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## ABSTRACT

This paper presents a discussion of the basic principles of aerobraking. Typical results are given for the application of aerobraking to orbital capture at Mars, descent to the Mars surface and orbital capture on return to Earth.

## AEROBRAKING

## Introduction

Aerobraking is the use of a planet's atmosphere to dissipate an entry vehicle's orbital energy to achieve a new orbital state or to descend to the planet's surface.

Numerous planetary descents have been successfully executed; however, aerobraking to a new orbit has not been attempted. A reason for this lack of attempts is that is was believed to be extremely difficult, if not impossible. With recent technology advances, aerobraking is still considered difficult, but it is more promising as a useful technology for space missions.

Many parameters with complex interactions must be considered with design of aerobraking systems and it is difficult to say which are the more important. An iterated approach is used in defining complex algorithms to achieve aerobraking trajectories.

## Entry State

The entry state is one of the more important factors. The range of acceptable entry states leading to a successful braking is very limited and is nominally set after a study of the factors shown in figure 1.1 .

The basic parameters of entry state are time, latitude, longitude, altitude, velocity azimuth and flight path angle, the entry vehicle's aerodynamic characteristics and physical constraints (atmospheric structure).


The kinetic and potential energy per unit mass (E) of a vehicle on entry to the atmosphere is expressed as:

$$
E=v^{2} / 2-\mu / R
$$

where $V$ is the entry velocity, and $R$ is the radius with respect to the planet's center, and $\mu$ is the gravitational constant.

Keplerian equations can be used to calculate the entry orbit apogee, perigee, and mean motion. A time of passage from entry to exit (without an atmosphere) can be calculated. This is a lower bound on the actual passage time. In a similar manner, an upper bound can be calculated from the exit state.

Perigee altitude is a major parameter. The actual perigee, in the atmosphere, will be very near this prediction; usually within two nautical miles. Most of the aerobraking will occur in this region. Atmosphere perturbations in this altitude range can have a very large effect on the trajectory.

Exit State
The exit state conditions are usually specified as an altitude leaving the atmosphere, a desired apogee, and in most cases, a desired flight plane. The other orbit parameters can be approximated if the semimajor axis is known. The actual trajectory perigee will be near the entry perigee, and a crude approximation for the exit orbit perigee will also be near the entry perigee. Then the exit apogee and perigee will define an eccentricity, a semimajor axis, the orbit's angular momentum, and energy level. From the energy equation, an approximate exit velocity can be determined.

## Aerobraking Time Limits

Once the entry orbit is known and the exit orbit has been approximated, a lower and upper limit for the aerobraking passage time can be estimated. For aerobraking at Earth, the time in general will be between 3 to 12 minutes.

The aerodynamic characteristics of this vehicle, the vehicle's controls, the predicted atmosphere, the physical constraints and the desired exit conditions are used to design the nominal entry state, and therefore, the aerobraking time. The range of the controls limit the allowable perturbation about this nominal trajectory.

## Aerobraking

The aerodynamic forces are the forces that accomplish aerobraking. These are derived from the atmosphere density the velocity with respect to the atmosphere, the angle of attack, the angle and direction of bank, the lift and drag coefficients, and the vehicle's aerodynamics area and weight. It must be emphasized that once an entry has commenced, the actual passage through the atmosphere is within a narrow corridor and a slight deviation up or down in altitude can change the exit apogee drastically. See Figure 1.2 for a graph and table of density changes with altitude.

## TRAJECTORY DESIGN

## Goals and Physical Constraints

The goals of aerobraking are mission dependent. In both the aerobraking at Mars and at Earth, the desired exit state is an orbit around the planet, with a specified apogee. Typically, the desired orbit must be compatible with that of a transfer vehicle to return to a space station or planetary surface. During the aerobraking phase, physical constraints of aerodynamic heating, aerodynamic pressure and deceleration must be observed.

The deceleration profile is generally bell shaped and follows the atmosphere density profile encountered in the trajectory down and back up through the atmosphere. An approximation for the average acceleration (a) can be obtained from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta V=V \text { exit }-V \text { entry } \\
& a=\Delta V /(\text { time of passage })
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum is about two and one-half times the average. The dynamic pressure and heating rate profiles are also similar to the density profile. The dynamic pressure ( $P$ ) is estimated by:

$$
P=\rho V^{2} / 2
$$

where $\rho$, and $V$ are the values near perigee.
The heating rate may be approximated by:

$$
\left.\dot{\mathrm{Q}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{n}}}}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\mathrm{SL}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ref}}}\right) 3.15
$$

where $\dot{Q}$ is heating rate, $\rho$ is $k, \rho_{S L}$ and $V_{r e f}$ are derived from those values in Reference 1 , and are $k=17600 ., \rho_{S L}=.076474$,

NEAR AEROBRAKING PERIGEE

## Altitude KM <br> 75 <br> 80 <br> 85

DENSITY
KGN/M
$4.3 \times 10^{-5}$
$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$
$8.0 \times 10^{-6}$

## RELATIVE RATIO <br> 2.1 <br> 1.0 <br> .4



FIGURE 1.2 US62 STANDARD ATMOSPHERE
and $V_{\text {REF }}=26000 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{sec}$. Limits to $P$ and $\dot{Q}$ can be calculated from the entry orbit perigee velocity and the expected density at perigee.

Representative maximum design values are:

| P | $50 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| and |  |$\quad$| Q $\quad 30 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ for a flexible TPS |
| :--- |
| $\dot{\mathrm{Q}} \quad 50 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ for fixed TPS |

## Guidance and Controls

Various guidance algorithms have been and are being investigated. See references 2 and 3. Among the algorithm's under study are: a predictor-corrector that guides to the desired apogee using a deceleration profile; a type which adds prediction of the apogee rate; types that utilize bank angle and also predict the final flight plane; types that use numerical integration of the equations of motion; and others that use closed form analytical approximations. All are designed after a consideration of the entry vehicle and it's aerodynamic characteristics and controls.

With the aerodynamic parameters, the direction of bank ( $L-R$ ), the reversals of bank direction, reversal rates and reversal times (RRT) can be used as control candidates for the guidance algorithm. In designing an algorithm, three types of entry craft may be considered:
I. A variable area vehicle that can fly a deceleration profile but does not have any lateral plane control. Its ability to adjust to the desired deceleration profile is limited by the physical limits of its maximum and minimum area available. Current limits are less than a ratio of 2 to 1 .
II. A fixed area vehicle, but with variable angle of attack, angle of bank and RRT. A typical example of this vehicle is the space Shuttle. It can fly a predetermined profile within its control limits and flight plane control is achieved with the angle of bank and RRT.
III. A fixed area and angle of attack vehicle, with variable angle of bank and RRT. Since $C_{D}=C_{D}(\alpha, M)$ and $\alpha$ is fixed, it can only indirectly fly a deceleration profile. Lift must move the craft to a lower (higher) density region to affect drag. RRT does provide a measure of flight plane control.

All of these are feasible for both Martian and Earth aerobraking The last concept is particularly interesting and is currently being investigated by personnel at MSFC, JSC, C.S. Draper Laboratories and others.

A simple numerical integration predictor corrector algorithm is being used at MSFC to obtain representative trajectories. It iterates the angle of bank, the reversals, and reversal times to obtain the desired exit apogee and flight plane. However, it is not a flight candidate as it takes too long to converge to acceptable values.

## TYPICAL RESULTS

Figure 3.1 shows some of the features of the MSFC simple "bang-bang" algorithm for entry and capture at Mars and at Earth. In figure 3.2, representative graphs of altitude, velocity, density, dynamic pressure, acceleration and heating rates are given for a 3 reversal capture profile.

## Mars Aerobraking Capture

Figure 3.3 and Table 3.1 present results obtained from a 14 reversal entry into the Martian atmosphere. The initial entry is in a medium to high energy, $C_{3}=30 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$, approach orbit. The final orbit is a Molniya type orbit with a 24 hour period. Two assumed Martian atmospheres are given in Table 3.2.

## Mars Descent

Results of a ballistic entry to the Martian surface are given in Table 3.3. No controls were assumed. Deboost at the apoapsis of the parking orbit described in Section 3.1 was assumed.

## Earth Capture

Figure 3.4 and Table 3.4 give results from an entry into the Earth's atmosphere for capture. The initial orbit is a high energy, $C_{3}=81$ $\mathrm{km}^{/ \mathrm{sec}_{2}}$, return orbit from Mars. If aerobraking were used with this high energy orbit, the peak deceleration would be in excess of $5 g$ for over 2 minutes. Therefore, a braking burn 1 hour before entry is used to slow the entry craft. The final orbit shown is 10 nm above the Space Station orbit for rendezvous with an orbital transfer vehicle.
SUMMARY
Aerobraking to dissipate an entry craft's energy to achieve a new orbital state is difficult but possible. Aerobraking time from entry to
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FIGURE 3．2 REPRESENTATIVE CAPTURE PROFILE


1. ENTER ATMOSPHERE
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathbf{2 3 4 2 2} \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC}$
$\mathbf{C}_{3}=\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{KM}^{2} / \mathrm{SEC}^{2}$
PERIAPSIS = 24 NM
2. LEAVE ATMOSPHERE
$V_{R}=14708 \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC}$
ORBIT $24 \times 17814$ NM
3. BURN TO RAISE PERIAPSIS $\Delta V=85$ FT/SEC

ORBIT $268 \times 17814$ NM
24 HOUR PERIOD

## FIGURE 3.3 MARS AEROBRAKING CAPTURE



1. BRAKING BURN
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=81 \mathrm{KM} 2 / \mathrm{SEC} 2$
2. ENTER ATMOSPHERE
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{R}}=36297 \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC}$
$C_{3}=9 \mathrm{KM}^{2} / \mathrm{SEC}^{2}$
PERICEE $=45.2$ NM
3. LEAVE ATMOSPHERE
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{R}}=\mathbf{2 4 8 0 2} \mathrm{FT} / \mathrm{SEC}$
ORBIT $44 \times 350$ NM
4. BURN TO RAISE PERIGEE $\boldsymbol{\Delta V}=\mathbf{4 0 6}$ FT/SEC ORBIT $280 \times 350$ NM
5. BURN TO CIRCULARIZE $\Delta V=118$ FT/SEC
$280 \times 280 \mathrm{Nm}$
FIGURE 3.4 EARTH AEROBRAKING CAPTURE

TABLE 3.1

MARS CAPTURE DATA

TABLE 3.2


TABLE 3.3
MARS DESCENT DATA

| Deboost at Apoapsis (From Capture Orbit) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weight | 135000 lbs |
| ISP | 293 sec |
| Propellant | 1228 lbs |
| Delta-V | $85.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Entry Parameters |  |
| Weight | 133770 lbs |
| W/CDA | $45 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}_{2}$ |
| Altitude | 54 nm |
| Inertial Velocity | $15515.16 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Flight Path Angle | -7.1518 deg |
| Orbit | $22 \times 17814 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Inclination | 1.0 deg |
| Aerodynamic Parameters |  |
| $C_{L}$ | 0 |
| $C_{\text {D }}$ | 1.0 |
| Heat Sheild Area |  |
| Diameter | 50 ft |
| Curvature | 50 ft |
| Atmosphere | Mars Low Density |
| Controls - None - Ballistic Entry |  |
| Maxima |  |
| Heating Rate | $4.4 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{ft}_{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Dynamic Pressure | $64 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}_{2}$ |
| Deceleration | 1.4 g 's |
| Time to an altitude of 1 nm | 593 sec |
| Velocity at 1 nm | $1980 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |

TABLE 3.4

| itial State <br> Weight <br> Altitude <br> Inertial Velocity <br> Flight Path Angle <br> Orbit $C_{3}$ <br> Inclination <br> Perigee | $\begin{array}{rl} 40795 & \mathrm{lbs} \\ 17580.8 \mathrm{~nm} \\ 33049 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec} \\ -76.3196 \mathrm{deq} \\ 81 & \mathrm{~km} 2 \\ 28.5 \mathrm{sec} \\ 2 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Braking Burn ISP Propellant | $\begin{gathered} \left(C_{3}=78 \mathrm{~km} 2 / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right) \\ 482 \mathrm{sec} \\ 25795 \mathrm{lbs} \end{gathered}$ |
| Entry |  |
| Weight | 15000 lbs |
| $W / C_{D}{ }^{\text {A }}$ | $8.84 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Altitude | 65.8 nm |
| Inertial Velocity | $37652 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Flight Path Angle | -4.5442 deg |
| Orbit $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $9 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| Perigee | 45.2 nm |
| Aerodynamic Parameters |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {L }}$ | . 405 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | 1.35 |
| Heat Shield Diameter | 40 ft |
| Curvature | 50 ft |
| Atmosphere | US 62 |
| Controls - Bank Angle - Reversals - Times of Reversal |  |
| Maxima |  |
| Heating Rate | $22 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{t}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
|  | $21 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Deceleration | 2.9 g 's |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 46.6 \times 350 \mathrm{~nm} \\ & 330 \mathrm{~seconds} \end{aligned}$ |
| Time in Atmosphere | 330 seconds |
| Apogee Burn to Raise Perigee to 280 nm |  |
| ISP | 482 sec |
| Propellant | 380 lbs |
| Delta V | $406 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Perigee Burn to Circularize at <br> 280 nm |  |
| ISP | 482 sec |
| Propellant | 110 lbs |
| Delta V | $118 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |

exit is less than 15 minutes in most cases. Deceleration forces, dynamic pressure, and heating rates are basically a function of the energy to be dissipated, the time of dissipation and the aerodynamic characteristics of the entry craft. Guidance algorithms are still being investigated but are beginning to show great promise.
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