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## COMPONENT RESPONSE TO RANDOM VIBRATORY MOTION OF THE CARRIER VEHICLE

## SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

In this treatment of component response to local random vibratory motion of the carrier vehicle, the component plus supporting structure is modeled as the system shown in Figure 1. The component model is allowed two degrees-of-freedom, one translational and one rotational, and is excited by a random translatory motion of the base whose acceleration power spectral density (PSD), herein denoted by $G_{\mathfrak{u}}(f)$, is presumed known. Prescription of the base acceleration PSD is done in the manner indicated by the inset in Figure 2 which admits the analytical representation appearing beneath the diagram of Figure 3.

Since the base motion is prescribed only to the extent that its acceleration PSD is given, the "time response," i.e., a time history of the system configuration coordinates and their first and second time derivatives, is out of the question. The word "response" is here to be interpreted as "mean square response," that implying the mean squares of the system coordinates and their time derivatives pertinent to the frequency interval over which $G_{\mathfrak{u}}(f)$ is specified.

## SECTION 2. FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONS

Whether interest lies in "time response" or "mean square response," the source of certain fundamental relations, necessary to computation, is the system of differential equations descriptive of the motion. Treating the component model as a perfectly rigid body, invoking Newton and the principle of angular momentum, and making the usual small angle approximations, the equations of motion may be written as equations (1) and (2).

$$
\begin{align*}
m \ddot{x}= & -m g-K_{1}\left(x-\delta_{S T, 1}-L_{1} \theta-u\right)-C_{1}\left(\dot{x}-D_{1} \dot{\theta}-\dot{u}\right) \\
& -K_{2}\left(x-\delta_{S T, 2}+L_{2} \theta-u\right)-C_{2}\left(\dot{x}+D_{2} \dot{\theta}-\dot{u}\right)  \tag{1}\\
I \ddot{\theta}= & K_{1} L_{1}\left(x-\delta_{S T, 1}-L_{1} \theta-u\right)+C_{1} D_{1}\left(\dot{x}-D_{1} \dot{\theta}-\dot{u}\right) \\
& -K_{2} L_{2}\left(x-\delta S T, 2+L_{2} \theta-u\right)-C_{2} D_{2}\left(\dot{x}+D_{2} \dot{\theta}-\dot{u}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Recognizing the simplifications possible via the relations (3), the conditions for static equilibrium,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{1} \delta_{\mathrm{ST}, 1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \delta_{\mathrm{ST}, 2}=\mathrm{mg} \quad, \quad \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \delta_{\mathrm{ST}, 1}=\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \delta_{\mathrm{ST}, 2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can write the matrix equivalent of equations (1) and (2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{m} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{I}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{\mathrm{x}} \\
\ddot{\theta}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} & \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\mathrm{x}} \\
\dot{\theta}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} & \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} & \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} & \mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} & \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} L_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{u} \\
\mathrm{u}
\end{array}\right] \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

If the $(y, \theta)$-description of system configuration is preferred to the ( $x, \theta$ )-description, then one has only to make the substitution $x=y+u$ in equation (4) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{m} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{I}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{\mathrm{y}} \\
\ddot{\theta}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} & \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\mathrm{y}} \\
\dot{\theta}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} & \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} & \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} L_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{y} \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\mathrm{mu} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The transfer functions $T_{\xi / u}(s), \xi=x, y, \theta$, essential to computation of the mean squares of the system output variables, may be found by applying the Laplace transformation to equations (4) and (5), assuming zero initial conditions, and solving for the transform ratios $\mathscr{L}(\xi) / \mathscr{Z}(u), \xi=x, y, \theta$. (Obviously, it is not necessary to apply the transformation to both equations (4) and (5) since one can choose to work with either equation (4) or (5), then, having found either $\mathscr{X}(x) / \mathscr{L}(u)$ or $\mathscr{L}(y) / \mathscr{L}(u)$, find the other via the relation $x=y+u$.) As should be expected, the expression for $\mathrm{T}_{\theta / \mathrm{u}}$ (s) as determined by equation (4) is equivalent to that determined by equation (5), Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})=\left\{\mathrm{a}_{22}(\mathrm{~s})\left[\mathrm{a}_{11}(\mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{ms}^{2}\right]-\mathrm{a}_{12}^{2}(\mathrm{~s})\right\} / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~s})  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})=-\mathrm{ms}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{22}(\mathrm{~s}) / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~s})  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{0 / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{ms}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{12}(\mathrm{~s}) / \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~s}) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}(s)=m s^{2}+\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) s+K_{1}+K_{2} \\
& a_{12}(s)=\left(C_{2} D_{2}-C_{1} D_{1}\right) s+K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}  \tag{9}\\
& a_{22}(s)=I^{2}+\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{2}+C_{2} D_{2}^{2}\right) s+K_{1} L_{1}^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}^{2} \\
& A(s)=a_{11}(s) a_{22}(s)-a_{12}^{2}(s)
\end{align*}
$$

Having found $T_{\xi / u}(s), \xi=x, y, \theta$, it is an easy matter to find an expression for the PSD of $\xi, G_{\xi}(f)$, by appealing to the well known general relation (valid for linear systems and accepted here without dispute)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\zeta}(f)=\left|T_{\zeta / \eta}(2 \pi j f)\right|^{2} G_{\eta}(f) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the symbol $\zeta$ denoting an output quantity of a system with input $\eta$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\xi}(f)=\left|T_{\xi / u}(2 \pi j f)\right|^{2} G_{u}(f) \quad, \quad \xi=x, y, \theta \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, since it is $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ that is prescribed, not $G_{u}(f)$, equation (11) will not completely define $G_{\xi}(f)$ until an expression for $G_{u}(f)$ is found. To that end one can write

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u} / \ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}(\mathrm{u}) / \mathscr{L}(\ddot{\mathrm{u}})=\frac{\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{u})}{\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathscr{L}(\mathrm{u})}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

which, in conjunction with equation (10), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{u}(f)=\left|\frac{1}{(2 \pi j f)^{2}}\right|^{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(f)=(2 \pi f)^{-4} \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(f) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to point out that in equation (12) the dimension of $G_{u}(f)$ is supposed in. ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$, thereby requiring that $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{f})$ have the dimension (in. $\left./ \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$, the use of the tilde $(\sim)$ serving to distinguish between $\widetilde{G}_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ and $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$, which has the dimension $\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$. In terms of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{f})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{u}(f)=\gamma f^{-4} G_{\ddot{u}}(f) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numerical value of $\gamma$ is given by

$$
\gamma=(386.08858)^{2} /(2 \pi)^{4}
$$

it being assumed that the local acceleration due to gravity is 386.08858 (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ). In a manner similar to that of arriving at equation (13), one can argue that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\dot{u}}(f)=\gamma^{\prime} f^{-2} G_{\mathfrak{u}^{\prime}}(f) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimension of $\mathrm{G}_{\dot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})$ is (in./sec) ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=(386.08858 / 2 \pi)^{2} .
$$

Between $G_{u}(f)$ and $G_{\dot{u}}(f)$ is the obvious relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{u}(f)=(2 \pi f)^{-2} G_{\dot{u}}(f) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among other obvious relations are the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathscr{L}(\ddot{\xi})}{\mathscr{L}(\ddot{u})}=\frac{\mathscr{L}(\dot{\xi})}{\mathscr{L}(\dot{u})}=\frac{\mathscr{L}(\xi)}{\mathscr{L}(u)}, \quad \xi=x, y, \theta \\
& T_{\ddot{\xi} / \ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{T}_{\dot{\xi} / \dot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{T}_{\xi / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad, \quad \xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \theta \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\xi}}(\mathrm{f})=\left|\mathrm{T}_{\xi / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{j} \mathrm{f})\right|^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f}) \quad, \quad \xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\theta}}(\mathrm{f})=(386.08858)^{2}\left|T_{\theta / u}(2 \pi \mathrm{jf})\right|^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{\dot{\xi}}(\mathrm{f})=\left|\mathrm{T}_{\xi / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{ff})\right|^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\dot{u}}(\mathrm{f}), \quad \xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \theta  \tag{18}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{\xi}(\mathrm{f})=\left|\mathrm{T}_{\xi / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{ff})\right|^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{f}) \quad, \quad \xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \theta \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The numerical factor was introduced in equation (17) because, as mentioned before, the dimension of $\mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})$ is $\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$.

The most efficient sequence of instructions to be executed in computing the mean squares and root mean squares of both input and output variables is the following:

1. Assign a value to $f$ and compute $G_{\mathfrak{u}}(f)$ in accordance with the expressions (defining the curve fit) appearing beneath the hypothetical plot of Figure 3.
2. Compute and store $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{u}^{(f)}}{ }^{\mathrm{f})}$ in accordance with equation (14).
3. Compute and store $G_{u}(f)$ in accordance with equation (15).
4. Compute and store $G \cdot(\mathrm{f})$ in accordance with equations (16) and (17), $\xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \theta$.
5. Compute and store $G_{\dot{\xi}}(\mathrm{f})$ in accordance with equation (18), $\xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \theta$.
6. Compute and store $G_{\xi}(f)$ in accordance with equation (19), $\xi=x, y, \theta$.
7. Increase $f$ by $\Delta f$.
8. Repeat 1 through 7 until the frequency interval over which $G_{\ddot{\mathfrak{u}}}(f)$ is prescribed has been covered. (In this paragraph $f_{1}$ and $f_{N}$ will denote the left and right extremes of that interval.)
9. Via some numerical integration scheme, compute the mean square of $\xi$, denoted by $\overline{\xi^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ pertinent to the interval ( $\left.f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ in accordance with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\xi^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\int_{f_{1}}^{f_{N}} G_{\xi}(f) d f \quad, \quad \xi=\ddot{u}, \dot{u}, u, \ddot{x}, \dot{x}, x, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \ddot{y}, \dot{y}, y \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

10. Extract the square root of $\overline{\xi^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ to get the root mean square (RMS)
of $\xi$.

$$
\xi_{\operatorname{RMS}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\left\{\bar{\xi}^{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \quad, \quad \xi=\ddot{\mathrm{u}}, \dot{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{u}, \ddot{\mathrm{x}}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{x}, \ddot{\mathrm{y}}, \dot{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{y}, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta
$$

In numerically evaluating the integral in equation (20), the author has found that the simple trapezoidal rule gives satisfactory results, provided a wise choice of $\Delta f$ is made; but, at this writing, can offer no failure proof method for selecting the "optimum" value of $\Delta f$ in a given case. Usually, one relies on experience ${ }^{1}$ in deciding the value to be assigned to $\Delta \mathrm{f}$.

To find the mean squares of $\ddot{u}, \dot{u}$, and $u$ it is not necessary to resort to any numerical integration scheme since closed expressions are available for their computaLion. From Reference 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\bar{u}^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\sum_{\substack{i \\
\left(b_{i} \neq-1\right)}} \frac{1}{1+b_{i}} \quad\left\{f_{E X, i+1} G_{\ddot{u}}\left(f_{E X, i+1}\right)-f_{E X, i} G_{\ddot{u}}\left(f_{E X, i}\right)\right\} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{i \\
\left(b_{i}=-1\right)}} c_{i} \ln \left(\frac{f_{E X, i+1}}{f_{E X, i}}\right) \quad, \quad(1 \leq i \leq N S E G)  \tag{21}\\
& \overline{\dot{u}^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\gamma^{\prime} \sum_{\substack{i \\
\left(b_{i} \neq 1\right)}} \frac{1}{b_{i}-1}\left\{f_{E X, i+1}^{-1} G_{\dot{u}}\left(f_{E X, i+1}\right)-f_{E X, i}^{-1} G_{\ddot{i}}\left(f_{E X, i}\right)\right\} \\
& +\gamma^{\prime} \sum_{\left(b_{i}=1\right)} c_{i} \ln \left(\frac{f_{E X, i+1}}{f_{E X, i}}\right) \quad, \quad(1 \leq i \leq N S E G),  \tag{22}\\
& \overline{u^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\gamma \sum_{\substack{i \\
\left(b_{i} \neq 3\right)}} \frac{1}{b_{i}^{-3}}\left\{f_{E X, i+1}^{-3} G_{\ddot{u}}\left(f_{E X, i+1}\right)-f_{E X, i}^{-3} G_{\ddot{u}}\left(f_{E X, i}\right)\right\} \\
& +\gamma \sum_{\substack{i \\
\left(b_{i}=3\right)}} c_{i} \ln \left(\frac{f_{E X, i+1}}{f_{E X, i}}\right), \quad(1 \leq i \leq N S E G) . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

1. A visual examination of the plot of $G_{\xi}(f)$ could be of some use in deciding whether to pronounce a specific value of $\Delta f$ as satisfactory or unsatisfactory.

In equations (21), (22), and (23), the symbols $f_{E X, i}$ and $f_{E X, i+1}$ denote, respectively, the abscissa of the left extremity and right extremity of the ith straight line segment in the log-log plot of $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$, there being NSEG such segments (see Figure 3), and $\mathrm{f}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}} \equiv \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, \mathrm{NSEG}+1}$. Notice that equations (22) and (23) have meaning only if $f_{N}>f_{1}>0$, and further, that when $G_{\ddot{u}^{\prime}}(f)=W\left(g^{2} / H Z\right)=$ a constant for $\mathrm{f}_{1} \leq \mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$, equations (21), (22), and (23) become equations (21)', (22)', and (23)', respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\ddot{u}^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=W\left(f_{N^{\prime}}-f_{1}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& {\overline{\dot{u}^{2}}}^{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\gamma^{\prime} W\left(f_{1}^{-1}-f_{N}^{-1}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \overline{u^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)=\frac{\gamma W}{3}\left(f_{1}^{-3}-f_{N}^{-3}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

While dwelling on "closed expressions," mention should be made of the existence of closed expressions for $\ddot{x}, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \dot{y}$, and $y$ in the very special case wherein $G_{\ddot{u}}$ (f) is constant ${ }^{2}$ for $0 \leq f<\infty$. In this case, it is not difficult, with the aid of the table of integrals in Reference 2 (see also References 3 and 4, both of which cite Reference 2 ), to show that the mean square of $\xi$, pertinent to the semi-infinite frequency interval ( $0, \infty$ ), is given in closed form by

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\xi^{2}}(0, \infty)=\frac{\gamma^{*} W}{4} & \left\{\left(B_{0}^{2} / A_{0}\right)\left(A_{2} A_{3}-A_{1} A_{4}\right)+A_{3}\left(B_{1}^{2}-2 B_{0} B_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+A_{1}\left(B_{2}^{2}-2 B_{1} B_{3}\right)+\left(B_{3}^{2} / A_{4}\right)\left(A_{1} A_{2}-A_{0} A_{3}\right)\right\}_{(\xi)} /\left\{-A_{0} A_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}-A_{1} A_{4}\right)\right\} \quad, \quad \xi=\ddot{x}, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \dot{y}, y \quad \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W$ denotes the constant value of $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ and the numerical factor $\gamma^{*}$ depends upon which of the variables $\xi$ represents, that is,

$$
\gamma^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1.0 \text { if } \xi=\ddot{x} \\
(386.08858)^{2} \quad \text { if } \quad \xi=\ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \dot{y}, y
\end{array}\right.
$$

2. In the jargon of vibration engineers the base acceleration in this case is termed
"white noise."

The subscript $\xi$ on the right brace in the numerator of equation (24) serves to indicate that the $B_{K}(K=0,1,2,3)$ are pertinent to the particular $\xi$ being dealt with. The $A_{K}(K=0,1,2,3,4)$ are the same for all $\xi$, $A_{K}$ being the coefficient of $S^{K}$ in the system characteristic polynomial, $A(S)$, defined by the last of equations (9). On performing the indicated multiplications in equation (9) and collecting terms one will find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}= K_{1} K_{2}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& A_{1}=\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(K_{1} L_{1}{ }^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\left(C_{1} D_{1}{ }^{2}+C_{2} D_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \\
&-2\left(C_{2} D_{2}-C_{1} D_{1}\right)\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right) \\
& A_{2}=m\left(K_{1} L_{1}{ }^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+I\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)+C_{1} C_{2}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& A_{3}=m\left(C_{1} D_{1}{ }^{2}+C_{2} D_{2}^{2}\right)+I\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) \\
& A_{4}= m I \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\ddot{x}$, the $B_{K}, K=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}=A_{0} \\
& B_{1}=A_{1} \\
& B_{2}=A_{2}-m\left(K_{1} L_{1}{ }^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& B_{3}=I\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\ddot{\theta}$, the $B_{K}, K=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
B_{0}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=0 \\
& B_{2}=m\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right) \\
& B_{3}=m\left(C_{2} D_{2}-C_{1} D_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\dot{\theta}$, the $B_{K}, K=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}=0 \\
& B_{1}=m\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right) \\
& B_{2}=0 \\
& B_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\theta$, the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{K}=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}=m\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right) \\
& B_{1}=B_{2}=B_{3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\dot{y}$, the $B_{K}, K=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{0}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{1}=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{2}=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{3}=\mathrm{mI}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to y , the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{K}=0,1,2,3$, are

$$
\mathrm{B}_{0}=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{2}=\mathrm{mI} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The structure of the transfer functions relevant to $\dot{x}, x$, and $\ddot{y}$ is such as to preclude use of the referenced list of integrals to find the mean squares of $\dot{x}, x$, and $\ddot{y}$.

Equations (1) through (23), plus attendant relations (Appendix A), constitute the basis for program TRROBM (a mnemonic for "Translational and Rotational Response to Base Motion") which has been operational since 1983. $\lambda$ recent revision of the 1983 version was made so that the program output would include items of importance to the author in dealing with a related assignment. Before further comment regarding the related assignment is made, the author would like to call attention to Table 1 which shows the remarkably close approximations, afforded by equation (20), to the mean squares $\bar{\xi}^{2}(0, \infty), \xi=\ddot{x}, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \dot{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{y}$, whose exact values are determined by equation (24). Below the table are the specifications defining the case which was processed by program TRROBM to get the entries in the third column. The coding of program TRROBM requires that the input include the items appearing in the left hand column of Table 2. Consequently, when certain of the system parameters are "indirectly" specified, as in the manner beneath Table 1, one must resort to some preliminary computation in accordance with the equations of APPENDIX $B$ to determine the numerical values of $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$, and I .

Not shown in the list of input items in Table 2 are other input items which are "implied" by the presence of $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ in that list and by the expressions for the curve fit parameters under the diagram of Figure 3. These items include NSEG, $f_{E X, i}$ ( $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{NSEG}+1$ ), $\mathrm{NCORN}, \operatorname{GCORN}$, and $\triangle \mathrm{DB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+1) . \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{NSEG}$, all essential in the computation of $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ for a given value of $f$. In program TRROBM the $f_{E X, i}$ and $\triangle D B(i, i+1)$ are embedded in the one-dimensional arrays identified by the FORTRAN symbols FEX and DELDB, respectively. By mere inspection of the PSD specification, one has immediately the input data designated NSEG, FEX, DELDB, NCORN, and GCORN. Pertinent to the sample PSD of Figure 2 these items are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NSEG = } 7 \\
& F E X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 1} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 2} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 3} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 4} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 5} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 6} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 7} \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, 8}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
20 . \\
30 . \\
120 . \\
210 . \\
400 . \\
480 . \\
900 . \\
2000 .
\end{array}\right] \quad(\mathrm{HZ}), \quad \mathrm{DELDB}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
+6 . \\
0 \\
+6 . \\
0 \\
+9 . \\
0 . \\
-12 .
\end{array}\right] \text { (DB/OCTAVE) } \\
& \operatorname{NCORN}=1 \quad, \quad \text { GCORN }=0.15\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The choice of the combination $\operatorname{NCORN}=1$, GCORN $=0.15$ was but one of several available. The admissible combinations of NCORN and GCORN in this case are shown in the following table.

| $N C O R N$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCORN | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.075 |

It is evident from Table 2 that the entries in the second column of Table 1 are not to be found among the items output by program TRROBM. Instead, they are the output of a smaller program, an auxiliary to TRROBM (aptly named program AUXRBM), which was coded only recently, in July 1986. It was long after the author had developed program TRROBM and two similar programs ${ }^{3}$ that he learned, through browsing the literature (References 2, 3, and 4 in particular), of the existence of the table of integrals which served as a guide in writing equation (24) upon which program AUXRBM is based. Neither was he aware, until he surveyed the literature, that much of the work he had done in developing the two programs described in the footnote had already been done years ago.
3. Program RESPBM (response to base motion) treats the single d.o.f. mass-springdamper system excited by the random vibratory motion of the base whose acceleration PSD is prescribed as in this paper. Program RESBM2 deals with the randomly base driven 2 mass-2 d.o.f. system, the two d.o.f.'s being translational.

Program AUXRBM, a sample output of which is given in Appendix C, provided the numerical data necessary to the construction of the families ${ }^{4}$ of curves in Figures 4 through 11. The data could have been generated by program TRROBM but at a greater cost of computer time, not to mention the slight inaccuracies in the data due to the necessity of restricting the mean square computation to a finite frequency interval whose left extremity must be positive. The use of the word "inaccuracies" tends to unjustly discredit program TRROBM. In defense of TRROBM the author should point out that even in those cases wherein $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ is constant, which is the only kind of case to which AUXRBM is applicable, there is hardly a discernible difference between the plots ${ }^{5}$ of RMS's made from the output of TRROBM and those made from the output of AUXRBM (after the numerical values have been rounded to at most three significant digits and plotting is done using the same scales for both sets of output). The author has made this assertion on the assumption that, in processing a case by TRROBM, a wise choice of $\Delta f$ is made, and further, that a sufficiently wide ${ }^{6}$ frequency interval is used in the mean square computation. As support of his assertion, the author invites the reader to compare the values of $\ddot{\theta}_{\text {RMS }}, \dot{\theta}_{\text {RMS }},{ }^{\theta}$ RMS , $\mathrm{y}_{\text {RMS }}, \dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\text {RMS }}$, and $\ddot{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {RMS }}$ found among the items of the sample TRROBM output in Appendix $D$ with the appropriate encircled values or inset tabular entries of Figures 6 through 11.

The source of the RMS's in Figures 12, 13, and 14 was program TRROBM. In each of these figures the prevailing conditions are the same as those pertinent to the encircled points of Figure 6. The previously cited tables of integrals, and hence, program AUXRBM, were of no utility in the computation of $\overline{x^{2}}, \overline{\dot{x}^{2}}$, and $\overline{\dddot{y}^{2}}$ because, as mentioned in a previous paragraph, the rational functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}^{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s}), \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\dot{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s}),
\end{aligned}
$$

4. For the purpose of comparing the behavior of the plotted function, as depicted by the solid curves, with its behavior under slightly different conditions, some of the figures have either an inset table of values or encircled values of the function corresponding to the changes in system parameters.
5. Plots of RMS's versus $r_{f}$ (holding $r_{L}$ constant).
6. Confining the mean square computation to the interval (1., $2 \mathrm{f}{ }_{2 \mathrm{c}}$ ) results in excellent approximations.
and

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\ddot{\mathrm{y}} / \ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

do not have the requisite structure. The first thing one will notice about these figures is that no attempt has been made to draw a "best fit" curve through any of the several sets of points, the reason being an insufficient number of points to accurately determine the behavior of the variable plotted.

All of the programs described in this paper are coded in FORTRAN $V$ for a punched card machine (one of the UNIVAC series in particular). However, one with the expertise can translate the FORTRAN language into that of another computer. A fellow employee ${ }^{7}$ here at MSFC has, in fact, already effected the translation of program RESPBM, described in one of the footnotes, into TEKTRONIX language (models 4051, 4052, and 4054).

When the author was approached by his supervisor with questions about the mean values of system ${ }^{8}$ kinetic energy, potential energy and energy dissipated, his first thought was of the system coordinate velocities whose mean squares are not a part of the output ${ }^{9}$ of the 1983 version of TRROBM. It was the need for the mean squares of the coordinate velocities, as well as $\overline{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}}$ (whose need will become apparent later), that prompted the 1986 revision of the 1983 version of TRROBM. In response to the questions asked, the author has developed the following expressions for the mean values of the kinetic energy (KE) and potential energy ( PE ), the symbol E in $E(\xi)$ being the familiar expectation operator or mean value operator.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\text { K.E. })= & \frac{1}{2}\left(m \dot{x}_{R M S}^{2}+I \dot{\theta}_{R M S}^{2}\right) \\
E(P . E .)= & \frac{m \omega_{n x}^{2}}{2} y_{R M S}^{2}+\frac{I \omega_{n \theta}^{2}}{2} \theta_{R M S}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 L_{p}}\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right)\left(y_{P, R M S}^{2}-y_{R M S}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-L_{P}^{2} \theta_{R M S}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1} \delta_{S T, 1}^{2}+K_{2} \delta{ }_{S T, 2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Pat Lewallen, ED24.
8. See Figure 1.
9. When the work which culminated in the 1983 version of TRROBM was done, interest was primarily in accelerations and displacements.

The definitions of $\omega_{n x}^{2}, \omega_{n \theta}^{2}, y_{P}$, and $L_{p}$ are given elsewhere but are repeated here.
$\omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right) / \mathrm{m}$,
$\omega_{\mathrm{n} \theta}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) / \mathrm{I}$,
$y_{P}=$ displacement of arbitrary point $P$ (not the $C M$ ) relative to the base
$L_{P}=$ lateral distance of point $P$ from the $C M$, positive or negative according as point $P$ is right or left of the cm .

The expression for $E(P E)$ was derived on the assumption that the mean value of $u$, the base displacement, is zero, and that the zero level for gravitational potential energy is the static equilibrium level of the CM. Assuming further that $D_{1}=D_{2}=D$ and $C_{1}=C_{2}=C$, it is not difficult to show that the mean value of the rate at which energy is dissipated through viscous damping is $2 \mathrm{C}\left(\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2}+\mathrm{D}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{RMS}}^{2}\right)$. Development of the expressions for the mean values in this paragraph was the "related assignment" alluded to earlier.

Attention is now called to Figure 4 wherein the symbol $\left\{\overline{\ddot{x}^{2}}(0, \infty)\right\}_{\theta \equiv 0}$ denotes the mean square of $\ddot{x}$ (for $0 \leq f<\infty$ ) when component rotation has been suppressed entirely by enforcing the relations $K_{1} L_{1}-K_{2} L_{2}=0$ and $C_{1} D_{1}-C_{2} D_{2}=0$ so that $\theta$ is identically zero (provided $\theta$ and $\dot{\theta}$ are initially zero). A cursory examination of this family of curves reveals that suppressing rotation merely serves to increase the mean square of $\ddot{x}$ (otherwise, the plotted mean square ratios would be greater than one).

It is evident in Figure 5 that for some combinations of $r_{f}$ and $r_{L}$, which admit rotation, the mean square of $y$ is larger than it is when there is no rotation while for other combinations it is smaller.

Figures 6 through 11 show whether the imposition of the conditions $\left\{\mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{2}\right.$, $\left.D_{i} \neq L_{i}, i=1,2\right\}$ instead of $\left\{D_{i}=L_{i}, i=1,2\right\}$, other conditions being the same, results in an increase or decrease in the RMS of the response variable in question. These figures, when complemented by the output of TRROBM plotted in Figures 12, 13 , and 14 , provide the RMS's of the system coordinates and their first two time
derivatives for both the $(x, \theta)$ and $(y, \theta)$ descriptions of system configuration. This collection of figures does not represent an exhaustive parameter study, but is exemplary of parameter studies made possible by program TRROBM (with or without the support of its auxiliary AUXRBM, which is of limited application).

At least one paragraph should be devoted to stability, if only to go so far as to write the conditions (on the system parameters) whose satisfaction guarantees system stability. Such conditions are indirectly realized by conditions on the coefficients of the system characteristic polynomial, $A(S)=\sum_{i=0}^{4} A_{i} S^{i}$ [see equations (9)], those conditions being available via the Routh-Hurwitz criterion. The Routh-Hurwitz array pertinent to $A(S)$ is the following:

| ROW |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $A_{4}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{0}$ |
| 1 | $A_{3}$ | $A_{1}$ |  |
| 2 | $\frac{A_{3} A_{2}-A_{4} A_{1}}{A_{3}}$ | $A_{0}$ |  |
| 3 | $\frac{A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}-A_{1} A_{4}\right)-A_{0} A_{3}{ }^{2}}{A_{3} A_{2}-A_{4} A_{1}}$ |  |  |
| 4 | $A_{0}$ |  |  |

By the expressions defining them, $A_{4}, A_{3}$, and $A_{0}$ are intrinsically positive. Hence, by the Routh-Hurwitz criterion for stability, system stability is assured if the other two elements in the first column of the array are positive, or, equivalently, if both of the inequalities

$$
A_{3} A_{2}>A_{4} A_{1} \quad, \quad A_{1}\left(A_{2} A_{3}-A_{1} A_{4}\right)>A_{0} A_{3}^{2}
$$

are satisfied. The problem of assessing the "degree" of stability will not be addressed in this paper. The technique for handling the situation wherein a left column element is zero will be found in the literature.

The author has given some thought to models other than that of Figure 1, those of Figure 15 in particular, which, in certain cases, could be more "credible" or "plausible" models. Though there is slight difference between the "appearances" of the models in Figures 1 and $15-\mathrm{a}$, that difference due, obviously to the elastically supported dampers in Figure 15-a, there is a marked difference between the respective mathematical descriptions of model motion. While the differential equations governing the motion of the model in Figure 1 are of second order, those determining the motion of that in Figure 15-a are of third order.

Pertinent to Figure 15-a, the author has derived the following equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& m(\ddot{\mathrm{y}}+\ddot{\mathrm{u}})=-\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right) \mathrm{y}+\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \theta-\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\dot{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{D}_{1} \dot{\theta}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\dot{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \dot{\theta}\right) \\
& +\frac{C_{1}}{\widetilde{K}_{1}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)}\left\{\mathrm{I} \dddot{\theta}-\mathrm{mD}_{2}(\dddot{y}+\dddot{u})+\left[\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\right] \dot{y}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\right] \dot{\theta}\right\} \\
& +\frac{C_{2}}{\widetilde{K}_{2}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)}\left\{-I \dddot{\theta}-m D_{1}(\dddot{y}+\dddot{u})-\left[K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}+D_{1}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\right] \dot{y}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\right] \dot{\theta}\right\}  \tag{25}\\
& \mathrm{I} \ddot{\theta}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \mathrm{y}-\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \theta+\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\dot{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{D}_{1} \dot{\theta}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\dot{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \dot{\theta}\right) \\
& -\frac{C_{1} D_{1}}{\widetilde{K}_{1}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)}\left\{I \dddot{\theta}-m D_{2}(\dddot{y}+\dddot{u})+\left[K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}-D_{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\right] \dot{y}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\right] \dot{\theta}\right\} \\
& +\frac{C_{2} D_{2}}{\widetilde{K}_{2}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)}\left\{-I \dddot{\theta}-m D_{1}(\dddot{y}+\dddot{u})-\left[K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}+D_{1}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\right] \dot{y}\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\right] \dot{\theta}\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that if one makes the substitution $x=y+u$ and allows $\widetilde{K}_{1}$ and $\tilde{K}_{2}$ to become infinite, equations (25) and (26) become, in view of relations (3), the equations of motion of the model in Figure 1 pertinent to the ( $x, \theta$ ) description of system configuration, the equivalent of the matrix equation (4); or, if one merely permits $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{2}$ to approach infinity, equations (25) and (26) become the equivalent of equation (5).

Considerable simplification of equations (25) and (26) is realized in the special case wherein $\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}, \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{1}=\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{2}=\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}$. In that case they read as equations (27) and (28).

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{m C}{\widetilde{K}} \dddot{y}+m \ddot{y} & +2 C\left(1+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\right) \dot{y}+2 K y+C\left[D_{2}-D_{1}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)\right] \dot{\theta} \\
& +K\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right) \theta=\frac{-m C}{\widetilde{K}} \dddot{u}-m \ddot{u}  \tag{27}\\
\frac{I C}{\widetilde{K}} \dddot{\theta}+I \ddot{\theta} & +C\left[D_{1}{ }^{2}+D_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{1}{ }^{2}+L_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \dot{\theta}+K\left(L_{1}{ }^{2}+L_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \theta \\
& +C\left[D_{2}-D_{1}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)\right] \dot{y}+K\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right) y=0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Having written the equations of motion, the next step toward a mean square computation is the deduction of the relevant transfer functions. Pertinent to the system comprised of equations (27) and (28), it is easily deduced that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{T}_{y / u}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{6} \widetilde{\beta}_{i} s^{i} / \sum_{i=0}^{6} \widetilde{A}_{i} s^{i}  \tag{29}\\
& \widetilde{T}_{\theta / u}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{4} \widetilde{\gamma}_{i} s^{i} / \sum_{i=0}^{6} \tilde{A}_{i} s^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{A}_{0}=K^{2}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \tilde{A}_{1}=2 K C\left[D_{1}{ }^{2}+D_{2}{ }^{2}-\left(D_{2}-D_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)+L_{1}{ }^{2}+L_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \tilde{A}_{2}=m K\left(L_{1}{ }^{2}+L_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+2 K I+2 C^{2}\left(1+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\right)\left[D_{1}{ }^{2}+D_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{1}{ }^{2}+L_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \\
&  \tag{30}\\
& \quad-C^{2}\left[D_{2}-D_{1}+\frac{K}{\mathrm{~K}}\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{mKC}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) & +\frac{2 \mathrm{KC} \mathrm{C}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}}+\mathrm{mC}\left[\mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +2 \mathrm{IC}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{5}=2 \mathrm{mIC} / \tilde{\mathrm{K}}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{6}=\mathrm{mIC} \mathrm{C}^{2} / \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{2}
$$

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{0}=\tilde{\beta}_{1}=0
$$

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{2}=-\mathrm{mK}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\beta}_{3}=\frac{-2 \mathrm{mC} \mathrm{~K}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)-\mathrm{mC}\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\beta}_{4}=\frac{-m C^{2}}{\widetilde{K}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\widehat{K}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{L}_{2}^{2}\right)\right]-\mathrm{mI}
$$

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{5}=-2 \mathrm{mCI} / \tilde{\mathrm{K}}
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{6}=-\mathrm{mI} \mathrm{C}^{2} / \tilde{\mathrm{K}}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\gamma}_{0}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1}=0 \\
& \tilde{\gamma}_{2}=m K\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right) \\
& \ddot{\gamma}_{3}=\frac{2 m C K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)+m C\left(D_{2}-D_{1}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& \widetilde{\gamma}_{4}=\frac{m C^{2}}{\widetilde{K}}\left[D_{2}-D_{1}+\frac{K}{\widetilde{K}}\left(L_{2}-L_{1}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Imposition of the additional conditions $D_{1}=L_{1}$ and $D_{2}=L_{2}$, as in Figure $15-b$, results in a simplification of equations (27) through (32). Further simplification is possible by setting $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{K}$.

Upon examining the structure of the transfer functions in equation (29), along with that of the five Laplace transform ratios

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{L}_{(\mathrm{y})}}{\mathcal{L}_{(\ddot{\mathrm{u})}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}_{\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{u}}  \tag{S}\\
& \frac{\mathscr{L}(\dot{\mathrm{y}})}{\mathcal{Z}(\ddot{\mathrm{u})}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}} \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}_{\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{u}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathscr{L}(\theta)}{\mathscr{L}(\ddot{\mathrm{u}})}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}_{\theta / \mathrm{u}} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathscr{Z}(\dot{\theta})}{\ddot{Z}(\ddot{u})}=\frac{1}{\mathbf{S}} \ddot{\mathrm{~T}}_{\theta / \mathrm{u}} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathscr{L}(\ddot{\theta})}{\mathscr{L}(\ddot{u})}=\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{\theta / u}(S)
$$

it is evident, in light of the table of integrals previously cited, that the mean squares $\overline{\xi^{2}}, \xi=y, \dot{y}, \theta, \dot{\theta}, \ddot{\theta}$, are expressible in closed form when $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)$ is constant for $0 \leq f<\infty$. In the notation of this paper, the closed expression for $\bar{\xi}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\xi^{2}}=\frac{\gamma^{* W}}{4 \Delta_{6}}\left\{\widetilde{B}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{n}_{0}+\mathrm{n}_{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{4}^{2}-2 \breve{\mathrm{~B}}_{3} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{5}\right)+\mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{3}^{2}-2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{2} \breve{\mathrm{~B}}_{4}+2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{5}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\mathrm{n}_{3}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}{ }^{2}-2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{3}+2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{n}_{4}\left(\check{\mathrm{~B}}_{1}{ }^{2}-2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \breve{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{n}_{5} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{0}{ }^{2}\right\}  \tag{33}\\
\xi=\mathrm{y}, \dot{\mathrm{y}}, \theta, \dot{\theta}, \ddot{\theta}
\end{gather*}
$$

the significance of the factors $\gamma^{*}$ and $W$ being the same as in equation (24). The constant $W$, incidentally, is herein assumed to have the dimension $g^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$. The subscript $\xi$ on the right brace in equation (33), as one should expect, indicates that the $\breve{B}_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, 5$, are associated with the $\xi$ in question. The $\breve{A}_{i}, i=0,1$, $\ldots, 6$, are given by equation (30) while $\Delta_{6}$ and the $n_{i}$, $i=0,1, \ldots, 5$, are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{0}=\frac{1}{\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{6}}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{4} \mathrm{n}_{1}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{2}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{3}\right) \\
& n_{1}=\tilde{A}_{0}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{3}^{2}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{5}\right)+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{4}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{2} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{3}\right) \\
& n_{2}=\tilde{A}_{0} \tilde{A}_{3} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{5}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{6}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{2} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{5}\right) \\
& \mathrm{n}_{3}=\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{5}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{0} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{5}-\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{4}\right)+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{3} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{6} \\
& \mathrm{n}_{4}=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{0}}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{4} \mathrm{n}_{2}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{6} \mathrm{n}_{1}\right) \\
& \mathrm{n}_{5}=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{0}}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~A}}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{4}-\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{4} \mathrm{n}_{3}+\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_{6} \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \\
& \Delta_{6}=\tilde{A}_{0}\left(\tilde{A}_{1} n_{5}-\tilde{A}_{3} n_{4}+\tilde{A}_{5} n_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $y$ the $\tilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, 5$, are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{B}_{i}=\tilde{\beta}_{i+2}, i=0,1,2,3,4 \quad\left[\text { the } \tilde{\beta}_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, 6, \text { are given by equations }(31)\right] \\
& \tilde{\mathrm{B}}_{5}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\dot{y}$,

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}=\tilde{\beta}_{\mathbf{i}+1} \quad, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, 5
$$

Pertinent to $\ddot{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{B}_{i}=\tilde{\gamma}_{i}, i=0,1,2,3,4 \quad\left[\text { the } \tilde{\gamma}_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, 4, \text { are given by equations }(32)\right] \\
& \tilde{B}_{5}=0 \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\dot{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{B}_{i}=\tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}, \quad i=0,1,2,3 \\
& \widetilde{B}_{4}=\widetilde{B}_{5}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pertinent to $\theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{B}_{i}=\tilde{\gamma}_{i+2}, \quad i=0,1,2 \\
& \tilde{B}_{3}=\tilde{B}_{4}=\tilde{B}_{5}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

To date, no attempt has been made to code a program based on equations (25) and (26) or any of their simplified forms. Programming, on the part of the author, has been pursued only so far as programs TRROBM and AUXRBM, which mark the culmination of the author's effort in this area.

TABLE 1

| $\xi$ | $\overline{\xi^{2}}(0, \infty)$ | $\overline{\xi^{2}}\left(1 ., 2 \mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{c}}\right)$ | Percent Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ddot{\mathrm{x}}$ | $10^{3}(0.78567859)\left(\mathrm{g}^{2}\right)$ | $10^{3}(0.78498616)\left(\mathrm{g}^{2}\right)$ | 0.088 |
| $\ddot{\theta}$ | $10^{4}(0.20118432)\left(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)^{2}$ | $10^{4}(0.20111040)\left(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 0.037 |
| $\dot{\theta}$ | $10^{-1(0.50960584)(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2}}$ | $10^{-1}(0.51124543)(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2}$ | 0.32 |
| $\theta$ | $10^{-4(0.12217110)(\mathrm{rad} .)^{2}}$ | $10^{-4(0.12244709)(\mathrm{rad} .)^{2}}$ | 0.23 |
| $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ | $10^{3(0.29642678)(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2}}$ | $10^{3}(0.29412964)(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2}$ | 0.77 |
| y | $10^{-3(0.75068497)(\mathrm{in} .)^{2}}$ | $10^{-3(0.75001788)(\mathrm{in} .)^{2}}$ | 0.089 |

SPECIFICATIONS:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})=0.1\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right), 1 \leq \mathrm{f} \leq 200.0 \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{1} / \mathrm{K}_{2}=1 ., \mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{C}_{2}=1 ., \mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{D}_{2}=1 ., \zeta_{\mathrm{x}}=\zeta_{\theta}=0.01, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nx}}=100 .(\mathrm{HZ}), \\
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.1, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}=2 / 3 \\
& \left.\mathrm{~m}=1.0: \frac{1 \mathrm{~b} . *_{\sec ^{2}}}{\text { in. }}\right), \rho=5 . \text { (in.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

VALUES OF $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ (ENFORCED BY THE SPECIFICATIONS):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}=25 .\left(\mathrm{lb} . * \sec ^{2} *_{\mathrm{in} .}\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2}=10^{6}(0.19739209)(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} .) \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}=10(0.62831853)(\mathrm{lb} /(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})) \\
& \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{2}=10(0.15811388)(\mathrm{in} .) \\
& \mathrm{L}_{1}=0.39223227 \quad, \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2}=0.58834841 \text { (in.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 2
PROGRAM TRROBM


A print of all tabulated functions of frequency is optional
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{F}(f)=\alpha_{i} f_{i}^{i_{i}}, f_{t_{3 i} i} \leq f \leq f_{x_{1} i+1}, i=1 \cdots, \text { wrso }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## DEFINITIONS

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Damping coefficient [lb/(in./sec)] of viscous damper i, $\mathbf{i}=1,2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Lateral distance (in.) between CM and point at which damper i is attached to model, $\mathrm{i}=1,2\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{D}_{2}$ |
| f | Frequency ( HZ ), $\mathrm{f}_{1} \leq \mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {ic }}$ | Frequency ( HZ ) of undamped coupled natural mode $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nX}}$ | Frequency (HZ) of undamped uncoupled translational mode |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \theta}$ | Frequency (HZ) of undamped uncoupled rotational mode |
| g | Acceleration due to gravity (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}^{(f)}}$ | PSD or $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\dot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\dot{u}\left[(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $u$ (in. ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\ddot{x}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{G} \dot{\mathrm{x}}^{(f)}$ | PSD of $\dot{x}\left[(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{(f)}$ | PSD of $x$ (in. ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{G} \cdot \ddot{\theta}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\dddot{\theta}\left[\left(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right]$ |
| $G \dot{E}$ (f) | PSD of $\dot{\theta}\left[(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\theta}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\theta(\mathrm{rad} .2 / \mathrm{HZ})$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{y}}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\dot{\mathrm{y}}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ [(in. $\left./ \mathrm{sec})^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (f) | PSD of y ( $\mathrm{in} .{ }^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\ddot{Y}_{\mathrm{P}}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right)$ |


| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}}(\mathrm{f})$ | PSD of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}\left[(\mathrm{in} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G_{y_{P}}(f)$ | PSD of $\mathrm{y}_{\mathbf{P}}$ (in. ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ ) |
| $\theta$ | Angular displacement (rad.) of model from static equilibrium orientation |
| $\dot{\theta}$ | Angular velocity (rad./sec) of model |
| $\ddot{\theta}$ | Angular acceleration ( $\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) of model |
| $\overline{\theta^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ | Mean square value ( $\mathrm{rad} .{ }^{2}$ ) of $\theta$ in the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\theta_{\text {rms }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (rad.) of $\theta$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\dot{\theta}^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ | Mean square value, ( $\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec})^{2}$, of $\dot{\theta}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (rad./sec) of $\dot{\theta}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\ddot{\theta}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]$ of $\ddot{\theta}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\ddot{\theta}_{\text {rms }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square ( $\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) of $\ddot{\theta}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| y | Displacement (in.) of model CM relative to the base |
| $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ | Velocity (in./sec) of model CM relative to the base |
| $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}$ | Acceleration (in./ $\mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) of model CM relative to the base |
| $\overline{y^{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ | Mean square value (in. ${ }^{2}$ ) of $y$ on the interval ( $f_{1}, f_{N}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (in.) of $y$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\dot{\mathrm{y}}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value, (in. $/ \mathrm{sec})^{2}$, of $\dot{y}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (in./sec) of $\dot{y}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\dddot{y}}^{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$ | Mean square value ( $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ ) of $\ddot{y}$ on interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square ( g ) of $\ddot{y}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{y}_{P}$ | Displacement (in.) of point $P$ relative to the base |
| $\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Velocity (in./sec) of point P relative to the base |


| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Acceleration (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) of point P relative to the base |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value (in. ${ }^{2}$ ) of $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (in.) of $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\dot{y}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}$ | Mean square value, (in. $/ \mathrm{sec})^{2}$, of $\dot{y}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square (in./sec) of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\ddot{y}_{P}{ }^{2}\left(f_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}$ | Mean square value ( $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ ) of $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square ( g ) of $\ddot{\mathrm{y}}_{\mathrm{P}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| ${ }^{\delta}{ }_{\text {ST, }} \mathrm{i}$ | Static deflection (in.) of spring $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$ (considered positive despite my sign convention) |
| ${ }^{\omega}$ ic | $2 \pi \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ic}}(\mathrm{rad} . / \mathrm{sec}), \mathrm{i}=1,2$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{f}$ | Both the print step ( HZ ) and the frequency increment ( $\mathrm{HZ)}$ used in the numerical evaluation of the definite integrals defining the mean squares |
| u | Displacement (in.) of the base from its static equilibrium position |
| $\dot{\mathrm{u}}$ | Base velocity (in./sec) |
| ü | Base acceleration (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) |
| $\overline{u^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value (in. ${ }^{2}$ ) of $u$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square value (in.) of $u$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\dot{u}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) ${ }^{2}$ of $\dot{u}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\dot{u}_{\text {rms }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square value (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) of $\dot{u}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\overline{\bar{u}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Mean square value ( $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ ) of $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| $\ddot{u}_{\text {rms }}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ | Root mean square value ( g ) of $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) |
| x | Displacement (in.) of model CM from its static equilibrium position ( $x$ is an absolute displacement) |
| $\dot{x}$ | Absolute velocity (in./sec) of model CM |

S
$\left|T_{\xi / u}(2 \pi f j)\right|^{2}$
$\left|\mathrm{T}_{\theta / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{fj})\right|^{2}$

I
$K_{i}$
$\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$L_{i}$
${ }^{r}$ L
m
$\rho$
${ }^{L}{ }_{P}$
$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{f}}$
$\zeta_{\mathrm{x}}$
$\zeta_{\theta}$

Absolute acceleration (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ) of model CM
Mean square value (in. ${ }^{2}$ ) of $x$ on the interval ( $f_{1}, f_{N}$ )
Root mean square (in.) of $x$ on the interval ( $f_{1}, f_{N}$ )
Mean square value (in. $/ \mathrm{sec})^{2}$ of $\dot{x}$ on the interval $\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$
Root mean square value (in. $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) of $\dot{x}$ on the interval ( $f_{1}, f_{N}$ )
Mean square value $\left(g^{2}\right)$ of $\ddot{x}$ on the interval $\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$
Root mean square ( $g$ ) of $\ddot{x}$ on the interval $\left(f_{1}, f_{N}\right)$
The complex variable of the laplace transformation (rad./sec)
Square of the magnitude of the frequency response function between $\xi$ and $u$ (dimensionless), $\xi=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{j}=\sqrt{-1 .}$ )
Square of the magnitude of the frequency response function between $\theta$ and $u$ (rad./in.) ${ }^{2}$

Moment of inertia about an axis through the CM and perpendicular to the plane of motion ( $1 \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{sec}^{2 * i n}$.)

Stiffness (lb/in.) of linear spring i, $i=1,2$
Stiffness (lb/in.) of elastic support of damper i in alternate model (Fig. 15), $\mathrm{i}=1,2$

Lateral distance (in.) between model CM and the point at which spring $i$ is attached to the model, $i=1,2$
$\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{2}$
Mass of component [lb/(in./sec ${ }^{2}$ ]
Radius of gyration (in.)
Lateral distance (in.) between model CM and point P ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}>0$ or $L_{P}<0$ according as point $P$ is right or left of the $\left.C M\right)$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \theta} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nx}}$
Fraction of critical damping associated with translation

Fraction of critical damping associated with rotation
$b_{i}, c_{i}$

NSEG
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, \mathrm{i}}$

NCORN

GCORN
$\triangle \mathrm{DB}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+1)$

Parameters appearing in the analytical representation of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathfrak{u}}(f)$ on the interval ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{EX}, \mathrm{i}+1}$ ), $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots$, NSEG

Number of straight line segments in the $\log -\log$ plot of the prescribed base acceleration PSD

Abscissa (HZ) of the $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ th "corner" point in the $\log -\log$ plot of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{f})$, $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots$ NSEG +1

A positive integer specifying that "corner" point of the straight line segment representation of the input base acceleration PSD on log-log graph paper at which the value of the input base acceleration PSD is given

The value ( $\mathrm{g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}$ ) of the input base acceleration PSD at the "corner" point specified by the integer NCORN

Rate of change, in decibels/octave, of the input basc acceleration PSD as the frequency $f$ varies from $f_{E X, i}$ to $f_{E X, i+1}, i=1, \ldots$ NSEG

## APPENDIX A

## SUBSIDIARY RELATIONS

$$
g_{\theta}=-m\left(C_{2} D_{2}-C_{1} D_{1}\right)(2 \pi f)^{3}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Re}(D)=m I(2 \pi f)^{4}-\left[m\left(K_{1} L_{1}^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}^{2}\right)+I\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)+C_{1} C_{2}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{2}\right](2 \pi f)
$$

$$
+K_{1} K_{2}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{D})}=\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+2\left(K_{1} L_{1}-K_{2} L_{2}\right)\left(C_{2} D_{2}-C_{1} D_{1}\right)\right](2 \pi f)-\left[m\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{2}+C_{2} D_{2}^{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+I\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\right](2 \pi f)^{3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{x / u}(2 \pi j f)\right|^{2}=\frac{R_{x}{ }^{2}+\mathcal{S}_{x}{ }^{2}}{\{\operatorname{Re}(D)\}^{2}+\left\{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{D})}\right\}^{2}} \\
& R_{x}=K_{1} K_{2}\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)^{2}-\left[I\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)+C_{1} C_{2}\left(D_{1}+D_{2}\right)^{2}\right](2 \pi f)^{2} \\
& \mathscr{g}_{x}=-I\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)(2 \pi f)^{3}+(2 \pi f)\left[\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)\left(K_{1} L_{1}{ }^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+2\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \left|T_{\theta / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{jf})\right|^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\theta}{ }^{2}+\mathscr{J}_{\theta}{ }^{2}}{\{\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{D})\}^{2}+\left\{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{D})}\right\}^{2}} \\
& R_{\theta}=-m\left(K_{2} L_{2}-K_{1} L_{1}\right)(2 \pi f)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1 \mathrm{C}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\right]{ }^{2}-\frac{4 \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{mI}}}\right\} \\
& { }_{2}^{2}{ }_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)\right]^{2}-\frac{4 \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{mI}}}\right\} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{iC}}=\omega_{\mathrm{iC}} / 2 \pi \quad, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{n} \theta}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nx}}=\omega_{\mathrm{nx}} / 2 \pi \\
& \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{n} \theta}=\omega_{\mathrm{n} \theta} / 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

FOUR ADMISSIBLE EXPRESSIONS FOR THE UNDAMPED MODAL MATRIX (NON-NORMALIZED)
( $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \neq \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ PRESUMED)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[{ }^{14}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{4}{ }_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{I}}\right.} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& \omega_{2 C}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& \omega_{1 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
\omega_{1 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
\omega_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
\omega_{1 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
\omega_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \omega_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& \square \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& \omega_{1 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& \omega_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{I}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{u}}(2 \pi \mathrm{jf})\right|^{2}=\frac{\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}-\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{D})\right\}^{2}+\left\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}-\mathcal{J} \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{D})\right\}^{2}}{\{\operatorname{Re}(D)\}^{2}+\left\{\exists_{\mathrm{m}(D)}\right\}^{2}}
$$

$$
\left|T_{y_{P / u}}(2 \pi j f)\right|^{2}=\frac{\left\{R_{x}+L_{P} R_{\theta}-\operatorname{Re}(D)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\Omega_{x}+L_{P} g_{\theta}-g_{m(D)}\right\}^{2}}{\{\operatorname{Re}(D)\}^{2}+\left\{g_{m}(D)\right\}^{2}}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## ON THE CONSEQUENCES OF CERTAIN SPECIFICATIONS

When the coupling coefficients vanish simultaneously, that is, when $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}=0$, the equations of motion, (1) and (2), assume their uncoupled forms (B-1) and (B-2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \ddot{x}+\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) \dot{x}+\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) x=\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) \dot{u}+\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) u  \tag{B-1}\\
& I \ddot{\theta}+\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{2}+C_{2} D_{2}^{2}\right) \dot{\theta}+\left(K_{1} L_{1}^{2}+K_{2} L_{2}^{2}\right) \theta=0 \tag{B-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon inspecting equations ( $B-1$ ) and ( $B-2$ ), it is easily seen that the uncoupled undamped natural frequencies and damping ratios satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}, \quad 2 \zeta_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\omega} \mathrm{nx} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}, \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{n} \theta}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}^{2}\right) \quad, \quad 2 \zeta_{\theta}{ }^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{n} \theta}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If one imposes the conditions

$$
\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2} \quad, \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad, \quad \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{2} \quad, \quad \zeta_{\mathrm{x}}=\zeta_{\theta},
$$

and assigns values to $m, \rho, \omega_{n x}, \zeta_{x}, r_{f}, r_{L}$, where

$$
\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=\omega_{\mathrm{n} \theta} / \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \theta} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nx}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{2}
$$

then some simple algebraic manipulation (bearing in mind the definition $\rho=\sqrt{1 / \mathrm{m}}$ ) will show that the numerical values of $D_{i}, C_{i}, L_{i}$, and $K_{i}, i=1,2$, are determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}=\rho \sqrt{\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{f}}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{m} \zeta_{\mathrm{x}} \omega_{\mathrm{nx}} \quad, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{m} \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2} / 2, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\rho \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{2}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}+1}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathrm{~L}_{1}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~L}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the condition $D_{1}=D_{2}$ is replaced by $D_{i}=L_{i}, i=1,2$, the numerical values of $G_{i}(f), m, \rho, \omega_{n x}, \zeta_{x}, r_{f}$, and $r_{L}$ being prescribed as before, the expressions for $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ are the same as before, but the equality of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $L_{i}, i=1,2$, requires that $\zeta_{\theta}=r_{f} \zeta_{X}$. In this case one will find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{x / u}(s)=\sum_{K=0}^{3} B_{K} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K} S^{K} \\
& A_{0}=\frac{\omega_{n x}^{4} r_{f}^{2}\left(1+r_{L}\right)^{2}}{2\left(1+r_{L}{ }^{2}\right)}, \quad A_{1}=\frac{2 \zeta_{x} \omega_{n x}^{3} r_{f}^{2}\left(1+r_{L}\right)^{2}}{1+r_{L}{ }^{2}} \\
& A_{2}=\omega_{n x}^{2}\left\{1+r_{f}^{2}+\frac{2 \zeta_{x}{ }^{2} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}\left(1+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}}{1+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}}\right\}, \quad \mathrm{A}_{3}=2 \zeta_{\mathrm{x}} \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}\left(1+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}\right), \\
& \mathrm{A}_{4}=1 . \\
& B_{0}=A_{0}, \quad B_{1}=A_{1}, \quad B_{2}=A_{2}-\omega_{n X}^{2} r_{f}^{2}, \quad B_{3}=2 \zeta_{x} \omega_{n X} \\
& T_{\theta / u}(s)=\sum_{K=0}^{3} \gamma_{K} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K} s^{K} \\
& \gamma_{0}=\gamma_{1}=0 \quad, \quad \gamma_{2}=\frac{r_{i}{ }^{\omega}{ }^{2}{ }_{n x}}{\rho}\left[\frac{1-r_{L}}{\sqrt{2\left(1+r_{L}{ }^{2}\right)}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

If neither the condition $D_{1}=D_{2}$ nor the condition $D_{i}=L_{i}, i=1,2$, is imposed, while enforcing the conditions $K_{1}=K_{2}, C_{1}=C_{2}$, and prescribing the numerical values of $G \cdot \ddot{u}^{(f)}, m, \rho, \omega_{n x}, \zeta_{X}, \zeta_{\theta}, r_{f}, r_{L}$, and $r_{D}=D_{1} / D_{2}$, there is still no change in the expressions for $I, K_{1}, K_{2}, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$, but $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ will be determined by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{2}=\rho\left\{\frac{2 \zeta_{\theta} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\zeta_{\mathrm{x}}\left(1+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2}\right)}\right\}^{1 / 2} \quad, \quad \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}_{2} .
$$

In this special case, the transfer functions relevant to $x, \theta$, and $y$ are

$$
T_{x / u}(s)=\sum_{K=0}^{3} B_{K} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K} S^{K}
$$

$A_{K}{ }^{\prime}=A_{K}$ of the preceding paragraph for $K=0,3,4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=2 \zeta_{x} \omega_{n x}^{3} r_{f}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{f}+\frac{\zeta_{\theta}}{\zeta_{x}}-\left(1-r_{D}\right)\left(1-r_{L}\right)\left[\frac{\zeta_{\theta} r_{f}}{\zeta_{x}\left(1+r_{D}{ }^{2}\right)\left(1+r_{L}{ }^{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& A_{2}=\omega_{n x}^{2}\left[1+{r_{f}}^{2}+\frac{2 r_{f} \zeta_{x} \zeta_{\theta}\left(1+r_{D}\right)^{2}}{1+r_{D}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{K}^{\prime}=B_{K} \text { of the preceding paragraph for } K=0,3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{3}=\frac{2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}} \zeta_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\omega} \mathrm{nx}}{\rho}\left[\frac{1-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\sqrt{2\left(1+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}\right)}}\right] \\
& T_{y / u}(s)=\sum_{K=0}^{4} \beta_{K} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K} S^{K} \\
& \beta_{0}=\beta_{1}=0 \quad, \quad \beta_{2}=-r_{f}^{2} \omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2}, \quad \beta_{3}=-2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}{ }^{\zeta_{\mathrm{x}}}{ }^{\omega}{ }_{\mathrm{nx}}, \quad \beta_{4}=-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \quad, \quad \mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\omega_{\mathrm{nx}}^{2} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2} \\
& T_{\theta / u}(s)=\sum_{K=0}^{3} \gamma_{K}{ }^{\prime} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K}{ }^{\prime} S^{K} \\
& \gamma_{K}^{\prime}=\gamma_{K} \text { of the preceding paragraph for } K=0,1,2 \\
& \gamma_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{{ }_{n}{ }_{n x}}{\rho}\left(1-r_{D}\right)\left(\frac{2 \zeta_{\theta} \zeta_{x} r_{f}}{1+r_{D}{ }^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& T_{y / u}(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{4} \beta_{K}{ }^{\prime} S^{K} / \sum_{K=0}^{4} A_{K}{ }^{\prime} S^{K} \\
& \beta_{K}{ }^{\prime}=\beta_{K} \text { of the preceding paragraph for } K=0,1,2,4 \\
& \beta_{3}{ }^{\prime}=-2 \zeta_{\theta} r_{f}{ }^{\omega} n x
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worthy of note, insofar as economy of computer time is concerned, that the moduli of the transfer functions of this and the preceding paragraph are unchanged (for a specific value of the complex variable $s$ ) if both $r_{L}$ and $r_{D}$ are replaced by their reciprocals. The same can be said of the transfer functions defined by equations (6), (7), and (8) when their numerator and denominator coefficients are such as to meet specifications similar to those beneath Table 1.

## APPENDIX C

SAMPLE OUTPUT OF PROGRAM AUXRBM

On the following pages of this Appendix are two sets of mean squares and RMS's of $\ddot{x}, \ddot{\theta}, \dot{\theta}, \theta, \dot{y}$, and $y$ as found by program AUXRBM in two computer runs, there being seven cases processed in each run. In both runs $r_{L}$ assumes the values $2 / 3$, $1.0,1.05,1.2,1.5,2.0$, and 10 , in turn, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.1, \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nx}}=100 .(\mathrm{HZ}), \quad \zeta_{\mathrm{x}}=0.01, \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}, \quad \rho=5 .(\mathrm{in} .) \quad, \quad \mathrm{m}=1.0\left(1 \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{in} .\right) \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\ddot{\mathrm{u}}}(\mathrm{f})=0.1\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \mathrm{f}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

In one run the conditions $D_{1}=D_{2}$ and $D_{i} \neq L_{i}(i=1,2)$ apply, while in the other $D_{i}=L_{i}(i=1,2)$.

Observe that in each run the output mean squares in case 5 duplicate those of case 1 , that being due to the fact that the values of $r_{L}$ and $r_{D}$ in case 5 are the reciprocals of those in case 1. See Appendix B (last two sentences).

Notice also that the numerical results in case 2 of each run verify that $\theta$ is identically zero (assuming zero initial conditions) when the relations $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ hold.

The following table will serve to define the FORTRAN symbols appearing on the AUXRBM printout.

| Symbol | $\ddot{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\ddot{\theta}$ | $\dot{\theta}$ | $\theta$ | $\dot{y}$ | y | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORTRAN Equivalent | XDD | TDD | TD | T | YD | Y | R | RF | K1 | K2 |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | RMS |
|  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L} 1}{ }$ |  | L1 | D1 |  | D2 | C1 |  | C2 | RMS |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
.66666667+\infty 0 \\
.10000000+\infty 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\prime \prime & " 1 \\
\propto & \text { a }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
10000000+01 \\
10000000+00
\end{array} \\
& { }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \quad{ }^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\prime \prime} \quad \bar{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
10500000+01 \\
10000000+00
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { " } \\
\times \quad \text { " } \\
\alpha
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
19739209+06 \\
62831853+01
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\prime \prime \\
\bar{x} & \bar{u}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\stackrel{"}{\sim} \quad \stackrel{3}{\alpha}$

$.15000000+01$
$.10000000+00$
$\begin{array}{ll}\prime \prime \\ \alpha & n \\ \alpha\end{array}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& .19739209+06 \\
& .62831853+01
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\prime \prime & " \\
\bar{x} & \bar{u}
\end{array}
$$



, " " $\quad$ "

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\wedge \\
\propto & " \\
\alpha
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\prime \prime & " \\
\bar{x} & \bar{u}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$




| $D_{i}=L_{i}, i=1,2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $K 2=$ | $19739209+0$ CASF |


| $11=$ | 50000000.40 |
| :---: | :---: |
| D1 = | . $50000 m 00$ m |
| Prarl | SSUARE OF XDD |
| Mratil | SSUARE OF TDD |
| MFA1 | sguare of |
| MEAP1 | SJUARE OF $\mathbf{T}=$ |
| MEA'I | SOUARE OF YD = |
| MEAP! | soluare ni $y$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\text { " } & \text { " } \\
\bar{y} & \bar{u}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

                                    \(10500000+01\)
    $10000000+00$
$\stackrel{"}{*}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 8 | ¢ | $\hat{E}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | ＞ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \％ | ל | ¢ | E | － | 岂 |
| $N$ |  | $\bar{\square}$ | 告 | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\frac{\sim_{\alpha}}{}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{V}{2}$ |
| II | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | 带 |  |  |  |  | $\alpha$ | $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ |
| $\because m$ | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\hat{*}$ |  | $\hat{*}$ | ષ. | ob | 4 |
| －${ }^{\circ}$ | ． |  | \％ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | ®ू | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ |
| － | ก |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\infty}$ | 凔 | F |
|  | N |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\circ}$ |  |


$k 1=.19730209106$
$c_{1}=.62831853+01$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\circ & 8 \\
0 & 8 \\
0 & 8 \\
8 & 8 \\
0 & 8 \\
8 & 8 \\
& 8 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \\
& \begin{array}{l}
19730209+06 \\
52831853+01
\end{array} \\
& \text { " " }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =.15000000+01 \\
R F & =.10000000+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\prime \prime & " \\
\bar{x} & \bar{u}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu & " \\
\alpha & \ddot{\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{o} \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{\vdots} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdots$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ก | 읓 | 은 |  | $\vdash$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  |  | \％ | 吕 | \％ | 耑 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum_{2}^{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{e}{8}$ | \％ | 先 | E |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OO} \\ & + \\ & \stackrel{+}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\Sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ | ＂ |
|  | 䔍 | \％ | $\frac{c}{x}$ | E | F | $\vdash$ | $\frac{\square}{2}$ |
|  | 年 | 菏 | E | E | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c}$ | \％ | \＃ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ल్ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{w} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { w }}{\text { w }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\alpha}{w}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{u}{u}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | ＂ | ＂ | 2 | z | $\overline{\text { E }}$ | $\equiv$ | 3 |
|  | J | $\bar{\square}$ | 宸 | \＃ | $\frac{4}{2}$ | \＃ | E |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=.19739209+06 \\
& c_{1}=62931853+01
\end{aligned}
$$


$\stackrel{*}{\alpha}$



| $L 1=$ | 70359755101) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DI $=$ | . 7035975 | $56+0$ |
| MEAN | stuare of | XDD |
| MEAN | souare of | TDD $=$ |
| MFAHI | SOUARE OF | TD $=$ |
| Mand | souare or | $=$ |
| MEANH | SOUARE or | $Y D=$ |
| Nernel | s.ouARE or | $y=$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K 1=.19797209+06 \\
& C 1=.628 \div 1953+01
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX D

SAMPLE OUTPUT OF PROGRAM TRROBM

The specifications $G_{\ddot{u}}(f)=0.1\left(\mathrm{~g}^{2} / \mathrm{HZ}\right), \mathrm{m}=1.0\left(\mathrm{lb}^{*} \sec ^{2} / \mathrm{in}.\right), \rho=5$. (in.), $f_{n X}=100 .(H Z), \zeta_{x}=\zeta_{\theta}=0.01, K_{1}=K_{2}, C_{1}=C_{2}, D_{1}=D_{2}, D_{i} \neq L_{i}(i=1,2)$, $r_{f}=2.0,1 . \leq f \leq 400 ., \Delta f=0.5$, and

$$
r_{L}= \begin{cases}1.05, & (\text { Case } 1) \\ 1.2, & (\text { Case } 2) \\ 1.5, & (\text { Case } 3) \\ 2.0, & (\text { Case } 4) \\ 10, & (\text { Case } 5)\end{cases}
$$

led to the numerical values of $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}$, and other items (with the exception of $L_{p}$ ) essential to the mean square computation, shown on the input print which precedes the output print of program TRROBM. In each of the five cases processed by TRROBM, the frequency interval (1., 400.) HZ was slightly less in length than the recommended interval ( $1 ., 2 \mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{c}}$ ) HZ , but the approximations to the mean squares and RMS's were surprisingly good. The reader should compare ${ }^{\theta}$ RMS $, \dot{\theta}_{\text {RMS }}, \ddot{\theta}_{\text {RMS }}, y$ RMS,$\dot{y}_{\text {RMS }}$, and $\ddot{x}_{\text {RMS }}$ found in the output print ${ }^{*}$ with the encircled values and inset tabular values, corresponding to $r_{f}=2.0$, in Figures 6 through 11.

The reader is due an explanation of the items appearing on the last page of the output print for each case. As it pertains to matrices, the word adjoint has its usual meaning, that is, the adjoint of a matrix is the transpose of the associated matrix of cofactors. The 2 x 2 matrix identified as "ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA1C" is merely the adjoint of the characteristic matrix, to be defined subsequently, when the elements of the characteristic matrix are evaluated at $\omega={ }^{\omega} 1 \mathrm{C}$. A similar statement applies to the matrix identified as "ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C" (with $\omega_{1 C}$ replaced by $\omega_{2 C}$ ). The characteristic matrix, here denoted by $\mathrm{Ch}\left(\mathrm{H}, \omega^{2}\right)$, is given by

[^0]\[

\operatorname{Ch}\left(\mathrm{H}, \omega^{2}\right)=\omega^{2}\left[$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 . & 0 \\
0 & 1 .
\end{array}
$$\right]-\mathrm{H}
\]

where $H$, known as the dynamic matrix, is defined by

$$
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~K},
$$

the matrices $M$ and $K$ being, respectively, the system mass matrix and stiffness matrix, that is [see equation (4) or (5)],

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad K=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} & \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}-\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} & \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The equation formed by setting the determinant of $\operatorname{Ch}\left(\mathrm{H}, \omega^{2}\right)$ to zero is a quadratic in $\omega^{2}$ whose roots, $\omega_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $\omega_{2}{ }_{2}$ C , are the characteristic values (or eigenvalues of H ) and also the squares of the undamped coupled natural frequencies. As the characteristic vector (or eigenvector) of $H$ corresponding to $\omega_{i C}^{2}$, one may choose any nonzero scalar multiple of either column of the adjoint of the matrix $\mathrm{Ch}\left(\mathrm{H}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{i}=1,2$. Program TRROBM selects the second column of the adjoint and the reciprocal of the 2,2 element as the scalar multiplier to get the vectors identified as "normalized" characteristic vectors on the output print.

The vectors of the preceding paragraph could also be called modal columns of the "undamped" modal matrix. Notice that the modal columns have been "normalized" in a certain fashion, the "fashion" indicated. The author has not declared that such a normalization renders the modal matrix normalized with respect to the mass matrix. If it is desired that the modal matrix be normalized with respect to the mass matrix, one should select one of the four admissible expressions for the undamped modal matrix*, here denoted by

$$
\Phi=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\
\phi_{21} & \phi_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[^1]and post multiply it by the diagonal matrix
\[

n=\left[$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\eta_{1} & 0 \\
0 & n_{2}
\end{array}
$$\right]
\]

where $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ are computed by

$$
\eta_{1}=\left(m \phi_{11}^{2}+I \phi_{21}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \quad n_{2}=\left(m \phi_{12}^{2}+I \phi_{22}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

The expressions for $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ were found by simply demanding that the matrix $\psi=\Phi \eta$ be such as to satisfy

$$
{ }_{\Psi} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\Psi}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 . & 0 \\
0 & 1 .
\end{array}\right]
$$

$10000000+02$ CASE 1
$10000000+02$ CASE 2
$10000000+02$ CASE 3
$10000000+02$ CASE 4
$.50000000+01$ CASE 5

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\ddot{\prime \prime} & \stackrel{a}{\beth} & \stackrel{a}{2} & \stackrel{a}{د} & \stackrel{a}{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& .10000000+01 \\
& .19739209+06 \\
& .19739209+06 \\
& .19739209+06 \\
& .19739209+06 \\
& .19739209+06 \\
& .62831853+01
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\times \cdots$


RMS UF INP(IT VELOCITY (FYACT) = $19407229+02$ (IN/SEC)
MEAN SOUARE OF INPUT DISRLAFEMENT (EXACT) $=.31821091+0111 N+\cdots$
RMS OF INPUI DISPLACEMENI (EXACT) $=.17855277+01$ (IN.)
THE EXACT MEAN SOUARFS ANH: RMS'S AFOVE ARE PERTINENT TO THF. FNIIRI INTERVAL (FEX(1). FEX(NSEG+1))


$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \\
& \therefore \\
& \therefore
\end{aligned}
$$

| ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA IC |
| :---: |
| $-.11846654+07-.96260234+05$ |
| $-.38504094+04-.31297891+03$ |

$38504094+04-.31237891+03$
ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
$.31287500+03 \quad-.96260234+05$
$\begin{array}{rr}31287500+03 & -.96260234+C 5 \\ 38504094+04 & .11846654+07\end{array}$
'NORMALIZED'' CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CURRESPONDING TO OMEGATC
3 (INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS)
'NORMALIZED'' CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
-.81255207-01 (INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS)
CASE 2 FNTHETA/FNX $=.20000000+01 \quad \mathrm{~L} 1 / \mathrm{L} 2=12000000+01$
THE MEAN SQUARES BELOW WERE COMPUTED VIA THE TRAPEZOIDAL RULE APPLIED TO THE DEFINITE INTEGRALS DEFINING THEM
RMS OF $x=.19775238+01$
RMS OF XD $=.26190224+02$
$27725067+02$
.3494 1087-03
$.25243487+\infty 0$
$.22427576+03$
$.27406832-01$
$.17099200+02$
$.28337974+02$
$.28337974+02$
$.30722982-01$
$.30722982-01$
$.19213539+02$
RMS OF YPD $=\quad .19213539+02$
$.31969940+02$
FC2 $=.20027205+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
$L_{p}=10$.
FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$

N
E
E
$\sim$
ADUOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGAIC
$\begin{array}{ll}-.11886515+07 & -.35742091+06 \\ -.14296836+05 & -.42989766104\end{array}$
ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA 2C
$\begin{array}{rr}.42989531+04 & -.35742091+06 \\ -.14296836+05 & .11886515+07\end{array}$
''NORMALIZED', CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGAIC
'NORMALIZED' CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
$-.30069444+00$ (INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS)
CASE 3 FNTHETA/FNX $=.19999999+01 \quad L 1 / L 2=15000000+01$
THE MEAN SQUARES BELOW WERE COMPUTED VIA THE TRAPEZOIDAL RULF APPLIED TO THE DEFINITE INTEGRALS DEFINING THEM
$1 \leq f \leq 400$
$\Delta f=0.5$
$\cdot 01={ }^{d} 7$
RMS OF YPDD $=.35363976+02$
FC1 $=.97445668+02(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FC2 $=.20125690+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
FNX $=.10000000+03(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$

RMS OF $x=$
RMS OF $X D=$
RMS OF XDD $=$
RMS OF XDD $=$
RMS OF $T=$
RMS OF TD =
RMS OF TDD $=$
RMS OF TDD $=$
RMS OF YD =
RMS OF YD $=$
RMS OF YDD $=$
RMS OF YDD $=$
RMS OF YP =
RMS OF YPD $=$
RMS OF YPDD $=.35363976+02$
FC1 $=.97445668+02(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FC2 $=.20125690+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
FNX $=.10000000+03(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
RMS OF YPDD $=.35363976+02$
FC1 $=.97445668+02(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FC2 $=.20125690+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
FNX $=.10000000+03(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
RMS OF YPDD $=.35363976+02$
FC1 $=.97445668+02(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FC2 $=.20125690+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
FNX $=.10000000+03(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
$.39106656+01$
$.67500014+03$
$.71081042+03$
$.55771350-06$
$.28221526+00$
$.22276100+06$
$.75318346-03$
$.28145707+03$
$.74515552+03$
$.11954587-02$
$.45362490+03$
$.12506108+04$
- 12506108+04
MEAN SQUARE OF $x=$
MEAN SQUARE OF XD $=$
MEAN SQUARE OF $x=.39106656+01$
RMS OF $Y=$
RMS OF YPDD $=.35363976+02$
FC1 $=.97445668+02(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FC2 $=.20125690+03(\mathrm{HZ})$
FNX $=.10000000+03(\mathrm{HZ}) \quad$ FNTHETA $=.20000000+03(\mathrm{HZ})$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA IC } \\
& -.12042631+07-.77423547+06 \\
& -30969419+05-19910625+05
\end{aligned}
$$

ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
$\begin{array}{rr}19910609+05 & -.77423547+06 \\ 30969419+05 & .12042631107\end{array}$
"NORMALIZED"' CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGAIC
38885543+O2 (INCHES)
(INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS) $64291222+00$ (INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS)

ADJOINT CDRRE SPUNDING TO OMEGA IC
$-.12348384+07-.12484173+07$
$\begin{array}{ll}12348384+07 & -.12484173+07 \\ 49936691+05 & -.50485824+05\end{array}$
$-.49336691+05$
ADJOINT CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
$\begin{array}{rr}.50485797+05 & -.12484173+07 \\ -.49936691+05 & .12348384+07\end{array}$
"NORMALIZED". CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGAIC
$.24728076+02$ (INCHES)
$.10000000+01$ (RADIANS)
' 'NORMALIZED'' CHARACTERISTIC VECTOR CORRESPONDING TO OMEGA2C
$-.10109965+01$ (INCHES)
$10000000+01$ (RADIANS)
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## 16. ABSTRACT

Two physical models of component plus supporting substructure are considered. Each model consists of a rigid body attached to a moving base by means of linear springs and viscous dampers. The second model differs from the first in that its dampers are elastically supported. The first model receives the more extensive treatment. Base motion, assumed a random translational motion parallel to a fixed axis, is prescribed only to the extent that the power spectral density (PSD) of its acceleration is given; and, as given, its plot on log-log graph paper is a series of straight line segments, each segment having an extremity in common with the adjacent segment. Closed expressions are given for the mean squares of base acceleration, base velocity, and base displacement. The component is restricted to planar motion and allowed two degrees of freedom, one translational and one rotational. Integral expressions are given for the mean squares of component response variables, the transfer functions essential to mean square computation being available via the equations of motion. Closed expressions are given for mean squares of certain of the response variables for the case wherein the base acceleration PSD is constant. A very brief paragraph is given to stability of motion.
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