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SUMMARY

An investigation has been made in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence tumels to deveiop optimum double-slotted-flap configurations for seven thin NACA airfoil sections. The airfoils tested were the NACA $63-210,64-208,64-210,641-212,65-210,66-210$, and 141.0 airfoil sections. Each of the airfoil sections tested was equipped with a flap of 0.25 chord and a fore flap of 0.075 chord. In addition, the NACA 66-210 and the NACA 64-208 airfoil sections were also tested with a $0.100-\mathrm{chord}$ and a. 0.056 -chord fore flap, respectively. Lift measiements were made at a Reynolds number of $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ to obtain the configuaation giving the highest maximum section lift coefincient for each of the airfoil seotions tested. The lift characteristics were measured for Reynolds numbers up to $9.0 \times 10^{6}$ in order to obtain an indication of the scale effects. The section pitching-moment characteristics and the effect of leading edge roughress on the lift characteristics were measured for the best double-slotted-flap configuration for each of the airfoil sections at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$.

The best fore flap locations were generally found to be about l-percent chord forward and about 2-percent chord below the slot lip. The best flap positions varjed considerably. The deflections for which the highest maximum lift coefficients were measured were about $50^{\circ}$ to $55^{\circ}$ for the flap and about $25^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ for the fore flap.

The maximum section lift coefficient of the airfoil section with either a split or double slotted rlap decreased as the position of minimum pressure was moved to the rear or as the airfoil thickness was decreased to 0.08 chord. In all cases, the maximum section lift coefficient increased as the Reynolds number was inoreased from $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ to $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ but generally decreased or remained constant as the Reynolds number was increased from $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ to $9.0 \times 10^{6}$. Increasing the tore-flap chord provided increases in the maximum section lift coefficients of both the NACA 64-208 and the NACA 66-210 afrfoil sections with double slotted flaps. The addition of standard
roughness to the leading edges of the airfoils equipped with double slotted flaps generally decreased the maximum section lift coefficient by amounts slightly less than those obtained with the flaps retracted, decreased the variation of the maximum section lift coefficient with position of minimum pressure and with airfoil thicmess, and caused the stall to be less abrupt than that for the smooth condition. The ratio of increment of section pitching-moment coefficient to increment of section lift coefficient at a section angle of attack of $0^{\circ}$ $\left(\frac{\Delta c_{m}}{L c_{2}}\right)_{\alpha_{0}=0^{\circ}}$ based on the t,tal chord of the airfoil with the double slotted flatextended wes approximately the same as that obtained for the airfoill with the split flap. An unstable pitching-moment break is encountered at the stall for each of the airfoils when equipped with the double slotted flaps and seems to be peculiar to double slotted flaps.

## INIRODUCTION

The use of thin wing sections to increase the critical speeds of high-speed, highly loaded airplanes has been accompanied by the need $\overrightarrow{\text { ror }}$ suitable high-lift devices to be used for take-off and landing. An investigation has been made in the Langley twodimensional low-turbulence tunnels to develop high-lift trailing-edge flaps suitable for use on thin wing sections that are most likely to ' be used on high-speed aircraft. The first part of this investigation, reported in reference l, covered the development of four types of flap for the NACA 6-2l0 airfoil section. The double slotted flap, discussed in reference 1 , gave maximum lift coefficients higher than any one of the three single slotted flaps tested. The second part of this investigation, reported herein, covers the development of similar double-slotted-flap configurations for six other thin NACA airfoil sections. Data from reference 1 on the NACA 65-210 airfoil section with a double slotted flap heve been included to complete the comparison of the results obtained.

The seven thin NACA airfoil sections tested with double slotted flaps in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence tunnels are as follows: NACA 63-210, 64-208, 64-210, 64,-212, 65-210, 66-210, and 1410 airfoil sections. Profiles of the plain airfoil sections are shown in figure 1.

The best maximum lift configurations were developed at a Reynolds number of $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ for each of the double slotted flaps which oonsisted of a 0.250 -chord main flap and a 0.075 -chord fore flap.

The section lift and pitching-moment characteristigs were then measured at higher Reynolds numbers up to $9.0 \times 10^{5}$ for configurations that not only approximated the best maximum lift configurations but that also allowed the flap and fore flap to retract as a unit within the airfoil contour. The effects of leading-edge roughness on the section lift characteristics were determined at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$.

Data on the lift and pitching-moment characteristics of these airfoil sections equipped with 0.20 -chord split flaps deflected $60^{\circ}$ are included to show a comparison between the effects of the two types of flap.

SYMBOLS
$\alpha_{0} \quad$ section angle of attack, degrees
c airfoil ohord with flap retracted
$c_{2}$ section lift coefolicient
${ }^{c} q_{\max }$ maximum section lift coeffioient
${ }^{0} m_{c / 4}$
section pitching-moment ocefficient about quarter-chord point
$\delta_{f}$ flap deflection measured between flap chord line and its position when retracted, degrees

I
Sff fore-flap deflection measured between fore-flap chord line and airfoil chord line, degrees
z/c distance along airfoil chord line, fraction of $c$
$t / 0$ airfoil thickness, fraction of 0
$x_{1}, y_{1}$ horizontal and vertical positions, respectively, of the fore-flap reference point measured from trailing edge of slot lip, percent $c$, positive forward and down, respectively, (fig, 2)
$x_{2}, y_{2}$ horizontal and vertical positions, respectively, of flop reference point measured from trailing edge of fore flap, percent $c$, positive forward and down, respectively, (fig. 2)

R Reynolds number
$\Delta c_{m}$ increment of section pitching moment coefficient
$\Delta c_{2}$ increment of section lift coefficient

## MODELS

Each of the models tested had a chord of 24 inches and completely spanned the 3 -foot-wide test sections of the two tunnels. The main part of each model ahead of the flap was constructed of laminated mahogany, and the flaps were constructed of steel. A typical airfoil and double slotted flap, including the essential dimensions, is shown in figure 2. Ordinates for the plain airfoil sections are given in tables 1 to 7 .

Each of the main flaps was of 0.250 chord and was developed by scaling the ordinates of the main flap tested on the NACA 65-210 airfoil section (reference 1) in proportion to the airfoil thickness at each station along the chord. Ordinates of the flaps testod are given in tables 8 to 14. Each of the flaps was tested in combination with the 0.075 c fore flep used in reference 1 . In addition; the NACA 64-208 airfoil was tested with a 0.056 c fore flap and the NACA 66-210 airfoil was tested with a 0.100 c fore flap. Sketches of the three fore flaps are presented as figure 3, and their ordinates are given in tables 15 to 17. The flaps and fore flaps were attached to the main portions of the models at the ends in such a mamer that they could be set at any desired positions and defiections. The flap and fore-flap positions were measured from their reference points, which are defined as the intersection of their chord lines with their leading edges. (See fig. 2.)

For tests of each model in tho smooth condition, the model was sanded with no. 400 carborundum paper to produce aerodynamically smooth surfaces. For tests of the airfoil with leading-edge roughness, the surfaces were the same as for the smooth condition except that 0.011 --inch carborundum grains were applied over a surface length of 0.16 chord centered at the chord line. This leading-edge-roughness condition corresponds to the standard roughness described in reference 2.

## APPARATUS AND TESTS

The investigation was made in the Lengley two-dimensional low-turbulence tunnel (ITI) and the Langley two-dimensional lowturbulence pressure tunnel (TDI).
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Section lift characteristics were obtained from static pressuro measurements along the flock and ceiling of the tunnel test section, and section pitching-inomer characteristics were determined from deflections of a torque tupe. Details of the test methods and the methods used in correcting the data to free-air conditions are given in reference 2.

Lift measurements were made at a Reynolds number of $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ in the IIT to obtain the ideal configurations. The ideal configurations (those giving the highest maximum lift coefficients) were determined by first determining the ideal position of tive flap relative to the fore flap for several combinations of flap and foreflap defiections. The configuration giving the highest maximum lift was, if necessary, altered slightly to allow the flap and fore flap to be retracted as a unit $\begin{aligned} & \text { iithin the wing contour. With the }\end{aligned}$ position of the flap thus fixed relative to the fore flap, lift measurements were made to obtain the best position of the flap and fore-flap combination. This resulting position is called the optimum position. The optimum positions developed in the IIT at each of several deflections were then tested in the TDT at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{\circ}$. For the configuration giving the highest maximum lift coefficient at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$, pitchingmonent characteristics and the effect of leading-edge roughness on the lift cheracteristics were also determined at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{\circ}$, and the lift characteristics were determined at Reynolds numbers of $3.0 \times 10^{\circ}$ and $9.0 \times 10^{6}$. The maximum freestream Mach number attained during any of these tests was less than 0,18.

## PRESFMTATION OF RESULIS

The data obtained for the airfoil section with a double slotted flap at a Reynolds number of $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ are presented as contours of maximum lift coefficient for various flap and fore-flap positions. These data indicate the maximum section lift coefficient that may be obtained for a given flap location and deflection, or the loss in maximum section lift coefficient that may result if flap locations other than the ideal are selected.

The lift charecteristics at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ are presented for several of the more promising double-slotted-flap configuretions for each airfoil section. The section pitchingmoment characteristics for the smooth condition and also the lift characteristics for the condition with leading edge roughness
are presented for the configuration having the highest maximum lift coefficient at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$. Additional data are presented showing the lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the plain airfoil section at several Reynolds numbers and the lift and pitching-moment characteristics at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ for the airfoil section with a 0.20 -chord split flap deflected $60^{\circ}$. The data for the plain airfoil section and the airfoil with a split flap were obtained fron reference 2. In gome cases, data for the airfoil section with a split flap were available for several additional Reynolds numbers and are also included.

The figures in which the data are presented for each of the airfoil sections tested are listed in the following table:

|  | $\substack{1 \\ \hline \\ i \\ 0}$ | \% | ? | O N H0 | ¢ ¢ ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { 윽 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 告 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Figure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plain airfoil and split flaps | 4 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 27 | 31 |
| Contours of flap <br> location for ${ }^{c} l_{\text {max }}$ | 5 | 9 | 14 | 17 | ${ }^{21}$ | 28 | 32 |
| Contours of fore-flap <br> location for ${ }^{c} \eta_{\max }$ | 6 | 10 | 15 | 18 | ${ }^{22}{ }_{25}$ | 29 | 33 |
| Characteristics for optimum confi゙iguration | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & a_{12} \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 19 | 23 $b_{26}$ | 30 | 34 |
| ${ }^{2} 0.0550$ fore flap. <br> ${ }^{\text {bo }} 0.1000$ fore flap. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SISCUSSION

Maximum Lift


#### Abstract

Effect of flap and fore flap location.- The variation of the section lift characteristics of the flapped airfoil section as the flap location varies is primarily a result of changes in the slot shapes. A secondary effect, resulting from the change in airfoil chord as the flap is moved chordwise, also exists; but within the range of positions for these tests, this effect is small. The ideal configurations are therefore the ones for which the best slot shapes are formed at the flap and fore-flap leading edges. The data shown on the contours of flap and fore-flap location indicate that the ideal flap and fore-flap configuration for maximum lift is one that forms converging nozzles and directs the air flow downward over both the flap and fore flap.


For most of the ideal configurations with the 0.075 c fore flap, the fore flap was located approximately l-pereent o for ward of the slot $\operatorname{lip}$ and approximately $2-\mathrm{percent} \mathrm{c}$ below the slot lip. For the NACA 63-210 airfoil section, however, (fig. 6) the ideal foremfap location was approximately l-percent $c$ farther forward than the srerage. Although the best position of the fore flap for the NACA $641-212$ airfoil is actually behind the slot lip (fig. 33) there is little difference between the maximum lift coefficients obtained at the ideal position and at the position corresponding to the average of the others. The flap locations for the ideal configurations varied considerably for each of the airfoil and flap combinations tested, as would probably be expected inasmuch as each airioil section was tested with the flap designed for that airfoil. An indication of the ideal double-slotted-flap configurations for airfoils and flaps similar to those tested in this investigation may be obtained from the contours of flap location. These configurations, however, should not be applied to airfoilflap combinations having shapes radically different from those tested. In addition, an indication of the loss in maximum section lift coefficient which may be caused by structural deflections of the flap or by construction errors may be obtained from the contours. For example, in the case of the NACA 63-210 airfoil section (fig. 5(a)), a departure of 0.01c from the ideal flap location can decrease the maximum section lift coefficient by as much as 0.3. For most of the optimum configurations, the flap deflection was $50^{\circ}$ or $55^{\circ}$ and the fore-flap deflection was $25^{\circ}$ or $30^{\circ}$, although there was little difference in the maximum lift coefficients measured for these deflections, Increasing the deflection of the fore-flap aids both in forming a converging slot and in directing
the air flow downward over the flap. A limit is reached in these effects, however, when the fore-flap deflection becomes high enough to cause the flow over the upper surface of the fore flap itself to separate. The use of the optimum flap positions rather than the ideal positions in the tests which followed resulted in a maximum decrease in the maximum lift coefficient of 0.07 .

Effect of fore-flap chord. The data presented in figures 23 and 26 show that increasing the fore-flap chord from 0.075 c to 0.100 c inoreased the maximum section Ifft coefficient of the NACA 66-210 airfoil section by approximately 0.1 at a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 106$. A comparison of the data presented in figures 11 and 12 indicates that decreasing the fore-flap chord from 0.075 c to 0.056 c results in a slight decrease in the maximum section lift coefficient of the NACA 64--208 airfoil section with a double slotted flap. The data presented in reference 3 also show that increasing the fore-flap chord may be beneficial in increasing the meximum section lift coefficient. The increase in maximum section lift coefficient obtained by the use of larger fore flaps may be attributed to a combination of the increased area of the lifting surface and better slot shapes.

Iffect of position of minimum pressure.- The variation of ${ }^{c} l_{\max }$ with the position of minimum pressure for several
NACA 6-series airfoils of 10 -peroent thickness is presented in figure 35 for a Reynolds number of $6.0 \times 10^{6}$. Date presented in reference 2 indicate that for airfoil sections of thicknesses less than about 0.12 c , the stall usually begins at the leading edge. Since the leading-edge radii of NACA 6 -series airfoils decrease as the position of minimum pressure moves to the rear, this type of stall becomes more pronounced. The decrease in maximum lift coefficient with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure, shown on figure 35, is therefore probably caused principally by the decrease in leading-edge radius. It is expected that for thicker airfoil sections, where the stall begins over some rear portion of the airfoil instead of near the leading edge, the decrease in the leadingedge radius with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure would have a smeller effect on the maximum section lift coefficient. The increment in section jift coeflicient caused by the adaltion of the double slotted flap to the NACA 6-series plain airfoil section having a maximum thickness of 10 -percent $c$ remained substantially constant (approx. 1.4) over the range of minimum pressure positions tested.

Effect of airfoil thickness. - The variation of maximum lift coefficient with airfoil thickness for the three NACA 64 -series airfoils tested is shown in figure 35. The data in figure 35 show. that for airfoil thicknesses between 0.12 c and 0.08 c the maximum
lift coefficients of the piain airfoils and the airfoils with both split and double slotted flaps decrease as the airpoil thickness is decroased, although not all in the same mancier. The increment of maximum lift coefficient caused by the double slotted flap decreases at a neariy constant rate as the thickness is decreased, while the incroment in meximu lift coefficient caused by the erilit flap decreases es the thickness is decreased from 0.12 c to 0.10 c and thon increases again as the thickess is further decreased to 0.08c.

Data in referenco 2 have shown that the maximum lift coefficients of most airfoil sections decrease as the airfoil thichness is incroased above about $0.12 c$ although the maximum lifts of these seme airfoils whon equipped with split flaps continue to increase up to a thiokness ashigh as 0.16 c or 0.18 c . Previous scattered data have shown that the maximum lift coefficients of airfoil sections equippod with double slotted flaps follow the same general trend. The data in figure 35 extend those previous results down to a thickness of 0.08 c .

The maximum lift coefficient of the NACA 1410 airfoil, also show in figure 35, is approximately the same as the maximum lift coefficient for the NACA $641-212$ airfoil section.

Reynolds number effect. - The variation of meximum section lift coefficient with Reynolds number is shown in figure 36. In all cases, Increasing the Reynolds number from $2.4 \times 10^{6}$ to $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ resulted in large increases in the maximum section lift coefficients. However, increasing the Reynolds number from $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ to $9.0 \times 10^{6}$ caused slight decreases or no change in the maximum lift coefficionts of each of the airfoil sections except the NACA 64-210 section. Figure 11 indicates that the NACA 64-208 section followed the same trend as the NACA 64-210 section.

An explanation of scale effect on the maximum lift of airfoil sections is given in reference 4, and this explanation is usually applicable to airfoils wiuh flaps. Generally, variations of the lift with Reynolds numbes are apparent only in regions of incipient stall (high angles of attack), but for these thin airfoil sections with double slotted flaps the lift decreases with increase in Reynolds number in the linear portion of the lift curve (low angles of attack). This deorease in lift coefficient is probably caused by changes in the flow conditions through the slots as the Reynolds number is varied. It is, therefore, probable that a new ideal configuration could be developed at higher Rejnolds numbers, and slightly higher maximum lifts might be obtained.

Effect of flap on angle of attack for maximum lift,- A comparison of the data for the plain airfoil sections and that for the
airfoils with flaps deflected shows that the stall occurs at a considerably lower angle of attack when the flap is deflected. The deflection of a trailing-edge flap causes an incremental load distribution which consists of an incremental basic load distribution and an incremental additional load distribution. (See reference 5.) The decrease in the angle of attack at which the stall occurs is attributed to the fact that the additional load, which comprises a large part of the incremental load distribution, increases the adverse pressure gradient in the vicinity of the airfoil leading edge; and, therefore, the critical pressure gradient is attained at a lower angle of attack.

Effect of leading-edge roughness. - The addition of standard roughness to the leading edge of the airfoil decreased the maximum lift coefficients of all the airfoil configurations in such a way that there was only a slight variation of maximum lift coefficient with position of minimum pressure (fig. 35).

The maximum lift coefricients of the plain airfoil and the airfoil with either of the flaps in the rough condition, increased as the airfoil thickness was increased but not so rapidly as in the smooth condition. For the airfoil with either a split or a double slotted flap, the decrement in maximum section lift coefficient caused by leading-edge roughness was less than that obtained for the plain airfoil section with the exception of the NACA 1410 and the INACA $64-212$ airfoils which gave slightly higher decrements with the double slotted flap deflected.

A comparison of the lift curves for the smooth condition with those for the condition with leading-edge roughness indicates that for thin airfoil sections, leading-edge roughness tends to give a less abrupt stall than that obtained for the smooth condition. This can be attributed to the manner in which the stall occurs. For a smooth thin airfoil section, the stall first occurs in the vicinity of the leading edge, whereas with leading-edge roughness the stall occurs over some rear portion of the airfoil and progresses forward.

## Pitching Moments

Glauert has shown in reference 5 that for plain trailing-edge hinged flaps, the incremental pitching moment caused by the deflection of a flap is a linear function of the incremental lift coefficient. The rather meager data in figure 37 show that this is probably also true for airfoils with split or double slotted flape. If the ratio $\left(\frac{\Delta c_{m}}{\Delta c_{2}}\right)_{a_{0}=0}$ is calculated on the basis of the totel
chord of the model with the double slotted flap extended, reasonably good agreement is shown $f$ the double slotted flep and the split flap on these airfoil sections. The total chord with the flap extended is equal to the sum of the flep chord and the distance from the airfoil leading edge to the flap leading edge.

For each of these airfoil sections equipped with the double slotted flap, an unstable break in the pitching-monent curve (decrease in negative pitching monent) ocours at the stall. This unstable break seems to be peculiar to the double slotted flaps since it occurs in no case for the plain airfoil or for the airfoil with the split flap. The actual cause of this phenomenon is not clear and an analysis of pressure-distribution data would be required to shown what flow changes determine the stability of the section at the stall.

## CONCLUSIONS

Seven thin NACA airfoil sections equipped with double slotted flaps were tested in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence tunnels. The airfoils tested were the NACA 63-210, 64-208, 64-210, $641-212,65-210,66-210$, and 1410 airfoil sections. Each airfoil was tested with a double slotted Rlap consisting of a 0.25 -chord main flap and a 0.075 -chord fore flap. In addition, the NACA 66-210 airfoil was tested with a 0.100 -chord fore flap and the NACA 64-208 airfoil was tested with a 0.056 -chord fore flap. The results of the tests provided the following conclusions:

1. The best fore flap positions for these airfoils were generally about I-percent chord forward and 2-percent chord below the slot lip. The best flap positions varied considerably. The deflections for which the highest maximum lift coefficients were measured were about $50^{\circ}$ to $55^{\circ}$ for the flap and about $25^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ for the fore flap.
2. For the airfoil section with either a split or double slotted flap, the maximum section lift coefficient decreased as the position of minimum pressure was moved to the rear and as the airfoil thickness was decreased to 0.08 chord.
3. In all cases, the maximum section lift coefficient increased appreciably as the Reynolds number was increased from $2.4 \times 106$ to $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ but generally decreased or remained constant as the Reynolds number was increased from $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ to $9.0 \times 10^{6}$.
4. Increasing the fore-flap chord provided increases in the maximum section lift coefficients of the NACA 64-208 and the NACA 66-210 airfoil sections with double slotted flaps.
5. The addition of standard roughness to the leading edge of the airfoils equipped with double slotted flaps (a) caused decrements in maximum lift coefficient that were generally slightly less than those with flaps retracted, (b) caused a decrease in the variation of maximum lift coefficient with position of minimum pressure or with airfoil thickness, and (c) caused the stalls to be less abrupt than those for the airfoil in the smooth condition.
6. The ratio of increment of section pitching-moment coefficient to increment of section lift coefficient at a section angle of attack of $0^{\circ}\left(\frac{\angle \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\sum \mathrm{C} 2}\right)_{\alpha_{0}=0^{\circ}}$ based on the total chord of the airfoil with the double slotted flap extended was approximately the same as that obtained for the airroil with the split flap.
7. An unstable pitching moment break is encountered at the stall for each of the airfoils when equipped with the double slotted flaps and seems to be peculiar to double slotted flaps.
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TABLE 1

ORDINATES FOR NACA 63-210 AIRFOIL
[Stations and ordinates given in percent alrfoil chord]

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| .430 | .876 | . 570 | -. 776 |
| . 669 | 1.107 | . 831 | -. 967 |
| 1.162 | 1.379 | 1.338 | -1.165 |
| 2.398 | 1.939 | 2.602 | -1.567 |
| 4.886 | 2.753 | 5.114 | -2.121 |
| 7.382 | 3.372 | 7.618 | -2.524 |
| 9.882 | 3.877 | 10.118 | -2.843 |
| 14.890 | 4.665 | 15.110 | -3.319 |
| 19.902 | 5.240 | 20.098 | -3.648 |
| 24.917 | 5.647 | 25.083 | -3.857 |
| 29.933 | 5.910 | 30.067 | -3.966 |
| 34.951 | 6.030 | 35.049 | -3.970 |
| 39.968 | 6.009 | 40.032 | -3.867 |
| 44.985 | 5.861 | 45.015 | -3.671 |
| 50.000 | 5.599 | 50.000 | -3.393 |
| 55.013 | 5.235 | 54.987 | -3.045 |
| 60.024 | $4 \cdot 786$ | 59.976 | -2.644 |
| 65.032 70.036 | 4.264 3.684 | 64.968 | -2.204 |
| 70.036 | 3.684 3.061 | 69.964 74.962 | -1.740 -1.271 |
| 80.036 | 2.414 | 79.964 | - .822 |
| 85.030 | 1.761 | 84.970 | -. 412 |
| 90.021 | 1.121 | 89.979 | -. 087 |
| 95.010 | . 530 | 94.990 | 102 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 |  |
| L.E. radius: 0.770 |  |  |  |

table 2
ORDINATES FOR NACA 65-210 AIRFOIL
[Stations and ordinates given
in percent airfoil chord

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| .435 | . 819 | . 565 | -. 719 |
| . 678 | . 999 | . 822 | -. 859 |
| 1.169 | 1.273 | 1.331 | -1.059 |
| 2.408 | 1.757 | 2.592 | -1.385 |
| 4.898 | 2.491 | 5.102 | -1.859 |
| 7.394 | 3.069 | 7.606 | -2.221 |
| 9.894 | 3.555 | 10.106 | -2.521 |
| 14.899 | 4.338 | 15.101 | -2.992 |
| 19.909 | 4.938 | 20.091 | $-3.346$ |
| 24.921 | 5.397 | 25.079 | -3.607 |
| 29.936 | 5.732 | 30.064 | -3.788 |
| 34.951 | 5.954 | 35.049 | -3.894 |
| 39.968 | 6.067 | 40.032 | -3.225 |
| 44.984 | 6.058 | 45.016 | -3.868 |
| 50.000 | 5.915 | 50.000 | -3.709 |
| $55.01{ }^{4}$ | 5.625 | 54.986 | -3.435 |
| 60.027 | 5.217 | 59.973 | -3.075 |
| 65.036 | 4.712 | 64.964 | -2.652 |
| 70.043 | 4.128 | 69.957 | -2.184 -1.689 |
| 85.045 | 3.479 2.783 | 74.956 | -1.191 |
| 85.038 | 2.057 | 84.962 | -. 711 |
| 90.028 | 1.327 | 89.972 | -. 293 |
| 95.014 | . 622 | 94.986 | . 010 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 |  |
| I.E. racius: 0.687 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E |  |  |  |

TABLE 3
ORDINATES FOR NACA 66-210 AIRFOIL
EStations and ordinates given in percent airfoil chord]

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| .436 | . 806 | 564 | . 706 |
| .679 | . 980 | . 821 | . 840 |
| 1.171 | 1.245 | 1.329 | -1.031 |
| 2.412 | 1.699 | 2.588 | -1.327 |
| $4 \cdot 902$ | 2.401 | 5.098 | -1.769 |
| 7.399 | 2.958 | 7.601 | -2.110 |
| 2.893 | 3.1 .32 | 10.102 | -2.389 |
| 14.903 | 4.202 | 15.097 | -2.856 |
| 19.912 | 4.796 | 20.088 | -3.204 |
| 24.924 | 5.257 | 25.076 | -3.467 |
| 29.937 | 5.608 | 30.063 | -3.664 |
| 34.952 | 5.862 | 35.048 | -3.802 |
| 39.968 | 6.024 | 40.032 | -3.882 |
| 4.984 | 6.095 | 45.016 | -3.905 |
| 50.000 | 6.074 | 50.000 | -3.868 |
| 65.016 | 5.960 5.736 | 54.984 59.970 | -3.770 |
| 65.042 | 5.332 | 64.958 | -3.272 |
| 70.051 | 4.759 | 69.949 | -2.815 |
| 75.056 | 4.071 | 74.944 | -2.281 |
| 30.055 | 3.289 | 79.945 | -1.697 |
| 85.049 | 2.445 | 84.951 | -1.099 |
| 90.037 | 1.570 | 89.963 | -. 536 |
| 95.019 | . 724 | 94.981 | -. 092 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.662 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084 |  |  |  |

TABLE 4
ORDINATES FOR NACA 1410 AIRFOIL
Stations and ordinates given in percent airfoil chord

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.174 | 1.639 | 1.326 | -1.515 |
| 2.398 | 2.297 | 2.602 | -2.055 |
| 4.870 | 3.894 | 5.130 | -2.726 |
| 7.358 |  | 7.642 | -3.157 |
| 9.854 | 4.338 | 10.146 | -3.462 |
| 14.861 | 5.062 | 15.139 | -3.844 |
| 19.880 | 5.531 | 20.120 | -4.031 |
| 24.907 | 5.809 | 25.093 | -4.091 |
| 29.937 | 5.940 | 30.063 | -4.064 |
| 40.000 | 5.836 | 40.000 | -3.836 |
| 50.025 | 5.385 | 49.975 | -3.439 |
| 60.042 | 4.692 | 59.958 | -2.914 |
| 70.051 | 3.804 | 69.949 | -2.304 |
| 80.049 | 2.741 | 79.951 | -1. 629 |
| 90.034 | 1.513 | 89.966 | -. 901 |
| 95.021 | . 832 | 94.979 | -. 512 |
| 100.000 | . 105 | 100.000 | -. 105 |
| L.E. radius: 1.10 |  |  |  |
| Slope of | radius th | ugh L.E. | 0.05 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | Ningug <br>  <br>  | ¢ <br> 8 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N} \\ & \text { İ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{A} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  N－7 Nim Miving ？ 0 Noryroo <br>  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { z } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { 思 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 究 } \\ & \text { 日 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | O ano <br>  7－ <br> 。 |  |





TABIE 8
FLAP ORDINATES FOR 63-210 AIRFOIL
[Stations and ordinates given from flap
chord line in percent airfoil chord]


TABLE 9
FLAP ORDTMATES FOR 65-210 AIRFOIL
Stations and ordinates given from flap
chord ine in percent aitoll chord]

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | ordinate |
| $\bigcirc$ | 92 | 0.28 | 0.41 |
| . 56 | 1.19 | . 56 | -. 62 |
| 1.12 | 1.56 | 1.12 | -. 88 |
| 1.69 | 1.83 | 1.69 | -1.00 |
| 2.22 | 1.99 | 2.48 | -1.03 |
| 2.30 | 2.33 | 4.98 | -. -63 |
| 5.61 | 2.38 | 9.98 | -. 44 |
| 7.00 | 2.40 | 12.48 | -. 27 |
| 9.00 | 2.35 | 14.98 | -. 12 |
| 11.00 | 2.16 | 17.48 | . 01 |
| 12.51 | 1.91 | 19.99 | .10 |
| 15.01 | 1.50 | 22.49 | . 12 |
| 17.51 | 1.10 | 25.00 |  |
| 20.00 | -717 |  |  |
| 22.50 25.00 | . 342 |  |  |
| 25.0 |  |  |  |
| L.E. radius: 0.800 <br> L.E. radius center: 0.240 above flap chord line <br> Dimension a: 0.400 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

TABIE 10
FLAP ORDINATES FOR 66-210 AIRFOIL
Stations and ordinates given from flap

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| .25 | 1.09 | . 25 | -. 50 |
| 1. 00 | $\frac{1}{1.35}$ | .50 1.00 | -. $\mathrm{-} .75$ |
| 2.00 | 2.30 | 2.00 | -1.27 |
| 3.00 | 2.65 | 2.50 | -1.30 |
| 4.00 | 2.84 | 3.00 | -1.26 |
| 5.00 | 2.95 | 6.00 | -. 98 |
| 6.00 | 3.00 | 9.00 | -. 72 |
| 7.00 | 3.02 | 12.00 | -. 46 |
| 8.00 9.00 | 3.00 | 15.00 28.00 | -. 21 |
| 9.00 10.00 | 2.94 2.85 | 28.00 21.00 | -. 02 |
| 12.00 | 2.50 | 24.00 | . 04 |
| 15.00 | 1.85 | 25.00 |  |
| 18.00 | 1.25 |  |  |
| 21.00 24.00 | . 718 |  |  |
| 25.00 | 0 |  |  |
| E.E. radius: 1.207 <br> L.E. radius center: 0.295 about flap chord line <br> Dimension a: 0.752 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

TABIE 11
FLAF ORDINATES FOR 1410 AIRFOIL
Stations and ordinates given from flap chord line in percent airfoil chord

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . 25 | . 89 | .25 | -. 38 |
| .50 1.00 | 1.148 | .50 1.00 | -. -92 |
| 2.00 | 1.93 | 2.00 | -1.14 |
| 3.00 | 2.20 | 2.50 | -1.15 |
| 4.00 | 2.36 | 3.00 | -1.13 |
| 5.00 | 2.48 | 6.00 | -1.01 |
| 6.00 | 2.55 | 9.00 | -. 88 |
| 7.00 | 2.59 | 12.00 | -. 77 |
| 8.00 | 2.58 | 15.00 | -. 63 |
| 9.00 | 2.56 | 18.00 | -. 47 |
| 10.00 | 2.50 | 27.00 | -. 30 |
| 12.00 | 2.27 | 24.00 | -. 14 |
| 15.00 | 1.81 | 25.00 | -. 11 |
| 18.00 | 1.33 |  |  |
| 21.00 | . 80 |  |  |
| 24.00 | . 28 |  |  |
| 25.00 | . 21 |  |  |
| L.E. radius: 0.831 <br> L.E. radius center: 0.249 above flap chord line <br> Dimension a: 0.700 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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TABLE 14

25 gTGYL

| SJinnyozzy yod 33Lildwos <br> AYOSINOY TVNOILVN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （aut pxouo no） |  |
|  |  | $00^{\circ} 0 \tau$ $00 \cdot 6$ $00^{\circ} .8$ $00^{\circ}$ $00^{\circ} 9$ $00^{\circ} \zeta$ $00^{\circ} 7$ $00^{\circ}$ ． 2 $00^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ $05^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$ $00^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ 0 |
| ө7витрго лөmOT | өqвитрло ひのd』 | 407787S |
| ［рォロपマ T下OJuTE <br>  <br>  |  |  |
| CHIA E\％ | －007－0 | Scid bNIC |



MABLE 15

| ORDINATES FOR 0．056－CHORD FORE FLAP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stations and ordinates given fro fore flan chord line in percent airfoll chord |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Station | Upper ordinate | Lower ordinate |
| 0.42 | 0.82 | 0 |
| ． 83 | 1.10 | －－－－ |
| 1.25 | 1.25 | －． 85 |
| 1.67 | 1．31 | －． 59 |
| 2.08 | 1.31 | －． 33 |
| 2.50 | 1.27 | －． 15 |
| 3.33 | 1.10 | ． 10 |
| 3.75 | ． 97 | ． 16 |
| 4.17 | ． 81 | ． 19 |
| 4.58 | .61 | ． 18 |
| 5.00 | ． 40 | ． 13 |
| 5.142 | ． 15 | ． 05 |
| 5.625 | 0 |  |
| L．E．radius： 0.90 <br> （on chord line） |  |  |


Figure 1.- Profiles of NaCA airfoil sections tested with double slotted flaps.

Fig. 2

(a) Airfoil with flap.
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Flgure 3 .- Profiles of the three fore flaps tested.
in combination with 0.250 c slotted flaps.

Fig. 4
NACA 63-210

NACA 63-210


[^0]Fig. 5b
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 63-210

(b) $\delta_{f}=50^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure 5 .- Continued.

NACA 63-210

(0) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure 5 .- concludea.

Fig. 6
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 63-210

Figure 6.- Contours of flap and fore flap location for maxinum ifft of the NACA 63-210 airfoil section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; $0.250 \mathrm{flap} . \quad \delta_{\mathrm{f}}=50^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ} ; R=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.

NACA 63-2IO



Fig. 8
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 64-208




Plain airfoil


NACA 64-208

(a) $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=45^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=20^{\circ}$.
Figure 9.- Contours of flap location for maximun lift of the NACA 64-208 airfoll section with a double slotted flap;

Fig. 9b
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 64-208
( Optimum position

NACA 64-208


NACA 64-208

(d) $\delta_{f}=45^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=30^{\circ}$.
Flgure $9 .-$ Continued.

NACA 64-208

(e) $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=50^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure $9 . \ldots$ Continuec..

Fig. 9 f
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 64-208


NACA 64-208


Fig. 11
 Figure ll.- Section lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the TACA $64-208$ airfoil section with a couble slotted flap;


Section angle of attack, $a_{0}$, deg




$$
\mathrm{H}=6.0 \times 10^{6}
$$

## NACA 64-208

 0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 c -
Moment coefficient, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}} / 4}$
$\begin{array}{cc}0 \\ 0 & -.2 \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 4 & \\ 4 & \\ 0 & -.4 \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & -.\end{array}$

$$
3.2
$$

3.2 NAGA 64-208


Figure 12.- Section lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the NACA 64-208 airfoil section with a double slotted
flap; 0.056 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=25^{\circ}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{f}}=50^{\circ} ; \mathrm{x}_{7}=1.47 ; \mathrm{y}_{1}=2.36 ; \mathrm{x}_{2}=1.78 ; \mathrm{y}_{2}=1.41 ; \\
& \mathrm{R}=6.0 \times 10^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 13
NACA 64-210

Figure 13.- Section lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the NACA 64-210 airfoil section with and without a 0.20 c split



## Plain airfoil <br> 

Fig. 14a
NACA 64-210

(a) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ}$; $\delta_{f f}=25^{\circ}$.
Figure 14.- Contours of flap location for maximum lift of the NACA 64-210 airfoil section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\quad R=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.

Fig. 14b
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 64-210


NACA 64-2IO

(c) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{f f}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure $14 .-\quad$ Concluded.

Fig. 15
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 64-210

Figure 15.- Contours of flap and fore flap location for maximum lift of the NACA 64-210 airfoll section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\delta_{f}=50^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ} ; \mathrm{R}=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.
NACA 64-210

Figure 16.- Section lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the NACA 64-210 airfoil section with a double siotted flap;

$30^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{p}}=55^{\circ} ;$
$82 ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}=2.31 ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{2}=0.56$
NATIONAL ADVISORY
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Fig. 17
NACA 65-210


NACA 65-210


Fig. 19
NACA 65-2IO



NACA 66-210
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Fig. 21a
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 66-210

Figure 21.- Contours of flap location for maximum lift of the NACA 66-210 airfoil section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; $0.250 c$ flap. $\mathrm{F}=2.4 \times 106$.

NACA 66-2IO

(b) $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=25^{\circ}$.
Figure $2 / .-$ Continuec.

Fig. 21c
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 66-210

(c) $\delta_{f}=50^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure 2/.. Continued.

NACA 66-2IO

(d) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{\text {ff }}=30^{\circ}$

NACA 66-210

Figure 22.- Contours of fiap and fore flap location for maximum lift of the NACA 66-210 airfoil section with a double slotted
flap; 0.075 e fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\quad \delta_{\mathrm{f}}=55^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ} ; \mathrm{R}=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.

## NACA 66-210


Fieure 23.- Section lift and pitching-monent characteristics of the NACA $66-210$ airfoil section with a double slotted flap;
0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 flap f

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-16 \quad-8, ~ 0 \quad 8 \\
\text { Section angle of attack, } a_{0}, \quad \text { deg } \\
x_{1}=1.18 ; \quad y_{f f}=2.25^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \\
x_{2}=2.87 ; \quad y_{2}=2.27
\end{array}
$$

## 

NACA 66-210


NACA 66-210


NACA 66-210

(c) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{\text {ff }}=30^{\circ}$.
Figure $24 .-$ concluded.

NACA 66-2IO

Figure 25.- Contours of flap and fore flap location for maximum lift of the NACA 66-210 airfoil section with a double slotted rlap; 0.100 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=30^{\circ} ; R=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.

Fig. 26

## NACA -66-2IO





NACA 1410

Plain airfoil




Fig. 28a
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 1410



NACA 1410

(b) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=25^{\circ}$.
Figure $28 .-$ Continued.

Fig. 28c
NACA RM No. L7B17
NACA 1410

NACA 1410

Figure 29.- Contours of flap and fore flep location for maximum lift of the NACA 1410 airfoil section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=25^{\circ} ; \mathrm{R}=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.



NACA 64,-212


Figure 3/.- Section lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the NACA $64_{1}-212$ airfoil section with and without a


Plain airfoll

NACA 64,-212

(a) $\delta_{f}=45^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=25^{\circ}$.
Figure 32.- Contours of flap location for maximum lift of the NACA $64_{1}-212$ airfoil section with a double slotted flap;

NACA 64,-212


NACA 64,-212

(c) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \quad \delta_{f_{f f}}=25^{\circ}$.
Fi gure $32 .-\quad$ Continued.

NACA 64,-212

(a) $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=60^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{rf}}=25^{\circ}$
Figure $32 .-\quad$ Cont1nued.

NACA 64,-212


NACA 64,-212

(f) $\delta_{f}=55^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=30^{\circ}$
Figure $32 .-$ Continued.

NACA 64,-212.

(g) $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=60^{\circ} ; \delta_{f f}=30^{\circ}$,

NACA 64,-212

Figure 33.- Contours of flap and fore flap location for naximum lift of the NACA 641-212 alrfoil section with a double slotted flap; 0.075 c fore flap; 0.250 c flap. $\delta_{\mathrm{f}}=50^{\circ} ; \delta_{\mathrm{ff}}=25^{\circ} ; R=2.4 \times 10^{6}$.



Variation of o $\mathrm{o}_{\text {max }} \begin{gathered}\text { with pos } 1 \text { tion of minimum pressure ; } \\ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{o}=0.20\end{gathered}$


Figure $36,-$ Effect of Reynolds number on the maximum
section ift coefficient of some NACA airfoll sections.
Figure 37.- Variation of increment of section lift coefficient With increment of section pitching-moment coefficient caused
by addition of flaps on some thin NACA 6-series airfoil by adiftion of flaps on some thin NACA 6-series alrfoil
sections. $a_{0}=00$




[^0]:    
    Figure 5.- Contours of flap location for maximum lift of the NACA $63-210$ airfoil section with a double slotted flap;

