NASA-CR-178,080

NASA Contractor Report 178080

NASA-CR-178080 19860014150

THERMAL MODELING OF A METALLIC THERMAL PROTECTION TILE FOR ENTRY VEHICLES

Michael R. Wiese

Computer Sciences Corporation Hampton, Virginia

Contract NAS1-17999 April 1986

LIBRARY COPY

And a second sec

HOT TO BE KNOW YEAR NOT BODIE

APR 2 9 1986

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA MAMPTON, VIRGINIA



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665 3 1176 01309 0270

.

.

.

•

ENTER:

DISPLAY 44/6/1 86N23621*# ISSUE 14 PAGE 2241 CATEGORY 18 RPT#: NASA-CR-178080 NAS 1.26:178080 CNT*: NAS1-17999 86/04/00 24 PAGES UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENT UTTL: Thermal modeling of a metallic thermal protection tile for entry vehicles AUTH: AZWIESE, M. R. CORP: Computer Sciences Corp., Hampton, Va. AVAIL.NTIS SAP: HC A02/MF A01 CIO: INITED STATES MAJS: /*COMPUTER PROGRAMS/*DESIGN ANALYSIS/*PREDICTION ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES/* SPACE SHUTTLES/*THERMAL PROTECTION PMINS: / HEAT TRANSMISSION/ INPUT/OUTPUT ROUTINES/ MODELS/ REUSABLE HEAT SHIELDING/ TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE ABA: Author

SUMMARY

This paper describes the development and usage of the Thermal Energy Flow Simulation (TEFS) computer program. The program's function is to simulate transient heat conduction in composite solids and accurately predict interfacial temperatures.

Development of this program was undertaken in order to analytically test the thermal characteristics of a proposed thermal protection tile system for the Space Shuttle Orbiter. This tile configuration (referred to as a Shell Tile*) consists of an outer metallic shell which is filled with multi-layered flexible insulation. The objective was to determine individual transient temperatures between layers of dissimilar insulation materials and to accurately predict the peak temperature of the underlying thermally protected aluminum structure. A major assumption was that (along with each material layer's thermal properties) the only known variable is the temperature of the outer surface.

The thermal protection tile system was heat tested and a time history of the interfacial temperatures was recorded. Using the TEFS program, a computer simulation was conducted to analytically determine the temperature distribution. The computer simulation provided a peak aluminum temperature that was within 4% of the actual.

*United States patent number 4,456,208 dated June 26, 1984 entitled "Shell Tile Thermal Protection System".

N86-23601#

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTION	•	1
PROGRAM INPUT/OUTPUT	•	2
PROGRAM UNITS	. •	4
ANALYTICAL VS RECORDED DATA	•	5
THEORETICAL MODELING	•	17
CONCLUSIONS	•	19
REFERENCES	•	20

INTRODUCTION

A new thermal protection system, referred to as a Shell Tile, has been proposed for possible application to future entry vehicles. In order to optimize the tile thickness via an analytical model, the Thermal Energy Flow Simulation (TEFS) computer program was developed. The unique feature of this program is its ability to accurately simulate the thermal characteristics of a composite solid when the only data available are the top surface time dependent temperature and the materials' thermal properties.

The basis for the TEFS program is the THERMAL RESPONSE FACTOR (RESFAC) program used in NASA'S ENERGY COST ANALYSIS PROGRAM (NECAP). In both TEFS and RESFAC, the equation of heat conduction for unsteady state/linear flow is solved using the Laplace transformation method. This method was used due to its:

- 1) Direct application to composite solids.
- 2) Easy input requirements.
- 3) Fast computer execution time.

A detailed analysis of the method can be found in references 1 and 2.

Note that the following assumptions govern the use of the Laplace transformation method as used in TEFS:

- 1) Heat transfer is by conduction in one dimension.
- 2) No contact resistance exists between material layers.
- 3) The composite solid is initially at a uniform temperature.
- 4) Values of temperature dependent thermal properties are determined by the temperature at the top of the respective material layer.
- 5) The last layer of the composite solid is either an air or vacuum gap which is held constant at the initial temperature.

In order to obtain an accurate simulation, the following criteria should be observed:

- 1) Thick materials should be subdivided.
- 2) The last material of the model being analyzed should be an air/vacuum gap whose only thermal property is a constant resistance.
- 3) Any material which has negligible thermal mass should have resistance as its only thermal property.
- The time step selected for the simulation should accurately reflect the supply temperature's duration.
 Temperature dependent thermal properties should be input
- 5) Temperature dependent thermal properties should be input such that the temperature range being simulated is accurately represented.

PROGRAM INPUT/OUTPUT

Two input files are required for the TEFS program. The first file, accessed under file name MODEL, contains the temperature dependent thermal properties of each material layer comprising the composite solid. File two, accessed under file name SUPPLY, contains values defining the thermal simulation. Note that data in both files are free formatted.

The contents of file MODEL are:

Item Description N, IU integer number of material N: layers; maximum of 10 integer units flag IU: 0 for English, 1 for Metric $I_1, I_2, ..., I_N$ Integer number of temperature variations in layers l(top),2,...,N(bottom); maximum of 20 for each layer; note that a layer having a constant resistance must be entered as a -1

NOTE: Repeat the following for all material layers, proceeding from top layer to bottom

Type of material used in the ath layer; alphanumeric data with a maximum of 80 characters

NOTE: The following input is required for each temperature variation in the ath layer

W,K,D,S,T	If layer has thermal mass, enter real values for: thickness W, density D, conductivity K, specific heat S, temperature T
or	

Layer has no thermal mass, enter real value for: constant resistance R

The contents of file SUPPLY are:

Item

R

LABEL

Description

Real value of time increment between supply temperature pulses

 $\mathsf{D}\mathbf{T}$

Item NP

Integer value of number of supply temperature pulses; maximum of 100

NP real values of supply $TS_1, TS_2, \ldots, TS_{NP}$ temperature pulses from TS initial through TS end

The output file from TEFS is named SIMUL and contains the following:

- 1)
- Echo of input file MODEL Echo of input file SUPPLY 2)
- Tabular presentation of simulated temperatures at the 3) top of each material layer for the number (NP) of time steps (DT).

Depending on the value of the units flag IU, the appropriate units for the variables in the input files MODEL and SUPPLY and the output file SIMUL are:

Item	English	Metric
W	ft	m
D	lb/ft ³	kg/m ³
К	Btu/h-ft-F	W/m-K
S	Btu/lb-F	W-s/kg-K
Т	F	К
R	h-ft ² -F/Btu	m ² -K/W
DT	S	S
TS	F	К

ANALYTICAL VS RECORDED DATA

A new design for a thermal protection tile has been investigated as a possible alternative to the current space shuttle orbiter tile system or as a heat shield for future aerospace transports. This new design differs significantly from the currently used tiles. Rather then use rigidized ceramic tiles, the proposed design consists of individual metallic shells which are filled with layers of low density flexible insulation. Figure 1 contains a description of this metallic shell concept.

Heating experiments have been conducted on this tile design in order to obtain temperature profiles of the materials' interface regions. Using program TEFS, an analytical solution was obtained. The TEFS input files MODEL and SUPPLY are listed in TABLES I and II respectively; output file SIMUL is presented in TABLE III. A graphics comparison between recorded and analytical data is shown in Figures 2 through 7.

The computer simulation, run interactively on a Control Data Corporation CYBER 160/170 series computer, required 40 CPU seconds and 73000 (octal) 60-bit words of memory to execute.

Probable causes of the discrepencies between recorded data and the analytical solution are:

- 1) Computer simulation is one dimensional heat conduction.
- 2) Thermal properties are ideal.
- 3) There is an initial temperature gradient within the model.
- 4) Uneven heating rate exists due to staggered pattern of heating elements.
- 5) Thermocouple inaccuracies, especially at low temperatures.





TABLE I. INPUT FILE MODEL

70					
17 17 1	7 17 8 4 -1	L			
TOP	RENE				_
0.00042	5.1993	512.0	0,0987	0.0	100.0
0.00042	5.8925	512.0	0.103	0.0	200.0
0.00042	6.5858	512.0	0.1072	Ø.Ø	300.0
0.00042	7.279	512.0	0.1114	0.0	400.0
0.00042	7.9723	512.0	0.1157	Ø.Ø	500.0
0.00042	8.6655	512.0	0.12	0.0	600.0
0.00042	9.1709	512.0	0.1242	0.0	700.0
0.00042	9.6763	512.0	0.1285	0.0	800.0
0.00042	10.182	512.0	0.1327	Ø.Ø	900.0
0.00042	10.687	512.0	0.137	0.0	1000.0
0.00042	11.193	512.0	Ø.1412	Ø.Ø	1100.0
0.00042	11.698	512.0	Ø.1455	Ø.C	1200.0
0.00042	12.203	512.0	0.1492	0.0	1300.0
0.00042	12.709	512.0	0.1521	0.0	1400.0
0.00042	13.214	512.0	Ø.1549	0.0	1500.0
0.00042	13.72	512.0	Ø.1577	0.0	1600.0
0.00042	14.225	512.0	0.1605	0.0	1700.0
TOF	SHELL QUAR	TZ INSUL			
0.0625	0.0108	3.0	0.18	Ø.Ø	100.0
0.0625	0.0117	3.0	0.2	0.0	200.0
0.0625	0.0154	3.0	0.215	Ø.Ø	300.0
0.0625	0.019	3.0	0.23	0.0	400.0
0.0625	0.0227	3.0	0.24	0.0	500.0
0.0625	0.0263	3.0	Ø.25	Ø.Ø	600.0
0.0625	0.03	3.0	0.255	Ø.Ø	700.0
0.0625	0.03371	3.0	Ø.26	Ø.Ø	800.0
0.0625	0.0383	3.0	0.265	0.0	900.0
0.0625	0.0428	3.0	Ø.27	0.0	1000.0
0.0625	0.0496	3.0	Ø.275	0.0	1100.0
0.0625	0.0564	3.0	0.28	Ø.Ø	1200.0
0.0625	0.0632	3.Ø	Ø.285	0.0	1300.0
0.0625	0.07	3.Ø	0.29	Ø.Ø	1400.0
0.0625	Ø.0788	3.0	0.295	Ø.Ø	1500.0
0.0625	0.0875	3.0	0.3	Ø.0	1600.0
0.0625	0.0963	3.0	Ø.305	Ø.Ø	1700.0
MID	DLE SHELL Q	UARTZ INS	BUL.		:
0.0625	0.0108	3.0	Ø.18	0.0	100.0
0.0625	0.0117	3. Ø	0.2	Ø.Ø	200.0
0.0625	0.0154	3.0	0.215	0.0	S100 . K
0.0625	0.019	3.0	0.23	Ø.0	41/0121.10
0.0625	0.0227	3.0	0.24	0.0 	500.0
0.0625	0.0263	3.0	0.25	Ø.Ø	200 ° N

TABLE I. (cont.)

Ļ

8

D DA75	D. D.3	3.0	0.255	0.0	700.0
0.0625	0.03371	3.0	0.26	Ø.Ø	800.0
0 0625	Ø. Ø383	3.0	0.265	0.0	900.0
0.0625	0.0428	3.0	0.27	0.0	1000.0
0.0625	0.0496	3.0	Ø.275	0.0	1100.0
0 0625	0.0564	3.0	0.28	0.0	1200.0
0 0625	Ø.0632	3.0	0.285	0.0	1300.0
0.0625	0.07	3.0	0.29	0.0	1400.0
0.0020 0.0625	Ø. 0788	3.0	0.295	0.0	1500.0
0.0025	0.0875	3.0	0.3	0.0	1600.0
0.0025	0.0963	3.0	0.305	0.0	1700.0
0.200.0 1770a	NM SHELL D	HARTZ INSL	JL	•	
0 0425	01 012CC 0	3.0	0.18	0.0	100.0
0.0625	0 0117	3.0	0.2	0.0	200.0
0.0425	0 0154	3.0	0.215	0.0	300,0
0.0020	0.019	3.0	0.23	0.0	400.0
0.0020	0.0777	3.0	0.24	0.0	500.0
0.0023	0.0243	2.12 7.12	0.25	0.0	600.0
0.0020	0.0%	3.0	0.255	0.0	700.0
0.0020	0.00 0.03371	ा छ उ. वि	0.26	0.0	800.0
0.0020	0.00071	3.0	0.265	0.0	900.Ø
0.0623	0.0408	τ.Ω	0.27	0.0	1000.0
	0.0496	T (A	0.275	Ø.Ø	1100.0
0.0320	0.0544	्र २ (प्रे	0 28	0.0	1200.0
0.0620	0.0004	5.0 TS 01	Ø. 285	0.0	1300.0
0.0620	0.0002	3.01 7.01	0.20	0.0	1400.0
0.0620	0.07 00	-3 a KO 177 (75	0 005	0.0	1500.0
0.0625	0.0788	ः <i>छ</i> उ. 0	D: 1.70 D: 1.70	0.0	1600.0
0.0620	0.0070	3.0	0 305	0.0	1700.0
0.0020 NOME					
	A FELI VI 0 0100	E A	0.312	Ø. Ø	100.0
0.0133	0.0170	5.4	0.32	0.0	200.0
0.0133	0.0202	5.4		0.0	300.0
0.0133	0.0200	し。-1 転 /		0.0	400.0
0.0133	0.0304	E A	0 340	0.0	500.0
0.0155	0.0347	5.4	0, 345	0.0	602.0
0.0133	0.037	U.** E /i	0.35	0.0	700.0
0.0133	0.0434		(7) TL	0.0	800.0
0.0133		0.4 570.00	UMTION	€.2 th €.2	
ALUr	TINUM SIRUU		0 200	(2) (2)	100.0
0.02	78.0	170.0	αγ ματαπατατού 175 −120 1	200 (2) (2)	200.4
0.02	84.0	170.0	iona at un De la comparte	63 × 63 [7] [2]	390 B
0.02	913.VI	1.7.02.00	121 and 2010 and 2010 172 and 2010 and 2010	(2) (2) (2) (2)	406.6
0.02	M/LZ Second motion	170.0 Strong to U	NA MARKANA MARKANANA	Kara Kot	 Manager Boots F
ALUI	ATMUM REPUT	ALTON TO V	Multiple (46.8	
				Court 11 Mart	

60.0 28 65.25 186.7 795.1 706.4 1065.8 994.8 1230.0 1478.4 1614.3 1637.9 1654.9 1663.6 1647.8 1647.4 1652.6 1658.4 1655.9 1477.6 1293.0 1070.0 915.4 809.7 731.9 670.7 620.8 579.7 544.5 514.6 TABLE III. OUTPUT FILE SIMUL

----- ECHO OF INPUT FILE MODEL -----

	4000 Berr B	ECHO OF	INPUT FILE	SUPPLY	98-1	
TIME		TEN	PERATURE AT	TOP OF LAY	ER	
	i	2	3	4	5	6
	1	*	-			
Ø	65.250	65.250	65.250	65.250	65.250	65.250
60	186.700	186.687	65.629	65.250	65.250	65.250
120	795.100	795.037	72.873	65.267	65.305	65.250
180	706.400	706.395	135.139	65.710	45.265	65.252
240	1065.800	1065.753	298.391	68.758	65.314	65.255
300	994.800	994.786	493,791	82.068	65.871	65.252
360	1230.000	1229.963	664.203	111.341	65.935	65.264
420	1478.400	1478,355	827.058	163.424	67.477	65.292
480	1614.300	1614,261	1046-753	270.142	70.122	65,395
540	1637,900	1637.872	1239,183	471.641	75.749	65.600
600	1654.900	1654.878	13:6.532	637,205	85.428	66.Ø37
660	1663.600	1663.580	1308.394	823.638	103.338	6 6. 886
720	1647.800	1647.784	1343-357	940.411	134.277	68 . 469
780	1647.400	1647.384	1361 E61	1014.253	176.813	71.210
846	1652,600	1652.595	1378.793	1045.349	222.282	75,443
900	1658.400	1658.385	1391.846	1007.104	263.437	E1, 214
960	1655.900	1655,887	1398,751	1059.317	297.873	83,563
1020	1477.600	1477.606	1375.049	1055.764	323.115	96.6 51
1080	1293.000	1293.012	1299.525	1036.068	339.774	105.760
1140	1070.000	1070.021	1192.310	995.315	348.173	115.339
1200	915.400	915,418	1969.564	935.261	347.975	132.005
1260	809.700	809.715	957.877	863-837	340.490	134.470
1320	731.900	731,912	£67.834	770.754	328.779	145.339
1380	670.700	670.7:0	. 762.520	721.848	315.724	151.420
1440	620.800	620.808	714 .28 8	659.623	303.00C	159.466
1500	579,700	579.707	626.117	664.682	291.442	165.106
1560	544,500	544.505	606.38i	556.880	281.328	172.001
1620	514.600	514.604	567.691	515.592	272.667	175,843

Note: See figure 1 for locations of temperature simulations.

10

.



TIME (SECONDS)

Figure 2. Supply Temperature to Top of Shell



Figure 3. Top of Insulation Pack 1



Figure 4. Top of Insulation Pack 2



Figure 5. Top of Insulation Pack 3



Figure 6. Top of Felt Pad



Ł.

Figure 7. Top of Aluminum Structure

THEORETICAL MODELING

The results obtained from both the heat chamber test and computer simulation show that the peak aluminum temperature of approximately 180 degrees F was well below the allowable maximum of 350. Since the aluminum temperature is the critical area of interest, it is desirable to know the optimum insulation thickness-peak aluminum temperature factor. To obtain this, ten additional computer simulations were conducted, each using a decreased total insulation thickness (rate of reduction was 0.0095 feet per simulation). The results are presented in Figure 8 as an insulation thickness versus peak aluminum temperature operating curve.

This optimization run shows that the tile thickness could be reduced from 2.25 to 1.11 inches without exceeding the allowable 350 degrees F structure temperature. Based on the materials used in the simulation, a 4 tile array which is 2.25 inches thick with a top surface area of one square foot weighs 1.1 pounds. The reduced insulation thickness tile weighs 0.65 pounds, resulting in a weight reduction of about 40%.



Figure 8. Theoretical Operating Curve

CONCLUSIONS

Within the framework of the governing assumptions, TEFS will provide a fast, low cost, accurate simulation of transient heat flow in a composite solid. As a aid in model design, TEFS enables a multitude of configurations to be analyzed in a short period of time, thus significantly reducing actual construction and testing costs.

3

÷

REFERENCES

- 1. Wiese, Michael R.; <u>NECAP 4.1 NASA's Energy Cost Analysis</u> <u>Program - Thermal Response Factor Routine</u>. NASA CR-165982, August 1982.
- 2. Wiese, Michael R.; <u>An Analysis of Thermal Response Factors &</u> <u>How to Reduce Their Computational Time Requirement</u>. NASA CR-165992, August 1982.
- 3. Carslaw, H. S.; and Jaegar, J. C.: <u>Conduction of Heat in</u> <u>Solids</u>. Second ed. Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1959, pp. 109-112, 298-304, 326, 399-401.
- 4. Carslaw, H. S.; and Jaegar, J.C.: <u>Operational Methods in</u> <u>Applied Mathematics</u>. Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1941, pp. 28-32, 53-58.

		······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1. Report No. NASA CR-178080	2. Government Accession No.	3. Reci	pient's Catalog No.		
A Tile and Cutaitle	······	E Page	Art Data		
	Apr:	April 1986			
Thermal Modeling of a Meta for Entry Vehicles	11e 6. Perfo	6. Performing Organization Code			
7. Author(s)		8. Perfo	orming Organization Report No.		
Michael R. Wiese		10 Worl	Unit No		
9. Performing Organization Name and Add	ress				
Computer Sciences Corporat	ion	11. Cont	11. Contract or Grant No.		
Hampton, Virginia 23666			NAS1-17999		
		13. Type	e of Report and Period Covered		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address					
National Appropriation and S	'nnon Administration	Cont	ractor Report		
Washington, DC 20546-0001	space Administration	506	506-40-11-05		
15. Supplementary Notes			<u>a de la composición de</u> En la composición de l		
Langley Technical Monitor:	Ian O. MacConochie				
16. Abstract					
transient heat transfer the temperatures. This paper A simulation of the therma the Space Shuttle Orbiter	arough composite solids an describes the program and al response of a new therm is presented and graphica	d predict inter its usage. al protection t lly compared w	riacial tile design for ith actual data.		
An example is also provide theoretical models.	ed which shows the program	's usage as a c	lesign tool for		
			•••		
			· ·		
			•		
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))	18. Distribu	ution Statement			
Thermal Responsi Factors		• •			
Transient Heat Flow	Uncla	ssified-Unlimit	ted		
Space Shuttle Orbiter		•			
Thermal Protection Tile		Subject	Category 18		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*		
Unclassified	Unclassified	24	A02		
Ear and her	the National Technical Information 0				
N-305 FOI Sale by	the Mational Technical Information Serv	ice, Springfield, Virgin	12 22161		

i

٢

t

k

¥



.

£

ł