

5141-13

N 93-1925 A-4

## DETERMINATION OF ORBITS OF COMETS: P/KEARNS-KWEE, P/GUNN, INCLUDING NONGRAVITATIONAL EFFECTS IN THE COMETS' MOTION

B. Todorovic-Juchniewicz, G. Sitarski

Space Research Centre, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland

### ABSTRACT

To improve the orbits we collected all the positional observations of the comets. The observations were selected and weighted according to objective mathematical criteria and the mean residuals *a priori* were calculated for both comets. We took into account nongravitational effects in the comets' motion using the Marsden's method applied in two ways: either determining the three constant parameters  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  or the four parameters  $A, \eta, I, \phi$  connected with the rotating nucleus of the comet. To link successfully all the observations we had to assume for both comets that  $A(t) = A_0 \exp(-B \cdot t)$  where  $B$  was an additional nongravitational parameter.

### METHOD FOR NONGRAVITATIONAL EFFECTS

According to the known Marsden's method a nongravitational force acting on a comet is defined by its three components in orbital coordinates:  $F_1$  - the radial component,  $F_2$  - the transverse component in the orbit plane,  $F_3$  - the component normal to the orbit plane (Marsden 1969). It is assumed that  $F_i = A_i g(r)$ , and the nongravitational parameters  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are to be determined as constant quantities from observations for an individual comet; the analytical form of the function  $g(r)$  is known (Marsden *et al.* 1973).

If the nongravitational force acts on the comet owing to the rocket effect of ejection of material from the rotating comet's nucleus, then:

$$A_i = AC_i(\eta, I, \lambda = v + \phi)$$

where  $A = (A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $\eta$  is the lag angle,  $I$  - the equatorial obliquity,  $v$  - the true anomaly of the comet,  $\phi$  - the cometocentric solar longitude at perihelion; the direction cosines  $C_i$  have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \cos \eta + (1 - \cos \eta) \sin^2 I \sin^2 \lambda \\ C_2 &= \sin \eta \cos I + (1 - \cos \eta) \sin^2 I \sin \lambda \cos \lambda \\ C_3 &= -[\sin \eta \cos \lambda - (1 - \cos \eta) \cos I \sin \lambda] \sin I \end{aligned}$$

It appears that  $A, \eta, I, \phi$  can be determined from observations by the least squares method (Sitarski 1990). To link successfully all the observations of P/Kearns-Kwee and of P/Gunn as well, we had to assume that the nongravitational parameter  $A$  depended on time. We accepted that  $A(t) = A_0 \exp(-B \cdot t)$  where  $t$  was counted in days from the epoch of osculation. Thus the five nongravitational parameters:  $A_0, B, \eta, I, \phi$  have been determined from observations along with corrections to the six orbital elements.

## OBSERVATIONAL MATERIAL

Observations of the comets were selected and weighted (each apparition separately) according to objective mathematical criteria (Bielicki 1972). A mean residual *a priori* was calculated as follows:  $\text{mean residual} = [\sum_j^k n_j w_j \mu_j^2 / \sum_j^k n_j]^{1/2}$  where  $k$ —number of apparitions,  $n_j$ —number of residuals of the  $j$ -th apparition,  $w_j$ —weight of the  $j$ -th apparition,  $\mu_j$ —value of the mean residual of the  $j$ -th apparition.

### Characteristics of observations of Comet P/Kearns-Kwee:

Apparition	Number of observations	Number of residuals	Mean residual	Weight of apparition
1963.08.17 – 1965.04.24	60	115	1''33	0.64
1971.07.26 – 1973.04.04	96	188	1.26	0.71
1981.06.29 – 1982.02.26	46	83	1.41	0.56
1989.09.10 – 1991.03.21	64	123	0.74	2.07

Observation interval: 1963 Aug. 17 – 1991 Mar. 21

Number of observations: 266

Number of residuals used for the orbit improvement: 509

Mean residual *a priori*: 1''06

In case of Comet P/Kearns-Kwee we detected a displacement of the photometric center from the center of mass of the comet's nucleus (Sitarski 1984). We assume that the displacement of both centers along the radius-vector  $r$  is expressed by  $\Delta r = Dr^{-3} \exp(-r^2/2)$  where the value of  $D$  is to be determined from the observational equations by the least squares method along with other parameters of the comet's motion.

### Characteristics of observations of Comet P/Gunn:

Interval	Number of observations	Number of residuals	Mean residual	Weight of interval
1954.08.08 – 1954.08.08	2	4	—	1.00
1970.10.27 – 1971.11.18	13	26	0''74	0.98
1972.12.03 – 1975.07.03	42	83	1.07	0.46
1976.04.24 – 1978.10.28	24	46	1.04	0.49
1980.12.07 – 1983.09.28	20	40	0.81	0.81
1984.08.24 – 1987.01.04	20	38	0.39	3.50
1988.01.15 – 1990.09.24	78	153	0.78	0.88

Observation interval: 1970 Oct. 27 – 1990 Sep. 24

Number of observations: 197

Number of residuals used for the orbit improvement: 386

Mean residual *a priori*: 0''73

## RESULTS

**Orbit of Comet P/Kearns-Kwee:**

(Epoch of osculation: 1963 Nov. 27.0 ET, Equinox: 1950.0)

1. With nongravitational parameters  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= 1963 \text{ Dec. } 7.00738 \text{ ET} & \omega &= 131^\circ 19146 \\
 q &= 2.21317774 \text{ a.u.} & \Omega &= 315.43935 \\
 e &= 0.48653298 & i &= 8.99170 \\
 A_1 &= (+0.59457 \pm 0.13152) \times 10^{-8} \\
 A_2 &= (-0.38585 \pm 0.00154) \times 10^{-8} \\
 A_3 &= (-0.15898 \pm 0.20130) \times 10^{-8} \\
 D &= (+0.95212 \pm 0.17450) \times 10^{-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Mean residual *a posteriori*: 4''672. With  $A = A_0 e^{-B \cdot t}$  and angular parameters  $\eta, I, \phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= 1963 \text{ Dec. } 7.00735 \text{ ET} & \omega &= 131^\circ 19250 \\
 q &= 2.21318442 \text{ a.u.} & \Omega &= 315.43918 \\
 e &= 0.48653473 & i &= 8.99151 \\
 A_0 &= (+1.47950 \pm 0.06238) \times 10^{-8} \\
 B &= (+0.11971 \pm 0.00151) \times 10^{-3} / \text{day} \\
 \eta &= 33^\circ 30 \pm 2^\circ 68 \\
 I &= 143.22 \pm 8.55 \\
 \phi &= 334.45 \pm 17.01 \\
 D &= (+0.36178 \pm 0.04559) \times 10^{-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Mean residual *a posteriori*: 1''24

Before the discovery of P/Kearns-Kwee a close approach of the comet to Jupiter to within 0.033 a.u. occurred in November 1961. That approach considerably changed the comet's orbit (before the approach:  $q = 4.302$ ,  $e = 0.691$ ,  $i = 2^\circ 88$ ).

**Orbit of Comet P/Gunn:**

(Epoch of osculation: 1989 Oct. 1.0 ET, Equinox: 1950.0)

1. With nongravitational parameters  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= 1989 \text{ Sep. } 24.96595 \text{ ET} & \omega &= 196^\circ 93927 \\
 q &= 2.47155291 \text{ a.u.} & \Omega &= 67.86640 \\
 e &= 0.31439342 & i &= 10.37272 \\
 A_1 &= (+2.52440 \pm 0.00545) \times 10^{-8} \\
 A_2 &= (+0.50284 \pm 0.00050) \times 10^{-8} \\
 A_3 &= (-0.14875 \pm 0.15015) \times 10^{-8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Mean residual *a posteriori*: 1''52

2. With  $A = A_0 e^{-B \cdot t}$  and the angular parameters  $\eta, I, \phi$ :

$$T = 1989 \text{ Sep. } 24.97004 \text{ ET} \quad \omega = 196^\circ 94062$$

$$q = 2.47155081 \text{ a.u.} \quad \Omega = 67.86623$$

$$e = 0.31439419 \quad i = 10.37270$$

$$A_0 = (+1.15530 \pm 0.07298) \times 10^{-8}$$

$$B = (+0.12002 \pm 0.00751) \times 10^{-3} / \text{day}$$

$$\eta = 53^\circ 45 \pm 12^\circ 91$$

$$I = 73.29 \pm 3.67$$

$$\phi = 84.84 \pm 4.37$$

Mean residual *a posteriori*:  $1''15$

Comet P/Gunn approached Jupiter to within 0.353 a.u. in July 1965, and it changed the comet's orbit (before the approach:  $q = 3.290$ ,  $e = 0.178$ ,  $i = 10^\circ 87$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

We can see that accepting the constant nongravitational parameters  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  it was impossible to find reasonable solutions for orbits of the considered comets: the mean residuals *a posteriori* were much greater than those *a priori* (especially for P/Kearns-Kwee). Assuming an exponential time dependence for the nongravitational parameter  $A(t)$  we got the acceptable solutions for both comets.

Both comets approached Jupiter, and the approaches changed the comets' orbits in the same manner: perihelion distances diminished. The exponential decreasing of nongravitational effects, as detected in the motion of both comets (the positive values of the parameter  $B$ ), can be explained by the diminishing of the comets' activity with time after a rapid increase of activity caused by the changes of orbits due to approaches of the comets to Jupiter.

## REFERENCES

- Bielicki, M., 1972, in: *The motion, evolution of orbits, and origin of comets*, D.Reidel, Dordrecht, 112.  
 Marsden, B.G., 1969, *Astron. J.*, **74**, 720.  
 Marsden, B.G., Sekanina, Z., and Yeomans, D.K., 1973, *Astron. J.*, **78**, 211.  
 Sitarski, G., 1984, *Acta Astr.*, **34**, 269.  
 Sitarski, G., 1990, *Acta Astr.*, **40**, 405.