HADRONS REGISTRATION IN EMULSION CHAMBER WITH CARBON BLOCK

A. Tomaszewski and Z. Włodarczyk

Institute of Physics, University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland and Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University Kielce 25-509, Lesna 16, Poland

NEC in X-ray emulsion chambers with carbon block, which are usually used in the "Pamir" experiment, was Monte-Carlo simulated.Going over from optical density to ΣE_r is discussed. Role NEC in the interpretation of energy spectra is analysed.

<u>1.Introduction.</u> As a result of the nuclear-electromagnetic cascade (NEC) in C-layer and Pb-layer of haderonic block, we get a spot on X-ray film of emulsion chamber and then we get several optical densities of the spot corresponding to several radii of a photometer diaphragm. General methodical problem of the hadronic block measurements is how to obtain a value of energy of the hadron - E_0 (or energy of electro-magnetic component of a cascade initiated by the hadron- ΣE_{σ}) from the data of the optical densities D.

2.Simulation Assumptions. Spots of the individual NEC were simulated. We took into account a chamber consisting of 6 cm Pb gamma block $(0.35\lambda, 10.5 \text{ c.u.})$ and hadronic block having 65 cm C-generator $(0.95\lambda, 2.7 \text{ c.u.})$ and 4 cm Pb-layer $(0.23\lambda$ 7.0 c.u.). The calculation were divided into following parts: a.For purely proton spectrum with integral slope S=2, NEC in the chamber was Monte - Carlo simulated, useing scaling model b.For each particles of electromagnetic component at energy $E_{g} > 0.05$ TeV the mean cascade function for electron density $S(E_{g},r,t)$ at depths t was used. Target diagramme was constructed on the area 300x300 μ ² with cells 12x12 μ ² each. c.The electron density diagramme was transformed to the flux of light diagramme, assumed the characteristic curve D(r) = $4.0\{1-\exp[-3.25 S(r)]\}$ of X-ray film. At a position of diaphragm for X-ray film at depth 4 cm of Pb-layer was obtained.



Fig.2. Scatter plot of ΣE_{δ} versus E for R=140 µm and $\Delta t=0$. Dasched line is the dependence for the case $\Delta t = -2.0$ c.u.





<u>3. Pesults and Discussion.</u> Mean cascade curves for D_R as a function of the energy ΣE_{δ}^R (for radii of photometer diaphragm R=48 and 140 µm) are ploted in Figure 1. The difference between the densities given by NEC in chamber and the ones corresponding to electron cascade is significant at energies above 30 TeV. The present calculation shows the much stronger difference than the one pointed out by [1] (the difference in the C-block thick is negligible at high energy, see Fig.5)

In Figure 2 we presented scatter plot of ΣE_{δ} and of energy E obtained useing the "average transition curves" for e⁺e⁻ (recorded by diaphragm with R=140 µm). The energy ΣE_{δ} depend on E as $\Sigma E_{\delta} = 10^{B} E^{A}$. Then the observed spectrum $N(>E)=C \ 10^{-B\delta} E^{(1-A)\delta} E^{-\delta}$ should be flatten by power index of $(1-A)\delta$ than the injection spectrum on ΣE_{δ} : $N(>\Sigma E_{\delta})=C(\Sigma E_{\delta})^{-\delta}$. The quantities $(1-A)\delta$ and $10^{-B\delta}$ are presented in Figure 3 (at $\Delta t=0$). Figure 4 shows the integral spectra of ΣE_{δ} and E

Roughly, it is possible to estimate the energy spectra took into account the thick of carbon layer Δt penetrated by NEC. Nevertheless this manner is dangerous, because deepen in NEC development the difference is not so significant (see Figure 4, curve for $\Theta = 45 \text{ deg}$: t = 4.0/cos(45)Pb).

Mean cascade curves for optical density as a function of hadron energy E_0 re ploted in Figure 5. The difference between present calculation and Kanevski [2] data are caused by the difference in transition $\sum E_{\kappa} \rightarrow D$ mentioned above.

<u>4.Conclusion</u>. Useing $D(\geq E_{\varsigma})$ corresponding to e⁺e⁻ cascade or these calculated by [1,2] provide to flattenens of the observed hadron spectrum and decreases of attenuation m.f.p. (calculated from zenith angle distribution) at $\geq E_{r} > 30$ TeV.

References

 [1] Kanevsky B.L., in:Electron-Photon Cascade at High Energy Cosmic Rays, Moscow (1980), in Russian
[2] Kanevsky B.L., et al., 17 th ICRC, 5, 335, Paris (1981) and Moscow State University Report-in Russian
[3] Michalak W., Acta University of Lodz, <u>60</u>, 137, Lodz (1977)