indicate that properties and interactions of materials at nigh temperatures dictate TEC and MFHP performances at their maxima. But an introductory quotation states that "high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance." And because thermally exponential tendencies of degradition-rate constants can be crucial, the fact that "high-temperature material effects determine the ... lifetime" is often more important. In practice, thermophysicochemical statility limits operating temperatures, hence TEC thermal emission and MFHP vaporization rates. Therefore, can highperformance TEC and MFHPs withstand thermally accelerated deterioration and live productively to economically old ages?

Answering this question requires first a diagnosis of some of the more destructive ravages possible during high-temperature TEC and MFHP overation: Both devices are subject to internal alkalimetal corrosion and solution accelerated by low concentrations of impurities like oxygen. In terrestrial service both must survive external attacks by hot corrosive gases. For space applica-tions both must oppose sublimation of their exterior surfaces into the hard-vacuum ambiance. And the near-vacuum within TEC admits of vaporization, condensation complications that could cause workfunction alterations and coat insulators. Also wherever interfaces of differing materials encounter high temperatures, reaction and diffusion loom as major concerns. Accentuated effects of the latter phanomenon occur when composition discontinuities promote void formations (Kirkendall) that diminish transport cross sections. Finally thermal creep, expansion coefficient mismatches, and solid-phase transitions demand attention in temperature cycling and gradients.

But as subsequent discussion reveals, solutions for these problems are available to make high-temperature TEC and MFHPs viable.

#### Successful Limitation of Alkali-Metal Corrosion

Since the 1960's TEC technologists have considered cesium (Cs) corrosion under control to the extent that it no longer poses problems. As reference 35 states "... the materials used are not attacked by Cs ..." In addition, utilization of ultra-pure Cs, strict cloanliness, effective getters and high-temperature vacuum bake-outs insure long lifetimes for TEC interiors.

The same general approach produces acceptable results for MFHPs, where Li usually provides the ultimate corrosion test. But in 1973, reference 36 asserted, "It has been concluded that W-26Re/Li (SiC) heat pipes promise a lifetime of many years at 1600° C."

This achievement is particularly noteworthy because the heat-pipe cycle concentrates corrosion-accelerating impurities at the evaporator surface. Therefore localized thermochemical attack intensifies continuously in the performance-affecting fine structure of the wick as indicated in Fig. 4<sup>27</sup>.

Such alkali-metal-corrosion effects catalyzed by oxygen (0) dramatize the importance of oxide getters as metallic-fluid preloading processors, as in situ purifiers and as alloy constituents. Of course good getters release much enthalpy and undergo nearly as great negative free-energy changes upon combining with 0 -- like the metals in lower Fig.  $5^{3B-41}$ . A qualitative version of some of these data simplifies their presentat.~ somewhat in Fig. 6<sup>42</sup>. A great difference between free energies of oxide formation for two metals indicates a strong O-gettering prclivity for the one with the more-negalive free-energy change. But this is a generalization based on equilibrium concepts. And degrees or rates of approach to equilibria are not estimable from free-energy values. In fact solid-state transport usually controls gettering rates after initial superficial reactions.

However Figs. 5 and ō provide some interesting TEC and MFHP insights: One is the observation that TEC Cs can scavenge impurity oxygen, then surronder it to the Ta or Nb envelopes. This clean-up process might have caused early relatively uncontrolled TEC tests, which often began with high performances typical of O-additive enhancement, to taper off to lower efficiencies with continued operation.

Consensus places 0 solubility in Ta and Nb near one percent at several hundred degrees centigrade and greater than five percent above ~1500° C43-46. But dissolved oxygen embrittles these refractory metals. So popular Ta and Nb a'bys incorporate small amounts of hafnium (Hf) and zirconium (Zr), respectively, to getter solidsolution 0, fix it as distributed oxides, and reduce brittleness<sup>47</sup>. But welding and other hot processing tend to segregate slag and other impurities at interfaces. Thus, because Li can attack Ta, Nb, Zr, and Hf oxides successfully, Li heat pipes of sucn alloys often succumb to intergranular and weld perforations. However, as previously stated, properly processed W alloys serve admirably as high-temperature Li heat pipes. This statement is also true for Mo and some Mo alloys.

Although Li can getter 0 from most oxides, it is subject to gettering by a few metals like those at the bottom of Fig. 5. One of these, lanthanum (La), is present in the order of a tenth percent in Haynes Alloy 188 (cobalt (Co) ~40 percent, nickel (Ni) ~22 percent, chromium (Cr) ~22 percent, tungsten (W) ~14 percent and iron (Fe) ~2 percent). It is noteworthy that a Haynes 188, lithium heat pipe has Leen and is running with an evaporator temperature of ~1250 K for over 19,000 hours (mid-November 1980) at the NASA Lewis Research Center. This heat pipe was part of a project to determine advantages of very hightemperature, hard-vacuum preloading bake-outs on Li and sodium (Na) compatibilities with several superalloys during heat-pipe operation. In prac-tically all such preceding compatibility studies, access to corrosion-accelerating impurities had been assured. Unfortunately the project objective was thwarted at the outset because the supplier was forced to use metal-felt wicks, which are difficult to clean up, rather than the stipu-lated screen. As a result, bake-out achieved only in the order of  $10^{-4}$  torr rather than the specified lower than  $10^{-7}$  torr. Subsequently the other (non-HA188) superalloy, Li heat pipes failed early with destroyed wicks and severe internal wall attacks. Two Haynes 188, Li heat pipes developed leaks in stress cracks caused by welding after ~200 hours. But sectioning and microscopic exami nation revealed no Li effects on wicks or walls (unpublished results from W. B. Kaufman, W. E. Frey and J. F. Morris of NASA Lewis Research Center).

In this vein Ti-alloy, Li heat pipes should also be available soon for long-lived, weighteffective space applications ranging to over 1300 K<sup>37</sup>. Such availability was unexpected for years because some authoritative heat-pipe publications state that the only alkali metal compatible with Ti is Cs. But a preponderance of non-heat-pipe literature indicates that Ti should serve well with any alkali metals as working fluids, including Li<sup>37</sup>. Contract verification of this assertion is underway.

Such additional success in limiting alkalimetal corrosion will enhance TEC as well as MFHP technologies.

#### Protection Against External Hot Corrosive Gases

Advantageous terrestrial utilization of IEC and MFHPs demands operation with direct exposure to fossil-fuel combustion products at high temperatures. And such service requires efficacious protective coatings on heat-receiving surfaces. But subjection to high velocities and mechanical stresses is unnecessary because MFHPs can collect low thermal-power densities and transform them to appropriate, nearly isothermal TEC inputs.

Silicon-carbide (SiC) clads for TEC in topping of power plants (TOPP) arose as a promising solution to this hot-corrosion problem, 14-18, 48-54 during pre-1970 Office of Coal Research contract studies. Reference 2 reports on the thermal-shock stability, thermal-expansion compatibility, molten-slag resistance and not-corrosion protection of SiC-clad TEC. Recent EPRI-supported work on coal-fired recuperators and regenerators further supports SiC as a high-temperature heatreceiving surface.

Now Thermo Electron Corporation (TECO) is testing a series of SiC-clad TEC diodes in fossil-fuel combustion products. One with a 1730 K W emitter passed 3500 hours (early December 1980) and is continuing. Tests after over 5000 hours for another SiC-clad converter with a 1630 K W emitter yielded gratifying results<sup>54</sup>:

"Electron microprope analysis showed no evidence of any reaction between the interfaces of the tungsten, graphite, and silicon carbide. X-ray diffraction patterns of the silicon carbide were compared to those from unfired silicon carbide. The patterns were essentially identical and showed primarily silicon carbide. Knoop microhardness tests indicated there was no change in the hardness during the life test. The hardness at the dome was KHN 2600. The following impurities were found on the dome area of the hot shell: aluminum, magnesium, potassium, and iron. The first three probably originated from the furnace firebrick and the iron from the melted flue pipe. Significantly, no chemical reactions between these elements and the silicon carbide were indicated. Apparently, no change or degradation to the composite shell resulted from the 5000 hours of operation."

TECO also revealed that TEC fabrication based on chemical vapor deposition (CVD) with suitable

SiC cladding is more economical than conventional fabrication for lower-temperature superalloy protection. The laminar W. graphite (C), SiC dome (emitter, thermal-expansion adapter, protective coating) can also be manufactured on reusable mandrels. So directly-fired TEC appears cost-effective as well as feasible.

TECU has also demonstrated adaptability of their methods to produce SiC-clad MFHP envelopes.

## Coping with External and Internal Vaporization

Some lower-timperature terrestrial applications of TEC and MFHPs anticipate external notcorrosion protection by superalloys as previously mentioned. Such materials often serve well considerably hotter than 1400 K in combustion products because of adherent protective-oxide formations (see numerous NASA LeRC publications on superalloys<sup>55</sup>). Therefore it is not illogical to assume that the absence of corrosive attack in the chemically benign hard vacuum of space should allow satisfactory service by these superalloys at even higher temperatures. But of course this assumption fails to eventuate.

As Fig. 7 testifies the most important superalloy constituents (Co, Cr, Fe and Ni) vaporize separately at about a mil per year between ~1150 and ~1250 K. Of course escape rates from alloys differ from those of pure materials because of dilution, association, and diffusion effects. But Fig. 7 enables estimates of high-temperature vaporization into vacuum for non-associated surface components. And a mil per year is significant for lightweight space structures.

Much slower vaporization rates as well as higher melting points, great strengths and much lower densities make Ti alloys excellent candidates for MFHPs in space<sup>37</sup> (Fig. 8). Ti sublimes at only 0.1 mil/year near 1300 K. But such service temperatures for unprotected Ti envelopes on earth would be inconceivable. Here long-term use of unclad Ti generally occurs at temperatures belos d70 K.

For satisfactory sublimation rates at temperatures above 1300 K, alloys of Mo and W or even of Nb and Ta, with proper precautions, can serve well for TEC and MFHPs (Fig. 7) -- bare for space and other vacuum environs and suitably clad for usual terrestrial applications.

As previously described, MFHPs function through evaporation, condensation, wicking cycles for fluid metals: Internal pressures tend to center around one atmosphere, often between 0.1 and 10 atmospheres. But although metal vaporization prevails in MFHPs, wick and envelope materials must be thermally stable to maintain geometries essential to performance.

However vaporization, deposition problems demand special attention in TEC, where high temperatures and surface phenomena dictate performance. Line-of-sight or maze shielding can preclude insulator short-outs. But emitter-vapor deposition can be critical on the collector. Adsorption of only a fraction of an atomic layer, less than 10<sup>-7</sup> cm, of a different material on an electrode can drastically alter its work function and electron reflectivity -- hence its TEC performance (Eqs. (1) to (5)). Thus emitter-vapor deposits on the collector are as important as they are unavoidable<sup>56</sup>:

"The hot, close-up emitter practically covers the several-hundred-degrees-cooler collector. And the emitter vapor pressure is several orders of magnitude higher than that of an emitter-vapor deposit on the collector. So in low-pressure converters the arrival rate of emitter vapor on the collector is several orders of magnitude greater than the departure rate of its accumulated emitter-vapor deposit. This arrival-to-departure ratio approximates the actual emitter vapor pressure divided by its vapor pressure at the collector temperature with that quotient multiplied by the square root of the collector-to-emitter temperature ratio."

Accordingly in TEC, emitter-vapor deposits tend to build up on collectors. Therefore utilizing the material deposited on it by the cmitter as the collector is a simple, general solution for this TEC vaporization, deposition problem. Other answers are possible but exceptional<sup>18</sup>,<sup>21</sup>.

In any event coping with internal and external vaporization in TEC and MFHPs essentially reduces to selection of the proper materials, which are available and viable.

#### Controlling Interfacial Reactions and Diffusion

Aside from the previously discussed workingfluid influences, reaction and diffusion effects are really not problematic in standard MFHPs. Selection, electron-beam welding and hightemperature, hard-vacuum baking of identical wick and envelope materials, which have proven thermophysicochemical stability, practically eliminate such problems to over 1600°C. And external hotcorrosion protection developed for ltC applies at least for small and intermediate heat pipes, which offer the advantage of near-isothermality.

In high-temperature fossil-fuel combustion products, the TECO SiC, C, w dome for TEC showed "no change or degradation ... from 5000 hours of operation" with a 1630 K emitter. In vacuum, a cylindric diode with a ~1973 K W emitter 0.23 mm from a ~1073 K Nb collector generated 8 W/cm<sup>2</sup> at 0.76 V and 14 percent electrode efficiency for over 5 years before a 1973 contract termination stopped it. So interfacial reactions and diffusion appear well under control in standard TEC also.

Introduction of new high-performance electrodes sometimes causes difficulties. For example NASA LeRC proposed a CS dinde with an emitter and a collector of La hexaboride (LaB<sub>b</sub>) in the late 1960's and again during the reactivation of its TEC program in 1974<sup>57</sup>. In 1977 NASA LeRC and USSR technologists both demonstrated highperformance TEC with nonoriented LaB<sub>b</sub> electrodes<sup>53-00</sup>. Controlled deposition of polycrystalline metal-hexaboride films<sup>61</sup>, with preferred or etch-relieved 100 or 210 orientations for LaB<sub>b</sub> <sup>62-67</sup>, promise even better performance in practical LEC configurations (similar to CVD'd 110-W electrodes in cylindric diodes). And gratifyingly the published consensus in 1974 indicated that brazing, diffusion and reactions between LaB<sub>D</sub> and its support were not problems. But today the inability to maintain a 1700 K LaB<sub>D</sub> emitter on a refractory-metal base for over 100 to 200 nours<sup>DB, D9</sup> still frustrates practical applications. However history teaches that such diffusion and reaction problems usually yield to concentrated applied research.

In general the problem of "contact diffusion interaction of materials" causes major difficulties originating at high-temperature interfaces?0.71. Other pertinent examples are the previously mentioned solution effects of alkali metals and oxygen (particularly in niobium and tantalum) as well as the intermingling of fuel with its immediate container in nuclear power generators like the in-core thermionic-converter or heat-pipe configurations.

In the latter area reference 70 presents results obtained by a group of USSR scientists who contributed theoretically and experimentally to the understanding of fuel, clad interactions. In turn reference 71 corrects their simple diffusion equation, then derives more rigorous versions through Laplace transformation of the differential rate expression, "small-system" approximation, and finally complete inversion with subsequent simplification:

$$C(x,t) \approx C(0,0) \left[ 1 + \cos\left(\frac{kx}{D}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{k^2t}{D}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{k^2t}{D}\right)$$
(7)

where C is concentration of A in B varying over a short time L and very small distance x in accordance with a dominating diffusion coefficient D for A in B and a layer-growth constant k.

Diffusion is of course a critical influence as an entity at high-temperature interfaces. But more crucially it generally dictates rates of corrosion and other chemical reactions in practical systems -- after the initial superficial interactions deplete local compositions. To further elucidate the last observation, consider the simplistic but heuristic example of pure-metal oxidation controlled by migration in an ideal solution (after Evans<sup>72</sup>, from Hurlen<sup>73</sup>). For this situation the absolute reacton-rate theory (Eyring, Laidler and Glasstone) yields an expression for one-dimensional net transport of a species (corrected from Ref. 72):

 $v = (\lambda k T/h) \exp(-\Delta G_0^{\ddagger}/RT) Lc = \exp(-\alpha \lambda z F d \phi/RT dx)$ 

$$-(c + \lambda dc/dx)exp((1 - a)\lambda zFdd/RTdx)]$$
 (8)

The pre-bracket factor, specific rate for a unit concentration without fields, involves no net transport. The first term in the bracketed factor represents acceleration by the field. The second term in the brackets covers retardation. Also in (8) v is the net transport rate;  $\lambda$ , the equilibrium distance between migrating charged particles; k, Boltzmann constapt; I, degrees Kelvin; h, Planck constant;  $\Delta G_{\lambda}$ , standard chemical activation energy; R, gas constant; c, concentration of migrating species; e. symmetry factor; z, migratingparticle charge; F. Faraday equivalent; é. inner potential; and x. distance in the transport direction.

Simplifying assumptions and transformations lead to an approximate expression for film thickness y related to an equivalent oxide volume V and to a values across the film:

$$dy/dt = Vv(y), A\phi/y = d\phi/dx,$$

$$\Delta c/y = dc/dx$$
,  $\Delta G = F/\Delta \phi$  and  $e = 1/2$  (9)

$$dy/dt = \sum_{i} + K_{i}c_{i,y}[exp(-\lambda_{i}z_{i}\Delta G/2RTy) - (1 + \lambda_{i}\Delta c_{i}/yc_{i,y})/(xp(\lambda_{i}z_{i}\Delta G/2RTy)]$$
(10)

where t is time and  $K = (V_{\lambda}kT/h)exp(-\Delta G J/RT)$ . From this simplified yet unwieldy equation Evans extracts some of the more common reduced forms used to correlate corrosion data.

For high temperatures and longo film thicknesses the exponential of Eq. (10) submits to series expansion with small-term elimination:

$$dy/dt \approx \left[ \sum_{i} \mp K_{i} \lambda_{i} c_{i,y} (z_{i} \Delta G/RT + \Delta c_{i}/c_{i,y}) \right] / y$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i} \mp K_{i} \lambda_{i} \Delta c_{i} \right) / y \text{ or } y^{2} \approx K_{p} t + \text{const.}$$
(11)

And the classic parabolic corrosion expression results.

In contrast for low temperatures and small film thicknesses a bracketed exponential term in Eq. (10) approaches negligibility:

$$dy/dt \approx \sum_{i} K_{i}c_{i,y} \exp(\mp \lambda_{i}z_{i}\Delta G/2RTy)$$
  
or  $y^{-1} \approx \text{const.} - K_{11} \log t$  (12)

This is the inverse-logarithmic relationship for corrosion.

A cubic version derives from corrosion models invoking assumptions of semiconductor properties for the oxide film. The result is equivalent to assuming corrosion conditions validating  $ap^2$ as an approximation of exp(p) - exp(-p) in Eq. (10):

$$dy/dt \approx a \left[ \sum_{i} K_{i} C_{i,y} (x_{i} z_{i} \Delta G/2RT)^{2} \right] / y^{2}$$
  
or  $y^{3} \approx K_{c} t + const.$  (13)

Rather than semiconduction, catalysis assumed in corrosion modeling can lead to linear time dependency. And all these variations evolve from an admittedly simplistic, even unattainable system of a pure metal limited in corrosion by transport through an ideal solution. Complications of alloys, nonideal multicomponent solutions, steep temperature gradients, inhomogenieties and myriad other realities are normal effects in actual interfacial diffusion and reactions. But this somewhat superficial description begins to indicate the problems and underscores the importance of life testing.

The preceding amplification began with a comment on new high-performance TEC electrodes like LaB<sub>6</sub>. Gratifyingly, unoriented and CVD'd 110-N electrodes with negligible interelectrode losses can provide optimal TEC for applications requiring "1000- to "1100 K collectors<sup>18</sup>. Furthermore high performance W and Mo electrodes with stable or steady-state supplies of enhancing 0 are in the offing". And for such TEC materials "inter-facial reactions and diffusion appear well under control."

÷.

# Meeting Other Thermophysical Challenges: Expansion Matches, Creep...

One of the first considerations in anticipation of a laminar composite, particularly of unforgiving refractory materials like tungsten and silicon carbide, is the match of thermal-expansion coefficients. An excellent example of such an evaluation from the late 1960's appears in Ref. 2: Fig. 9(a) comprises prepublished data<sup>7</sup>; Fig. 9(b), data obtained during the published study<sup>76</sup>. Separately the sets of results reveal near-matches for W and SiC thermal expansions. Together they predict practical coincidence.

The significance of this comparison was impressive in the late 1960's, even as it is today 77:

"Six molybdenum tupe samples, coated with various unteknesses of thick grain CVD silicon carbide have been received from Chemetal and subjected to a series of thermal shock tests, both in a vacuum furnace and in a natural gas flame. The objective was the evaluation of the coating adhesion. Temperature cycling in the vacuum furnace covered the range from approximately 400 to 1500 K. The samples were inspected after one, three, and six temperature cycles. Following these tests, the surviving samples were subjected to natural gas flame heating and ambient air cooling for a total of ap-proximately 40 cycles. The conclusion reached in these preliminary tests is that when a thin intermediate layer of tungsten is used, the molybdenum substrate-CVD silicon carbide coating will withstand the thermal stresses over the temperature range of interest. No evidence of layer separation was disclosed in metallographic examination of tube samples."

The contribution of this thermal-expansion-match observation is critically important to MFHPs for terrestrial use as well as to TEL.

Incidentally, a reference-2 silicon carbide sample "temperature cycled over 7300 times" in hydrocarbon-combustion products "to about 2800" F in about one minute," followed by "a two-minute cool-off to about 700° F. Coal ash was deposited on the surface of the test sample during the cooldown portion of the test cycle ... The only visible effect on the silicon carbide was an erosion of about 0.02 inch where the "pressurized flame impinged on the sample. "It was apprent that the temperature of this point was considerably higher than the measured tempe, ature of the test sample ..., As before, solidified coal ash was evident on the tube surface, but sectioning and metallographic examination ... showed no coal ash penetration of the silicon carbide. The solidified coal ash observed on the test sample was a result of the final cool-down. During the temperature cycling, good run-off of the coal ash was observed at the high temperatures, leading to the conclusion that the final air heater would indeed be self-cleaning."

Subsequent references on SiC service in fossil-fuel combustion products support and augment reference-2 findings 42-44,77. For example, TECO recently heated its SiC, C, W dome at 1875 K for over 70 hours, sprayed water on it at 1875 K 10 times (1000 K between the water-cooled spot and the rest of the dome), poured liquid nitrogen on it at 1875 K 10 times, then cycled it from 1875 K to <900 K over 150 times, then from 2025 K to <900 K over 100 times taking about one minute for each cycle -- all with no ill effects to the SiC, C, W dome.

Interestingly, TECO uses C to more carefully adapt silicon-carbide thermal-expansion to that of W. And Chemetal? utilizes W for thermalexpansion adaptation of SiC to Mo. The latter lamination has yet to undergo long-term hightemperature exposure to tossil-fuel combustion products, successfully experienced by the former. But results of both approaches are gratifying.

In addition to the thermal-expansion effects, refractory-material strength and creep at high temperatures are of course important in TEL and MFNP applications. In this vein, just subsequent to mentioning SiC and C, two referential observations are pertinent: First "it is 'iteresting to note that sintered SiC exhib is an increase in strength with an increase ill test temperature up to about 2800° F"...<sup>78</sup>. And mecond "graphite possesses high thermal conductivity, a low modulus of elasticity, a low coefficient of thermal-expansion, and relatively satisfactory strength increasing with increase in temperature to 2700° C"<sup>79</sup>. Conceivably such protective clads and thermal-expansion adapters might also serve as structural members at high operating temperatures.

High temperature structural members are subject to the thermal creep80-82. This phenomenon is the time-dependent plastic deformation of a material under sustained loading at temperatures above about half its melting point value. Like many other thermophysical effects, creep is complex, even in pure polycrystalline metals. Here in general high-temperature creep resistance relates to high levels for the melting point, elastic modulus, stability of fine grain size, crystal-structure constant for self-diffusion, and valence state. Departing from pure metals introduces considerations of strengthening by solution, precipitation, dispersion and composite effects. In practical applications, permutations of complicating influences are myriad. For example, reference 47 states that "the maximum O level in Na necessary to avoid embrittlement of Nb at 700° C has been estimated to be less than 10 ppm."

The preceding scare tactics are really intended only to indicate that published creep values for a given material can vary considerably with little or no apparent reason. But such information is particularly important for MFHPs and TEC in systems with ~1600 K emitters. And for these applications, satisfactory materials are few as the creep-strength curves of Fig. 10 illustrate<sup>03</sup>.

In any event high-tempurature TEC and MFHPs based on the creep resistance of W and W alloys have demonstrated in vacuum capabilities for many years of service. Ta, Nb and Mo alloys afford effective creep resistance for selected applications also. Figure 10 shows such alloys: T-111 (Ta, BW, 2Hf); ASTAR-B11C (Ta, BW, 1Re, 0.7Hf, 0.35C); Nb, 12r; FS-85 (Nb, 2BTa, 10W, 12r); T2C (Mo, 1.7Ti, 0.252r, 0.15C); and TZM (Mo, 0.5Ti, 0.082r, 0.03C).

Weight-effectiveness in space and costeffectiveness in general drive toward minimal wall thicknesses alluded to in Fig. 8. For such conditions the previously mentioned "stability of fine grain size" is very important. This state not only maintains creep resistance, but also avoids recrystallization grain dimensions and intergranular paths approaching containment-wall thicknesses. The latter occurrence promotes fluid leaks as well as strength discontinuities.

Specially selected additives can increase creep resistance, retard recrystallization and control solid-phase transitions often accompanied by abrupt changes in properties like thermal expansion. Referring again to titanium may exemplify the last observation<sup>37</sup>:

"Thermophysically, Ti undergoes a solidphase alteration at about 1160 K. Here rising temperatures change the closely packed-hexagonal "alpha" structure to the body-centered-cubic "beta" configuration. However this transformation, like the a-to-y transition for iron at 1180 K, causes no great difficulties<sup>84</sup>. The Ti a-to-B phase-change temperature rises with Al additions and falls (even below room temperatures) with inclusions of Mo, Fe, Cr or V. Commercially available pure (99.6 percent) Ti and Ti, 5A1, 2.5Sn are alpha alloys. Ti, 8Mo, 8V, 2Fe, 3A1 is a beta alloy, and the most widely used Ti, 6A1, 4V is an "alpha-beta" alloy.

Like Ti, refractory metals 2r and Hf, also in periodic group IVA, undergo solid-phase transitions<sup>43-45,84</sup>. In contrast group VA Nb and Ta as well as group VIA Mo and W exhibit no solidphase changes.

The considerations raised in this section represent some obvious difficulties that have been overcome on the path to successful applications of high-temperature TEC and MEHPs. Many other lessimpressive thermophysical challenges have arisen, then fallen under the pressure of applied research.

#### High-Temperature TEC and MFHP's in Brief

In addition to the detailed similarities of TEC and MFHPs emphasized in the introductory sections, a generalized parallel can be drawn: The two operating cycles appear as invitingly simple and isolated as their material problems seem forebodingly difficult and complected. The first observation is deceptive; the second, candid. Both areas required intense study and experimentation, which resulted in recognition of their singular relationship. "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance."

Simplified equations verify material properties and interactions as primary influences on the operational effectiveness of both TEC and MFHPs. And being essentially evaporation, condensation cycles, TEC and MFHPs experience flow limitations in thermal emission and vaporization because of temperature restrictions redounding from thermophysicochemical-stability considerations. Thus attaining practical lifetimes generally implies limiting performances in exchange.

But as previous discussions reveal, major high-temperature material problems of TEL and MFHP have been solved. The solutions are workable and economical and lead directly to applications that are productive and cost-effective. In fact current performance and cost levels imply improved outputs, efficiencies, and economies for TEC topping of combustors, central-station puwer plants and other advanced conversion systems heated by high-temperature energy sources.

And anticipated technological gains point to even greater improvements for future TEC and MEHP applications by more fully utilizing high power densities from high-temperature material interactions.

#### References

1. "Future Orbital Power Systems Technology Requirements," NASA CP-2058, Sept. 1978.

2. Cassano, A. J., and Bedell, J. R., "Thermionic lopping converter for a Coal-Fired Power Plant," consolidated controls corp., CCC-60-6445-17, Bethel, Conn., 1970.

3. Huftman, F. N., Speidel, T. O. P., and Davis, J. P., "Topping Cycle Applications of Themmionic conversion," <u>Record of the Tenth Inter-</u> society Energy Conversion Engineering Conference TEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 490-502.

A. Britt, E. J., Fitzpatrick, G. O., and Rasor, N. S., "Thermionic Topping of Electric Power Plants." <u>Record of the Tenth Intersociety</u> <u>Energy Conversion Conference</u>, IEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 503-512.
S. Merrill, Owen S., and Cuttica, John J.,

5. Merrill, Owen S., and Cuttica, John J., "ERDA's Bilentennial Thermionic Research and lechnology Program," <u>Eleventh Intersociety Energy Con-</u> version Engineering Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 1976, pp. 1635–1644.

6. Britt, E. J., and Fitzpatrick, G. O., "Thermionic Topping for Central Station Power Plants," <u>Eleventh Intersoci ty Energy Conversion</u> Engineering Conference, Proceedings, Vol. C, American Institute of Chemical Engineering, New York, 1976, pp. 1040-1045. 7. Miskolczy, G., and Speidel, T. O. P., "Inermionic Topping of a Steam Power Plant," <u>Eleventh Intersociety Energy Cunversion Engineer-</u> ing Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 1976, pp. 1050-1055.

B. Britt, E. J., and Fitzpatrick, G. O., "Increased Central Station Power Plant Efficiency with a Thermionic Topping System," <u>Proceedings of</u> the 12th Intersociety Energy Conversion Conference, Vol. 2, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, 111, 1977, pp. 1602-1609.

9. Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Evaluation of MHD-Thermionic-Steam Cycles," <u>Proceedings of the 12th Intersociety Energy Conversion</u> <u>Conference</u>, Vol. 2, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, 111., 1977, pp. 1010-1016.

10. Fitzpatrick, G. U., and Britt, E. J., "Thermionic Power Plant Design Point Selection: The Economic Impact," <u>Prochedings of the 13th</u> <u>Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Con-</u> <u>Ference</u>, Vol. 3, Society of Automotive Engineers, Warrendale, Pa., 1978, pp. 1887-1892.

11. Carnasciali, G., Fitzpatrick, G. O., and Britt, E. J., "Performance and Cost Evaluation for a Thermionic Topping Power Plant," ASME Paper 77-WA/ENER-7, Nov. 19/7. 12. Huffman, F. N., and Miskolczy, G., With States Paper Plant, "Astronomy Plant," Astronomy Plant, "Astronomy Plant, "Astronomy Plant," Astronomy Plant, "Astronomy Plant, "Astronomy, "Astronomy,

12. Huffman, F. N., and Miskolczy, G., "Thermionic Energy Conversion Topping System," ASME Paper 77-WA/ENER-b. Nov. 1977.

ASME Paper 77-WA/ENER-6, Nov. 1977. 13. Morris, J. F., "comments on TEC Trends," <u>Conference Record-Abstracts, International Con-</u> <u>ference on Flasma Science, Institute of Electrical</u> and Electronics Engineers, Montreal, <u>Canada</u>, <u>June 4-6</u>, 1979, ICLE, New York, 1979, <u>Abstract</u> 6010, p. 166. Also NASA IM-79317, 1979.

14. Morris, J. F., "Potentialities of TEC Topping: A Simplified View of Parametric Effects," <u>TEEE conference Record-Abstracts, Interna-</u> tional <u>Conference on Plasma Science</u>, <u>University of</u> <u>Wisconsin, Madison, Hay 19-21, 1980, TEEE,</u> New York, 1980, Abstract TE8, p. 16. Also NASA TM-81408, 1980.

15. Merrill, O. S., "The Changing Emphasis of the DOE Thermionic Program," <u>IEEE Conference</u> <u>Record-Abstracts</u>, 1980 <u>IEEE Incernational Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, <u>Madison, May 19-21, 1980</u>, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 14.</u>

Io. Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Terrestrial Applications Using a Thermionic Array Module (TAM) Combustor," <u>IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 15-16.
17. Dick, R. S., Britt, E. J., and
FitzLatrick, G. O., Electric Utility and Cogeneration Systems Applications of Thermionic Energy Conversion," <u>IEEE Conference on Plasma Science</u>, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 15-16.
17. Dick, R. S., Britt, E. J., and
FitzLatrick, G. O., Electric Utility and Cogeneration Systems Applications of Thermionic Energy Conversion," <u>IEEE Conference on Plasma Science</u>, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 16.
18. Morris, J. F., "Uptimal Thermionic Energy Conversion with Established Electrodes for High</u>

Temperature Topping and Process Heating," DOE/NASA/1062-6, NASA TM-81555, July 1980.

19. Miskolczy, G., Wang, C. C., Margulies, A. L., and Fussgni, L. J., "Thermionic Topping of Combined Cycle Powerplants and Cogeneration Applications," <u>Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy</u> <u>Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Wash.</u>, <u>Aug. 1980</u>, Vol. 3, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 1783-1787. 20. Dick, R. S., Jr., Bunda, B. M., and Starr, J. N., "Design Study of a Coal-Fired Therm.onic (THX) - Topped Power Plant," <u>Energy to</u> the 21st Century. Proceedings of the 15ch Inter-society Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Washington, Aug. 1920, Vol. J. IEEE, No. Nov. 1000 pp. 1376 1376 New York, 1980, pp. 1775-1782. 21. Morris, J. F., "The NASA Thermionic-Conversion (TEC-AHT) Program," <u>IEEE Transactions</u> on Plasma Science, Vol. PS-6, No. 2, June 1978, pp. 180-190. 22. "NASA Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology Summer Workshop," NASA TM X-73960, Aug. 1975. 23. Sadin, S. R., "OAST Space Theme Workshop 1976," NASA TH X-3486, Apr. 1976. 24. "A Forecast of Space Technology, 1980-2000," NASA SP-387, Jan. 1976. 25. "Conference on Advanced Technology for Future Space Systems, Hampton, Va.. May 8-10, 1979, Technical Papers," American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, New York, 1979. 26. Morris, James F., "High-Temperature, High-Power-Density Thermionic Energy Conversion for Space," NASA TM X-73844, 1977. 27. Morris, James F., "Optimize Out-of-Core Thermionic Energy Conversion for Nuclear Electric Propulsion," IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, Monterey, California, May 15-18, 1978, IEEE, New York, 1978, Abstract IC6. Also NASA TN-73892, 1978. 28. Kuznetjov, V. A., "The State and Dirertions of Thermionic Converters Research in USSR," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Stateline, Nevada, September 12th to 17th, 1976. 29. Hatsopoulos, G. N., and Huffman, F. N. "The Growth of Thermionic Energy Conversion," in Energy 10: Annual Intersociety Energy Conversion and Engineering Conference, IEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 342-350 (see Fig. 7). 20. Hatsopoulos, G. N., and Gyftopoulos, E. P., "Thermionic Energy Conversion, Volume 1: Processes and Devices, M17 Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1973. 31. Huffman, F. N., Sommer, A. H., Balestra, C. L., Briere, D. P., and Oettinger, P. E., "High Efficiency Thermionic Converter Studies," Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, Mass., TE 4202-12-77, Nov. 1976. (NASA CR-135125, 1976.) 32. Delil, A. A. M., "Theory and Design of Conventional Heat Pipes for Space Applications," NLR TR-77001-U, National Aerospace Laboratory, The Netherlands, May 1977. 33. Chi, S. W., <u>Heat Pipe Theory and Practice</u>. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976. 34. Dunn, P., and Reay, D. A., Heat Pipes, Pergamon Press, New York, 1976. 35. Rouklove, P., "Metallurgical Study of Thermionic Converters," <u>IEEE Transactions on Elec-</u> tron Devices, Vol. ED-16, No. 8, Aug. 1969, pp. 672-678. 36. Quataert, D., Busse, C. A., and Geiger, F., "Long Time Behavior of High Temperature Tungsten-Rhenium Heat Pipes with Lithium or Silver as Working Fluid," International Heat Pipe

Conference 1973, Preprints, October 15 to 17,

1973, Stuttgart, Federal Republic of Germany,

1973, Paper 4-4.

Institut for Kernenergetik, Universitat Stuttgart,

43. Hansen, M., Constitution of Binary Alloys, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958. 44. Elliott, R. P., Constitution of Binary Alloys, First Supplement, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965. 45. Shunk, F. A., Constitution of Binary Alloys, Second Supplement, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959. 46. Auffatt, W. G., Binary Phase Diagrams Handbook, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y., 1978. 47 Mausteller, J. W., Tepper, F., and Rodgers, S. J., Alkali Motal Hundling and Systems Operating Techniques, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967. 48. "DOE/JPL Advanced Thermionic Technology Program," Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, Mass., Progress Report No.'s 33 and Higher, 19/8-1980. 49. "Development and Evaluation of Tubular SiC Recuperators," <u>Heat Exchanger Technology Program</u> <u>Newsletter</u>, Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy Technology, May 1976, pp. 9-10. 50. Freche, John C., and Ault, G. Mervin, "Progress in Advanced High Temperature Turt" e Materials, Coatings, and Technology," High iumperature Problems in Gas Turbine Engines, AGARD-CP-229, 1978, pp. 3-1 to 3-31. 51. "Coal-Fired Prototype High-Temperature Continuous-Flow Heat Exchanger, AF-684 Research Proj. 545-1," Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, Calif., EPRI-AT-684, Feb. 1978. 52. Tennery, V. J., and Wei, G. C., "Recupera-tor Materials lechnology Assessment," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-6227, Feb. 1978. 53. Goodale, D. B., Lieb, D., Reagan, P., Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Characteristics of Flame-Heated Chemical Vapor deposited Thermionic Combustors," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Misconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 17. 54. Goodale, D. B., Reagen, P., Miskolczy, G., Lie, D., and Huffman, F. N., "Combustion Performance of CVD Silicon Carbide Thermionic Diodes, Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Washington, Aug. 1980, Vol. 3, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 2095-2097.

37. Horris, J. F., "Titanium-Alloy, Metallic-Fluid Mest Pipes for Space Service," NASA

39. Huseby, J. E., and Klug, F. J., "Chemical

Solidifying Ni-Base Eutectic Alloys," <u>American</u> <u>Ceramic Society Bulletin</u>, Vol. 58, No. 5, 527 and 528, 1979.

40. Perry, P., H., Chilton, C. H., and Kirkpatrick, S. U., eds., Chemical Engineers Hand-book, 4th ed., Juduraw-Hill, New York, 1903.

41. Hattere . A., "Obtentiondes Mataux Alcalins Purs," The <u>Alkali Me.als</u>, <u>Symposium at</u> <u>Nottingham, England, July 19 to 22, 1956</u>, The Chemical Society, London, 1957, pp. 317-369.

42. Jaffee, R. I., and Maykuth, D. J., "Refractory Materials," Battelle Memorial

Columbus, 0., DMIC Memo-44, Feb. 26, 1960.

Institute Defense Metals Information Center,

38. Čook, R. H., and Skelton, R. P., "Environment-Dependence of the Mechanical Properties of Metals at High Temperatures," Review 187, Internations! Metallurgical Reviews, Vol. 19,

Compatability of Geramics for Directionally

TM-79132, Mar. 1979.

1974, pp. 199-222.

(PB-161194.)

55. Weiss, V. and Sessier, J. G., eds., Aerospace Structural Matals Handbook, Vol. 11A, Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, N.Y., 1971

56. Morris, J. F., and Lundholm, J. G., "NASA Thermionic-Conversion Program," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference State Line, Nevada, September 12th 17th, 1976, Proceedings, Vol. 11, AVCHE, New York, 1976, pp. 1652-1655. Also NASA TM X-73430, 1976.

57. Morris, J. F., "High-Efficiency, Low-Temperature Cesium Diodes with Lanthanum-Hexaboride Electrodes," NASA TM X-71549, 1974. See also IEEE 1974 International Conference on Plasma Science, Conference Record-Abstracts, IEEE, New York, 1974, Session 1A4, pp. 7-8. 58. Kroeger, E. W., Bair, V. L., and Morris, J. F., "Diminiode Thermionic Energy Con-

version with Lanthanum-Hexaboride Electrodes, NASA TM-78887, 1978. Paper 108 presented at IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, Fonterey, California, May 15-18, 1978.

59. Morris, J. F., "NASA, ERDA Thermionic-Conversion Tour of Europe and the USSR, 6/14 to 7/5/77, World Electrotechnical Conference, Moscow, 6/21 to 6/25/77 (a Chronological Trip Report),

NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH, 1977. 60. Menabde, N. E., Tsknakaya, V. K., and Yarygin, V. I., "Low-Temperature Thermionic Converter with a Lanthanum Hexaboride Collector, Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, Vol. 48, pp. 770-772, Apr. 1978. (Soviet Physics-Technical Physics, vol. 23, Apr. 1978, pp. 454-455.) 61. Huggens, R. A., Elwell, D.,

Fergelson, R. S., and De Mattel, R. C., "(Long Range Materials Research) Development of Elevated-Temperature Electrocrystalization Techniques, Sanford Uaniversity, Calif., CMR-78-4, Feb. 1978.

62. Swanson, L. W., Dickinson, J. T., and McNeely, D. R., "Fabrication and Characterization of Composite Refractory Compounds Suitable for Thermionic Converters," NASA CR-2668, Mar. 1978. 63. Swanson, L. W., "Single Crystal Work Func-

tion and Evaporation Measurements of LaB6 and Ce86," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, the 1976 International Conference on Plasma Science, Austin, Texas, May 24-26, 1976, IEEE, New York, 1976, p. 142.

64. Davis, P. R., Chambers S. A., and Swanson, L. W., "Adsorption of Cesium on Lanthanum Hexaboride Surfaces," IEEE Conference Recurd-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on

May 19-21, 1980, IEEE International conversion of Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 107. 65. Oshima, C., Aono, M., Tunaka, T., Nishitani, R., and Kawai, S., "Low work Function and Surface Structure of the LaB<sub>b</sub>(210) Surface Studied by Angle Percland K-Ray Spectroscopy Studied by Angle-Resolved X-Ray Spectroscopy. Ultraviolet Spectroscopy and Low-Energy Electron Diffraction," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 51, No. 2, Feb. 1980, pp. 997-1000.

66. Oshima, C., Aono, M., Tanaka, T., Kawai, S., Shimizu, R., and Hagiwara, H., "Thermionic Emission from Single-Crystal LaB6 Tips with [100], [110], [111], and [210] Orientations," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 51,
No. 2, Feb. 1980, pp. 1201-1200.
67. Davis, P. R., Chambers, S. A., and
Swanson, L. W., "The Adsorption of Cesium on

Lanthanum-Hexaboride Surface," Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety

Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Hesh., Aug. 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 2327-2330

68. "DOE/JPL Advanced Thermionic Technology Program, Progress Report No. 40," Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, Mass., TE4237/4247-83-80, July-Aug.-Sept. 1979, p. 64. 69. Storms, E. K., "An Approach to Producing a

Successful Bond Between LaB<sub>6</sub> and Ta," <u>IEEE Con</u>-ference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 107.

70. Babar-Zakhryapina, A. A., "Characteristics of Diffusion Processer on Condensates, Formed on a Hot Cladding," Redstone Scientific Information Center, Alabama, Translation, RSIC-676, May 1967, pp. 1-11. (NASA TM X-60158.)

71. Morris, J. F., "Comments on 'Contact Diffusion Interaction of Materials with Cladding'," NASA TM X-2423, Jan. 1972.

72. Evans, U. R., The Corrosion and Oxidation of Metals, Second Supplementary Volume,

Edward Arnold Publishers, London, 1976. 73. Hurlen, T., "Oxidation of Matals. The

General Oxidation Equation," <u>Acts Chemica</u> <u>Scandinavica</u>, Vol. 13, 1919, pp. 695-704. 74. DOE TEC Program (Executive Summary), IEEE

Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE Interna-tional Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, Sessions IE, 2E, 3F, and 4E, IEEE, New York, 1980. 75. Goldsmith, A., Watennan, T. E., and Hiscohorn H. J. Handbook of Thermonety (cal

Hirschhorn, H. J., Handbook of Thermophysical Properties of Solid Materials, Macmillan, New York, 1961, Vol. 1, pp. 423, 424; Vol. 3, pp. 685-686.

76. Dynatech Report to Consolidated Controls Corporation: The Linear Coefficients of Expansion of 10 Materials, CCS-1 (unpublished data), 1969 (Ref. 2).

77. Merrigan, M. A., Keddy, E. S., Dunwoody, W. E., and Lundberg, L., "Ceramic Heat Pipe Technology," in Reactor Technology, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, New Mexico, LA-8403-PR, June 1980.

78. Stephens, J. R., "Materials Technology Assessment for Stirling Engines," Highway Vehicle Systems, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., CÖNF-771037, Mar. 1978, p. 273.

79. Samsonov, G. V., and Epik, A. P., Coatings of High-Temperature Materials, Part 1, p. 87, Plenum Press, New York, 1966.

80. Tien, J. K., and Ansell, G. S., eds., Alloy and Microstructural Design, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

81. Sherby, O. D., and Burke, P. M., "Mechanical Behavior of Crystalline Solids at Elevated Temperature," <u>Progress in Material Science</u>, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1968.

82. Sherby, O. D., "Factors Affecting the High Temperature Strength of Polycrystalline Solids.

Acta Metallurgica, Vol. 'D, Feb. 1962, pp. 135-147. 83. Gluyas, R. E., and Watson, G. K., "Mate-

rials Technology for an Advanced Space Power Nuclear Reactor Concept: Program Summary," NASA TN D-7309, Mar. 1975.

84. Touloukian, Y. S., ed., <u>ihermophysical</u> Properties of High Temperature Solid Materials. MacMillan, New York, 1967.

TABLE I. - SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOM METALLIC-PLUID HEAT PIPES.

-	41				-		-						1
	TEPERATURE	To > 2000	To - 1850	To > 1850	To - 1675	To - 1400	To - 1300	To ~ 1500		To > 1800	To - 1600	- 1300	
	HEAT-PIPE SERVICE	Isothermalize receivers and	processors Isothermalize receivers and transport thermal powet (TTP)	Cool reactors, flatten temperature profiles. TTP, transform TP	denoities (TTPD). if required		-	Cool and 1sothermalize radioisotopes.		and the southermalize	TTP, heat, isothermalize	-	-
	SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARY PUNCTION	NERG, SOURCES Solar Concentrators	Materials processing (MP) (furnaces, ovens) Power generation (PG)(thermionic TEC, TEC topping TEC-T, high-temperature	thermoelectric H-T-TE, current 15, H-T Brayton H-T-B, current B) Muclear Reactors	Ultimate space reacto: (-TMe's) (TEC, TEC-T-B)	JPL out-of-core TEC system (-0.5 Mme) (TEC, TEC-T-B, H-T-TE, H-T-B) (TEC, TEC-T-B, H-T-TE, H-T-B)	Midpower-range (MFR) reactific (19 to 500 mg (TEC, TE, H-T-B, B)	Minireactor (1 to 10 s me) (TE, B) Radioisotopes	(To Mde's) (TEC, H-I-IE, IE)	THERMAL-TO-ELECTPIC CONVERTERS	TEC emitters TE shoes	New materials Ourrent materials	Best possibility Ready availability

TABLE I. - Continued. SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOR METALLIC-FLUID HEAT PIPES.

,

TEPERATURE, K	To - 1400 To - 1150 To - 1150 400 to -1100 To - 950 To - 850 -350 to -500 -950	-350 to -430 >500 To > 920 To > 1270 -300 to -430 To > 420 To > 720	to > 450 to > 450 to > 450
HEAT-PIPE SERVICE	TTP, Act as H-T-HE (Isothermalize, Cool, TTP Act as HEC, TTP	Cool, isothermalize, TTP Heat; cool, isothermalize, TTP Cool, isothermalize, TTP	
SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARY FUNCTION	B H-T heat exchanger ':-T-HE) New materials Current materials Current materials Potassium Rankine Thermal-Power Rejection TEC collectors (depending on system power) TEC collectors (depending on system power) New materials Current materials Current materials New materials New materials Current materials Current materials Current materials Current materials Current materials Current materials	ELECTROCHEMICAL CELLS Fuel Cells (FC) Noble-menal catalyst Apollo (Bacon) cell (high rejt. temp. HKT) Molten carbonate (high reje.t. temp. HKT) Noten carbonate (high reject. temp. HKT) Solid oxide (high reject. temp. HKT) Altali-metal, organic-electrolyte (HKT) Altali-metal, solid-electrolyte (HKT)	PHOTOVOLTAIC CELLS Concentrated radiation (raise low efficiencies) Thermally reformed radiation (raise low eff.) High-tempe.ature environments

.

TABLE 1. - Concluded. SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOR METALLY FLUID HEAT PIPES.

SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARE FUNCTION	TAT WE STATE THE	
TER AFROSPACE APPLICATIONS		To > 1100
Space radiators		To > 1850
ieat exchangers, recuperators, regenerators		To > 1300
Thermal capacitors		
figh-temperature structures (Ollendorf patent)		To ~ 350
figh-power-density, high-voltage		
Electrical processing and electronics		TE's to > 2000
High-power density suitching with		TC's to > 1000
plasma devices		,
Heat-pipe-cooled magnetics		
Leading-edge cooling for re-entry vehicles and		To > 1100
hypersonic aircraft		To > 2000
ritium production (n + 16 + T + Re <sup>4</sup> + 4.6 MeV and		
$n + Li7 + He^4 + T + n - 2.47$ MeV) and recovery with		
Li heat pipes in blankets of thermonuclear reactors		> 1400



.

•







But a suitable cylindric screen changes an ineffective capsule into a heat-pipe for effective, economical life testing. Figure 4. - Heat-pipe materials compatibility: life testing.



Fig. 5 Free-energy data for oxide formation (after Richardson and Jeffes, 1948).

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \; kcal = 4 \; 1868 \; kJ \; ; \; 2 \; 303 \; R = 19 \; 1546 \; J/mol \; degC \; ; \\ 1 \; atm \; = \; 101 \; 325 \; kN \; m^2 \; ; \; 1 \; V \; cauly \; = \; 96 \; 606 \; kJ \; . \end{array}$ 

(Refs. 38 to 41.) (Courtesy of American Society for Metals.)

ORIGENAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



.

Figure 6. - Stability relationships of refractory oxides. Solid lines represent constant standard free energy of formation from the elements. The darkest area is the region of greatest stability (ref. 42).







Figure 8. - Relative weight parameters.







Figure 10. - Creep strength of some refractory metals and alloys for 1 percent creep in 10 000 hours.