SINGLE PARTICLE MOMENTUM AND ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS IN HADRON-HADRON COLLISIONS AT ULTRAHIGH ENERGIES

T. T. Chou Department of Physics University of Georgia Athens, GA 30602, USA

Chen Ning Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics State University of New York Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

1. Introduction. The forward-backward charged multiplicity distribution $P(n_F, n_B)$ of events in the 540 GeV $\bar{p}p$ collider has been extensively studied by the UAS Collaboration. It was pointed out that the distribution with respect to $n = n_F + n_B$ satisfies approximate KNO scaling and that with respect to $Z = n_F - n_B$ is binomial [1]. The geometrical model of hadron-hadron collision interprets [2] the large multiplicity fluctuation as due to the widely different nature of collisions at different impact parameters b. For a single impact parameter b, the collision in the geometrical model should exhibit stochastic behavior. This separation of the stochastic and non-stochastic (KNO) aspects of multiparticle production processes gives conceptually a lucid and attractive picture of such collisions [3], leading to the concept of partition temperature T_P and the single particle momentum spectrum to be discussed in detail below.

2. Description of Model. Assuming the separation of stochastic from non-stochastic aspects of collision to remain valid as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we expect [1] that the distribution in the two-dimensional $(n_F/\bar{n}) - (n_B/\bar{n})$ plane would become more and more concentrated in a narrow region. For 540 GeV $\bar{p}p$ collisions this region is in the form of an ellipse as shown in Fig. 1(a). When \bar{n} becomes large, it becomes thinner and eventually collapses into a line segment (Fig. 1(b)). This line segment is a collection of points, at each of which $n_F \approx n_B$ and both n_F and n_B fluctuate only to the extent of $\sqrt{n_F}$ (i.e., like a stochastic distribution). For example; if $n = 2 \times 10^6$, then n_F could easily be as small as 0.5×10^6 or as large as 2×10^6 . But in either case, one can predict that $n_B \approx n_F$ with fractional errors of the order $(\bar{n})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sim 10^{-3}$.

Accepting this picture for very high energies, we see that for fixed n_F , the distribution of n_B is stochastic. How then is the energy partitioned in the backward hemisphere? We shall assume that the energy partition for each hemisphere for a fixed $z = (n_F + n_B)/\bar{n}$ is also stochastic but subject to a number of conditions: (a) that the total energy of all outgoing particles on each side is E_0h , (b) Bloch-Nordsieck factor d^3p/E for each particle, and (c) transverse momentum (p_T) cutoff factor $g(p_T)$. In other words, the probability distribution for central particles on each side will be taken as

$$\delta(\sum_{i} E_{i} - E_{0}h) \prod_{i} (d^{3} p_{i}/E_{i}) g(p_{Ti})$$
(1)

where $E_0 = \sqrt{s}/2$, $E_0(1-h) =$ total energy of all leading particles, and i = 1,2,... ranges over all the particles (positive, negative, and neutral) on one side minus the leading particles. h is a parameter that describes the fraction of E_0 that fragments into <u>all</u> particles in the central region.

Now the mathematical problem (1) is well-known in statistical mechanics as describing a microcanonical ensemble. By the well-known Darwin-Fowler method the single particle distribution of such an ensemble is given by the canonical ensemble:

$$dn = K (d^{3}p/E) g(p_{T}) exp(-E/T_{p})$$
(2)

where T_p will be called the partition temperature and K is a normalization constant. Notice that all particles, positive, negative, and neutral, kaons, nucleons as well as pions, share the same T_p .

3. Comparison with Experimental Angular Distribution at 540 GeV. As Fig. 1(a) shows, at the 540 $\overline{p}p$ collider, the distribution is still an ellipse. We shall nevertheless test the validity of (2) at 540 GeV by evaluating the single particle angular distribution from it. We write

$$dn/d\eta = 2\pi K \sin^2 \theta \int_0^{E_0 h} p^2 (dp/E) g(psin\theta) exp(-E/T_p)$$
(3)

where $\eta = pseudo-rapidity$, cosh $\eta = csc\theta$, and

$$g(psin\theta) = exp(-\alpha psin\theta).$$
 (4)



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram for forward-backward multiplicity distribution at very high energies. (a) The contour lines represent $P(n_F, n_B)$ at constant fractions of its maximum value at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV where $\bar{n} \approx 29$. (b) The same contour lines degenerate to straight lines for extremely large \bar{n} .



Fig. 2 Calculated and experimental dn/dn vs. n at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV.



Fig. 3 Calculated dn/dn versus η at $\sqrt{s} = 2$ TeV and 40 TeV.

45

HE 1.2-1

We take α to be equal to 5.25(GeV/c)⁻¹. Only pions are included in this calculation. The angular distribution is evaluated from (3) and compared with the results [4] of UA5 in Fig. 2. It is found that the UA5 curve for each multiplicity n is well fitted by (3) for one value of T_p . We emphasize that there are no adjustable parameters in this computation, the cutoff α having been taken from experiments [5] concerning p_T distributions. The parameter h and normalization constant K are both determined from the curves themselves.

We conclude that the angular distribution (3) that results from (2) is in excellent agreement with experiment. Furthermore, we believe (2) would give a complete description of the single particle momentum distribution for central particles.

4. Extrapolation to Higher Energies. We made extrapolations of the angular distribution to $\sqrt{s} = 2$ TeV (Tevatron) and 40 TeV (SSC). The assumptions made in these computations are as follows: (i) The values of α for these energies are taken to be 5.0 and 4.4 (GeV/c)⁻¹, respectively [5]. (ii) The parameter h is taken to be a function of the KNO variable $z = n/\bar{n}$ only. (iii) The values of \bar{n}_{ch} for these energies are taken to be 41 and 78, respectively, by extrapolation. The results are presented in Fig. 3.

5. Acknowledgments. This work is supported in part by the U.S. DOE Grant No. DE-FG09-84ER40160, and by the U.S. NSF Grant No. PHY 81-09110 A01.

References

1. T. T. Chou and C. N. Yang, Phys. Lett. 135B, 175 (1984).

2. T. T. Chou and C. N. Yang, Phys. Lett. 116B, 301 (1982).

3. T. T. Chou, C. N. Yang, and E. Yen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 510 (1985).

4. J. G. Rushbrooke, in Proc. XIV Int. Symp. Multiparticle Dynamics, Lake Tahoe, 1983, edited by J. F. Gunion and P. M. Yager (World Scientific, 1984).

5. J. G. Rushbrooke, in Proc. DPF Workshop on pp Options for the Supercollider, Chicago, 1984.

46