# **Description of the Joint Damping Experiment (JDX)**

# Flight Experiments Technical Interchange Meeting

Principal Investigators: Steven L. Folkman Frank J. Redd Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department Utah State University

> Presented by: Steven L. Folkman

**October 5, 1992** 



## **Overall Objective**

Develop a small-scale shuttle flight experiment which allows researchers to: 1) characterize the influence of gravity and joint gaps on structural damping and dynamic behavior of a small-scale truss model, and 2) evaluate the applicability of lowg aircraft test results for predicting on-orbit behavior.

# JDX Description

1. 3.

The experiment consists of a three-bay truss and associated hardware for truss excitation and measurement of oscillations.

•The experiment dimensions fit inside of a 5 cubic foot GAS canister.

•Cantilever truss with a tip mass to reduce the resonant frequency.

•Canister can be evacuated to eliminate air damping.

•Truss excitation in two bending modes and a torsional mode.

•Truss tip supported during launch and reentry.



## **Project Objectives**

- 1. Student oriented project.
  - Graduate and undergraduate students will perform most of the design, analysis, and testing effort under the direction of the principle investigators.
  - JDX is to be relatively simple and inexpensive.
  - Fly as a Complex Autonomous Payload (CAP) in a sealed GAS canister to simplify integration problems and safety concerns and maximize flight opportunities.
  - JDX will provide a meaningful experience for students and an opportunity to extend the understanding of damping mechanisms in joints.
- 2. Construct a small truss with joints which provide gravity dependent damping.
  - Past tests show that a truss with pinned-joints can produce gravity dependent damping.
  - Damping from tight joints is generally not gravity dependent.

# **Project Objectives (continued)**

- 3. Develop a database of damping behavior for various gravity environments and various joint pin gaps.
  - Ground-based testing to measure damping with 1-g loads.
    - A good characterization of the truss dynamics can be achieved.
    - Verification of gravity dependent damping achieved by testing the truss in different orientations.
  - Fly in aircraft tests for short duration low-g tests.
    - JDX must be cantilevered during testing aircraft vibrations will be significant.
    - Short time period.
    - Space flight needed to verify low-g aircraft tests.
  - Fly as CAP Payload to measure damping in micro-gravity.
    - CAP Payload should provide relatively low cost and simple integration.
    - Test during orbiter free drift mode for a micro-gravity environment.
- 4. Correlating ground-based and low-g aircraft test results with on-orbit test results.
  - Can ground tests simulate zero-g tests.

A .

Can low-g aircraft tests simulate zero-g tests.

## **Project Objectives (continued)**

- 5. Refining analytical models of gravity-dependent damping mechanisms based on test results.
  - Relate measured damping with damping predicted from strut hysteresis tests, expected material damping, and simple friction and impact damping models.
  - Compare measured time histories with results of transient, non-linear finite element modeling techniques.
    - The recorded data should be a time history which can be readily be simulated using a transient computer model.
    - The transient decay of a single mode is desired.
    - A simple "twang" excitation method will produce the desired excitation.
    - The only motion recorded in flight would be the tip mass to reduce data storage.

#### **Technology Need**

Proposed space structures could often benefit from accurate prediction of structural damping and a better understanding of joint dynamics.

- Damping from the support structure is generally small.
- Passive damping sources generally are preferred.
- Joints will be a source of damping.
- Joints with gaps make dynamic behavior harder to predict.

Predicting damping in large space structures can be difficult.

- Difficult or impossible to test full scale structures on the ground.
- Ground test results of components may be affected by:

gravity air temperature scale

- Analytical methods of predicting damping need improvement.
- Ground tests have shown that gravity effects joint damping.

A database of in-orbit and on-ground tests would be helpful:

- Providing qualitative information an important design variables.
- Assisting in improving analytical models of joint damping.

### **Current Understanding of Joint or Connection Damping**

Pinned or bolted structures typically have more damping than welded structures.

Damping is typically amplitude and frequency dependent.

**Common Mechanisms of Passive Damping in Joints or Connections:** 

Air Damping (not present in space)

Material Damping ( $\zeta$ <0.001 for most metals at room temperature)

**Coulomb Friction:** 

**Macroslip:** 

Can be a large source of damping.

Dependent on friction coefficients and joint loads.

Damping contributions can be inferred from joint pull tests.

Analytical models are available.

#### Microslip:

Damping is less than Macroslip damping. Difficult to predict.

#### **Impact Damping:**

Implies a gap is present.

Generally believed to be more important at higher frequencies (>1 Hz.).

Difficult to predict but characterized by the coefficient of restitution.

Difficult to separate from Coulomb Friction damping.

# **Previous Work Done at USU - Prior to Phase A**

An experiment has been constructed to measure damping of a tetrahedral truss with pinned joints.

- Developed on a very small budget.
- Demonstrated gravity dependent damping





# **Illustration of the Experiment Layout**



# Strut Arrangement

A .





1.1

# Joint Design



1

# Truss, Battery Box, and Bottom Plate



A .

### **Twang Method of Excitation**

The twang excitation method is accomplished by a linear actuator/lever arm/electromagnet assembly.

- A magnet is moved into contact with a magnet plate on the truss tip mass.
- The electromagnet is then energized and pulls the truss from its neutral position.
- The power is removed from the electromagnet, the truss is released, and the decay of oscillations is recorded.
- Two bending modes and a torsional mode excitation provided.

**Top View of the Truss Tip Mass** 



**Bend Excitation Side View** 



· · · · ·

# **Torsion Excitation Assembly**



16

# **Ground testing of the Experiment Prototype**



Twang tests of the truss were conducted.

Acceleration in the direction of the first bending mode.



Acceleration time history for a torsional mode twang test.



Acceleration in the opposite direction of the first bending mode.



Acceleration at the tip-mass center during a torsional mode twang test.

## **Ground testing of the Experiment Prototype**

Twang, Random Vibration, and Sine Sweep Tests of the truss.

- Experiment mounted inside a can:
  - · Allows testing in vacuum.
  - · Provides stiff mounting for base excitation.
- Tests conducted at different orientations to examine gravity dependence of damping.

DIRECTION OF EXCITATION DIRECTION OF EXCITATION

# **Tip Mass Locking Mechanism**

11 34

- Lock mechanism provides support during launch and reentry to minimize joint wear.
- The truss design can withstand launch and reentry design loads in case the locking mechanism fails to operate.



#### **Experiment Controller/Data Acquisition System**

Campbell Scientific CR-10 controller/datalogger

- · Will be used to control actuators and magnets and monitor temperature and pressure.
- Low power consumption (0.5 mA quiescent, 35 mA during measurements @ 12 V)
- 64K EEPROM for program and data.
- Loads program from EEPROM on Power-up.
- Easily programmed.
- Uses a Campbell Scientific Control Port Module (SDM-CD16) for control of 32, 0.5 A circuits.
- Powers-up a High Speed Data Logger for twang testing.

#### **Campbell Scientific High Speed Data Logger**

- 16 bit A/D and 100,000 sample/sec capacity.
- Power consumption: 0.13 Amp @ 12 V
- 512 K EEPROM for program and memory and 512 K RAM
- Storage of 358K values using a Campbell Scientific Memory Module (SM716)

JDX Top Level Wiring Diagram

an Ale



### **Mounting of Electrical Components**



# Structural Analyses of the Truss and Base Plate

Linear Static Analysis

- Design Accelerations: ±11.0 g's in X and Y and Z.
- Safety Factor against yield > 2

Predicted Resonant Frequencies (ignoring joint gaps)

	Natural	
Mode	Freq.	Mode
Number	<u>(Hz.)</u>	Description
1	46.8	<b>Bending</b> mode
2	51.3	<b>Bending</b> mode
3	110.1	<b>Torsional</b> mode



# JDX Mission Operational Plan

#### Experiment Activation:

- Powered up at 50,000 feet by the baroswitch attached to APC relays.
- Unlock the truss during the first hour before significant cooling of the experiment occurs.
- The controller will monitor its built-in clock, the GAS relay switches A and B, and the battery box temperature.

#### **Experiment** Execution:

- JDX will begin the twang test sequence when the first of the three following events occur:
  - (1) Relay B is manually activated by the crew indicating a period of orbiter free drift,
  - (2) the temperature of the experiment drops below a lower limit value (TBD), or
  - (3) 18 hours has passed since closure of the APC baroswitch.
- Begin testing by:
  - (1) Move all electromagnets to their preset stop positions,
  - (2) Perform approximately 10 twang tests for each mode shape,
  - (3) Record experiment temperature and air pressure during the tests, and
  - (4) Lock the truss by activating a linear actuator.

#### **Experiment Deactivation:**

- One hour after crew activation of Relay B, the crew will set Relay B to latent.
- Lock the truss (if it is not already locked).
- Shut down all experiment activities except the monitoring of experiment temperatures.
- Prior to the end of the mission, the crew will set Relay A to latent, thus powering down JDX.
- In the event of unsuccessful deactivation of Relay A, baroswitch opening at 50,000 feet during orbiter entry will power down the controller.

# JDX Testing Flow Chart for Phase C/D

1 3.



~

### JDX Phase C/D Organization



. .

# JDX Schedule

MASTER	PROJECT JOY
	DATE DEFENSED _ 0.414 (cm
SCHEDULE	DATE PREPARED 8/11/92
	ASOND EMAM LACOND EVIDENT FY 1994 FY 1995
	A SUMPLY MANUASUNUL MAMUUASONDUEMAMUUASONDUEMAMUUA
PROJECT	
MILESTONES	
WBSN	
PHASE D	
1.0 PROTOTYPE	<del>────────────────────────────────────</del>
MODEL	<u>╷╷╷</u> ╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷┝ <del>╷╷╷╷╷</del> ┲
2.0 FLIGHT	
MODEL	
3.0 SOFTWARE	
4.0 GSE	
5.0 OPERATIONS	│
	<u>↓</u> ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
6.0 SAFETY &	
ASSURANCE	<u>┥┥┥┥┥┥╷╷╷╷┝┽╆┼╆┼╆┼╆┽┾┽┾┽┾┽┽┽┽┽┽┿╪┿╪╪╪╪╪</u> ╡╎║╏║╢
7.0 PROGRAM	
MANAGEMENT	
· PHASE C/D TO ACCURED TO	

~

PHASE C/D IS ASSUMED TO BEGIN SEPTEMBER 1, 1992

\*\* PER CONTRACT SPECIFICATION, A SIX MONTH TIME PERIOD BETWEEN HARDWARE DELIVERY TO GOFC AND LAUNCH ASSUMED. NORMAL PAYLOAD PROCESSING WOULD GIVE A LAUNCH DATE OF JANUARY 1, 1990