NASA Contractor Report 172139

NASA-CR-172139 19830020607

# ICASE

FOR REFERENCE

HOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM

ENTROPY FUNCTIONS FOR SYMMETRIC SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS

Eitan Tadmor

Contract No. NAS1-17070 June 1983

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665



LIBRARY COPY

11 4 4 1083

LANC

HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

## ENTROPY FUNCTIONS FOR SYMMETRIC SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS

### Eitan Tadmor

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering

### ABSTRACT

Using a simple symmetrizability criterion, we show that symmetric systems of conservation laws are equipped with a one-parameter family of entropy functions.

Received by the editors
1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35L65; Secondary 35B35.
Research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Adminstration under NASA Contract No. NAS1-17070 while the author was in residence at ICASE, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.

### 1. Introduction

An entropy function associated with a system of N conservation laws

(1.1) 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})) = 0,$$

is a convex function, U, augmented by an entropy flux function, F, both taking values from  $\mathbf{R}^N$  smoothly into  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that for any smooth  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$  satisfying (1.1) we have

(1.2) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(U(u)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(F(u)) = 0.$$

Carrying out the differentation in (1.2) we find, on account of (1.1), that the above requirement amounts to the following <u>integrability condition</u>

(1.3) 
$$U_{u}^{T}(u)f_{u}(u) = F_{u}^{T}(u).$$

Entropy functions play a significant role in the theory of systems of conservation laws. As observed by Friedrichs and Lax [1], if U is an entropy function for system (1.1), then its Hessian,  $U_{uu}$ , symmetrize that system, i.e., symmetrize  $f_{u}$ . It is fairly easy to see that the converse is also true; for future reference we can therefore state

Theorem 1. A convex U serves as an entropy function for system (1.1), if and only if, its Hessian,  $U_{uu}$ , symmetrize  $f_u$ ,

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}\right)^{\mathbf{T}}.$$

For the sake of completeness we include the proof. If U is an entropy in the sense that (1.3) holds, further differentation gives

$$U_{uu}f_{u} + U_{u}^{T}f_{uu} = F_{uu}$$

The Hessian on the right is symmetric and so is the second matrix on the left, being the product of a vector and a 3-tensor; hence, their difference,  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}$ , is symmetric. Conversely, if  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is symmetric, so is  $(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}})_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{u}}$  has a primitive

(1.5) 
$$F(u) = \int_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{w}) f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot d\mathbf{w}$$

such that (1.3) holds; in other words, the symmetry of  $U_{uu}f_{u}$  -- or what amounts to the same thing, of  $(U_{u}^{T}f_{u})_{u}$  -- is required as a compatibility conditon for F(u) to the well-defined, i.e., for the integral on the RHS of (1.5) to be path independent.

We remark that the convexity of U did not enter into the proof, and was assumed just for the sake of complying with the definition of an entropy function being convex. Apart from it, the "if" part of the above theorem, (1.4), provides us — unlike the integrability condition (1.3) — with a self-contained criterion for U being an entropy function. The "only if" part of the theorem on the other hand, reveals the hyperbolic nature of systems equipped with entropy functions; indeed, multiplication of (1.1) by U<sub>uu</sub> on the left, puts the system in symmetric hyperbolic form (in the sense of Friedrichs), for which the local well-posedness theory of smooth solutions, prevail, see [1].

It is well known that solutions for (1.1) may fail to be smooth at a finite time, after which one must admit these solutions in the weak sense. For the latter, the following entropy inequality is imposed as an admissibility criterion [3,4]

(1.6) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(U(u)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(F(u)) \leq 0 \quad \text{(weakly)};$$

the inequality (1.6) follows from considerations of the regularized problem

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})) = \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2},$$

letting  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero,  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Thus, the nonpositive LHS of (1.6) indicates the existence of vanishing viscosity in an admissible weak solution. In [4], Lax postulated a uniqueness criterion to single out the so called "physically relevant" solution of (1.1), requiring the entropy inequality (1.6) to hold for <u>all</u> entropy functions associated with (1.1). This brings us to the question of how rich is the family of such entropies.

In the scalar case, N = 1, this family consist of <u>all</u> smooth convex functions; in his penetrating paper [3], Kružkov has shown, that having the entropy inequality (1.6) for the <u>one parameter</u> family of convex functions  $U(u;\lambda) = |u-\lambda|$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  -- which is in the convex hull of the former -- indeed single out the unique, physically relevant, stable solution in  $L^1$ . The situation with the general nonscalar case, is however less favorable: the integrability conditon is overdetermined unless N = 2, e.g., [4].

#### 2. Symmetric System of Conservation Laws

In this section we restrict our attention to <u>symmetric</u> systems of conservation laws, i.e., systems of the form (1.1) with symmetric Jacobians,  $f_u = f_u^T$ . We will show that such systems are equipped with <u>one-parameter</u> family of entropy functions.

To this end we are making use of the symmetrizability criterion of Theorem 1, looking for Hessians which symmetrize  $f_{11}$ ,

$$U_{uu}f_{u} = f_{u}U_{uu}.$$

An obvious first choice for such an Hessian will be the identity matrix,  $U_{uu} = I_N$ . This coinsides with Godunov's observation, [2], (see also [1]), that for symmetric systems,  $U(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^T \cdot u$  serves as entropy function, augmented by an entropy flux, see (1.5),  $F(u) = \int_{w}^{u} f_{w}(w) \cdot dw = u^T f(u) - \int_{w}^{u} f(w) \cdot dw$ . Our next choice for symmetrizing Hessian will be  $f_u$ : the assumed symmetry of  $f_u$  implies, as argued before, that it is indeed an Hessian,  $f_u = U_{uu}$  with  $U(u) = \int_{w}^{u} f(w) \cdot dw$ , augmented by an entropy flux, see (1.5),  $F(u) \equiv F(f(u)) = \frac{1}{2} f^T(u) \cdot f(u)$ ; furthermore, (2.1) is trivially satisfied with this choice (we note the identity  $U_u^T(u) = F_f^T(f)$  in this case, from which (1.3) follows upon multiplication by  $f_u$  on the right). The function U(u) so constructed is, however, not convex since its Hessian,  $f_u$ , is not necessarily positive definite. This can be easily overcome by considering a sufficiently small neighborhood of the first convex choice of an entropy function. thus we have shown

Theorem 2. Any symmetric system of cnservatin laws, (1.1), is equipped with the following one-parameter family of entropy functions

(2.2a) 
$$U(u;\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} u^{T} \cdot u - \lambda \int_{0}^{u} f(w) \cdot dw, \qquad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \lambda f_{u} < I\}$$

with corresponding entropy fluxes

(2.2b) 
$$F(u;\lambda) = u^{T} \cdot f(u) - \int_{0}^{u} f(w) \cdot dw - \frac{\lambda}{2} f^{T}(u) \cdot f(u).$$

Let  $u_{\ell}(u_{r})$  denote the state on the left (respectively, right) of a discontinuity moving with speed s and governed by system (1.1). The entropy inequality (1.2) across such discontinuity amounts to

(2.3) 
$$s[U(u_{\ell};\lambda)-U(u_{r};\lambda)] - [F(u_{\ell};\lambda)-F(u_{r};\lambda)] < 0.$$

Invoking the Rankine-Hugoniot (R-H) relation,  $s(u_{\ell}-u_{r}) = f(u_{\ell}) - f(u_{r})$ , the inequality (2.3) reads, after little rearrangement,

$$(1-\lambda_s) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( f(u_{\ell}) + f(u_{r}) \right) \left( u_{r} - u_{\ell} \right) + \int_{u_{r}}^{u_{\ell}} f(w) \cdot dw \right] \le 0.$$

Since  $\lambda$  was chosen so that  $\lambda f_u < I$ , the R-H relation implies that the first term on the left is positive; hence, the entropy inequality (2.3) for each of the  $\lambda$ -parameter members  $U(u;\lambda)$  in (2.2), is consistent with that of U(u;0). Thus, unfortunately (and, unsuprisingly), the one-parameter entropies' family provides us with no additional stability criteria than we have already gained from Godunov's original choice,  $U(u) = \frac{1}{2} u^T \cdot u$ .

#### 3. A Note on the Regularized Problem

We have mentioned before the <u>parabolic</u> regularized problem  $(1.7_{\varepsilon})$ , in connection with the entropy inequality (1.6). The key of studying system  $(1.7_1)$  in the large, via standard energy methods, depends on obtaining a priori information in the maximum norm  $|u(\cdot,t)|_{L^{\infty}}$ . Here we note that such information can be easily obtained when the symmetric system (1.1) is regularized via disspersive term

(3.1) 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})) = \frac{\partial^3 \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^3}.$$

The proof is intimately related to the conserved entropies constructed in Section 2 above. Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$  denote the spatial  $L_2$ - inner product of compactly supported functions,  $|\cdot|_0^2 = (\cdot, \cdot)_0$ . Multiplying (3.1) by  $\mathbf{u}^T$  on the left and integrating we find, as before, that  $|\mathbf{u}|_0^2$  is conserved in time. Next, differentiate (3.1), multiply by  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}^T$  and integrate to arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u_x(\cdot,t)|_0^2 + (u_x,f_{xx})_0 = 0;$$

multiplying (3.1) by  $f^T$  on the left, integrating and adding to the above we find that  $\frac{1}{2}|u_x(\cdot,t)|_0^2 + \int\limits_x^u f(w)\cdot dw$  is also conserved. We remark that the last two conserved functionals are in exact agreement with the corresponding first two associated with KdV equation. Under appropriate growth assumption on the flux, f, they yield the required maximum norm bound.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. K. O. Friedrichs and P. D. Lax, <u>Systems of Conservation equations with</u> a convex extension, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **68** (1971), 1636-1688.
- 2. S. K. Godunov, The problem of a generalized solution in the theory of quasi-linear equations and in gas dynamics, Russian Math. Surveys 17 (1962), 145-156.
- 3. S. N. Kružkov, <u>First order quasi-linear equations in several</u> independent variables, Math. U.S.S.R. Sbornik 10 (1970), 127-243.
- 4. P. D. Lax, Shock waves and entropy, "Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis," ed. E. A. Zarantonello, pp. 603-634, New York, Academic Press, 1971.

1. Report No. NASA CR-172139	2. Government Accession	No.	3. R	ecipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Re	eport Date
Entropy Functions for Symmetric Systems of Conservation Laws			T.,,	ne 1983
			6. Pe	rforming Organization Code
7. Author(s)			J	rforming Organization Report No.
Eitan Tadmor				33–16 ork Unit No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering Mail Stop 132-C, NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665				
			11. Co	entract or Grant No.
			N	AS1-17070
			13. Ty	pe of Report and Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Co	entractor Report
National Aeronautics and Space Adminstration Washington, D.C. 20546			<del></del>	onsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes			<del></del>	
Langely Technical Monitor: Final Report	Robert H. Tolson			·
16. Abstract	<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement		
onservation laws				
ntropy functions				
ymmetrizibility		Unclassified-Unlimited		
			Subj	ect Category 59
i	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	}	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	- [	9	A02

**End of Document**