# **General Disclaimer**

# One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

First Quarterly Technical Narrative Report August 25 Through November 30, 1980

# CAST Fe-BASE CYLINDER/REGENERAT HOUSING ALLOY

80-17602

December 10, 1980

(NASA-CR-169474) CASI Fe-EASE CYLINDEE/REGENEEATOF HOUSING ALLCY Quarterly Technical Narrative Fercit, 21 Aug. - 30 Nov. 1980 (Aikesearch Casting Co.) Unclas 39 p HC A03/MF A01 CSCL 11F G3/20 00852

> Prepared by: F. Larson L. Kindlimann

Prepared for NASA - Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 41135

Contract No. DEN 3-234



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA First Quarterly Technical Narrative Report August 25 Through November 30, 1980

# CAST Fe-BASE CYLINDER/REGENERATOR HOUSING ALLOY

80-17602

December 10, 1980

Prepared by:

F. Larson L. Kindlimann

**Prepared** for

NASA - Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

Contract No. DEN 3-234



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

Û.

# CONTENT\$

Section		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	TECHNICAL PROGRESS SUMMARY	2-1
	<ul> <li>2.1 Task 1a, Selection of Alloy Approach</li> <li>2.1.1 Literature Review</li> <li>2.1.2 Alloy Design Philosophy</li> <li>2.1.3 General Effects of Alloying Elements</li> <li>2.1.4 Review of Candidate Systems</li> <li>2.1.5 Oxidation and Hot Corrosion Resistance</li> <li>2.1.6 Hydrogen Embrittlement</li> <li>2.2 Preliminary Screening Study</li> <li>2.2.1 Selection of Candidate Systems</li> <li>2.2.2 Experimental Procedure</li> <li>2.3 Results and Discussion</li> <li>2.3 Task IV, Reporting</li> </ul>	2-1 2-1 2-3 2-5 2-5 2-7 2-7 2-7 2-7 2-12 2-18 2-30
3.	CURRENT PROBLEMS	3-1
4.	WORK PLANNED	4-1
5.	REFERENCES	5-1

1



ĥ

なないたら

in the

Carrier )

80-17602 Page 111

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this program is the development of an iron-base alloy that can meet the requirements of automotive Stirling engine cylinders and regenerator housings. Specific goals are:

Cast alloy

Stress for 5000-hr rupture life of 200 MPa (29 ksi) at 775°C (1427°F)

Oxidation/corrosion resistance comparable to that of N-155

Compatibility with hydrogen

Alloy cost less than or equal to that of 19-9DL

To meet program requirements, the following tasks were established:

Task I, Selection of Alloy Approach, Preliminary Screening Study, Evaluation of Ten Alloys (Selection of Three Alloys).

Task II, Casting Optimization (Selection of One Alloy)

Task III, Establishment of Preliminary Data Base

Task IV, Reporting



1

Υ.

٧,

### 2. TECHNICAL PROGRESS SUMMARY

In the initial three-month period of the program, the alloy approach has been selected and work has proceeded on the preliminary screening study.

2.1 TASK Ia, SELECTION OF ALLOY APPROACH

### 2.1.1 Literature Review

In this section, the results of the historical search are presented. The search was keyed primarily to the effects of alloying elements on high temperature strength of iron-based materials, with secondary emphasis on high temperature environmental resistance, i.e., oxidation/hot corrosion, and hydrogen embrittlement. Computer searches were conducted by Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), NASA, and Savage Information Services in Rancho Palos Verdes, California (Covering Metadex, Chemical Abstracts, Scisearch, Weldasearch, Compendex, and NTIS). A total of 1035 citations were generated, many with abstracts, from which approximately forty were selected for complete review. In addition, personal discussions were conducted with Dr. David Sponseller of Climax Molybdenum Co., Dr. George Aggen of Allegheny Ludlum Steel Co., and Mr. Fred Hagen of Chrysler Corporation.

Since the primary goal is rupture strength (5000-hr life at 775°C under a 200 MPa stress), this review will concentrate on alloying for strength, and will touch just briefly on environmental resistance. Additional information on oxidation, hot corrosion, and hydrogen enbrittlement will be presented in subsequent quarterly reports as these areas are investigated and test results are discussed. In particular, this document will concentrate on developing the basis for selection of the starting chemistries of materials for the preliminary stress rupture screening study.

### 2.1.2 Alloy Design Philosophy

There are literally reams of literature on the philosophy and techniques of designing metal alloys for high-temperature service. One can, however, readily reduce these to a few basic concepts: solid-solution strengthening, precipitation strengthening, composites (dispersion-strengthened, fiberreinforced, etc.) and combinations of any of these techniques. For a lowcost application requiring casting, composites are virtually ruled out as being either not technically feasible or too complex to fit within cost guidelines. This points to the combination of solid solution and precipitation strengthening as the only viable approach.



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California Historically, the development of solid-solution-strengthened alloys for elevated temperature service under high stresses has progressed from relatively simple materials containing chromium, nickel, and iron, e.g., Type 310 stainless steel, through the more complex materials such as Vitallium, N-155, S-816 etc., to the current relatively simple systems exemplified by materials such as Hastelloy X, Inconel alloys 617 and 625, and Haynes 188. Many of the more complex intermediate alloys contain substantial carbon for additonal strengthening by carbide precipitation. Included in this group is the 19Cr-9N'i series (DL, DX, etc.) based on Type 304 stainless, and many of the casting alloys. The use of high carbon in high-temperature casting alloys is still very much in practice today, as evidenced by materials such as FK (cast 310 stainless) and X-40 (FS-31).

As temperature and strength requirements increased, the development of precipitation-hardenable alloys based on gamma-prime Ni3(Al,Ti) evolved from the simple upgraded stainless steel, A-286, to the complex cast alloys like IN 738 and IN 792. To drive the precipitation reaction effectively, it is necessary to have a considerable amount of nickel in the alloy--generally at least 25 percent.\* Further, this level must be increased as the Ti + Al content is raised above approximately 2 percent. Thus, the stronger alloys are predominantly Ni-based, with little iron; as such, they are cuite high in alloy cost, compared to the 19-9 DL target set for this program.

Che of the more interesting features of the Ni-based, high-temperature alloys is the generally negative effect of chromium on high-temperature creep strength (ref 1). For years, researchers worked on the tradeoffs of strength and oxidation/hot corrosion resistance by lowering chromium and adjusting the Al/Ti ratio. For gas turbine service, especially turbine blades, some form of coating has invariably been found necessary for the high-strength alloys. A similar phenomenon exists in stainless steels, e.g., creep strength in the 400-Type ferritic grades decreases as one progresses from an 11-percent Cr alloy (Type 409), to a 17-percent Cr alloy (Type 430), to a 26-percent Cr alloy, Type 446, (ref 2). while it is difficult to draw a similar parallel in the austenitic 300-Type grades because of the need to increase nickel level simultaneously, it is worthy of note that creep properties of materials like types 309 and 310 stainless steels, RA330, and incoloy alloy 800 are not markedly different from those of Types 316 and 347 stainless steels, in spite of the higher alloy content of the former.

It seems logical, then, to discuss the function of alloying elements in high temperature alloys. Because of the cost restraints (develop an alloy with cost similar to or less than that of 19-9 DL), the emphasis is necessarily tailored to base materials of relatively low alloy content i.e., upgraded stainless steel, or downgraded lower-cost superalloys.

\*Alloy compositions are given in weight percent.



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California

### 2.1.3 General Effects of Alloying Elements

Table 2-1 shows a generalized rating of the individual elements in the types of base componsitions of interest to the Stirling engine program. It is apparent that cost can only be controlled by using a minimum content of elements from the group nickel, molybdenum, columbium, and tungsten; use of any quantity of either tantalum or hafnium is completely out of the question. (The effect of boron on cost is not completely clear because of the sole-source nature of Fe-B and Ni-B additions; this could require a separate study should a high boron alloy prove promising.) The use of manganese as a substitute for nickel has shown considerable promise with the 200-series stainless steels, (ref 3) and with more highly alloyed materials such as 21-6-9 and 22-13-5 (now called Nitronic 40 and 50, respectively) (ref 4). When combined with sufficient carbon and/or nitrogen, these materials have shown very good properties in stable austenite structures.

The structure of the base alloy is worthy of discussion. The nature of the slip systems is such that the face-centered cubic (FCC), or austenitic, structure is favored over the body-centered cubic (BCC), or ferritic, structure for high-temperature service. While it is true that certain systems exhibiting a microduplex structure of ferrite finely dispersed in austenite have shown very good strength characteristics, the presence of ferrite is generally undesirable for phase stability and hydrogen compatibility, as discussed below. Thus, it is important to balance the alloy composition to produce an essentially FCC structure that is solid-solution-strengthened and carbide-precipitation-hardened. If we are to minimize the use of nickel, then manganese, carbon, and nitrogen must be liberally used.

Relative to castability, it has long been recognized that certain elements increase metal fluidity, including carbon, silicon, and manganese. Boron is a known melting point depressant, which may also increase castability. Loading of an alloy with any of these elements will change the casting parameters, and adjustments will no doubt be necessary.

Phase stability, particularly at 775°C, is a major concern. The necessarily low nickel alloys, probably strengthened with molybdenum, will be susceptible to both sigma and chi phase formation (ref 5). This of itself may not be of direct concern, as these phases can often lead to strengthening at high temperatures. The greater concern is in low-temperature ductility (e.g., during winter in the Northern states) where mechanical or thermal shock could cause problems should a phase change occur. In particular, it will be necessary for the austenitic FCC structure to remain stable to about -50°C to prevent martensite formation, which would be embrittling.

Carbide precipitation can also be embrittling, as is apparently the case with Hastelloy X in the 650° to 870°C range. Within a few thousand hours at 760°C nearly continuous grain boundary networks are found, with tensile ductility at ambient temperature dropping to only a few percent. It may be that any system using carbide strengthening will need to be balanced so that the carbides precipitate predominantly within the grains, preferably as a result of heat treatment so the strength is available for initial service.



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California 80-17602 Page 2-3 jy.

### TABLE 2-1

# EFFECTS OF ALLOYING ELEMENTS IN HIGH-TEMPERATURE, IRON-BASED MATERIALS

Elements	Approximate Cost/lb, \$*	Comments
Chromium	0.52 to 1.00	Strong ferritizerprovides oxidation/corrosion resistance
Nickel	3.45	Strong austenite stabilizerimproves strength and corrosion resistance
Molybdenum Tungsten	9.90 14.66	Strong ferritizersprovide solid solution strengthening and carbide precipitates. Mo promotes pitting resistance.
Aluminum	1.00	Ferritizerprovides oxidation resistance
Tantalum Columbium Vanadium Hafnium	130.00 6.60 7.03 80.00	Ferritizerscarbide and nitride forming elements
Manganese	0.66	Austenite stabilizercan substitute for nickel on a basis of two Mn for one Ni
Silicon	0.50	Ferritizernormally present as a tramp from deoxidation. Reduces oxide scaling tendency, improves fluidity.
Boron	17.88	Promotes fluidity, improves creep strength, forms borides.
Carbon Nitrogen		Austenite stabilizers. Interstitial. React to form precipitatescarbides, nitrides, and carbonitrides
Iron	0.20	Base (select scrap)

\*As ferro-alloy melt addition. Range is for high vs low carbon.

GARRETT

### 2.1.4 Review of Candidate Systems

Alloy development of candidate systems was conducted extensively in the post-World War II years up to the mid-1950's, when alloys such as A-286 began to pave the way for the gamma-prime-strengthened, nickel-based superalloys. During this period, AF-71 was developed by Allegheny Ludlum (ref 6). It is an austenitic nickel-free Cr-Nn-C-Fe alloy, further stabilized with nitrogen and boron, and strengthened with molybdenum and vanadium. Babcock and Wilcox studied 45% Fe-based alloys for replacement of Vitallium (refs 7 and 8). These materials were nominally 20Cr-28Ni, strengthened with triple additions selected from the group Ta, Cb, Ti, Zr, No, W, and N, with Ta-No-W and Cb-No-W producing the highest strengths. Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory studied additions of Ti, B, V, Zr, N, and C to the 18Cr-8Ni austenitic stainless steel matrix and concluded that titanium and/or boron were the most effective elements for increasing hot strength (ref 9).

In the mid-to-late 1950's considerable work was conducted at the University of Nichigan, with hundreds of experimental compositions being cast (ref 10). A promising series of 18Cr-18Ni iron-based materials based on strengthening by formation of a No-B eutectic from an austenitic matrix was developed (ref 11); Sponseller has since carried on this work at Climax Nolybdenum's Research Laboratory, culminating in alloys XF-818 (18Cr-18Ni-8No-C.4Cb-0.8B) and XF-527 (27Cr-30Ni-5No-0.9B) (refs 12 through 15). Reference 11 is of particular importance to this current study in showing the general effects and interactions of carbon, boron, molybdenum, and tungsten in the 18Cr-18Ni-Fe austenitic matrix.

Nork in the 1960's at Chrysler during development of the automotive gas turbine led to a series of four iron-based casting alloys that contained 16-24(r, 5Ni, 5Nn, 1W and 1Mo, plus 1-2Cb and substantial additions of carbon and nitrogen (ref 16). These were designated CRM-6D, - 15D, -17D, and 18D. These materials are characterized by rather low ductility, apparently due to the high carbon level (see Appendix 1 in ref 8) which leads to a different microstructural form of the eutectic than is found in the lower carbon (<0.3 percent) molybdenum-boron XF-818 and XF-527 (ref 10).

### 2.1.5 Cxidation and Fot Corrosion Resistance

There is a great deal of literature on the oxidation and hot corrosion resistance of metals, primarily generated by the gas turbine industry (see ref 1, for example). It is clear that alloys designed for high-temperature oxidation resistance invariably have high chromium (20 percent and greater), often in combination with a lesser amount of aluminum. Hastelloy X is an example of an aluminum-free alloy; some manganese is needed in this alloy to assist in  $CrMnC_4$  spinel formation on the surface, as opposed to pure  $Cr_2C_3$ . Of the alloys containing aluminum, Inconel alloy 601 and Inconel alloy 617 (both containing nominally 1 percent AI) are examples of recent trends in alloy design.

The addition of rare earth metals has proven to be a powerful tool in enhancing environmental resistance, probably by improving resistance of the oxide film to spalling. This is demonstated in Hastelloy S and Faynes 188,

CARRETT

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California 80-17602 Page 2-5 1.1.111114

where a few hundredths of a percent of lanthanum are very effective. Work on systems involving the use of yttrium has led to the development of a series of MCrAIY coatings, where M can be iron, nickel, or cobait, each in combination with faily high chromium, moderate aluminum, and a small addition of yttrium. The MCrAIY alloys by themselves are not particularly strong; hence they have application only as coatings (except for oxide-dispersion-strengthened materials, e.g., MA-956, which are very expensive).

There have been a number of studies on silicon additions in combination with aluminum and/or rare earths. High silicon levels (generally over 1 percent) have long been recognized for reducing the tendency for oxide scaling in austenitic alloys, e.g., Type 302B stainless steel and RA330. Some recent work in Japan on nominally 300-Type stainless steels (19 percent Cr - 13 percent Ni) with 3 percent Si showed promise of improved resistance to oxide spalling when rare earths and/or calcium were added to the base metal (ref 17). It is now generally recognized that a combination of internal oxides and a defect structure is created beneath the normal protective oxide when the rare earth metals are added, markedly influencing the behavior of the external scale.

Relative to hot corrosion resistance, the 775°C temperature is within the range of formation of molten salts (primarily  $Na_2SO_4$ -NaCl mixtures) which will flux the normally protective oxide from the metal suface. Accordingly, the full understanding of fuel composition is important. For example, in the presence of sulfur, nickel alloys are particularly susceptible to sulfidation damage, as the nickel-sulfide eutectic can form, causing liquid damage below 650°C (ref 18). Austenitic stainless steels such as 304, 347, etc., are susceptible to grain boundary attack in the presence of sodium chloride, even as a vapor, above about 575°C (ref 19).

For resistance to these types of attack, the general alloy philosophy has been to increase chromium as high as possible, although, as noted earlier, higher chromium tends to reduce creep-rupture strength, especially in nickelbased alloy: Alternately, coatings have been used, such as diffused aluminides and the MCrAIY types; more recently, combinations of alumide coatings with thin noble metal barriers have been used. Gaseous aluminizing and/or chromizing may also offer a way to provide protection to otherwise poor oxidation/hot corrosion resistant alloys. Gaseous treatment can be applied to the finished assembly (or subassembly) and will reach all surfaces readily. An inexpensive coating may be necessary to meet the cost restraints imposed on the material.

No problems are foreseen with ambient temperature or cold corrosion. Materials which have been engineered for adequate oxidation/hot corrosion resistance would not be expected to corrode under mild aqueous conditions. There are two notable exceptions: sulfuric acid condensation and chloride salt deposits. Short of burning very high sulfur fuel, sulfuric acid condensation would not be expected at 200°C and above (ref 20), and the small amount formed at shutdown should be no worse than in similar equipment now in operation (e.g., diesel engines and exhaust systems). Protection against hot sulfuric acid is very difficult, such that designers generally keep operating temperatures above the condensation point.

GARRETT

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California 80~17602 Page 2-6 ° ¥,

Original page 16 of poor quality

Salt deposits containing chloride ions can lead to pitting if condensed moisture creates an electrolyte. Again, most high-temperature alloys would contain sufficient chromium and molybdenum to retard pitting during down periods, but conditions consucive to pitting should be avoided as much as possible. As noted above, the presence of chlorides in the engine is also undesirable relative to hot corrosion problems.

### 2.1.6 Hydrogen Embrittlement

Hydrogen damage can take two forms: hydrogen can either enter the metal lattice (charging), or react instantaneously with an advancing crack tip (creating notch sensitivity). In carbon steels, for example, it is believed that there is internal reaction between carbon and hydrogen to form methane, which collects to form blisters or pores with resulting cracks in the material. By adding sufficient chromium, vanadium, or other elements to form stable carbides, methane formation can be minimized or eliminated (ref 21).

In the austenitic alloys there is good correlation between stability (freedom from deformation martensite) and resistance to high-pressure hydrogen. Similarly, the high-strength martensitic (and PH) steels are highly susceptible to hydrogen embrittlement. The effect of the presence of soft ferrite is not completely clear, as tests on duplex (austenite-ferritr) steels have been limited, but results to date have not shown a deleterious effect due to the presence of a small amount of ferrite in austenite (ref 22).

Literature on hydrogen embrittlement is also abundant. Most of it is directed toward discussions of mechanisms, however, as opposed to alloying effects on resistance. It is generally known that nickel-based alloys are fairly susceptible, whereas austenitic iron-based alloys are the least susceptible to hydrogen damage. Several papers have been written on the effects of alloying elements on hydrogen embrittlement of A-286 (refs 23 and 24).

Smugeresky (ref 23) showed that a high Ti + AI content enhanced resistance to hydrogen damage. Conversely, Thompson and Brooks (ref 24) showed that removal of manganese was beneficial, especially in the presence of increased (5%) nickel, with adverse effects from titanium and aluminum additions. At this point, it is not clear what the alloying effects really are; testing will be necessary.

### 2.2 PRELIMINARY SCREENING STUDY

In this section, the rationale for selecting the starting alloy compositions is discussed, as well as the procedures used in casting and testing and results of the first tests.

### 2.2.1 Selection of Candidate Systems

As described in the literature review, work on iron-based alloy systems for high-temperature service has been very limited in recent years, with primary emphasis on exidation-resistant ferritic alloys that simply lack the required strength to meet the targets of this program. The review has identified several candidate austenitic systems; for further exploration. These include CRV-6D, XF-818, and AF-71 as the most promising; 19-9DL appears to have little



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California 80-17602 Page 2-7 a de la constante de la constan Seconda de la constante de la c

potential, and already has been heavily investigated (DX, WMo, WX). Initially, the two items of concern are stress rupture strength and cost, which are covered in Figure 2-1 and Table 2-2, respectively.

Data in Figure 2-1 are from the DMIC compilation (ref 25); costs were calculated from the alloy element costs shown in Table 2-1.

The basic approach is to work with an iron-based austenitic matrix, i.e., Cr-Ni, Cr-Ni-Mn, or Cr-Mn, and strengthen by one or more of the following techniques: (1) M<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub> eutectic with molybdenum or tungsten; (2) carbides of columbium, vanadium, chromium, molybdenum, and tungsten; and (3) solid solution with molybdenum or tungsten. In cases (1) and (2), applicable heat treatments include high-temperature solutioning to spherodize, plus aging to form precipitates, especially carbides. Starting compositions for the first half of the screening study are given in Table 2-3, and are referenced to the starting alloys. Group 4, based on. 18Cr-18Ni-5Mo-1.25B-2W, was derived from some of the early Sponseller work (ref 11).

Group 1 of Table 2-3 is based on CRM-6D. As indicated in Figure 1, creep strength is near the target level. Projected cost is below that of 19-9DL, giving room for alloy additions from the group Mo, W, Cb, and V. This will provide both solid solution and carbide strengthening. It should be possible to solution treat and age to enchance strength through precipitation of carbides. Chromium level has also been reduced to enhance creep strength.

The basis for group 2 is XF-818. Because it has a cost above the target level, the alloying approach is to reduce molybdenum level while adding boron and carbon to increase strength. This material should also be heat-treatable by the spherodying high temperature anneal reported by Sponseller et al. (ref 11).

The group 3 base is AF-71, which, by virtue of being nickel-free, has the lowest base cost, as  $\circ$  own in Table 2-2. Because it was developed as a wrought alloy, there is room for substantial increase in alloy content, particularly boron, which has been reported to lead to significantly improved strength (ref 26). It has also been reported that vanadium is very effective in promoting precipitates when aged at 1300°F (refs 6 and 26). A molybdenum increase may also prove beneficial, e.g., to form the M<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub> eutectic in a nickelfree base.

The fourth group, based on a tungsten-containing version of XF-818 which has shown attractive properties in earlier work (ref 11), will combine boronand carbide-strengthening. Varying levels of molybdenum, columbium, and vanadium are utilized for both cost control and strength. Manganese has been partly substituted for nickel in some versions, again to reduce the inherently high cost of this base. These materials should also be heat-treatable by solution plus age, though initially they will be evaluated without heat treatment.

In order to allow the early test results to influence the choice of compositions to be investigated, the initial alloy composition matrix has been limited to 21 alloys, as agreed by ACC and NASA-Lewis Research Center during a review meeting held September 26, 1980 at NASA-Lewis.



30 F-11655 B 54 33 1000°F 100/2 (MULTIMET) 29 22 5 N-155 Stress-Rupture Strength of Candidate Starting Alloys, 28 ENGINE 20 STIRLING 67 27 CRM-6D ł\$ NASA TARGET FOR N 5 Reference Alloys, and Target (ref 25) 26 N 46  $(T_{F}^{+460})(20 + L06 t) \times 10^{-3}$  $(T_{C}^{+273})(20 + L0G t) \times 10^{-3}$ AF-71 X-40 (HS-31) 25 45  $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 44 2ił 0 £ 42 23 41 N 0 1 Ы 40 6-61 XF-818(REF 12) 22 33 Figure 2-1. 38 21 37 ₹.001-N 20 36 ٦ñ -0 3 8-9 -7 1001 60-40-10,000-1,000-5 . ċ. 8

ян, эміт

ISX 'SSEVIS



AIRESEARCH CAS<sup>®</sup>ING COMPANY Torrance, California 80-17602 Pago 2-9 ; Ħ

1000

original profits of foor quality TABLE 2-2

i

Contraction 2

E CHINE

# COMPOSITIONS OF CANDIDATE STARTING ALLOYS AND PEFERENCE MATERIALS

						<b>V</b> i i
Auproximate Paw Waterian Cost, S/Ib	5.72	5	52° I	1.09	6.50*	14.29*
>	38.5	I	ł	1	ł	I
m	C-2	N1010	0.6	I	1	10-0
HR. For	H	I	1	М	J	1
Ð L,	~+B	EF.	LAB	BAL	EA-1	2-0
40	1		6.4	5.4	ę,	I
×	I	ы к	1	*.2	2.5	7.5
NG	L) M)	€ <b>1</b> ₩15	n, N	∎ •22	ני אז	t
3	1	1	1	1	z	BAL (52.5)
• ** •*	1	רי שי	5. Q	0 <b>.</b> 6	20.0	10.5
<mark>ل</mark> ة	12.5	22-5	18. J	0-61	21.5	22.5
ты. (т)	۲. ۲.	us U	0.3	0.6	5	9.5
МE	10 10 10	. ` u`	0	yuna W yuna	5	9 _
*	2.2	1	I	1	6.15	1
c)	n; S	un Si	6.2	м. С	5.13 11	0°.2
üesignation	AF-71	3-163	XF-818	706-51	¥-155	X-40 (HS-31)

\*Cobalt included at \$24/1b.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

GANNELY

# TABLE 2-3

# ALLOY APPROACH

	Composition - Wt. percent (bal. Fe)										
Alloy No.	С	Mn	Si	Cr	NI	Мо	B	N	W	Сь	v
					Group 1	- Base	CRM6D				
1-1	1.0	5	0.3	22	5	1.0	-	-	1.0	1.0	-
1-2	1.0	5	0.3	17	5	3.0	-	-	1.0	1.0	-
1-3	1.0	5	6.3	17	5	2.0	-	-	2.0	1.0	-
1-4	1.0	5	0.3	17	5	1.0	-	-	1.0	1.5	0.5
1-5	1.0	5	0.3	17	5	1.0	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0
	1	I	L	 G	roup 2	- Base,	XF-818		<u> </u>	I	L
2-1	0.2	0.2	0.3	18	18 .	7.5	0.7	0.1	-	0.4	-
2-2	0.35	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	1.0	0.1	-	0.4	-
2-3	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	1.25	0.1	-	0.4	-
2-4	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	7.5	1.25	0.1	-	0.4	-
2-5	0.08	0.2	0.3	18	18	6.0	1.5	6.1	-	-	-
2-6	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	1.25	0.2	-	0.4	-
	\$	<b>.</b>	•	<b>4</b>	Group 3	s – Base,	AF-71	<u></u>	• ••••••••••••••••••••••	<u>-</u>	••••••••
31	0.3	18	0.3	12	-	3.0	0.2	0.2	-	-	0.9
3-2	0.3	18	0.3	12	-	3.0	0.75	0.2	-	-	0.9
3-3	0.3	18	0.3	12	-	5.0	1.25	0.2	-	-	0.9
	<b>↓</b>	Group	4 – Base	e, Fe	- 18Ni	- 18Cr -	• 5Mo - 1	•25B - 3	2W - 0.2	50	<b>.</b> .
4-1	0.25	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	1.25	-	2.0	-	-
4-2	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	1.25	-	2.0	-	-
4-3	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	4.0	1.25	-	2.0	2.0	-
4-4	0.5	0.2	0.3	18	18	4.0	1.25	-	2.0	1.0	1.0
4-5	0.25	3.0	0.3	18	10	5.0	1.25	-	2.0	-	-
4-6	0.5	3.0	0.3	18	10	4.0	1.25	-	2.0	1.0	1.0
4-7	0.65	0.2	0.3	18	18	5.0	2.0	-	-	-	-



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California

### 2.2.2 Experimental Procedure

### 2.2.2.1 Preparation of Nolds

Wax injection tools for the stress-rupture specimen shown in Figure 2-2 and the castability test specimen shown in Figure 2-3 were procured. Tooling for the round tensile test specimen shown in Figure 2-4 was already on hand at ACC. Wax patterns were assembled as shown in Figure 2-5, with each assembly being made up of four stress-rupture specimen patterns, three tensile test specimen patterns, and one castability test specimen pattern. Patterns were dipped in refactory slurries and stuccos to build up shell molds, following standard precision casting foundry practice. A mold system with a zircon face coat bonded with colloidal silica was used. After steam autoclave dewaxing, molds were loaded into a preheat furnace and held at least 3 hr for firing.

### 2.2.2.2 Nelting and Casting

Raw materials used for casting the experimental alloys listed in Table 2-3 are shown in Table 2-4. Most were obtained from Certified Alloy Products, Inc. and are standard metring stock.

Melting procedures for the four groups of alloys are given in Table 2-5. Alloys were induction-melted in air as 10-1b heats using an MgO crucible. Heats M10001, M10002, and M10003 were melted in a silica crucible. An argon cover was used in melting the alloys of Group 1 and Group 3 because of their higher manganese content. Pouring temperatures were 2800°F for the alloys of Group 1 and 2700°F for the remainder of the alloys. Melts were poured into molds which had been heated to 1900°F and allowed to cool for 3 to 3-1/2 minutes before pouring (shown by thermocouple measurement to result in a mold temperature of 1500° to 1600°F). An exothermic hot-topping compound (Supertherm No. 3, Exomet Inc., Conneaut, Chio) was used to improve metal feeding.

Representative castings were photographed after mold removal and again after sandblasting. Specimens were then cut off and inspected by X-ray radiography. Specimens other than the above were cut from the gating, sandblasted, and inspected by X-ray radiography. In a number of cases, radiography was erroneously conducted before sandblasting. Careful inspection of the specimens and X-ray film, however, confirmed that x-ray density of refactory material which adhered to surfaces of the specimens was sufficiently low that no radiographic density variation due to this cause could be detected. Stress-rupiume specimens were ranked according to radiographic quality, with the best two from each group of four being selected for testing.

Specimens for chemical analysis and preliminary metallographic examination were cut from the bottom ring gate of each casting. Chemical analysis was determined by spark emission spectrography, except for two groups of elements: (1) chromium, nickel, and molybdenum, which were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence, and (2) carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen, which were analyzed by Leco combustion methods.

Rockwell "C" hardness was determined on a sample from the bottom gating ring of each casting, utilizing the average of at least three measurements.



AJRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California



### NOTES:

- 1. STAMP TOOL,ING USING .09 HIGH LETTERING, THE FOLLOWING: GOVERNMENT PROPERTY NASA LEWIS CONTRACT Nº DEN 3-254 TOOL Nº T-68255 2. DIM'S DO NOT INCLUDE SHRINK. SHRINK TO DE 1.0251N/IN.
- 5. DIM.'S 1.010, EXCEPT AS NOTED.
- A TRANSITION FROM SQUARE TO ROUND MUST BE













Figure 2-4. Tensile Test Specimen



Figure 2-5. Wax Pattern Assembly



F-33235

### THELL 2-4

### RAW MATERIALS FOR MELTING

Electrolytic iron Carbonyl nickel Vacuum grade chromium Pure molybdenum, melting grade Pure tungsten Electrolytic manganese Ferroboron (17.5% B) Ferrovandium (78% V) Ferrocolumbium (60% Cb) Ferrosilicon (75% Si) Asbury 607 carbon High nitrogen ferrochromium (5.5% N, 65% Cr)



### TABLE 2-5

### MELT PROCEDURES

Group 1 Alloys (Argon blanket) Charge Fe, Ni, W, C, 1/3 Cr, 1/3 FeSi Melt down Add Mo Heat to 2900°F Add balance of FeSi, balance of Cr Adjust to 2900°F Add FeCb, FeV, Mn Adjust to 2800°F Slag Pour Group 2 Alloys Charge Fe, Ni, High N FeCr, C, 1/3 Cr, 1/3 FeSi Melt down Add Mo Heat to 2900°F Add balance of FeSi, Mn, balance of Cr Reheat to 2900°F Cool to 2800°F, slag Add FeCb, FeB Adjust to 2700°F Pour

CARRETT

TABLE 2-5 (Continued)

```
Group 3 Alloys (Argon Blanket)
     Charge Fe, Mn, High N FeCr, C, Mo, 1/3 Cr, 1/3 FeSi
     Nelt down
     Heat to 2800°F
     Add balance of FeSI, balance of Cr
     Adjust to 2800°F
     Cool to 2700°F, slag
     Add FeV, FeB
     Adjust to 2700°F
     Pour
Group 4 Alloys
     Charge Fe, Ni, C, V, 1/3 Cr, 1/3 FeSi
     Nelt down
     Add Mo
     Heat to 2900°F
     Add balance of FeSi, Mn, balance of Cr
     Reheat to 2900°F
     Cool to 2800°F. slag
     Add FeCb, FeV, FeB
     Adjust to 2700°F, slag
     Pour
```

CARRETT

# ORIGINAL PLACE LA OF POOR QUALITY

No heat treatments were performed at this stage on specimens from Groups 2 and 4. Group 1 specimens are currently being heat-treated for 100 hr at 1200°F, as recommended for CRM-6D (ref 25). Solution treatment experiments on the Group 3 modifications of AF-71 indicated that partial melting occurred, even at 1950°F, though solution treatment at temperatures as high as 2050°F is recommended for AF-71 (ref 6). As stress-rupture testing proceeds, therefore, Alloys 3-2 and 3-3 will be given aging treatments only, while Alloy 3-1 (AF-71) will be solution-treated and aged. A protective foil pouch will be used for solution treatment.

Stress-rupture testing is in progress, at 830°C (1526°F) and 200 MPa (29 ksi), using calibrated test facilities at the AiResearch Manufacturing Company of California. Stress-rupture life of approximately 330 hr at this temperature is equivalent to the target life of 5000 hr at 775°C. Limited results of completed tests are shown in a later section of this report.

### 2.2.3 Results and Discussion

### 2.2.3.1 Nelting and Casting

No major difficulties were encountered in melting or casting any of the experimental alloys. The high manganese alloys of Group 3 showed evidence of oxide evolution during melting, even with use of an argon blanket. Surface quality of all castings, as shown in the examples of Figures 2-6 through 2-8, was good to excellent. Croup 3 alloy castings, while fully acceptable, had the poorest surface quality, as can be seen in Figure 2-7. Examination of castability test tabs revealed no problems with hot tearing or lack of fluidity.

X-ray radiography revealed internal porosity in some of the specimens. All stress-rupture specimens were rated, and those with no porosity or with the smallest amounts of porosity were selected for stress-rupture testing.

### 2.2.3.2 Chemical Analysis

Compositions of experimental castings are shown in Table 2-6. With the exception of apparent inadvertent omission of tungsten in five alloys and lower nitrogen than expected in several alloys (most notably the three alloys of Group 3), compositions were judged acceptable for purposes of this screening study. The eight alloys indicated with an asterisk in Table 2-6 are being remelted. A decision on possible remelting of the Group 2 alloys on the basis of low nitrogen contents will be made after initial stress-rupture testing. Stress-rupture data will be determined for all compositions, even those obtained through melting errors.

CARRETY

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California 80-17602 Page 2-18 10 N. N. N. N.



83913-4



ALLOY 1-4, MOLD W"

F-33237

Figure 2-6. Alloy 1-4 Casting (Heat N10004) Top, As Cast; Bottom, Sandblasted



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California



83913-5



ALLOY 3-3, MOLD Q"

83913 2

F-33236

Figure 2-7. Alloy 3-3 Casting (Heat N10014) Top, As Cast; Bottom, Sandblasted





83913-6



F-33238

Figure 2-8. Alloy 4-4 Casting (Heat N10018) Top, As Cast; Bottom, Sandblasted



# TABLE 2-6

# ANALYSES OF EXPERIMENTAL ALLOYS

Alloy	Heat		Weight percent (bal. Fe)										
No.	No.	C	Mn	SI	Cr	NI	Мо	В	N	W	СЬ	1	0
L	<b>.</b>				(	Group I ·	- Base (	CRM-6D					
1-1	NIOOOI	0.84	4.09	0.57	21.64	4.83	1.01	<.001	0.040	1.04	1.12	<.01	0.061
1-2	N10002	0.90	4.19	0.51	16.41	4.82	2.86	<.001	0.050	1.10	1.10	<.01	0.025
1-3	N10003*	0.97	4.65	0.46	17.51	4.96	1.96	<.001	0.039	< .05	1.13	<.01	0.019
1-4	N10004 Aim	0.89	5.05	0.33	16.89	4.73	1.16	0.028	0.072	1.04	1.57	0.28	0.015
1-5	N10005 A Im	0.93	4.80 5.0	0.32	17.17	4.72	1.17	0.009	0.073	1.10	1.13	1.16	0.014
	1	I	L		(	Group 2	- Base,	XF-818	L	L	. <u></u>	L	I
2-1	N10006	0.18	0.20	0.29	18.49	18.12	7.98	0.72	0.038	<.05	0.51	<.01	0.0048
2-2	NI0007	0.2	0.2	0.3	20,18	18.0	7.5	0.7	0.1	<.05	0.4	<.01	0.0074
2-3	NI0008	0.46	0.2	0.36	20.59	17.36	5.0	1.0	0.038	<.05	0.4	<.01	0.0088
2-4	N10009	0.48	0.2	0.39	19.07	18.0	8.11	1.25	0.052	- <.05	0.4	<.01	0.012
2-5	NIO010	0.11	0.26	0.36	19.74	17.91	6.54	1.44	0.072	<.05	0.034	<.01	0.0042
2-6	NICOII Aim	0.45	0.28	0.42	20.35	17.53	5.61	1.35 1.25	0.050	<.05	0.52	<.01 -	0.0048
{			;		(	Эгоцр 3 -	- Base,	AF-71				<u></u>	
3-1	N10012*	0.38	11.58	0.34	12.70	<.10	4.00	0.25	0.043	<.05	0.041	1.21	0.0078
3-2	N10013*	0.34	17.80	0.31	11.82	<.10 -	3.44	0.42	0.067	<.05	0.025	0.76	0.0064
3-3	N10014* Aim	0.34	17.36	0.37	11.47	<.10	5.87 5.0	0.88	0.097	<.05 -	0.036	1.07	0.0028
		1	Group	) 4 - Ba	ise, Fe -	- 18N1 -	18Cr -	5Mo - 1.	25B - 2	N - 0.25	і БС	L.,	1
4-1	N10015*	0.25	0.39	0.37	19.57	17.73	5.37	1.35	0.047	<.01	0.031	<.01	0.0084
4-2	N10016*	0.46	0.28	0.37	19.60	17.90	5.38	1.44	0.049	<.01	0.031	<.01	0.0082
4-3	N10017*	0.44	0.27	0.37	19.26	17.93	4.40	1.25	0.064	<.01	2.01	<.01	0.011
4-4	N10018	0.49	0.25	0.37	19.26	18.01	4.35	1.32	0.054	2.31	1.07	0.98	0.088
4-5	N10019*	0.28	2.53	0.33	20.49	7.90	5.58 5.0	1.31	0.075	<.01	0.032	<.01	0.0088
4-6	N10020 Aim	0.46	2.39	0.36	19.80	10.13	4.57	1.10	0.069	2.37	0.97	1.12	0.0070
4-7	NIO021 Alm	0.52	0.31	0.38	20.74	17.63	5.46 5.0	1.81	0.044	< .01	0.032	<.01	0.0044
1 .	•	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1		+

\*Alloys to be remeited.

CARDETY

2

1

k,



### 2.2.3.3 Structure, Hardness, and Stress-Rupture Data

Metallographic structures of all 21 heats currently being tested are shown in Figures 2-9 through 2-12. Hardness data and initial stress-rupture results are summarized in Table 2-7. Because stress-rupture data are not complete, a detailed evaluation of the relationships between composition, microstructure, and properties cannot yet be made. The following are preliminary observations.

### 2.2.3.3.1 Group 1 Alloys, Base CRM-6D

All alloys have structures containing the expected austenite matrix with interdendritic carbides. Two distinct types of carbide can be seen. The first is a massive carbide that is semicontinuous in Alloys 1-1 (CRM-6D) and 1-2. The second is a lamellar form, found as an eutectic with austenite. More than one lamellar form may exist. The alloy with lowest total content of the carbide formers Cr, Mo, W, Cb, and V (Alloy 1-4) appears to have the smallest amount of total carbide (Alloy 1-4) and has the lowest as-cast hardness. Aging improves hardness of all alloys in the series by substantial amounts.

### 2.2.3.3.2 Group 2 A!loys, Base XF-818

The Group 2 alloys have the expected austenite matrix with carbide/boride interdendritic phases, which are either continuous or semicontinuous. Hardness of the baseline alloy (Alloy 1-1) is lower than the Rockwell "C" 20 expected for XF-818, possibly because of the low nitrogen content and because hardness measurements were made on a 3/4-in.-thick gating section rather than the more rapidly cooled tensile specimen cross section on which Sponseller's hardness determinations were made (ref 10).

Note that the highest hardness values were obtained in alloys which appear to have the smaller amounts of total carbide plus boride phases (Alloys 2-3 and 2-6). The longest stress-rupture life in a Group 2 alloy to date has been obtained in Alloy 2-4, with the highest carbon content and the greatest amount of interdendritic eutectic. Stress-rupture data show that an attempt to obtain strengthening predominantly with borides (Alloy 2-5) was not successful.

### 2.2.3.3.3 Group 3 Alloys, Base AF-71

Alloys of Group 3 also contain a combination of dendritic matrix and continuous or semicontinuous interdendritic eutectic. There is a substantial difference between the three alloys in terms of both the quantity and nature of the interdendritic eutectic. Alloy 3-3, with the highest boron content, has the highest hardness and the greatest quantity of interdendritic phase.

### 2.2.3.3.4 Group 4 Alloys, Base Fe-18Ni-18Cr-5Mo

A comparison of Group 2 alloys and Group 4 alloys shows that the latter generally have higher as-cast hardness and better stress-rupture behavior. Microstructures of the two groups are basically similar, with varying amounts of interdendritic eutectic. The nature of the interdendritic phase is different in Alloy 4-6, with large amounts of the carbide formers W, Cb, and V than in other Group 4 alloys. Alloy 4-7, with high B and only Cr and Mo as



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California





Alloy 1-1, CRM-6D

N10001



F-33230

Figure 2-9. Optical Micrographs of Group 1 Alloys, Etchant: Fry's Reagent, Magnification: 200X



0

0

0

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torranco, California



Figure 2-10. Optical Micrographs of Group 2 Alloys, Etchant: Fry's Reagent, Magnification: 200X



0

0

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California



Alloy 3-1, AF-71

N10012



Alloy 3-2

N10013



F-33234

Figure 2-11. Optical Micrographs of Group 3 Alloys, Etchant: Fry's Reagent, Magnification: 200X



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California







F-33233

Figure 2-12. Optical Micrographs of Group 4 Alloys, Etchant: Fry's Reagent, Magnification: 200X



0

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California



Alloy 4-4



Alloy 4-5

N10019



Alloy 4-6

N10020



N10021

F-33232





AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California

### TABLE 2-7

HARDNESS	AND	STRESS-RUPTURE DATA	
	1110		

Alloy No.	Heat No.	Condition	Hardness, Rockwel: "C"	Stress-Rupture Life, Hours at 830°C, 200 MPa (E)
1-1	N10001	As-cast 100 h/1200°F	22.5 32.5	x
1-2	N10002	As-cast 100 h/1200°F	23.5 36.5	X 20+
1-3	N10003	As-cast 100 h/1200°F	21 33	x
1-4	N10004	As-cast 100 h/1200°F	(R <sub>B</sub> 100) 33	x
1-5	N10005	As-cast 100 h/1200°F	25 40	X 21+
2-1	N10006	As-cast	(R <sub>B</sub> 96.5)	3.9, 3.6
2-2	N10007	As-cast	25.5	22.5*, 36.4
2-3	N10008	As-cast	31	49.4*, 67+
2-4	N10009	As-cast	29	43.9+
2-5	N 100 10	As-cast	27	12.4, <1
2~б	N10011	As-cast	31	42.9
3-1	N10012	As-cas† 1 h/1950°F/₩Q +16 h/1300°F 16 h/1300°F	28 30.5	X
3-2	N 100 1 3	As-cast 16 n/1300°F	26.5	x
3-3	N10014	As-cast 16 h/1300°F	35.5	x
4-1	N10015	As-cast	25.5	136, 21.4*
4-2	N10016	As-cast	31.5	167+, 22.1*
4-3	N10017	As-cast	26.5	167+
4-4	N10018	As-cast	23.5	62.8, 24+
4-5	N10019	As-cast	31.5	63.1, 14.0
4-6	N10020	As-cast	30.5	67.3
4-7	N10021	As-cast	36.5	15.3, 32.7

LEGEND:

E 329 hr at 830°C is equivalent in Larson-Miller parameter to 5000 hr at 775°C

X No test to be conducted in this condition \* Test terminated due to equipment malfunction. Specimen not broken.

+ Test continuing



AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY Torrance, California

Q

80-17602 Page 2-29 ander 1981 sone state of the second state of the second of the second state of the second state of the second s

"我们是是一些"你们"的"你们"。

日本語で

N

as carbide formers, is the only exception to the obvious dendritic solidification pattern of all those melted to date.

Stress-rupture life of Alloys 4-2 and 4-3 is the best obtained to date in this program. Specimens have run over 167 hr and are still running. Life of 329 hr at 830°C is equivalent in Larson-Miller parameter to the target life of 5000 hr at 775°C.

### 2.3 TASK IV, REPORTING

The oral presentation of the Work Plan was made at NASA-Lewis Research Center by the ACC project manager on September 26, 1980. The selection of alloy approach was discussed in detail and was agreed to, with minor modifications by the NASA project manager.



٧

### 3. CURRENT PROBLEMS

The program is currently behind schedule by approximately three weeks, due to difficulties encountered in conducting stress-rupture testing. The problems with equipment have been addressed and testing is proceeding with eight stress-rupture stands. Consideration is being given to conducting a portion of the stress-rupture testing at an outside facility, if necessary.

No difficulties have been encountered with other phases of the work.



### 4. KCRK PLANNED

During the next month, stress-rupture testing will continue. The remelt heats will be evaluated and new composition variations which result from current testing will be melted and evaluated. This work will culminate in the selection of ten candidate alloy compositions for more detailed evaluation in the next portion of Task I of this program.



80-17602 Page 4-1 

### 5. REFERENCES

- The Superalloys, Sims, C. T., and W. C. Hagel, eds., John Wiley and 1. Sons, New York, 1972.
- Structural Alloys Handbook, Thomas D. Moore, ed., Mechanical Proper-2. ties Data Center, Traverse City, Michican, 1978.
- Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corp., Blue Sheets for 200-Type Stainless 3. Steels, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- ARMCO Steel Corp., ARMCO Advanced Materials Technical Data Manual, 4. Baltimore, Maryland, 1974.
- Novak, C. J., "Structure and Constitution of Wrought Austenitic 5. Stainless Steels," Handbook of Stainless Steels, Peckner and Bernstein, eds., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- MacFarlane, R. R., R. S. DeFries, E. E. Reynolds, and W. W. Dyrkacz, 6. "Development of Wrought and Cast Alloys for High Temperature Applications," WADC TR 55-23, April 1955.
- Eberle, F., W. E. Leyda, W. Feduslea, and F. B. Snyder, "Development 7. of Cast Iron-Base Alloys of Austenitic Type for High Heat-Resistance and Scale Resistance," Second Quarterly Progress Report prepared by Babcock and Wilcox Research Center, Alliance, Ohio, under WADC Contract No. AF 33 (616)-2413, November 15, 1954.
- Eberle, F., I. H. Holse, and W. E. Leyda, "Development of Cast Iron-8. Base Alloys of Austenitic Type for High Heat-Resistance and Scale-Resistance," WADC TN 55-290, Part II, January 1957.
- Salvaggi, J., and G. I. Guarnieri, "Summary Report on Development of 9. Lean-Alloy Chronium-Nickel Stainless Steels for High Temperature Use," Report No. KA-797-M-7, prepared by Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc., Buffalo, New York, for Bureau of Aeronautics under Contract NOa(s)-52-368-C, June 30, 1953.
- Sponseller, D. L., Climax Molybdenum Co. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 10. Michigan, personal communication.
- Sponseller, D. L., R. W. Kraft, and R. A. Flinn, "Additive Effects of 11. Alloying Elements on the Mechanical Properties of Cast Austenitic Alloys at 1500°F", Trans. ASM, Vol. 54, 1961, p. 526.

AIRESEARCH CASTING COMPANY

Torrance, California

- Sponseller, D. L., "The Development of Improved Iron-Base Cast Alloys for Gas Turbine Blades," Report RP-31-73-01, Climax Molybdenton Company of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, July 25, 1975.
- Bauerle, P. C., and D. L. Sponseller, "An Evaluation of Iron-Base Cast Alloys for Exhaust Valves of Automotive Internal Combustion Engines," Report J-4074, Climax Molybdenum Co. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, December 26, 1974.
- 14. Sponseller, D. L., "Effects of Compositional Variation and Solidification Rate on the Properties of an Iron-Base Cast Superalloy," prepared for symposium of Figh Temperature Alloys Committee of AINE, St. Louis, Missouri, October 16 - 17, 1978.
- Sponseller, D. L., and W. C. Hagel, "Cobalt-Free, Iron-Base Cast Superalloys," prepared for Fourth International Symposium on Superalloys, Seven Springs, Pennsylvania, September 1980.
- 16. Hagen, F. A., Chrysler Corporation Research Officer, Detroit, Michigan, personal communication.
- 17. Fujioka, T., M. Kinugasa, and S. lizumi, "Effects of Rare Earth Elements and Calcium Upon High Temperature Oxidation of Austenitic Heat-Resisting Alloy Containing High Silicon," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Third International Symposium on Superalloys: Metallurgy and</u> <u>Manufacture</u>, Seven Springs, Pennsylvania, September 12-15, 1976, Claitor's Publishing, Baton Rouge, 1976, pp. 159 - 169.
- 18. American Society for Testing and Naterials, <u>Hot Corrosion Problems</u> Associated with Gas Turbines, ASTM STP421, 1967.
- Pickering, H. V., F. F. Beck, and M. G. Fontana, "Rapid Integranular Oxidation of 18-8 Stainless Steels by Oxygen and Dry Sodium Chloride at Elevated Temperatures," <u>Transactions ASM</u>, Vol. 53, 1961, pp. 793-804.
- 20. Fontana, M. G., and N. D. Greene, <u>Corrosion Engineering</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967, pp. 223-241.
- 21. Morris, L. A., "Resistance to Corrosion in Gaseous Atmospheres," <u>Handbook of Stainless Steels</u>, Peckner and Bernstein, eds., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- 22. Thompson, A. W., "Stainless Steels in High-Pressure Fydrogen," <u>Handbook of Stainless Steels</u>, Peckner and Bernstein, eds., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.
- 23. Smugeresky, J. E., "Effect of Hydrogen on the Mechanical Properties of Iron-Base Superalloys, <u>Met. Trans. A, 8A</u>, August 1977, p. 1283.



- 24. Thompson, A. W., and J. A. Brooks, "Hydrogen Performance of Precipitation-Strengthened Stainless Steels Based on a-286," <u>Met.</u> Trans. A., 6A, July 1975, p. 1431.
- 25. Simmons, W. F., "Compilation of Chemical Compositions and Rupture Strength of Superalloys," ASTM Data Series Publication No. DS9E, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 26. Aggen, G., Allegheny Ludium Steel Corporation, Brackenridge, Pennsylvania, perconal communication.



.