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Abstract

Recently, a new technique for calculating the defect energetics of alloys based on Equivalent Crystal Theory has been developed. This new technique successfully predicts the bulk properties for binary alloys as well as segregation energies in the dilute limit. We apply this method for the calculation of energy and force as a function of separation of an AFM tip and substrate. This study was done for different combinations of tip and sample materials. The validity of the universality discovered for same metal interfaces is examined for the case of different metal interactions.
The atomic force microscope (AFM) [1] has enabled the measurement of interfacial forces at the atomic level as a function of separation [2]. However, the ability to perform theoretical calculations of these forces is limited by the complexity of tip geometries. Also, the need to calculate force as a function of separation and the need to include relaxation makes first-principles calculations difficult to perform. A new formalism, Equivalent Crystal Theory (ECT) [3], which has given accurate surface energies and relaxations for fcc, bcc metals and semiconductors [4] has been used to calculate the force versus separation for a range of tip geometries [5]. However, ECT has only been formulated for single component materials, whereas it is necessary to be able to calculate the same properties for different materials in contact.

Recently, a new method for treating multicomponent systems, which builds on the ideas of ECT, was introduced by Bozzolo, Ferrante and Smith (BFS) [6]. Here, we give a brief description of the method and present an application to a number of fcc and bcc metals in contact for several tip geometries. We will present the calculations of the binding energy and force as a function of separation and discuss the universal aspects of these curves.

In BFS, the total energy of a multicomponent system is the sum of the energies of individual atoms. For each atom, we break up the energy into two parts: a strain energy $e^S$ and a chemical contribution $e^C$, linked by a coupling factor $g$:

$$ e_i = e^S_i + g_i(e^C_i - e^C_0) $$

where $i$ denotes the atomic species of a given atom.

The strain energy, $e^S_i$, is obtained from a standard ECT defect calculation where all the atoms surrounding the atom in question are taken to be of the same atomic species $i$. 
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In the spirit of ECT, an equivalent lattice parameter $a_i^S$ is straightforwardly computed and the strain contribution becomes

$$e_i^S = E_C^i F^*(a_i^S)$$

(2)

where $F^*(a) = 1 - (1 + a)e^{-a}$ and $E_C^i$ is the cohesive energy of an atom of species $i$. The argument $a_i^S$ is given by $a_i^S = (a_i^S - a_i^*)/l_i$, where $a_i^S$ and $l_i$ are the lattice parameter and screening length of a pure crystal of species $i$. The coupling term $g_i$ is defined in terms of the scaled lattice parameter $a_i^S$ as $g_i = exp(-a_i^S)$.

The chemical contribution $e_i^C$ is obtained by yet another standard ECT calculation. As opposed to the strain energy term, the surrounding atoms retain their chemical identity, but are forced to be in equilibrium lattice sites. If $N_{ik} (M_{ik})$ denotes the number of nearest(next)-neighbors of species $k$ of the atom in question (of species $i$) then the ECT equation [4] to be solved for the equivalent lattice parameter $a_i^C$ is

$$N R_1^i e^{-a_i R_1} + M R_2^i e^{-(a_i + \chi_i) R_2} = \sum_k N_{ik} r_1^{1i} e^{-a_{ik} r_1} + \sum_k M_{ik} r_2^{1i} e^{-(a_{ik} + \chi_i) r_2}$$

(3)

where $N(M)$ is the number of nearest(next)-neighbors in the equivalent crystal of species $i$ and $R_1 (R_2)$ is the nearest(next)-neighbor distance in the equivalent crystal of lattice parameter $a_i^C$. $r_1$ and $r_2$, are the equilibrium nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor distances in an equilibrium crystal of species $i$, respectively. The chemical energy is then computed with

$$e_i^C = \gamma E_C^i F^*(a_i^C)$$

(4)

and

$$e_0^C = \gamma_0 E_C^i F^*(a_0^C)$$

(5)
where \( \gamma(\gamma_0) = +1 \) if \( a_i^C(a_0^C) \geq 0 \) and \( \gamma(\gamma_0) = -1 \) otherwise, and \( a_i^C = (a_i^C - a_i^t)/4 \). The scaled lattice parameter \( a_i^C \) is obtained from Eq.(3) with the parameters \( \alpha_{ik} \) listed in Ref. 6, and \( a_0^C \) is computed by solving Eq.(3) but with \( \alpha_{ik} = \alpha_i \). The rest of the parameters appearing in Eq.(3) are listed in Ref. 6.

An overview of typical results is shown in Figs. 1-3. Fig. 1 displays the comparison between the experimental values of the heat of formation [7] of selected binary compounds \( A_xB_{1-x} \) and the predictions of this method, for the full range of concentration \( x \). In Fig. 2 we compare the predictions for the lattice parameters of the binary alloys of Ni, Cu and Pd with experimental values [8]. The method's sensitivity is highlighted in Fig. 3, where the lattice parameters of Ag-Au alloys are compared with experiment [8]. A lattice contraction is experimentally detected for certain concentrations involving significantly small changes of the lattice spacing, and they are successfully reproduced by our method. Similar results are obtained for bcc alloys. A full description of the method is given in Ref. 6. Even though BFS is a semiempirical method, its dependence on experimental input is minimal in that only two experimental values (i.e., the heats of solution in the dilute limit) are needed and most of the formalism is based on the behavior of the pure elements.

The only limitation is the availability of reliable experimental data for the corresponding alloys. BFS is designed to provide, with minimum computational effort, a systematic description of arbitrary systems of more than one atomic species. Because of its numerical simplicity, this method, together with a Monte Carlo procedure to account for individual atomic displacements, is particularly well suited for computer simulations of typical STM/AFM experiments.

In this first application of BFS to the study of metallic adhesion using AFM, we consid-
ered several bimetallic junctions, concentrating on the effect of the tip shape on the adhesive forces in AFM. We left out the possibility of individual atomic displacements and avalanche effects for small gap widths, as our concern is with the existence of a universal behavior that include bimetallic systems in ideal (i.e., rigid) conditions. If these effects were taken into account, one would expect a greater sensitivity of the energetics of the tip geometry as well as tip and sample materials. We restricted our calculations to systems in which both the sample and the tip are fcc or bcc metals. Although there is some experimental evidence that multiple atom tips are typical in the scanning process [10], in this initial study we choose to model the interaction between the tip and the substrate with a single-atom tip. We will show that different tip geometries account for minor corrections to the energetics of the system. This result is consistent with the body of available STM/AFM data [10].

Fig. 4.a shows the variation of binding energy with separation for two different STM/AFM Al tips on a (100) Al sample. The tip-substrate separation is taken to be zero when the tip atom is in contact with the sample surface (in this calculation, this corresponds to the fcc-hollow site). The two tips examined are sketched in Figs. 4.b and 4.c. As discussed before, the differences in energy due to different tip geometries are rather small. Moreover, the adhesive energy (i.e., the minimum of the binding energy curve), if amenable to measurement, should give an indication of the tip shape.

Fig. 5 displays the dependence of the binding energy curve in terms of lattice symmetries. Two different surfaces of Ir are considered: (100) and (111). As expected, the adhesive energy values are smaller for the (111) face. These curves correspond to a pyramidal tip (see Fig. 4.c), but the essential features should be the same for other tip shapes.

Fig. 6.a and 6.b summarize the binding energy versus separation results for a variety
of fcc and bcc materials (Al, Ni, Cr, Ir) for their (100) face and a pyramidal tip. Consistent with previous findings, all curves scale onto one curve which is accurately described by a Rydberg function. Similar conclusions can be drawn for the force vs. separation curves, shown in Fig. 6.c.

Finally, Fig. 7 displays the variation of binding energy with separation for a variety of tip-substrate systems A-B (A:tip-B:substrate) where Ni is one of the components. There is a noticeable change in the qualitative behavior of these results as the lattice parameter mismatch between the components changes. However, we found that for bimetallic junctions, the binding energy curves also scale onto a unique curve which can be fitted satisfactorily Rydberg function, as in the case of pure metals as shown in Fig. 7.d. This result is independent of lattice structure, crystal face, tip geometry and materials involved.

Simulations like the ones presented in this work are computationally extremely simple, allowing for straightforward extensions of these ideal situations, including multiatomic tip effects and, more importantly, tip induced relaxations. In particular, BFS, together with the recently developed theory of avalanche in adhesion [11], should be ideally suited for the study of stability of a tip and sample at very small separations [12].

Conclusions

The semiempirical method for the treatment of multicomponent systems developed by Bozzolo, Ferrante and Smith is physically accurate and computationally simple. Because of the ability of this method to effectively deal with systems with more than one atomic species, it provides an ideal framework for theoretical modelling of defect structures such as impurity atoms. For the case of STM/AFM, applications to a variety of tip-substrate combinations, together with some insight on the dependence of the tip structure yield encouraging results,
in the sense that in all the situations considered, the universal behavior expected (and observed) for pure metals is also reproduced.
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Figure 1.—Comparison between the heat of formation as a function of concentration for alloys. The solid curve indicates the results obtained with BFS, and the dots indicate experimental values. The heat of formation is in cal/g-atom.
Figure 2.—Comparison between the lattice parameters obtained with BFS (solid curve) and Vegard's law results (dashed lines) for different fcc binary alloys. Experimental values are shown for Ni-Cu (solid squares), Ni-Pd (solid circles) and Pd-Cu (solid triangles).
Figure 3.—Comparison between the results obtained with BFS (solid curve) and experimental values (solid squares) for the lattice parameters of Ag-Au alloys as a function of composition. Vegard’s law results are also shown (dashed line).
(a) Variation of binding energy with separation for a STM/AFM Al tip at the fcc-hollow site of an Al (100) surface for a one-atom tip (solid curve) and a pyramidal tip (dashed curve). The separation is taken to be zero when tip and substrate are in contact.

(b) One-atom tip diagram of a (100) face fcc metal. For the substrate, square dots indicate atoms on the plane of the page while the crosses (x) indicate parallel planes below or above the plane of the page. Solid circles and (+) are used for the tip.

(c) Pyramidal tip diagram of a (100) face fcc metal.
Figure 5.—Variation of binding energy with separation for an Ir tip on a (100) surface (solid curve) and a (111) (dashed curve) Ir surface. The separation is taken to be zero when tip and substrate are in contact.
(a) Variation of binding energy with separation at the hollow site on a (100) surface of different fcc and bcc metals: Al/Al (short dash), Ni/Ni (dots), Cr/Cr (long dash), Ir/Ir (solid curve).

(b) Scaled binding energies and separations for the cases shown in (a).

(c) Variation of the force with separation for the cases shown in (a).

Figure 6.
Figure 7.—Variation of binding energy and force with separation for different bimetallic junctions A-B (A:tip-B:substrate).
Recently, a new technique for calculating the defect energetics of alloys based on Equivalent Crystal Theory has been developed. This new technique successfully predicts the bulk properties for binary alloys as well as segregation energies in the dilute limit. We apply this method for the calculation of energy and force as a function of separation of an AFM tip and substrate. This study was done for different combinations of tip and sample materials. The validity of the universality discovered for same metal interfaces is examined for the case of different metal interactions.