

Space Station Freedom Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) Phase III Simplified Integrated Test Trace Contaminant Control Subsystem Performance

(NASA-TM-4202)SPACE STATION FREEDOMN91-10103ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORTSYSTEM (ECLSS) PHASE 3 SIMPLIFIED INTEGRATEDUnclasTEST TRACE CONTAMINANT CONTPOL SUBSYSTEMUnclasPERFORMANCE (NASA) 30 pCSCL 22B H1/18 0309752

OCTOBER 1990



NASA Technical Memorandum 4202

Space Station *Freedom* Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) Phase III Simplified Integrated Test Trace Contaminant Control Subsystem Performance

J. L. Perry George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama



Space Administration Office of Management

Scientific and Technical Information Division

·•

ODUL II III & FINESPERIE I ALALA DALLA DA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
SUBSYSTEM DESCRIPTION	2
High Flow Branch Low Flow Branch	4 4
TEST CONFIGURATION	4
Subsystem Interfaces Instrumentation	4 5
SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE	6
Expected Performance	6 6
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	8
Contamination Oxidation Efficiency Predicted Test Chamber Concentration Catalytic Oxidizer Temperature	8 10 11
TEST ANOMALIES	11
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
APPENDIX A: TCCS/BCRS Bleed Interface Safety Analysis	13
APPENDIX B: Catalytic Oxidizer Material Balance	17
APPENDIX C: Catalytic Oxidizer Energy Balance	23
REFERENCES	26
BIBLIOGRAPHY	27

iii

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1.SIT subsystem interfaces22.Trace contaminant control subsystem33.Catalytic oxidizer performance at 7.13 m³/h air flowrate64.Actual catalytic oxidizer performance at 5.44 m³/h air flowrate85.Catalytic oxidizer methane conversion performance96.Projected test chamber methane and carbon monoxide concentrations10	Figure	Title	Page
2.Trace contaminant control subsystem	1.	SIT subsystem interfaces	2
 Catalytic oxidizer performance at 7.13 m³/h air flowrate	2.	Trace contaminant control subsystem	3
 Actual catalytic oxidizer performance at 5.44 m³/h air flowrate	3.	Catalytic oxidizer performance at 7.13 m ³ /h air flowrate	6
5.Catalytic oxidizer methane conversion performance96.Projected test chamber methane and carbon monoxide concentrations10	4.	Actual catalytic oxidizer performance at 5.44 m ³ /h air flowrate	8
6. Projected test chamber methane and carbon monoxide concentrations 10	5.	Catalytic oxidizer methane conversion performance	9
	6.	Projected test chamber methane and carbon monoxide concentrations	10

NUMBER OF

-

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1.	TCCS subassembly characteristics	3
2.	TCCS process measurements	5
3.	Summary of TCCS air sample analysis results	7

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

SPACE STATION FREEDOM ECLSS PHASE III SIMPLIFIED INTEGRATED TEST TRACE CONTAMINANT CONTROL SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE

INTRODUCTION

Since 1986, phased testing of the Space Station Freedom (S.S. Freedom) environmental control and life support system (ECLSS) has been conducted in a test facility, the Core Module Integration Facility (CMIF), located at the Marshall Space Flight Center. The test phases consist of subsystem tests and system tests which integrate several ECLSS subsystems for testing. Phase I testing encompasses all subsystem tests required for subsystem characterization and checkout to qualify the subsystem for integrated testing. Phases II and III are integrated system tests of the ECLSS air revitalization subsystems.

Air revitalization tests during Phase II tested the performance of carbon dioxide removal, carbon dioxide reduction, oxygen generation, and trace contaminant control subsystems in an integrated configuration. The Phase III simplified integrated test (SIT) conducted from July 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989, expanded on the Phase II testing by substituting the Bosch carbon dioxide reduction process (BCRS) for the Sabatier carbon dioxide reduction process (SCRS). In addition, the trace contaminant control subsystem (TCCS) was integrated directly with other air revitalization subsystems. This provided a rigorous test of the TCCS for controlling process bleed streams produced by other ECLSS subsystems and provided important information on the S.S. Freedom air revitalization system (ARS) configuration. Figure 1 shows a simplified process flow diagram of the major SIT subsystems [1].

The interface between the TCCS and the BCRS is of particular interest to the overall air quality in the S.S. Freedom. BCRS bleed products include methane, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, carbon dioxide, oxygen, and water vapor. Of particular interest are the methane, hydrogen, and carbon monoxide components since they provide a rigorous test of the TCCS high temperature catalytic oxidizer subsassembly performance and they are major atmospheric constituents monitored in the S.S. Freedom on a regular basis. The ability of the TCCS to control these bleed components gives a good indication of its ability to control these and other airborne contaminants controlled primarily by catalytic oxidation. In addition, since the TCCS had not been directly integrated with other ECLSS subsystems during past tests, it is an increase in the level of complexity of TCCS testing.

Past TCCS tests focused primarily on subsystem characterization testing with tests designed to assess individual subassembly performance. These tests provided data to verify the TCCS design parameters such as subassembly sizing and performance under varying flow conditions. Further subsystem tests focused on several air contaminants of interest to assess the overall subsystem performance when exposed to these potential spacecraft contaminants. Additional contamination loads from subsystem bleeds and purges were not considered during these earlier tests. As the S.S. Freedom air revitalization system design progressed, the TCCS was identified as a powerful contamination control device, particularly if bleed and purge streams could be directly interfaced with it and processed before entering the cabin. Analysis supported the interface concept but the actual impact of these interfaces on the TCCS performance were virtually unknown. The Phase III SIT represents the first direct integration of the TCCS with other subsystem bleed streams and an attempt to confirm past performance analyses and data [2].

÷

101010



Figure 1. SIT subsystem interfaces.

SUBSYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The TCCS removes gas phase trace contaminants from a spacecraft atmosphere by circulating air through a series of packed sorbent beds and a high temperature catalytic oxidizer. Located in either a high flow or low flow branch of the TCCS, each trace contaminant removal subassembly targets a range of contaminants to provide an efficient contamination control approach. Table 1 details some subassembly features while Figure 2 illustrates the location of each subassembly in the TCCS.

COMPONENT	FLOW (m³/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIAMETER (m)	∆P (Pa)	MASS (kg)
Fixed Bed	0.0165	0.381	0.330	623	30.4
Presorbent Bed	0.00212	0.152	0.127	237	1.59
Postsorbent Bed	0.00212	0.222	0.127	386	2.04
Catalytic Oxidizer	0.00212	0.0914	0.0558	1490	14.1
Axial Fan (11500 RPM/55W)	0.0165	0.146	0.114	672	1.35
Centrifugal Blower (22500 RPM/90W)	0.00423			4230	1.02

Table 1. TCCS subassembly characteristics.



Figure 2. Trace contaminant control subsystem.

High Flow Branch

The high flow branch consists of a vane axial fan which draws $0.0113 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (24 ft³/min) through a fixed charcoal bed. The charcoal bed contains 21.8 kg (48 lbm) of phosphoric acid-impregnated activated carbon. This bed functions as a removal device for high molar volume contaminants and ammonia [2].

Low Flow Branch

Approximately 0.00151 m³/s (3.2 ft³/min) of the high flow branch is drawn into the low flow branch by a centrifugal blower, processed, and mixed with the air in the high flow branch before exhausting to the test chamber atmosphere. This branch contains a regenerable charcoal bed, a lithium hydroxide (LiOH) presorbent bed, a high temperature catalytic oxidizer, and a LiOH postsorbent bed. The regenerable bed was operated empty since the present S.S. Freedom TCCS design concept does not include a regenerable bed. If it was operated with the regenerable bed, the bed's function is to remove low molar volume contaminants. The presorbent bed contains 0.91 kg (2.0 lbm) of LiOH sorbent which removes acidic gases and potential catalyst poisons from the air before it enters the catalytic oxidizer. The catalytic oxidizer then oxidizes any contaminants which have not been removed by the fixed charcoal bed and presorbent beds. This assembly consists of a five-pass cross-counter flow plate fin heat exchanger and an electrically-heated catalyst canister. Air enters the heat exchanger where it is preheated by exiting air. The air is heated to the final operating temperature by two 70-W electric heaters located in the catalyst canister. Heated air flows through a bed of 0.5 percent palladium on 3.175 mm (0.125 in) diameter alumina (Al₂O₃) spheres. Contaminants which are not easily removed by the preceding removal assemblies are oxidized in the canister. Air, containing oxidation products, flows out of the catalytic oxidizer assembly and into a LiOH postsorbent bed which contains 1.36 kg (3 lbm) of LiOH. The oxidation products are removed in this bed and clean air flows back to the high flow branch and then exhausts to the cabin atmosphere [2].

TEST CONFIGURATION

Subsystem Interfaces

The SIT is the first time that the TCCS has been integrated with other ECLSS ARS subsystems. The air inlet to the fixed charcoal bed was interfaced with the temperature and humidity control system. This interface reduced the relative humidity of the inlet air to enhance contaminant removal and avoid contaminant displacement by water vapor. The major interface was with the BCRS. The Bosch process produces a bleed stream of reactants, inerts, and reaction products such as methane, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon dioxide. Methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen are particularly important since they are major atmosphere constituents generated from material offgassing and crew metabolic processes. The bleed stream was introduced through a port just before the presorbent bed to obtain optimum oxidation of these contaminants. The TCCS exhaust was interfaced directly with the test chamber atmosphere. Since the SIT was the first time that the TCCS and BCRS bleed streams were integrated, several precautions were taken to ensure that safety hazards resulting from toxic and combustible gas mixtures were minimized. A pretest analysis, documented in Appendix A, was conducted to determine the best location for the BCRS bleed stream interface and to determine the TCCS performance conditions which could result in a flammable gas mixture in the TCCS. This analysis indicated that introducing the bleed stream just before the fixed carbon bed would result in a toxic buildup of carbon monoxide in a closed test chamber, but introducing the bleed stream before the LiOH presorbent bed provided more complete carbon monoxide control. Also methane and hydrogen concentrations would be controlled more effectively. The analysis showed that a BCRS bleed stream up to 0.0000167 m³/s (0.035 ft³/min) resulted in methane and hydrogen concentrations of 0.86 volume percent and 0.35 volume percent, respectively, when mixed with a 0.00165 m³/s (3.5 ft³/min) TCCS air flow. This is below the lower flammability limits of 5 volume percent for methane and 4 volume percent for hydrogen. As a precaution, the BCRS bleed stream was diverted from the TCCS if the TCCS low flow branch flowrate fell below 0.000472 m³/s (1 ft³/min) [3].

Instrumentation

TCCS instrumentation provides simple subsystem status information on electrical current, differential pressure, and catalytic oxidizer temperature. Table 2 documents the major instrumentation measurements and their nominal values. Differential pressure measurements are taken across the axial fan and centrifugal blower to determine whether they are operating properly. Most of the other data provided relates to the catalytic oxidizer operating conditions. The catalytic oxidizer heater current is monitored and is used as a control input to an overcurrent cutoff switch which shutsdown the heater current in the event of a power surge. Likewise, the catalytic oxidizer temperature is monitored by a thermocouple probe which monitors the catalytic oxidizer external canister temperature. This temperature reading also serves as a control input for an overtemperature cutoff switch which shutsdown the heater current. The temperature limit is required to protect the catalyst from extremely high temperatures which may result in its thermal degradation. A flow measurement was added in the low flow branch to aid in conducting mass and energy balances on the catalytic oxizider assembly. Figure 1 shows the location of the major instrument readings.

MEASUREMENT	TEST ID NUMBER	UNITS	NOMINAL VALUE
Catalyic Oxidizer Heater Current	JI01	Amps	1.3
Catalyic Oxidizer canister Temp	JT01	Fahrenheit	680
Centrifugal Blower Differential Pressure	JP01	in. H ₂ O	17
Axial Fan Differential Pressure	JP02	in. H ₂ O	3.5
Low Flow Branch Flow Rate	JF01	ft ³ /min	3.2

Table 2. TCCS process measurements.

SUBSYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Expected Performance

Design literature for the TCCS shows that the subsystem should operate at 0.0165 m³/s (35 ft³/min) in the high flow branch and 0.00201 m³/s (4.25 ft³/min) in the low flow branch. These flow rates produce a pressure drop of 672 Pa (0.097 psi) and 4,230 Pa (0.61 psi) across the vane axial fan and centrifugal blower, respectively. The catalytic oxidizer external canister temperature reaches 615 K (650 °F) which corresponds to an air temperature of 672 K (750 °F). Figure 3 shows the expected catalytic oxidizer operating point. At this temperature approximately 9 percent of the methane and 100 percent of the carbon monoxide and hydrogen will be oxidized per pass.

Test Performance

The TCCS performance during the SIT exceeded the expected performance for methane oxidation, 67.3 percent per pass, but fell short of expectations for carbon monoxide oxidation which achieved an apparent 83.1 percent per pass. Sample analysis results illustrating these efficiencies are summarized in Table 3. The increase in methane conversion was accompanied by

Ē

IN UNAN DIN



Figure 3. Catalytic oxidizer performance at 7.13 m³/h air flowrate.

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	CAT. O SAMPLE	XIDIZER	CONCEN	NTRATION	CONV	ERSION
NUMBER	Inlet	Outlet	CH₄	CO	CH₄	СО
JS6-EH-0935-[8-3-89] * JS7-EH-0945-[8-3-89] *	х	x	30.21 ppm 0.00 ppm	0.00 ppm 0.00 ppm	-	
JS6-EH-1102-[8-3-89] JS7-EH-1105-[8-3-89]	х	x	4543.9 ppm 956.1 ppm	171.6 ppm 26.1 ppm	0.790	0.848
JS6-BAC-0657-[8-4-89] JS7-BAC-0659-[8-4-89]	х	x	0.13 vol% 0.05 vol%	<0.2 vol% <0.2 vol%	0.615	-
JS6-BAC-1232-[8-489] JS7-BAC-1236-[8-4-89]	х	x	0.17 vol% 0.04 vol%	<0.2 vol% <0.2 vol%	0.765	-
JS6-EH-1632-[8-4-89] JS7-EH-1642-[8-4-89]	х	х	3204.13 ppm 694.59 ppm	147.12 ppm 24.28 ppm	0.783	0.835
JS6-EH-0818-[8-5-89] JS7-EH-0826-[8-5-89]	х	x	2693.05 ppm 835.23 ppm	135.46 ppm 23.18 ppm	0.690	0.829
JS6-BAC-1524-[8-7-89] JS7-BAC-1525-[8-7-89]	×	x	0.16 vol% 0.07 vol%	<0.2 vol% <0.2 vol%	0.562	-
JS6-BAC-1734-[8-8-89]* JS7-BAC-1737-[8-8-89]*	х	x	<0.4 vol% <0.4 vol%	<0.2 vol% <0.2 vol%	-	-
JS6-EH-1057-[8-10-89] JS7-EH-1101-[8-10-89]	×	x	2735.94 ppm 470.10 ppm	141.35 ppm 26.44 ppm	0.828	0.813
JS6-EH-0915-[7-31-89] JS7-EH-0919-[7-31-89]	x	x	25.0 ppm 16.2 ppm		0.352	-
AVERAGE CONVERSION		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.673	0.831

Table 3. Summary of TCCS air sample analysis results.

* Background samples not included in performance evaluation.

an increase in the catalytic oxidizer operating temperature which resulted from the energy released by the exothermic oxidation reactions taking place in the reactor. The external canister temperature averaged 633 K (710 °F) during the time the TCCS was integrated with the BCRS. This corresponds to an air temperature of 711 K (820 °F). Figure 4 shows the actual catalytic oxidizer operating point. Differential pressures for both the axial fan and centrifugal blower were low, having values of 610 Pa (0.088 psi) and 4,110 Pa (0.596 psi), respectively. The lower differential pressure reading for the centrifugal blower was accompanied by a low flow branch flow rate which averaged 0.00151 m³/s (3.2 ft³/min). This flow rate is lower than expected but is consistent with the lower blower differential pressure.



Figure 4. Actual catalytic oxidizer performance at 5.44 m³/h air flowrate.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Contaminant Oxidation Efficiency

Contaminants introduced into the TCCS through the BCRS bleed stream are an excellent performance challenge for the TCCS catalytic oxidizer assembly. The contaminants of interest are methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen. Expected oxidation efficiencies for these contaminants are 9 percent for methane and 100 percent for both carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Analytical results of gas samples taken of the catalytic oxidizer assembly influent and effluent, shown in Table 3, show an average oxidation efficiency of 67.3 percent for methane and 83.1 percent for carbon monoxide. Hydrogen oxidation efficiency could not be determined from the sample analysis because the inlet and outlet concentrations fell below the analytical instrument's sensitivity.

Improved methane oxidation efficiency was obtained because the catalytic oxidizer temperature was much higher than expected. Figure 5 shows the expected and actual methane oxidation



Figure 5. Catalytic oxidizer methane conversion performance.

efficiencies as a function of operating temperature. The average temperature of 711 K (820 °F) corresponds directly to 67.3 percent methane conversion.

Poor carbon monoxide oxidation efficiency was not expected. Design literature shows that carbon monoxide oxidation efficiency is 100 percent per pass at these operating conditions. The temperature experienced during the SIT should not result in poor conversion. However, mass balances conducted during post-test analysis supports the observed oxidation efficiency. This analysis, documented in Appendix B, considers two cases for carbon monoxide oxidation. The first case assumes that 83.1 percent of the carbon monoxide fed to the catalytic oxidizer is oxidized while the second case assumes 100 percent oxidation of the feed carbon monoxide and 93 percent oxidation of carbon monoxide produced by incomplete oxidation of methane within the reactor. Both cases support the test results; however, the case which assumes incomplete methane oxidation is considered the more likely mechanism when past carbon monoxide oxidation is a function of the catalytic oxidizer residence time. Additional testing will be required to determine the mechanism of this result.

Predicted Test Chamber Concentration

Post-test analysis, shown in Figure 6, predicting the subsystem's capability to control contaminant concentrations to levels below the spacecraft maximum allowable concentration (SMAC) indicates that the conditions of the SIT would result in a methane concentration at 23 percent of its SMAC of 1,771 mg/m³ and a carbon monoxide concentration at 76 percent of its SMAC of 28.60 mg/m³ in a closed test chamber at the end of the SIT.

ì

ì

The conditions experienced during the SIT represent a worst case for BCRS bleed stream composition and flow rate. An impure carbon dioxide stream was delivered to the BCRS resulting in a buildup of inerts in the reactor and a corresponding high bleed stream flow containing a large amount of reactants, reaction intermediates, and reaction products. The results obtained from TCCS air samples at the high temperature catalytic oxidizer inlet and outlet indicate an apparent carbon monoxide oxidation efficiency of 83.1 percent per pass. This efficiency was expected to be 100 percent. If the expected efficiency had been achieved, the projected carbon monoxide concentration in a closed test chamber would be virtually zero. Additional testing will be required to investigate the carbon monoxide removal results obtained in the SIT and the potential for carbon monoxide production from incomplete hydrocarbon oxidation within the TCCS catalytic oxidizer.



Figure 6. Projected test chamber methane and carbon monoxide concentrations.

Catalytic Oxidizer Temperature

During the SIT, the catalytic oxidizer operated at much higher temperatures than expected. After achieving integration with the BCRS bleed stream, the external canister temperature rose to as high as 700 K (800 °F) which corresponds to an air temperature of 755 K (900 °F). The average external canister temperature was 650 K (710 °F) which corresponds to an air temperature of 711 K (820 °F). This temperature rise results from the additional energy released by the exothermic oxidation reactions occuring in the catalytic oxidizer canister. A post-test analysis of the energy released during these reactions predicts an air temperature of 703 K (806 °F) if the design temperature of 633 K (680 °F) is reached. This analysis is documented in Appendix C. It must be noted that the analysis assumes energy losses through conduction and radiation routes are minimal.

The high temperatures experienced during the SIT required some precautions to protect the catalyst from thermal degradation. Temperatures above 811 K (1,000 °F) may cause thermal degradation of the catalyst resulting in reduced oxidation efficiencies. Since the TCCS flow rate in the low flow branch is controlled by a fixed orifice plate, the temperature in the catalytic oxidizer could not be regulated by controlling the flow rate. Therefore, when the temperature approached 755 K (900 °F), the BCRS bleed stream was diverted to an external duct for a period of time to allow the catalytic oxidizer to cool. This technique is not desirable, especially when the BCRS bleed is continuous and must interface with the TCCS in an uninterrupted manner to achieve efficient contamination control. Modifications to the predevelopment TCCS to allow flow rate regulation will eliminate the need to deintegrate the BCRS bleed stream from the TCCS in future testing.

TEST ANOMALIES

The TCCS operated with few complications during the entire test. The major adjustment made during the test was to integrate and deintegrate the TCCS and BCRS bleed stream as necessary. Minor adjustments were required for the catalytic oxidizer thermocouple probe which provides temperature readings since it vibrated loose from its contact point and required reseating periodically. These anomalies will be corrected by modifying the predevelopment TCCS to provide fair flowrate control and consistent temperature data.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The SIT demonstrated that the TCCS can be successfully integrated with other ECLSS ARS subsystems and can operate continously for extended periods of time with minimal adjustment. The oxidation efficiencies obtained in the test indicate that TCCS performance is sensitive to ARS interfaces. Additional testing is required to understand and validate the TCCS removal capabilities for methane and carbon monoxide produced by ARS subsystems. Efficiency sensitivity to air flow rate, catalytic oxidizer temperature, and bleed stream composition should be investigated in future TCCS development tests to further characterize TCCS performance under varying ARS operating conditions. These tests would provide data necessary to optimizing TCCS interfaces with ARS subsytems and lead to an efficient S.S. Freedom TCCS design.

APPENDIX A

TCCS/BCRS Bleed Interface Safety Analysis

SAFETY ANALYSIS OF THE TCCS/BOSCH INTERFACE



Mixing Point: T=298 K P=1 atm

Hydrogen and Methane Flammability Data: (CH₄ and H₂ in air at 298 K and 1 atm)

SPECIES	LOWER FLAMMABILITY LIMIT (% vol)	UPPER FLAMMABILITY LIMIT (% vol)	SPONTANEOUS IGNITION TEMPERATURE (°C)
H ₂	4.0 (1)	75.0 (1)	571.1 (4)
CH4	5.4 (1) 5.0 (2) 5.3 (3) 4.35 (4)	15.0 (1) 15.0 (2) 15.0 (3) 15.53 (4)	632.2 (4)

IN COMPANY

References:

- 1. Lange's Handbook of Chemistry
- 2. Flammability Characteristics of Combustible Gases and Vapors. U. S. Dept. of the Interior. Bureau of Mines; 1965.
- 3. Lewis, B.; von Elbe, G. Combustion, Flames, and Explosions of Gases, Third Edition. New York: Academic Press, Inc.; 1987.
- 4. Perry, R. H.; Chilton, C. H. editors. Chemical Engineers' Handbook, Fifth Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1975.



CASE 1: BOSCH BLEED = 500 cm³/min

F=5.95 m³/hr

Nitrogen mass flow=5,384,707.3 mg/hr Oxygen mass flow=1,635,016.6 mg/hr

Overall Mass Balance:

SPECIES	F (mg/hr) +	B (mg/hr) =	P (mg/hr)	n (mol/hr)	y,
N ₂	5,384,707.3	6,544.8	5,391,252.1	192.40	0.784
O ₂	1,635,016.6	0.0	1,635,016.6	51.10	0.208
CH4	0.0	11,585.4	11,585.4	0.72	0.003
H ₂	0.0	984.6	984.6	0.49	0.002
со	0.0	11,898.6	11,898.6	0.42	0.002
CO2	0.0	11,217.0	11,217.0	0.25	0.001
TOTALS				245.38	1.000

CASE 2: BOSCH BLEED = 1000 cm³/min with a high methane and hydrogen content

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{y}_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{RT}$$

P=1.837 atm T=316 K

.

;

I HILIAKA I

Ē

F=5.95 m³/hr

Nitrogen mass flow=5,384,707.3 mg/hr Oxygen mass flow=1,635,016.6 mg/hr B=1000 cm³/min 50% methane 20% oxygen

30% inerts

Overall Mass Balance:

SPECIES	F (mol/min)	+ B (mol/min)	= P (mol/min)	y,
N ₂	3.20	0.0	3.20	0.776
O ₂	0.852	0.0	0.852	0.207
CH4	0.0	0.0354	0.0354	0.009
H ₂	0.0	0.0142	0.0142	0.003
Inerts	0.0	0.0212	0.0212	0.005
TOTALS		La.,	4.1228	1.000

MINIMUM ALLOWABLE TCCS FLOW RATE:

For Methane:	0.05P = 0.0354 mol/min
	P = 0.708 mol/min
	$P = (0.708 \text{ mol/min})(0.0224 \text{ m}^3/\text{mol})(60 \text{ min/hr}) =$
	$0.952 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr} = 0.560 \text{ CFM}$

For Hydrogen:	0.04P = 0.0142 mol/m
<i>v</i> c	P = 0.355 mol/min
	$P = (0.355 \text{ mol/min})(0.0224 \text{ m}^3/\text{mol})(60 \text{ min/hr}) =$
	$0.477 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{hr} = 0.281 \text{ CFM}$

CONCLUSIONS:

Nominal TCCS operation does not present a flammability hazard. A flammability hazard exists at worst case Bosch bleed conditions at approximately 1 m³/hr.

APPENDIX B

Catalytic Oxidizer Material Balance

TCCS MATERIAL BALANCE



Bosch Bleed Composition: 0.220 l/min, 1 atm, 295 K

SPECIES	AVERAGE % VOLUME	NORMALIZED % (AVE%X[100/104.7]) VOLUME
$\begin{array}{c} CO_2 \\ H_2 \\ CH_4 \\ CO \\ N_2 \\ O_2 \\ H_2O \end{array}$	2.2 15.6 47.1 5.3 29.0 5.0 0.5	$\begin{array}{c} 2.10 \\ 14.90 \\ 44.99 \\ 5.06 \\ 27.70 \\ 4.78 \\ 0.48 \end{array}$
TOTALS	104.7	100.01

Inlet Air Composition:

SPECIES	AVERAGE % VOLUME
CO ₂ O ₂ N ₂	0.093 22.32 77.58
TOTALS	99.993

Correct Air Flow Rate to 322 K (90.6 l/min)(322 K/295 K) = 98.89 l/min

Mass Flow Rates

TCCS Inlet Air $\dot{m}_{i} = \dot{v}_{y_{i}} M_{i} (mol/22.4 l) [1/(322 K/273 K)]$

CO₂: $\mathbf{m} = (98.89 \text{ l/min})(0.00093)(44.0098 \text{ g/mol})(\text{mol}/22.4 \text{ l})$ × $[1/(322 \text{ K}/273 \text{ K})] = 0.1532 \text{ g CO}_2/\text{min}$ O₂: Similarly, $\mathbf{m} = 26.7 \text{ g O}_2/\text{min}$ N₂: $\mathbf{m} = 81.3 \text{ g N}_2/\text{min}$ Mass Flow Rates (continued)

$\mathbf{m}_{1} = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{y}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1} (\text{mol}/22.4 \text{ l}) [1/(295 \text{ K}/273 \text{ K})]$
$\mathbf{m} = 0.00273 \text{ g H}_2/\text{min}$ $\mathbf{m} = 0.0656 \text{ g CH}_4/\text{min}$ $\mathbf{m} = 0.0129 \text{ g CO/min}$ $\mathbf{m} = 0.0705 \text{ g N}_2/\text{min}$
$\mathbf{\dot{m}} = 0.0139 \text{ g } O_2/\text{min}$ $\mathbf{\dot{m}} = 0.000786 \text{ g } H_2O_2/\text{min}$

Mass Balance at Mixing Point:

ſ	SPECIES	F (g/min)	+ B (g/min) =	P (g/min)	n (mol/min)
F	CO ₂	0.1532	0.00840	0.1617	0.00367
	N ₂	81.3	0.0705	81.3705	2.9047
	O ₂	26.7	0.0139	26.7139	0.8348
	CH4	0	0.0656	0.0656	0.00409
	H ₂	0	0.00273	0.00273	0.00135
	СО	0	0.0129	0.0129	0.000460
	H ₂ O (v)	0	0.000786	0.000786	0.0000436
ľ	TOTALS	108.1532	0.174816	108.328016	3.7491136

Mole Fractions after Mixing Point:

SPECIES	n (mol/min)	У ₁
$\begin{array}{c} CO_2 \\ N_2 \\ O_2 \\ CH_4 \\ H_2 \\ CO \\ H_2O \ (v) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00360\\ 2.9047\\ 0.8348\\ 0.00409\\ 0.00135\\ 0.000460\\ 0.0000436\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000979\\ 0.774770\\ 0.222666\\ 0.001091\\ 0.000367\\ 0.000123\\ 0.000012\end{array}$
TOTALS	3.7491136	1.000000



 $n_{out} = n_{iN} - n_{reacted} + n_{roduced}$

Basis: 3.749 mol/min inlet, 83.1% CO, 67.3% CH₄ and, 100% H₂ oxidation From stoichiometry:

SPECIES	MOLES FED + (mol/min)	MOLES PRODUCED – (mol/min)	MOLES REACTED = (mol/min)	MOLES OUT (mol/min)
CO ₂ N ₂ O ₂ CH ₄ H ₂ CO H ₂ O (v)	0.00367 2.9047 0.8348 0.00409 0.00135 0.000460 0.0000436	0.0029856 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.00270	0 0 0.0060948 0.0026176 0.00135 0.000368 0	0.0066556 2.9047 0.8287058 0.0014724 0 0.000092 0.0027436
TOTALS	3.7491136	0.00569856	0.0104298	3.7443694

111 10 1046-001

The second second second

Outlet Composition:

	FLOW RATE	MOLE	E FRACTIONS
SPECIES	n (mol/min)	У	y, Analysis Results
$ \begin{array}{c} CO_2 \\ N_2 \\ O_2 \\ CH_4 \\ H_2 \\ CO \\ H_2O (v) \end{array} $	0.0066556 2.9047 0.8287058 0.0014724 0 0.000092 0.0027436	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00178\\ 0.77575\\ 0.22132\\ 0.00039\\ 0\\ 0.0000245\\ 0.00073\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00197\\ 0.7807\\ 0.2223\\ 0.00045\\ < 0.004\\ 0.000025\\ \end{array}$
TOTALS	3.7443694	0.99999	1.005445



Basis: 3.749 mol/min inlet, 100% CO feed, 67.3% CH_4 , 100% H_2 oxidation and 93% oxidation of residual CO resulting from the methane reaction

From stoichiometry:

SPECIES	MOLES FED + (mol/min)	MOLES PRODUCED - (mol/min)	MOLES REACTED = (mol/min)	MOLES OUT (mol/min)
CO ₂	0.00367	0.0029856	0	0.006656
N ₂	2.9047	0	0	2.9047
O_2	0.8348	0	0.0068602	0.8279398
CH₄	0.00409	0	0.0026176	0.0014724
H ₂	0.00135	0	0.00135	0
CŌ	0.000460	0.000092	0.000460	0.000092
H ₂ O (v)	0.0000436	0.00270	0	0.0027436
TOTALS	3.7491136	0.00569856	0.0104298	3.7436038

Outlet Composition:

	FLOW RATE	MOLE	FRACTIONS
SPECIES	n (mol/min)	у	y, Analysis Results
$\begin{array}{c} CO_2\\ N_2\\ O_2\\ CH_4\\ H_2\\ CO\\ H = 0 () \end{array}$	0.006656 2.9047 0.8279398 0.0014724 0 0.000092	0.00178 0.77591 0.22116 0.00039 0 0.0000246	0.00197 0.7807 0.2223 0.00045 <0.004 0.000025
H ₂ O (v)	0.0027436	0.000/33	0
TOTALS	3.7436038	0.99999	1.005445

CONCLUSIONS: The material balances support the results observed for methane and carbon monoxide oxidation efficiencies of 67.3% and 83.1%. Incomplete hydrocarbon oxidation is not supported by the analysis results.

T 11 111 Ministerio

APPENDIX C

Catalytic Oxidizer Energy Balance

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



ENERGY BALANCE Prediction of temperature rise in the catalytic oxidizer

$$Q + W = \Delta U + \Delta E_{\kappa} + \Delta E_{\rho} \quad \text{where } \Delta U = \Delta H$$
$$Q = \Delta H = \sum_{OUT} \mathbf{\hat{n}}_{1} \mathbf{\hat{H}}_{1} - \sum_{N} \mathbf{\hat{n}}_{1} \mathbf{\hat{H}}_{1} + \sum \mathbf{\hat{n}}_{1} \Delta \mathbf{\hat{H}}_{1RXN}^{\circ} / v_{1}$$

Case 1: assume the reactor is well insulated: Q = 0

$$0 = \sum_{\text{out}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i} - \sum_{\text{IN}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i} + \sum_{\text{IN}} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i,\text{RXN}}^{*} / v_{i}$$

Κ

$$T_{cat ox} = 633 \text{ K};$$
 $T_{REF} = 298$

SPECIES	HEAT CAPACITY . C _P (cal/mol)
CO ₂	10.34 + 0.00274T 6 50 + 0.00100T
O ₂	8.27 + 0.000258T
CH4 H2	5.34 ± 0.01151 $6.62 \pm 0.00081T$
CO H ₂ O (v)	6.60 + 0.00120T 8.22 + 0.00015T

Ē

Heat capacities, $C_p(T)$, taken to first order in T for simplification p. 3-120, Table 3-174 of the Chemical Engineers' Handbook, Fifth edition.

Calculate
$$\hat{H}_{IN}$$
 for each species: $\hat{H}_{IN} = \int_{298}^{633} C_{P}(T) dT$

SPECIES	n _{IN} (mol/min)	n _{our} (mol/min)
CO ₂ N ₂ O ₂ CH ₄ H ₂ CO H ₂ O (v)	0.00367 2.90 0.835 0.00409 0.00135 0.00460 0.0000436	0.00666 2.90 0.829 0.00147 0 0.0000920 0.00274
TOTALS	3.75	3.74

Molar Flow Rates into and out of the Catalytic Oxidizer:

<u>Calculate</u> $\sum_{IN} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i$ and $\sum_{OUT} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i$:

$$\sum_{IN} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i} = 37143 \text{ J/min}$$
$$\sum_{OUT} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i} = 0.01062T^{2} + 108.089T - 33149$$

Solve the Energy Balance for T:

$$0 = 0.01062T^{2} + 108.089T - 33149 - 37143 - 8400$$
$$T = \frac{-108.089 \pm \sqrt{(108.098)^{2} - 4(0.01062)(78692)}}{2(0.01062)}$$

T = 703 K

The actual temperature averaged 711 K which is approximately 1% higher than predicted.

It should be noted that the analysis does not account for energy losses resulting from conduction, radiation, and other sources.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mitchell, K.: "Quick Look' Test Report on the Phase III ECLSS Simplified Integrated Test (SIT) of the Air Revitalization Loop." Marshall Space Flight Center, Structures and Dynamics Laboratory memo 157-89, September 13, 1989.
- Olcott, T.M.: "Design, Fabrication, and Test of a Trace Contaminant Control System." LMSC-D462467, Biotechnology Organization Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Inc., Sunnyvale, California. November 28, 1975.
- 3. Perry. J.L.: "Safety Analysis of Bosch Bleed/TCCS Interface." Marshall Space Flight Center, Structures and Dynamics Laboratory memo 130-89, July 25, 1989.

. . . .

- 4. Caruso, S.V.: "Gas Analyses." Marshall Space Flight Center, Materials and Processes Laboratory memo 89-310, August 14, 1989.
- 5. Caruso. S.V.: "Gas Analyses of the Simplified Integrated Air Revitalization Systems Test." Marshall Space Flight Center, Materials and Processes Laboratory memo 89-359, September 14, 1989.

the second second second

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Jagow, R.B.: "Development of a Preprototype Trace Contaminant Control System." Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Sunnyvale, California, March 31, 1977.

Olcott, T.M.: "Design, Fabrication, and Test of a Trace Contaminant Control System." LMSC-D462467, Biotechnology Organization Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Inc., Sunnyvale, California, November 28, 1975.

Olcott, T.M.: "Development and Design of an Isotope-Heated Catalytic Oxidizer Trace Contaminant Control System." NASA CR-66739, Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Sunnyvale, California.

Olcott, T.M.: "Development of a Sorber Trace Contaminant Control System Including Pre- and Postsorbers for a Catalytic Oxidizer." NASA CR-2027, Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Sunny-vale, California, May 1972.

Olcott, T.M.: "Study and Preliminary Design of an Isotope-Heated Catalytic Oxidizer System." NASA CR-66346, Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Sunnyvale, California.

Robell, A.J.; Arnold, C.R.; Wheeler, A.; Kersels, G.J.; and Merrill, R.P.: "Trace Contaminant Adsorption and Sorbent Regeneration." NASA CR-1582, Lockheed Missiles and Space Co., Sunny-vale, California, September 1970.

PSR de envel Avenan voletver (rapped) For viere en Six le converte abaixe		
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
NASA TM-4202		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
Space Station <i>Freedom</i> System (ECLSS) Phase Contaminant Control S	Environmental Control and Life Supp III Simplified Integrated Test Trace	Oort October 1990 6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
J.L. Perry		
		10. Work Unit No.
9. Performing Organization Name a	and Address	м-630
George C. Marshall Sp	ace Flight Center	11. Contract or Grant No.
Marshall Space Flight	Center, Alabama 35812	
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
 Sponsoring Agency Name and A 	Address	Technical Memorandum
National Aeronautics an Washington, D.C. 2054	nd Space Administration 46-0001	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
National Aeronautics an Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures	nd Space Administration 46-0001 and Dynamics Laboratory, Science an	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate.
National Aeronautics an Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures 6. Abstract 6. Abstract 6. Abstract (SIT) conducted from Ju alization system. During directly integrated with t TCCS performed as expectance of the the total structures characterizing the TCCS configurations.	and Dynamics Laboratory, Science and eedom environmental control and life space Flight Center since 1986. The ph ly 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989 this test, the trace contaminant control he bleed stream from the carbon diox ected with minor anomalies. The test performance as part of advance air re	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate. support system testing has been ' hase III simplified integrated test 9, tested an integrated air revit- ol subsystem (TCCS) was ide reduction subsystem. The set the basis for further evitalization system
National Aeronautics ar Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures 6. Abstract 5. Abstract 6. Abstract 6. Abstract (SIT) conducted from Ju alization system. During directly integrated with t TCCS performed as expectant characterizing the TCCS configurations.	and Dynamics Laboratory, Science and eedom environmental control and life e pace Flight Center since 1986. The pl ly 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989 this test, the trace contaminant control he bleed stream from the carbon diox ected with minor anomalies. The test performance as part of advance air re	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate. support system testing has been hase III simplified integrated test 9, tested an integrated air revit- ol subsystem (TCCS) was tide reduction subsystem. The set the basis for further evitalization system
National Aeronautics an Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures 6. Abstract 5. Abstract 6. Abstract 6. Abstract 7. Key Words (Suggested by Author 7. Key Words (Suggested by Author	and Dynamics Laboratory, Science an eedom environmental control and life pace Flight Center since 1986. The pl ly 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989 this test, the trace contaminant control he bleed stream from the carbon diox ected with minor anomalies. The test performance as part of advance air re	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate. support system testing has been hase III simplified integrated test 9, tested an integrated air revit- ol subsystem (TCCS) was tide reduction subsystem. The set the basis for further evitalization system
National Aeronautics ar Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures 6. Abstract 5. Abstract 6. Abstract 6. Abstract 7. Conducted at Marshall St (SIT) conducted from Ju alization system. During directly integrated with t TCCS performed as expectance as expec- characterizing the TCCS configurations. 7. Key Words (Suggested by Author Space station, contamin trace contaminant contra- testing, ECLSS	and Dynamics Laboratory, Science an eedom environmental control and life pace Flight Center since 1986. The pf ly 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989 this test, the trace contaminant control he bleed stream from the carbon diox ected with minor anomalies. The test performance as part of advance air re "(s)) ation, ol 18. Distribution Unclassi	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate. support system testing has been hase III simplified integrated test 9, tested an integrated air revit- ol subsystem (TCCS) was tide reduction subsystem. The set the basis for further evitalization system Statement ified – Unlimited Category: 18
National Aeronautics ar Washington, D.C. 2054 5. Supplementary Notes Prepared by Structures 5. Abstract 5. Abstract 5. Abstract 6. Abstract 7. Conducted at Marshall S (SIT) conducted from Ju alization system. During directly integrated with t TCCS performed as expe characterizing the TCCS configurations. 7. Key Words (Suggested by Author Space station, contamin trace contaminant contro testing, ECLSS 7. Security Classif. (of this report)	and Dynamics Laboratory, Science an eedom environmental control and life pace Flight Center since 1986. The pf ly 30, 1989, through August 11, 1989 this test, the trace contaminant control he bleed stream from the carbon diox ected with minor anomalies. The test performance as part of advance air re r(s)) ation, ol 18. Distribution Unclassi Subject 20. Security Classif. (of this page)	14. Sponsoring Agency Code and Engineering Directorate. support system testing has been hase III simplified integrated test 9, tested an integrated air revitol subsystem (TCCS) was side reduction subsystem. The set the basis for further evitalization system Statement ified – Unlimited Category: 18 21. No. of pages 22. Price