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Abstract
The experimental data on ultrahigh energy cosmic ray anisotropy are considered. In supposed models of galactic magnetic field the main characteristics of expected anisotropy are estimated and are compared with the experimental data. In is shown that particles with energy up to $10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ are of galactic origin.

Spectrum. The observed spectrum and cosmic ray intensity at $10^{\overline{17}-10^{19}} \mathrm{eV}$ can be explained by galactic sources [1,2] . At present the most difficulties occur in the explanation of EAS experimental data on anisotropy.

Is there really anisotropy? One of the arguments in favour of anisotropy is the agreement of phases of the $1-s t$ harmonic on data of Yakutsk and Haverah Park EAS arrays [3] (Fig.1). The common (Yakutsk and Haverah Park) chance probability of constancy of the 1 -st harmonic phase at energy range $5 \cdot 10^{17}<\mathrm{E}_{0}<2 \cdot 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ is $10^{-4}+10^{-5}$. The next argument in favour of anisotropy can be taken the presence of a gradient in particle distribution on galactic latitude $[4,5]$. The chance probability of such a gradient on data of Yakutsk and Haverah Park arrays in total is $10^{-5}+10^{-7}$ (Fig.2).

From the above it follows that cosmic ray anisotropy in energy range $10^{18}-10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ is real.

Experimental anisotropy. Because of small statistics the experimentators on EAS data determine the anisotropy based on event number on large solid angle $\Delta \Omega\left(\Delta \delta_{1} \sim 90^{\circ}, \Delta \alpha \sim 30+60^{\circ}\right.$, $\delta_{1}$ - declination, $\alpha$ - the right ascension). In [6] we showed that anisotropy determined in such a way differs from one $\delta$ determined by usual way on expected intensity: $\delta=\left(I_{\max }-I_{\min }\right) /\left(I_{\max }+I_{\min }\right)$ where $I$ - cosmic ray intensity. Below we shell show it.

The particle number from the definite part of celestial sphere is

$$
n\left(\alpha, \delta_{1}\right)=\int K(\theta(t)) y\left(\alpha, \delta_{1}\right) \Omega(\theta(t), \varphi(t)) S(\theta(t)) d t
$$ where K - the probability of detection; $\Omega, S$ - the solid



Fig. 1. Amplitudes and phases of the 1-st harmonic; dashed line - the expected values in the case of protons from sources in the disc


Fig.2. The gradient $n_{\text {obs. }} / n_{\text {isotr. }}$ on galactic latitude $b$. Dashed line - the expected values in the case of protons: 1 - Yakutsk, 2 - Sydney
angle and the effective area of the array; $\theta, \varphi$ - the zenith and azimuthal angles, $t$-observation time. The number of events on intervals of the right ascension is

$$
n\left(\Delta \alpha_{i}\right)=\int_{\Delta \alpha_{i}} \int_{\Delta \delta} n\left(d, \delta_{1}\right) d d d \delta_{1}
$$

Then the anisotropy is

$$
\delta^{*}=\left[n_{\max }(\Delta \alpha)-n_{\min }(\Delta \alpha)\right] /\left[n_{\max }(\Delta \alpha)+n_{\min }(\Delta \alpha)\right]
$$

It is seen that anisotropy $\delta^{*}$ determined on event number on a large solid angle is not identical with anisotropy $\delta$ determined on intensity. Note that the some correction of the 1-st harmonic amplitude in energy range $10^{18}-2.10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ (Fig.1) can be made deviding it into $\cos b$ where $\dot{b}-$ the average galactic latitude of the observed showers. The anisotropy vector (Fig.1) is observed at the angle b. Similar idea was supposed in [7].

Galactic model. Discussed here anisotropy characteristics at $\mathrm{E}_{0}>10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$ (the 1-st harmonic phases, a gradient
of particle distribution at positive latitudes) at quasirectilinear motion of particles can be explained qualitatively by sources distributed in the galactic disc (evidently the maximum of particle arrival being from galactic plane where the number of sources is large). At allowed on radiodata magnetic fields of disc ( $2-3 \mu \mathrm{G}$ ) and halo ( $\leqslant 1 \mu \mathrm{G}$ ) the quasirectilinear motion is expected in the considered energy range in a case of protons [1,2]. The observed on experimental data ratio of showers equator-pole $n\left(|\mathrm{~b}|<30^{\circ}\right) / \mathrm{n}(|\mathrm{b}|>$ $>30^{\circ}$ ) $\approx 2$ about $10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ [5] can be also explained in the case of protons by sources in galactic disc (in the first approximation the particle number proportional to the radius of ball sectors of the region of sources).

Consider how these experimental data agree on amplitude with the expected one from galactic sources. Calculating the individual trajectories of antiprotons from the Earth in sign-constant longitudinal magnetic field of the disc and halo (the sign-constant field of the disc is considered to be more probable, see, for instance, [8]) we estimated the expected anisotropy from sources distributed uniform in galactic disc (the central sources can be excluded from the number of possible ones [2]). The expected anisotropy was estimated (Figs.1,3) by the expected particle number on a large solid angle as in the case of experimental data (in detail see [6]). The given anisotropy appears to be 2-3 times less than the expected one determined on intensity, though they coincide in phase. Note that the account of the inhomogeneous distribution of sources in the disc changes weekly the estimated anisotropy [6]. The observed ratio of showers equator-pole on Yakutsk array data [5] (Fig.3) is decreased due to the exposition time of regions of sky [5].

We estimated the expected gradient of particles on galactic latitude (Fig.2, see also [9]) from calculated lengths of trajectories in galactic disc using formally the obtained dependence of particle number upon trajectory lengths [6] (in the case of small solid angle, $\Delta b=10^{\circ}, \Delta l \sim 120^{\circ}$, we can not strictly use the this dependence) ${ }^{2}$

On $\chi^{2}$-criterium we compared the observed event number on right ascension [5] with the expected one in the case of protons from sources in the disc. We supposed that the observed number of events is a sum of anisotropic galactic (G-portion) and isotropic extragalactic cosmic ray components. In the Table are shown $G$ at which $\chi^{2}$ is minimum, in brackets upper limits of $G$.


Fig.3. The ratio of showers equator-pole. Dashed line - expected in the case of protons from sources in the disc

|  |  | Table |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{eV}}$ | Number of <br> showers | $\mathrm{G}, \%$ |
| $2,1 \cdot 10^{18}$ | 3147 | $80(100)$ |
| $6,7 \cdot 10^{18}$ | 256 | $100(100)$ |
| $1,7 \cdot 10^{19}$ | 115 | $100(100)$ | On results of compa-

rison of the observed and
expect anisotropies on am-
plitude ari phase of the
$1-s t$ harmonic, on ratio of
the number of showers equa-
tor-pole, on $\chi^{2}$-criterium
the particles with energies
up to $10{ }^{19}$ eV can be consi-
dered of galactic origin.

Our detailed calcula-
tions on galactic model show [2,6] that at energies above $10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$ the maximum of particle arrival from the high galactic latitudes is not expected. The observed excess of particles from the high latitudes [4,5,7,10]at energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}>2 \cdot 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$, to be more accurate, from direction of centre of the Local supercluster to be probably caused by extragalactic sources.

Conclusion. The particles with energies up to $10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$
are of galactic origin and above $2 \cdot 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ are rather of extragalactic origin.
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