Integration Effects of D-Shaped, Underwing, Aft-Mounted, Separate-Flow, Flow-Through Nacelles on a High-Wing Transport

Milton Lamb, John R. Carlson, and Odis C. Pendergraft, Jr. Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia



Scientific and Technical Information Division

1987

Introduction

In a continuing effort to reduce the installation drag of nacelle/pylon combinations, several experimental investigations were conducted in the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel on various nacelle/pylon configurations installed on a high-wing, wide-body transonic transport model. A comparison of the installation drag of aft-mounted, mixed-flow, flowthrough nacelles having circular and D-shaped inlets was made in reference 1. It was shown that the D-shaped inlet configuration had lower installation drag. It was also noted in reference 1 that the aft-mounted circular nacelle had lower installation drag than a comparable forward-mounted nacelle. However, the mixed-flow nacelles were considered to be unrealistically long for the transport applications considered. The original nacelles were assumed to be those required for a small STOL transport. Therefore, additional tests were conducted with conventional circular, separate-flow, flow-through, forwardand aft-mounted nacelles (ref. 2). Again, it was shown that the aft-mounted nacelle/pylon had lower installation drag. To complete this study, an experimental investigation was conducted with a D-shaped, aft-mounted, separate-flow, flow-through nacelle.

For the present investigation, a new fan cowl was designed to have the same length and internal areas as the fan cowl of reference 2 and to have a D-shaped inlet that transitioned to a circular shape. This new fan cowl was combined with the existing core cowl of reference 2 and was tested as an aft-mounted nacelle/pylon configuration. Data were obtained for a free-stream Mach number range from 0.70 to 0.82over an angle-of-attack range from -3.0° to 4.0° . The design cruise conditions were a free-stream Mach number of 0.80 and a lift coefficient of 0.45.

Symbols and Abbreviations

- b wingspan, 63.121 in.
- BL buttline of model (lateral dimension), in.
- c chord measured in wing reference plane, in.
- \bar{c} mean geometric chord, 9.107 in.
- C_D drag coefficient, $\frac{\text{Drag}}{a \propto S}$
- ΔC_D installed drag coefficient, $C_{D,\text{WBNP}}$ - $C_{D,\text{WB}}$
- C_L lift coefficient, $\frac{\text{Lift}}{q_{\infty}S}$
- $C_{m} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{pitching-moment coefficient,} \\ \frac{\text{Pitching moment}}{q_{\infty} \overline{c} S} \end{array}$

- C_p pressure coefficient, $(p - p_{\infty})/q_{\infty}$ fuselage station (axial dimension, positive FS downstream from model nose), in. Μ free-stream Mach number NBL nacelle buttline, in. NS nacelle station (axial dimension, positive downstream from nacelle lip), in. local static pressure, $\frac{lb}{in^2}$ p free-stream static pressure, $\frac{lb}{in^2}$ p_{∞} free-stream dynamic pressure, $\frac{lb}{in^2}$ q_{∞} core cowl radius, in. r wing reference area. 529.59 in^2 St/2half-thickness of pylon, in. WL fuselage waterline, in. WRP wing reference plane (fig. 1(a)) local axial dimension, positive downx stream, in. local lateral dimension, positive to the y right, in. local vertical dimension, positive up, in. 2 angle of attack, deg α wing semispan location, $\frac{y}{b/2}$ η circumferential angular measurements for ф nacelle orifice locations (fig. 3(a)), deg Model components: В body N nacelle Ρ
 - P pylon W wing

Experimental Apparatus and Procedure

Wind Tunnel

The experimental investigation was conducted in the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel. This tunnel is an atmospheric, transonic, single-return tunnel with continuous air exchange and is capable of operating at Mach numbers from 0.20 to 1.30. A detailed description of the tunnel is presented in references 3 and 4.

Model and Support System

The 1/24-scale wing-body model, representative of a wide-body transport, is shown in figure 1(a) and

a photograph of the complete model installed in the 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel is shown in figure 1(b). The model was mounted on a sting-supported, six-component strain-gage balance. The wing had super-critical airfoil sections and was located in a high-wing position.

Details of the fuselage, wing, and wing pressure orifice locations are given in references 1 and 5. The location of the nacelle/pylon and the rows of pressure orifices just inboard and outboard of the nacelle are shown in figure 2.

The short duct, separate-flow nacelles of the present investigation consisted of a fan cowl and a core cowl. The details of the core cowl are given in figure 3(a). The fan cowl was designed to have the same length and internal areas as the fan cowl of reference 2 and to have a D-shaped inlet that transitioned to a circular shape. The fan cowl is shown in figure 3(b). The internal and external contours of the fan cowl below WL 1.250 were semicircular. The internal contour above WL 1.250 began as a rectangular shape that faired into a semicircular shape at nacelle station 3.610 and continued as a semicircular shape to the base of the cowl (NS 6.109). The external contour above WL 1.250 was a rectangular shape up to the wing trailing edge and then faired into a semicircular shape at the base of the fan cowl. Three typical cross-sectional shapes are shown in figure 3(b). Since the fan cowl was symmetrical with respect to the x-z plane, only the coordinates for the external and internal geometry of the right-hand side of the fan (positive y direction) are given in tables I and II, respectively.

A typical section and coordinates of the fan cowl bottom centerline lip are also shown in figure 3(b). A portion of the fan cowl was cut away to match the wing lower surface contour as shown by the crosshatched region of figure 3(b).

The diverter shown in figure 2 was the same as used in reference 2. The pylon and that part of the fan cowl extending above the wing upper surface are shown in figure 4.

Instrumentation and Data Reduction

The model aerodynamic force and moment data were obtained by an internally mounted, sixcomponent strain-gage balance. The model static pressures were measured by eight electronically scanned pressure transducer units located in the model nose to reduce the lag time required between data points. Sting cavity pressures were measured by individual remotely located strain-gage transducers. The attitude of the model was determined by using an accelerometer-type angle-of-attack measuring device located in the model. All wind-tunnel parameters and model data were recorded simultaneously on magnetic tape. Except for electronically scanned pressure transducer pressures, averaged values were used to compute all parameters. The model angle of attack was corrected for tunnel upflow, which was determined from inverted model runs in a previous tunnel test (ref. 5). Sting cavity pressures were used to correct the longitudinal balance components for pressure forces in the sting cavity. Since the pressures measured inside the nacelles were not different from those of reference 2, the drag data were corrected with the internal drag corrections obtained in reference 2 for the aft-mounted nacelle.

Skin-friction drag was calculated with the method of Frankl and Voishel (ref. 6) for compressible, turbulent flow over a flat plate. The forces and moments were transferred to the model moment center—the quarter-chord point of the mean geometric chord on waterline 0.0.

Tests

This experimental wind-tunnel investigation was conducted in the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel at free-stream Mach numbers from 0.70 to 0.82 and Reynolds numbers from approximately 2.5×10^6 to 3.0×10^6 based on the mean geometric chord of the wing. The model angle of attack varied from -3.0° to 4.0° . Boundary layer transition on the model was fixed with a grit transition-strip procedure (ref. 7). A 0.1-in.-wide strip of No. 100 carborundum grains was attached 1.0 in. behind the nose of the fuselage. Strips of No. 90 and No. 80 grains were applied on the upper and lower wing surfaces as shown in figure 11 of reference 1. The transition strips were located further rearward than usual in an effort to more nearly simulate the aerodynamic behavior of the wing at full-scale Reynolds numbers (ref. 8). A 0.1-in.-wide strip of No. 120 grit was placed 0.375 in. aft of the nacelle lip of the fan and core cowls on the external and internal surfaces.

Results and Discussion

The longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics are presented in figure 5 over the Mach number range. The addition of the nacelle/pylon resulted in increases in drag and lift over that of the wing-body configuration. The increase in lift associated with the addition of the nacelle/pylon is common for the aft-mounted nacelles (see refs. 1 and 2). The installed drag coefficient ($\Delta C_D = C_{D,\text{WBNP}} - C_{D,\text{WB}}$) is presented in figure 6 for M = 0.80 and $C_L = 0.45$ and is compared with the installed drag of the aftmounted circular nacelle/pylon configuration of reference 2. The unshaded area indicates the amount of installed drag that may be attributed to the calculated nacelle/pylon skin-friction drag. The shaded area represents the combined value of form and interference drag. It should be noted that the two configurations had essentially the same installed drag, but the D-shaped nacelle had slightly less skin-friction drag, hence slightly more interference and form drag. In both configurations, the interference and form drag was considered to be excessively high. The wing chordwise pressure distributions at span stations inboard and outboard of the nacelle/pylon centerline $(\eta = 0.370)$ are presented in figure 7 for M = 0.80and $C_L \approx 0.43$. The data for the aft-mounted nacelle configuration of reference 2 are also shown. The installation of the circular nacelle increased the wing lower surface pressure coefficients ahead of the nacelle (i.e., reduced velocity), which increased the lift. The addition of the D-shaped nacelle further increased pressures (decreased velocity) ahead of the nacelle inlet. However, near and behind the inlet, the D-shaped nacelle produced a substantial region of supersonic flow $(C_p \leq -0.435)$. The net result was an increase in interference and form drag relative to the circular nacelle of reference 2 (see fig. 6). With proper nacelle alignment, it may be possible that the region of supersonic flow could have been reduced to result in an overall reduction in drag.

Summary of Results

An experimental investigation has been conducted in the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel at free-stream Mach numbers from 0.70 to 0.82 and angles of attack from -3.0° to 4.0° to determine the integration effects of D-shaped, underwing, aftmounted, separate-flow, flow-through nacelles on a high-wing, transonic transport configuration. The results are summarized as follows:

- 1. The aft-mounted nacelle/pylon produced an increase in lift over that of the wing-body configuration by pressurizing much of the wing lower surface in front of the pylon.
- 2. For the D-shaped nacelle, the substantial region of supersonic flow over the wing, aft of the lip of

the nacelle, cancelled the reduction in drag caused by the increase in pressures ahead of the lip, to increase interference and form drag compared with a similar circular-shaped nacelle.

3. The installed drag of the D-shaped nacelle was essentially the same as that of an aft-mounted circular nacelle from a previous investigation.

NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665-5225 October 29, 1987

References

- Abeyounis, William K.; and Patterson, James C., Jr.: Effect of Underwing Aft-Mounted Nacelles on the Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics of a High-Wing Transport Airplane. NASA TP-2447, 1985.
- Lamb, Milton; and Abeyounis, William K.: Integration Effects of Underwing Forward- and Rearward-Mounted Separate-Flow, Flow-Through Nacelles on a High-Wing Transport. NASA TM-87627, 1986.
- Corson, Blake W., Jr.; Runckel, Jack F.; and Igoe, William B.: Calibration of the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel With Test Section Air Removal. NASA TR R-423, 1974.
- Peddrew, Kathryn H., compiler: A User's Guide to the Langley 16-Foot Transonic Tunnel. NASA TM-83186, 1981.
- Lee, Edwin E., Jr.; and Pendergraft, Odis C., Jr.: Installation Effects of Long-Duct Pylon-Mounted Nacelles on a Twin-Jet Transport Model With Swept Supercritical Wing. NASA TP-2457, 1985.
- 6. Shapiro, Ascher H.: The Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Compressible Fluid Flow. Volume II. Ronald Press Co., c.1954.
- Braslow, Albert L.; Hicks, Raymond M.; and Harris, Roy V., Jr.: Use of Grit-Type Boundary-Layer-Transition Trips on Wind-Tunnel Models. NASA TN D-3579, 1966.
- 8. Blackwell, James A., Jr.: Preliminary Study of Effects of Reynolds Number and Boundary-Layer Transition Location on Shock-Induced Separation. NASA TN D-5003, 1969.

Table I. External Coordinates of Fan Cowl

	16	N	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.406	2.403	2.387	2.303	2.126	1.937	1.748	1.558	1.369	1.179	066.	801.	.611	.422	.232	.043	000.	544	-1.049	-1.482	-1.813	-2.021	-2.092
	2.6	y	0.000	.189	.379	.568	.758	.947	1.136	1.326	1.515	1.705	1.893	2.059	2.120	2.132	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.135	2.053	1.835	1.495	1.055	.546	89.
	144	Z	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.422	2.420	2.410	2.336	2.157	1.967	1.777	1.587	1.397	1.207	1.017	.827	.637	.447	.257	.067	000.	540	-1.040	-1.468	-1.795	-2.000	-2.070
	2.3	'n	000.0	.190	.380	.570	.760	.950	1.140	L.330	L.520	1.710	006.1	0.070	2.127	2.135	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.137	2.136	2.049	L.828	L.487	1.049	.542	<u>8</u>
	01	N	2.444 (2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.444	2.442	2.400	2.221	2.031	1.841	1.651	1.461	1.271	1.081	891	107.	.511	.321	.132	8	526	-1.011	-1.424	-1.739	-1.936	-2.003
	1.6	y	000.	.190	.380	.570	.760	.950	.140	.330	.520	.710	006.	.082	.132	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.135	.131	.031	- 803	-462 -	- 029	- 231 -	- 000
		N	445 0	445	445	445	445	445	445 1	445 1	445 1	445 1	444 1	411 2	233 2	044 2	855 2	666 2	477 2	288 2	099 2	910 2	721 2	532 2	343 2	155 2	000	518 2	994 1	399 1	708 1	106	957
	1.348		0 2.	8 <u>3</u> 2.	8 2.	37 2.		l5 2.	14 2.	36 2.	2	0 5.	3 <u>9</u> 2.	4 2.	0 5.	2.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	21 21		ମୁ	3	21	. 9.	י פ	1.	l5 –1.	6 -1.	34 −1.	0
		y	0.00	31.	37	2 .56	21.	2 -94	2 1.13	2 1.32	2 1.51	2 1.70	2 1.88	5 2.07	3 2.12	0 2.12	3 2.12	3 2.12	9 2.12	1 2.12	1 2.12	7 2.12	9 2.12	2.12	5 2.12	3 2.12	0 2.11	7 2.01	3 1.78	3 1.44	1.01	7 .52	8.
j.	.085	N	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.442	2.415	2.238	2.050	1.86	1.67€	1.489	1.301	1.114	.92	.73	.552	365	.178	<u>8</u>	50	975	-1.368	-1.669	-1.857	-1.92
at NS	-	y	0.000	.187	.375	.562	.749	.936	1.124	1.311	1.498	1.685	1.873	2.057	2.098	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.099	2.092	1.984	1.756	1.420	866.	.515	<u>8</u>
ordinates	786	z	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.435	2.412	2.234	2.050	1.865	1.681	1.496	1.311	1.127	.942	.758	.573	.388	.204	000	492	942	-1.324	-1.614	-1.796	-1.857
ð	0	у	0.000	.185	.369	.554	.738	.923	1.107	1.292	1.477	1.661	1.846	2.028	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.061	2.051	1.940	1.713	1.384	176.	.501	8.
	05	2	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.424	2.399	2.221	2.040	1.859	1.678	1.497	1.315	1.134	.953	.772	.591	.410	.229	<u>00</u>	474	906	-1.272	-1.549	-1.723	-1.781
	0.5	у	0.000	.181	.362	.543	.725	906	1.087	1.268	1.449	1.630	1.811	1.989	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	2.010	1.997	1.884	1.660	1.338	.938	.483	80.
	62	z	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.411	2.377	2.198	2.021	1.844	1.667	1.490	1.312	1.135	.958	.781	604	.427	.250	<u>8</u> 0	452	862	-1.212	-1.476	-1.640	-1.696
	0.2	у	0.000	.177	.354	.531	.708	.885	1.063	1.240	1.417	1.594	1.771	1.936	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.946	1.930	1.815	1.596	1.285	006	.463	<u> </u>
	12	2	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.343	2.167	1.992	1.818	1.644	1.469	1.295	1.121	.947	.773	.598	.424	.250	170	568	926	-1.225	-1.449	-1.589	-1.636
	0.1	y	0.000	.174	.348	.523	.697	.871	1.045	1.219	1.393	1.568	1.742	1.884	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.886	1.839	1.699	1.475	1.176	.818	.419	80.
	00	2	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.401	2.236	2.070	1.905	1.739	1.574	1.408	1.243	1.077	.912	.746	.581	.415	.250	142	514	- 860	-1.142	-1.338	-1.468	-1.513
	0.0	y	0.000	.176	.353	.529	.705	.882	1.058	1.234	1.410	1.587	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.763	1.718	1.588	1.391	1.110	.765	.392	<u>80</u>

Table I. Concluded

۰,

	5	2 .00	1.831	1.827	1.814	1.793	1.763	1.725	1.679	1.626	1.564	1.496	1.420	1.338	1.250	1.155	1.056	.951	.842	729	.613	494	.372	.249	.125	000	474	915	-1.295	-1.586	-1.768	-1.831		
	6.10	7	0.000	.125	.249	.372	494	.613	.729	.842	.951	1.056	1.155	1.250	1.338	1.420	1.496	1.564	1.626	1.679	1.725	1.763	1.793	1.814	1.827	1.831	1.768	1.586	1.295	915	474	8	~	
	0	~	2.096	2.096	2.096	2.094	2.090	2.082	2.068	2.044	2.008	1.955	1.881	1.784	1.667	1.534	1.390	1.241	1.088	.933	.778	.622	.467	.311	.156	<u>8</u>	517	998	-1.411	-1 790	-1 078	-1 006	7001	
	5.02	y	0.000	.156	.311	.467	.622	.778	.933	1.087	1.238	1.384	1.521	1.643	1.745	1.825	1.885	1.927	1.955	1.974	1.985	1.992	1.995	1.996	1.996	1.996	1.928	1.729	1.411	008	517	į	3	
	5	N	2.133	2.133	2.133	2.132	2.130	2.124	2.114	2.095	2.064	2.016	1.945	1.848	1.726	1.586	1.435	1.279	1.120	.961	.801	.641	.481	.320	.160	000	523	-1.011	-1429	1 761	-1./31	660 6	-4.044	
	4.83	y	0.000	.160	.320	.481	641	801	961	1.120	1.277	1.429	1.573	1.700	1.803	1,880	1.934	1.971	1.994	2.007	2.015	2.019	2.021	2.021	2.022	2.022	1.953	1 751	1 420	1101	110.1	070	<u>8</u>	
	14	N	2.167	2.167	2.167	2.167	2 165	2.162	2.155	2.141	2.115	2.072	2.004	1 906	1.779	1 632	1.475	1.313	1.150	986	822	657	493	.329	.164	000	- 529	-1 0°5	-1 445	024.1	-1.709	C/A'T	-2.043	
	4.64	'n	0.000	.164	320	493	657		986	1 150	1.312	1.471	1.620	1 751	1 855	1 0 9 8	1 076	2,006	2.024	2 034	2.039	2.042	2.043	2.043	2.043	2.043	1 073	1 760	1 445	1.44J	1.022	67.0	N N.	
at NS of-	14	N	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.217	9 917	9 915	112.2	2 203	2.185	2.151	2 000	1 001	1 855	1 609	1 539	1 363	1 193	1 099	852	689	511	.341	170	000	- 536	960 -	-1.050	-1.400	-1.795	-2.002	-2.073	
rdinates	4.3	7	0.000	170	145	511	100	.007 010	200.1	1 103	1 369	1 520	1 688	1 206	1 026	1 009	0.021	9.053	2.000 9.064	090 6	120 6	040 6	9 073	2.073	2.073	9.073		100.7	06/.T	1.400	1.036	.536	000	
ပိ	68	2	2.272	2 272	0 070	0 0 7 0	212.2	212.2	0.712.2	9.966	2.200	0.2.4	180	100 0	100.2	1-06-1	1.700	1414	1 938	1301	100.1	100	530	354	177	ŝ		010.1	-1.00U	-1.484	-1.818	-2.028	-2.099	
	3.9	2	0.000	177	954	-00 1		101.	1 061	100.1	1.230	1 600	1 750	1.000	1.903	100.2	2.033	2.013	160.2	0.000	060.7	660.7	660.7	000 6	000 6	0000	560.7	270.2	1.818	1.484	1.050	.543	00.	
	30	N	9 314	9 314	110.7	410.7	#10.2	2.314	2.314	2.014	2.312	000.7	1067.7	2.241	2.140	166.1	1.815	1.034	1.432	112.1	6000	006.	071.	263	.001	701.	8	047	-1.058	-1.496	-1.832	-2.043	-2.115	
	3.6	"	0000	100	701.	.000	040	.726	806.	1.069	1.271	1.402	1.000	1.009	1.960	2.049	2.089	2.100	211.2	2.114	C11.2	C11.2	211.2	2115	2117	CTT-7	C11.2	2.043	1.832	1.496	1.058	.547	000	
	92		0.250	0.000	2.330	2.350	2.350	2.350	2.350	2.350	2.350	2.347	2.330	2.302	2.204	2.037	1.854	1.669	1.484	1.298	1.113	176	742	000	100	.165	000	550	-1.063	-1.503	-1.840	-2.053	-2.125	
	3.2			2000	100	.371	000	.742	.927	1.113	1.298	1.483	1.009	1.85I	2.005	2.084	2.112	2.121	2.124	2.125	2.125	2.125	2.125	2.125	271.2	CZ1.2	2.125	2.053	1.840	1.503	1.063	.550	000.	
	117	,	700 0	2.304	2.384	2.384	2.384	2.384	2.384	2.384	2.384	2.383	2.378	2.354	2.260	2.086	1.899	1.711	1.523	1.334	1.146	.958	0447	.581	.393	.205	.016	0 00.	549	-1.059	-1.497	-1.833	-2.044	-2.116
	2.9		9	0.000	.188	.377	.565	.753	.941	1.130	1.318	1.506	1.694	1.881	2.041	2.108	2.126	2.131	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.132	2.056	1.841	1.502	1.061	.549	000

5

Table II. Internal Coordinates of Fan Cowl

		-	1	_																	
	344	2	1.686	1.669	1.616	1.522	1.376	1.163	.882	.686	.490	.294	860.	00.	372	722	-1.033	-1.292	-1.486	-1.606	-1.648
	67	n	0000	.325	.647	.958	1.249	1.494	1.658	1.680	1.702	1.724	1.746	1.743	1.681	1.541	1.329	1.055	.732	.375	000
	45	N	1.656	1.643	1.602	1.528	1.409	1.226	996.	.755	.544	.333	.122	80.	363	704	-1.007	-1.259	-1.447	-1.564	-1.603
	2.0	7	000.0	.312	.622	.926	1.215	1.467	.639	.661	1.682	1.704	725	.721	1.656	1.515	.304	.034 -	- 717	.367 -	- 000
	5	N	1.620	1.610	1.580	1.524	1.432	1.283	1.050	.824	597	.371	.145	00.	353	683	976	1.219	-1.401	1.513	-1.551
	1.74	n h	000.0	.298	.595	.887	171.	.429	.612	.633	.654	.675	969.	.690	.622	.481	.273	- 800.	- 869.	.357 -	- 000
	9	N	1.582 0	1.575	1.554	1.514	1.448 1	1.335 1	1.135 1	.894 1	.652 1	.410 1	.168 1	000.	341 1	660]	942 1	1.176 1	1.350	1.458	1.495
	1.44	y	000	284	567	847	123	383	580	601	622	643	.663	.655	- 283	442 -	238	980	678 -	347 -	000
	-	N	1.547 0.	1.543	1.529	1.503	1.460 1	1.383 1.	1.225 1.	.967 1.	.709 1	.450 1.	.192 1.	.000	330 1	.637 1.	909 1.	1.134	1.301	1.405	.439
-Jo SN	1.14	5	000	270	540	608	016	334	550	570	591	611	631	620	546 -	405 -	204 -	952	658 -	336 –	000
ates at			19 0.	16	8	95	71 1.	28 1.	23 1.	46 1.	69 1.	92 1.	15 1.	1. 8	20 1.	17 1.	79 1.	95	57 .	56	8
oordin	0.847	~	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.0		4.		<u>.</u>	 	9.1	1	-1.0	-1.2	-1.3	-1.3
C		'n	0.000	.259	.518	777.	1.035	1.290	1.525	1.545	1.565	1.585	1.605	1.590	1.513	1.373	1.174	.927	.640	.327	8.
	269	N	1.508	1.507	1.502	1.493	1.477	1.449	1.377	1.089	.802	.514	.227	<u>8</u> 0.	315	608	866	-1.079	-1.238	-1.336	-1.369
	0.0	2	0.000	.255	.510	.765	1.019	1.273	1.516	1.538	1.556	1.576	1.596	1.579	1.501	1.360	1.162	.917	.633	.323	<u>00</u>
	47	N	1.500	1.500	1.497	1.493	1.485	1.472	1.434	1.135	.836	.537	.238	000	312	601	855	-1.065	-1.222	-1.318	-1.351
	0.5	y	0.000	.252	.504	.757	1.009	1.261	1.510	1.529	1.549	1.569	1.589	1.571	1.491	1.349	1.153	- 606	.627	.320	000.
	97	N	1.496	1.496	1.496	1.496	1.496	1.496	1.496	1.087	.873	.665	.250	103	438	739	066	-1.179	-1.297	-1.337	
	0.3	y	000.	.251	.502	.754	.005	256	.507	.533	.547	560	.587	.547	.429	.240	.989	- 889.	.353 -	- 000	
	0	z	1.642 0	1.642	1.642	1.642	1.642 1	1.642 1	1.364 1	1.085 1	.807 1	.528 1	.250 1	142 1	515 1	850 1	1.129	1.339	1.469	1.513	
	0.00	у	000	353	705	058	410	763	763	763	763	763	763	718 -	588 -	379 -	100	765]	392	000	
			Ö	•	•	i	<u>-</u>	i.	i.	i.		÷	I .	÷	-	÷	-i	•		-	

6

	60	z	1.818	1.729	1.471	1.069	.562	000.	562	-1.069	-1.471	-1.729	1.818								
	6.1	y	0.000	.562	1.069	1.471	1.729	1.818	1.729	1.471	1.069	.562	000.								
	508	N	1.877	1.785	1.519	1.103	.580	000.	580	-1.103	-1.519	-1.785	-1.877								
	5.	y	0.000	.580	1.103	1.519	1.785	1.877	1.785	1.519	1.103	.580	0 0.								
	358	N	1.877	1.785	1.519	1.103	.580	0 0.	580	-1.103	-1.519	-1.785	-1.877								
	Ω.	y	0.000	.580	1.103	1.519	1.785	1.877	1.785	1.519	1.103	.580	8.								
	171	N	1.861	1.770	1.506	1.094	.575	000	575	-1.094	-1.506	-1.770	-1.861								
	5.1	n	0.000	.575	1.094	1.506	1.770	1.861	1.770	1.506	1.094	.575	8.								
	725	N	1.823	1.734	1.475	1.072	.563	80.	563	-1.072	-1.475	-1.734	-1.823								
at NS of	4.7	y	0.000	.563	1.072	1.475	1.734	1.823	1.734	1.475	1.072	.563	<u>80</u>								
ordinates	279	ĸ	1.794	1.706	1.451	1.054	.554	000	554	-1.054	-1.451	-1.706	-1.794								
Ğ	4.	y	0.000	.554	1.054	1.451	1.706	1.794	1.706	1.431	1.054	.554	8								
	981	X	1.778	1.691	1.439	1.045	.549	00.	549	-1.045	-1.439	-1.691	-1.778								
	3.	y	0.000	.549	1.045	1.439	1.691	1.778	1.691	1.439	1.045	.549	000.								
	610	2	1.764	1.677	1.427	1.037	.545	<u>00</u>	545	-1.037	-1.427	-1.677	-1.764								
	3.	у	0.000	.545	1.037	1.427	1.677	1.764	1.677	1.427	1.037	.545	000.								
	015	Z	1.723	1.696	1.614	1.473	1.271	1.008	969.	.533	.371	.209	.046	000.	383	744	-1.068	-1.337	-1.540	-1.665	-1.708
	3.(y	0.000	.347	.685	1.003	1.286	1.513	1.666	1.688	1.710	1.732	1.754	1.753	1.701	1.566	1.355	1.078	.749	.384	000
	344	2	1.705	1.684	1.619	1.504	1.332	1.094	.798	.617	.436	.256	.075	<u>8</u>	378	734	-1.051	-1.315	-1.514	-1.637	-1.678
	2.6	y	0.000	.336	999.	.982	1.270	1.507	1.665	1.687	1.709	1.731	1.753	1.752	1.694	1.555	1.343	1.068	.741	.380	000



Figure 1. Details of wing-body model. All linear dimensions are in inches.

(a) General layout.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR OUNLITY



Figure 1. Concluded.



Figure 2. Nacelle/pylon location. Linear dimensions are in inches.



Figure 3. Details of nacelles. Linear dimensions are in inches.



(b) Basic fan cowl.

Figure 3. Concluded.







(a) M = 0.70.

Figure 5. Effect of nacelle/pylon on longitudinal aerodynamics characteristics.



. .

Figure 5. Continued.



(c) M = 0.78.

Figure 5. Continued.



(d) M = 0.80.

Figure 5. Continued.



-- --

(e) M = 0.82.

Figure 5. Concluded.



Figure 6. Installed drag coefficient at M = 0.80 and $C_L = 0.45$.



(a) $\eta = 0.328$.

Figure 7. Wing chordwise pressure distribution at M = 0.80 and $C_L = 0.43$.



(b) $\eta = 0.440$.

Figure 7. Concluded.

ΛΙΛςΛ								
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	Report Docum	entation Page						
1. Report No. NASA TM-4018	2. Government Accessio	on No.	3. Recipient's Ca	talog No.				
4. Title and Subtitle	•		5. Report Date					
Integration Effects of D-Shaped,	Underwing, Aft-Mou	inted	November 19	987				
Separate-Flow, Flow-Through Na	celles on a High-Wir	ig Transport	6. Performing Or	ganization Code				
7. Author(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		8 Danfanming On	manipation Deport No.				
Milton Lamb, John R. Carlson, a	and Odis C. Penderg	raft, Jr.	L-16342	ganization Report No.				
9 Performing Organization Name and Ad	dress		10. Work Unit No	0.				
NASA Langley Research Center			505-68-91-06	i				
Hampton, VA 23665-5225			11. Contract or Grant No.					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	8		13. Type of Repo	rt and Period Covered				
National Aeronautics and Space	Administration		Technical M	emorandum				
Washington, DC 20546-0001			14. Sponsoring A	gency Code				
 16. Abstract An experimental investigation stream Mach numbers from 0.' integration effects of D-shaped high-wing transonic transport of produced an increase in lift ov wing lower surface in front of th flow over the wing, aft of the lip in pressures ahead of the lip, to shaped nacelle. The installed of aft-mounted circular nacelle from 	has been conducted 70 to 0.82 and angle , underwing, aft-mo configuration. The re- rer that of the wing- ne pylon. For the D-so of the nacelle, cance increase interference drag of the D-shape om a previous invest	in the Langley is s of attack from unted, separate soults showed th body configura shaped nacelle, elled the reducti and form drag d nacelle was en igation.	16-Foot Transon $n -3.0^{\circ}$ to 4.0° -flow, flow-through tion by pressure a substantial re- tion in drag causes compared with assentially the s	nic Tunnel at free- to determine the ough nacelles on a nted nacelle/pylon rizing much of the egion of supersonic sed by the increase a similar circular- ame as that of an				
17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s))		18. Distribution Statement Inclassified Inlimited						
Transonic transport		Unclassified—	ommited					
Separate flow flow-through pacel	lles							
D-shaped, aft-mounted nacelles								
			Subject	Category 02				
19. Security Classif.(of this report)	20. Security Classif.(of	this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price				
Unclassified	Unclassified	/	22	A02				
NASA FORM 1626 OCT 86			· · · ·	NASA-Langley, 1987				

For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161-2171