414

THE BUCKLAND PARK AIR SHOWER ARRAY

R.W. Clay, C.L. Corani, J.R. Patterson, B.R. Dawson, P.G. Edwards, A.G. Gregory, J.R. Prescott, S.D. Elton, and D. Ciampa.

Physics Department, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia. 5000.

ABSTRACT

The new Buckland Park Air Shower Array has been producing analysed shower data since July 1984. The array is described and some preliminary performance figures are presented.

1. Introduction. The Buckland Park array has recently been upgraded with the addition of new scintillators with the result that its shower size response has been extended to ~ 10^4 particles at threshold. The array now detects events at a mean rate of ~ 1/10s. The main purposes of the new array are to continue the study of point sources of ultra high energy gamma rays¹,² in the southern hemisphere and the properties of cosmic ray air showers with sizes less than 10^5 particles.

2. Array Description. The design considerations and predictions are given in ref. 4. Briefly, the major considerations in optimizing the



Fig. 1 Array layout

rates of detection of small air showers were the need to increase the ground area covered by closely-spaced detectors and to increase the individual detector areas so as to minimize sampling fluctuations.

The array consists of 27 scintillators arranged as shown in figure 1. New scintillators have been added to the old array³ to increase the density of detectors near the centre where eight detectors Al, Bl, C, Dl, El, D, S and T have also been increased in size from 1 m^2 to 2.25 m².

The detectors are scintillators of 50 mm thickness housed pyramidal enclosures⁴ which in are then housed in thermally insulated galvanised iron huts. All detectors contain a particle density measuring photo-(RCA multiplier 8055 general purpose 120 mm tube or

equivalent) which feeds a pre-amplifier at the detector before signal transmission to the central laboratory. Saturation occurs at ~ 300 particle level Eleven of the detectors have, in addition, a fast timing photo-multiplier (Philips XP2040) which directly drives 50 ohm cable (RG8) to the central laboratory. Fast timing detectors were added at sites S and T to enable directions to be found for small showers falling nearby and to improve the angular accuracy in the north-south plane for medium and larger showers falling near the centre. Two further slow detectors will soon be added as indicated in figure 1 and fast timing introduced in X and W detectors to improve directional measurement of showers in the east-west plane.

An event is recognized when any two of the 19 inner amplitude measuring detectors trigger at the threshold of 2 particles, (each of which has an individual rate ~ 0.5 Hz) and any two of the fast timing detectors also trigger. (Their individual rates are ~ 100Hz). The slow system thresholds are set well above those in the fast system to ensure that, in most cases, the fast detectors trigger as closely as possible to the start of the photomultiplier pulse and reduce timing uncertainties associated with the fast system rise time ($\sigma_{t} \sim 5 \text{ns}$). Α further result is that except for the smallest of showers, there are normally many more than two fast detectors triggered and directions can be found with timing redundancy. The final trigger rate is ~ 8000 events day^{-1} .

The density measuring channels have final pulse shapes which are quite long (rise ~ 100ns, fall ~ 5μ s) and these pulses are fed to CAMAC Peak-sensing ADCs (LeCroy 2259A) which are gated by the array trigger. The array data is calibrated and partly analyzed (for angles of incidence) by a Nova mini-computer system. Output is presently recorded on magnetic tape for later analysis and one 2400 foot tape lasts about five days. The resolved single particle peaks of all detectors are monitored regularly, normally when each tape is changed.

The relative times of arrival of the fast timing pulses are measured with ~ 1 ns bit resolution using a CAMAC Time to Digital Convertor (LeCroy 2228A). Our previous practice had been to start the TDC off using a discriminator output from the central detector, the others being delayed a fixed amount to act as stops. With our more loose coincidence system we now do not specify any particular fast channel to be the TDC start channel. Instead the fast coincidence output triggers the TDC start. In order to ensure that all pulses will be within the range of the TDC, there is a further monostable delay of ~ 200 ns in each channel after the discriminator and before the TDC stop. These delays appear to be inherently quite stable and do not suffer from any bandwidth limitations of delay cable.

Any combination of fast timing detectors may trigger on a given event. We need to find one timing detector which has been triggered and calculate all usable (non-collinear) time differences after subtraction of the known delays. It is then straightforward to calculate the zenith and azimuth angles for the shower axis. At the same time, right ascension, declination and Julian time are calculated for the event. The chi-square fitting parameter for a planar shower front is consistent

416

with a directional accuracy ~ 2.5/cos θ degrees where most detectors are triggered. The worst cases will be for small showers triggering only our inner 2.25 m² detectors. In these cases, we have a detector spacing of only 8m and directions are expected to have uncertainties $\geq 10^{\circ}$.

<u>3</u> Preliminary Results. The array detects showers with analysed sizes down to 10^4 particles and with our present preliminary shower analysis we have a median shower size ~ 0.9×10^5 particles. Figure 2 shows a preliminary graph of the size distribution for analysed showers over the whole array and compares it to the performance of the old array. We are beginning to develop our directional analysis system and Table 1 shows a sky map with the accumulation of events for several sidereal days in which only showers with at least five measured times are used. The map shows the extent of sky coverage available from Buckland Park.



Fig. 2 Size Distribution for all events accepted by whole array. The events are analysed using the NKG function and MINUIT⁵ program package.

References

- 1. Protheroe, R.J., Clay, R.W. and Gerhardy, P.R., 1984, Ap. J. (Lett.) 280, L47.
- 2. Protheroe, R.J. and Clay, R.W., (1985) Nature (in the press)
- 3. Crouch, P.C., Gerhardy, P.R., Patterson, J.R., Clay, R.W. and Gregory, A.G. (1981) Nucl. Inst. Meth., <u>179</u>, 467.
- Clay, R.W., Corani, C.L., Dawson, B.R., Gregory, A.G., Patterson, J.R., and Prescott, J.R., (1983) Proc. 18th Int. C.R.C. (Bangalore), 6, 257.
- 5. James, F. and Roos, M., 1975, Computer Phys. Comm. 10, 343.

DECLINATION (DEGREES)

TABLE 1

Sky map showing typical coverage of the southern sky. The median shower size is 0.9×10^5 particles.

RIGHT ASCENSION (HOURS)

ŧ

-85