

NEW SQUEEZED LANDAU STATES

C. Aragone

*Departamento de Física, Univ. S. Bolívar
Apartado 89000, Caracas 1080A*

Abstract

We introduce a new set of squeezed states through the coupled two-mode squeezed operator. It is shown their behaviour is simpler than the correlated coherent states introduced by Dodonov, Kurmyshev and Man'ko in order to quantum mechanically describe the Landau system, i.e. a planar charged particle in a uniform magnetic field. We compare results for both sets of squeezed states.

A planar charged particle moving in a uniform magnetic field is a very interesting quantum mechanical system. It is not trivial, needs the two spatial dimensions to describe it, it has some reminiscence of the two dimensional oscillator, but requires in addition the peculiar presence of the angular momentum operator which play a role as important as the hamiltonian. As recently it has been pointed out [1], the system has an $Osc(1)$ dynamical degeneracy group. It seemed to us the system has a physics rich enough and mathematically particularly well understood in terms of the holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) coordinates that deserved to be revisited.

A planar particle of charge e , mass m , moving in a uniform magnetic field $\vec{B} = B\hat{k}$ can be described by the classical first order action

$$S = \langle \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}} - (2m)^{-1} [\vec{p} - 2^{-1}eB(i\vec{r})]^2 \rangle \equiv \langle \vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}} - H \rangle. \quad (1)$$

\vec{r} is the two-dimensional vector position of e , \vec{p} its canonical momenta (which in the presence of the vector potential $\vec{A} = 2^{-1}B(i\vec{r})$ does not coincide with $m\dot{\vec{r}}$), and the linear operator i indicates a positive $\pi/2$ rotation, i.e. $(i\vec{v})_j = -\epsilon_{jl}v_l$. We choose B such that $eB \equiv m\omega$ is always positive, without losing generality.

The Landau system $\Phi_L \equiv \{\vec{r}, \vec{p}, H, \Lambda \equiv -(i\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{p}\}$ is quantized by imposing

$$[r_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij} \quad i, j = (1, 2). \quad (2)$$

As shown in ref. [1] it is convenient to introduce two sets of additional, momentum-like variables

$$\vec{\pi} \equiv \vec{p} - 2^{-1}m\omega(i\vec{r}), \quad \vec{\omega} \equiv \vec{p} + 2^{-1}m\omega(i\vec{r}). \quad (3)$$

$\vec{\pi}$ is the q-operator representing the observable $m\dot{\vec{r}}$. In terms of these quantities the hamiltonian and the angular momentum take the form

$$H = (2m)^{-1} \{ \vec{p}^2 + 4^{-1}m^2\omega^2\vec{r}^2 + m\omega\Lambda \} = (2m)^{-1} \vec{\pi}^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\Lambda = (-i \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{p} = (2m\omega)^{-1} \{ \vec{\pi}^2 - \vec{\omega}^2 \}. \quad (5)$$

Observe the interesting chiral aspect of Λ in terms of $\vec{\pi}$ and $\vec{\omega}$.

It is immediate to notice that ω_i commutes with π_j ,

$$[\omega_i, \pi_j] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Consequently $\vec{\omega}$ and Λ commute with H . Since

$$[\Lambda, \omega_i] = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\omega_j = i\hbar(i\vec{\omega})_i \quad (7a)$$

$$[\omega_i, \omega_j] = -i\hbar m\omega\epsilon_{ij}. \quad (7b)$$

we see that $\{1, \vec{\omega}, \Lambda\}$ constitute a dynamical symmetric group (which will be easily recognized, when represented by its holomorphic components $\omega_z, \omega_{\bar{z}}$ to be Osc (1)), i.e. commutes with H .

It is convenient to introduce holomorphic dimensionless variables $z, \bar{z}, p_z, p_{\bar{z}}, \pi_z, \pi_{\bar{z}}, \omega_z, \omega_{\bar{z}}$ to analyze the system,

$$z \equiv (2^{-1}\hbar^{-1}m\omega)^{1/2}(x + iy), \quad p_z = (2\hbar m\omega)^{1/2}(p_x - ip_y) = -i\partial_z + c.c. \quad (8)$$

The two momentum-like set of variables take the form

$$\pi_z = p_z + 2^{-1}i\bar{z}, \quad \pi_{\bar{z}} = p_{\bar{z}} - 2^{-1}iz \quad (9ab)$$

$$\omega_z = p_z - 2^{-1}i\bar{z}, \quad \omega_{\bar{z}} = p_{\bar{z}} + 2^{-1}iz \quad (10ab)$$

while H and Λ become

$$H = \hbar\omega \{ p_z p_{\bar{z}} + 4^{-1}z\bar{z} + 2^{-1}\lambda \} \equiv \hbar\omega h, \quad (11)$$

$$\Lambda = i\hbar \{ \bar{z}p_{\bar{z}} - zp_z \} \equiv \hbar\lambda = \hbar[\bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}} - z\partial_z]. \quad (12)$$

Heisenberg commutation relations eqs. (2) change to

$$[z, p_z] = i = [z, \pi_z] = [z, \omega_z] + c.c. \quad (13)$$

The two main physical observables h, λ have a very simple structure

$$h = \pi_z \pi_{\bar{z}} + 2^{-1} \equiv n_1 + 2^{-1}, \quad \lambda = \pi_z \pi_{\bar{z}} - \omega_{\bar{z}} \omega_z \equiv n_1 - n_2 \quad (14ab)$$

where $\pi_{\bar{z}}, \omega_z, \pi_z, \omega_{\bar{z}}$ can be regarded as two sets of decoupled annihilation and creation operators

$$[\pi_{\bar{z}}, \pi_z] = 1 = [\omega_z, \omega_{\bar{z}}], \quad (15)$$

since $[\omega_z, \bar{z}, \pi_z, \bar{z}] = 0$. We emphasize the fundamental role of the both $h, \lambda(H, \Lambda)$ in determining the two-mode quantum structure of the system, The energy degeneracy is broken by the presence of n_2 , the second fundamental quantum number. These two series of discrete numbers will become the origin of the two complex parameters labelling the coherent Landau states discovered long time

ago [2] by Mal'kin and Man'ko. (Incidentally our $\pi_{\bar{z}}$ coincides with a of ref. [3] and our ω_z equals $-ia_0$. To introduce coherent Landau states we introduce the state $|0, 0\rangle = \psi_{00}$

$$\psi_{00}(z\bar{z}) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}}. \quad (16)$$

ψ_{00} belongs to the ground subspace, i.e. $\pi_{\bar{z}}|0, 0\rangle = 0$ and is unitary (using the natural measure $2^{-1}idz d\bar{z} = dx dy$). The ground subspace is determined by the orthonormal set $\psi_{0p} = (p!)^{-1/2} \omega_z^p |0, 0\rangle = |0, p\rangle$

$$|0, p\rangle = (p!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (iz)^p |0, 0\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Each level- n energy eigenspace has the discrete orthonormal basis

$$\psi_{np} = (n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (p!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi_z^n \omega_z^p |0, 0\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Equations (14) tell us $H\psi_{np} = \hbar\omega(n + 2^{-1})\psi_{np}$ and $\Lambda\psi_{np} = \hbar(n - p)$.

We define the coherent Landau states [2] by

$$|w, s\rangle \equiv e^{w\pi_z - \bar{w}\pi_{\bar{z}} + s\omega_z - \bar{s}\omega_{\bar{z}}} |0, 0\rangle \quad (19a)$$

$w, s \in \mathbb{C}$. They constitute an over complete unitary system of the Hilbert space $\{\psi_{np}, n; p \in 0, 1, \dots\}$ in the usual sense (for coherent states)

$$\langle w_1 s_1 | w_2 s_2 \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|w_2 - w_1|^2 - |s_2 - s_1|^2 + i|w_2||w_1|\sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) + i|s_2||s_1|\sin(\phi_2 - \phi_1)} \quad (19b)$$

$w = |w|e^{i\varphi}$, $s = |s|e^{i\phi}$.

They have three basic properties: i. They are $\pi_{\bar{z}}$ eigenstates with eigenvalue w , ii. they also are eigenstates of ω_z with proper value s

$$\pi_{\bar{z}}|ws\rangle = w|ws\rangle, \quad \omega_z|ws\rangle = s|ws\rangle, \quad (20)$$

and iii. they propagate remaining in the family. If one starts on $|ws\rangle$ leaving the system to evolve, at time t Φ_L will be described by

$$e^{-i\hbar\omega t}|ws\rangle = |we^{-i\omega t}, s\rangle. \quad (21)$$

Eqs. (20) suggest a way to compute q-mechanical expected values for physical observables $F(p, \bar{p}, z, \bar{z})$. One has to transform them to their representation in terms of the new variables $(\pi, \bar{\pi}, \omega, \bar{\omega})$, then normal ordering in both types of variables and finally taking into account eqs. (20).

In this way we obtain:

$$\langle z \rangle_{CL} = \langle ws | z | ws \rangle = \langle ws | (i\pi_{\bar{z}} - i\omega_{\bar{z}}) | ws \rangle = i(w - \bar{s}) \quad (22a)$$

$$\langle z^2 \rangle_{CL} = -(w - \bar{s})^2, \quad \langle z\bar{z} \rangle = (w - \bar{s})(\bar{w} - s) + 1 \quad (22b, c)$$

plus their respective complex (hermitian) conjugates. We also obtain

$$\langle p_z \rangle_{CL} = 2^{-1} \langle \pi_z + \omega_z \rangle_{CL} = 2^{-1}(\bar{w} + s) + c.c. \quad (23a)$$

$$\langle p_z^2 \rangle_{CL} = 4^{-1} \langle (\pi_z + \omega_z)^2 \rangle = 4^{-1} (\bar{w} + s)^2 + h.c. , \quad (23b)$$

$$\langle p_z p_{\bar{z}} \rangle_{CL} = 4^{-1} + 4^{-1} (w + \bar{s})(\bar{w} + s) \quad (23c)$$

$$\langle h \rangle_{CL} = \langle ws | h | ws \rangle = w\bar{w} + 2^{-1} , \quad \langle \lambda \rangle_{CL} = w\bar{w} - s\bar{s}, \quad (24a, b)$$

$$\langle h^2 \rangle_{CL} = (w\bar{w} + 2^{-1})^2 + w\bar{w} , \quad \langle \lambda^2 \rangle_{CL} = \langle \lambda \rangle_{CL}^2 + w\bar{w} + s\bar{s}. \quad (25a, b)$$

Recalling definitions (8) relating z, \bar{z} and real dimensionless variables x, y we can calculate physical uncertainties, which are defined for canonical sets of variables in terms of holomorphic variances $\Delta z, \Delta z \bar{z} = \langle z \bar{z} \rangle - \langle z \rangle \langle \bar{z} \rangle$, $\Delta p_z, \Delta p_z p_{\bar{z}}$. they turn out to be

$$(\Delta x)_{CL}^2 = 4^{-1} (\Delta z)_{CL}^2 + 4^{-1} (\Delta \bar{z})_{CL}^2 + 2^{-1} (\Delta z \bar{z})_{CL} = 2^{-1} = (\Delta y)_{CL}^2, (\Delta xy)_{CL} = 0. \quad (26abc)$$

In a similar way, we find for the physical momenta

$$(\Delta p_x)_{CL}^2 = 2^{-1} = (\Delta p_x p_y)_{CL} = 0. \quad (27abc)$$

Consequently both uncertainties attain lowest bound

$$(\Delta x)_{CL} (\Delta p_x)_{CL} = 2^{-1} = (\Delta y)_{CL} (\Delta p_y)_{CL}. \quad (28)$$

Coherent Landau states are minimum uncertainty states (MUS).

Squeezing can be now analysed, since the standard procedure to consider this type of states involves the squeezing of associated coherent states. Complexive decoupled squeezed Landau states have been introduced in ref. [3], where they have been called correlated coherent states.

Since squeezing is not that intuitive we face in principle four different types of squeezing: partial squeezing in $\pi_z \pi_{\bar{z}}$, partial squeezing in $\omega_z \omega_{\bar{z}}$ or full, complexive squeezing in both sets of variables.

The complexive squeezing might be either decoupled or coupled in both set of variables. One might think that it could be enough to squeeze just in the dynamical constituents of the hamiltonian $\pi_z \pi_{\bar{z}}$ in order to obtain physically appealing results. This primary type of "squeezing" can be shown to lead to states which are irrelevant, since they are neither minimum uncertainty states nor the variances of any canonical variable can tend to zero.

We are obliged to turn our interest to more radical way of squeezing. As we said above, we must try complexive squeezing, i.e. to introduce squeeze operators which squeeze both type of quanta, the π and the ω -ones.

Let us first consider what we call "decoupled" squeezing, as it has been done in ref. [3]. The squeezing operator is defined as

$$S(q_1, q_2) = e^{\frac{1}{2} q_1^2 \pi_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{q}_1^2 \pi_{\bar{z}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2^2 \omega_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{q}_2^2 \omega_{\bar{z}}^2} = S^\pi(q_1) S^\omega(q_2). \quad (29)$$

We consider the squeezed states

$$|ws, q_1, q_2 \rangle \equiv S(q_1, q_2) |w, s \rangle. \quad (30)$$

where both w and s are distorted.

Both the π and ω variables transform non trivially here,

$$(\pi_{\bar{x}})_{q_1} \equiv S_{q_1}^+ \pi_{\bar{x}} S_{q_1} = \pi_{\bar{x}} chr_1 + e^{2i\varphi_1} shr_1 \pi_x \quad (31)$$

$$(\omega_{\bar{x}})_{q_2} \equiv S_{q_2}^+ \omega_{\bar{x}} S_{q_2} = \omega_{\bar{x}} chr_2 + e^{2i\varphi_2} shr_2 \omega_{\bar{x}} + h.c. \quad (32)$$

The squeezed transformed of the Heinsenberg canonical variable $z \equiv z_{q_1 q_2} \equiv S_{q_1 q_2}^+ z S_{q_1 q_2}$ becomes in the present case

$$z_{q_1 q_2} = i(\pi_{\bar{x}} chr_1 + e^{2i\varphi_1} shr_1 \pi_x - \omega_{\bar{x}} chr_2 - e^{-2i\varphi_2} shr_2 \omega_x). \quad (33)$$

The complexive squeezed expectation values of z and p_x are therefore

$$\langle z \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = \langle z_{q_1 q_2} \rangle_{CL} = i[s_{q_1}(w) - \bar{s}_{q_2}(s)], \quad (34a)$$

$$\langle p_x \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = \langle (p_x)_{q_1 q_2} \rangle_{CL} = 2^{-1}[\bar{s}_{q_1}(w) + s_{q_2}(s)], \quad (34b)$$

where subindex CL indicates the coherent Landau state $|w, s\rangle$ and $s_q(u) \equiv chr_u + e^{2i\varphi} shr_{\bar{u}}$. Quadratic complexive squeezed expectation values become

$$\langle z^2 \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = -[s_{q_1}(w) - \bar{s}_{q_2}(s)]^2 - shr_1 chr_1 e^{2i\varphi_1} - shr_2 chr_2 e^{-2i\varphi_2} \quad (35a)$$

$$\langle z \bar{z} \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = \langle \bar{z} \rangle_{q_1 q_2} \langle z \rangle_{q_1 q_2} + chr_1^2 + shr_2^2, \quad (35b)$$

$$\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = 4^{-1}(\bar{s}_{q_1}(w) + s_{q_2}(s))^2 + 4^{-1}shr_1 chr_1 e^{-2i\varphi_1} + 4^{-1}shr_2 chr_2 e^{2i\varphi_2}, \quad (36a)$$

$$\langle p_x p_{\bar{x}} \rangle_{q_1 q_2} = 4^{-1}[\bar{s}_{q_1}(w) + s_{q_2}(s)][s_{q_1}(w) + \bar{s}_{q_2}(s)] + 4^{-1}ch^2 r_1 + 4^{-1}sh^2 r_2. \quad (36b)$$

From this expressions for the holomorphic variables we can evaluate physical uncertainties to see how they behave for complexive decoupled squeezing. They are

$$(\Delta p_y)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = (\Delta x)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = 2^{-1}chr_1(chr_1 - shr_1 \cos 2\varphi_1) + 2^{-1}chr_2(chr_2 - shr_2 \cos 2\varphi_2) - 2^{-1}, \quad (37)$$

$$(\Delta y)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = (\Delta p_x)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = 2^{-1}chr_1(chr_1 + shr_1 \cos 2\varphi_1) + 2^{-1}chr_2(chr_2 + shr_2 \cos 2\varphi_2) - 2^{-1}. \quad (38)$$

For $\varphi_1 = 0 = \varphi_2$ Δx and Δp_y are squeezed since:

$$(\Delta p_y)_{\varphi_1=0=\varphi_2}^2 = (\Delta x)_{\varphi_1=0=\varphi_2}^2 = 4^{-1}e^{-2r_1} + 4^{-1}e^{-2r_2} \rightarrow 0^+, \quad r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty \quad (39)$$

while, of course Δp_x and Δy increase according to eq. (38). The partial uncertainties get closer to their lowest bound,

$$(\Delta y)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = (\Delta p_x)_{q_1 q_2}^2 \Big|_{\varphi_1=0=\varphi_2} = 8^{-1}[1 + chr_2(r_2 - r_1)] = (\Delta y)_{q_1 q_2}^2 = (\Delta p_y)_{q_1 q_2}^2 \Big|_{\varphi_1=0=\varphi_2} \quad (40)$$

This result indicates that physical squeezing, in the sense that the squeezed states are also minimum uncertainty states, is obtained just for $r_2 = r_1$. Complexive decoupled squeezing leads to physical squeezing modes, but the two independent "a priori" parameters q_1 and q_2 have to coincide.

A nicer solution to finding squeezed states of Φ_I arises by considering the fact that we have two modes in the system. For this situation a more natural squeezed operator can be defined,

similarly to what has been done for the two photon case in ref. [4]. The "coupled" squeezing operator we postulate is given by

$$S_q \equiv e^{\frac{1}{2}q^2 \pi_z \omega_z - \frac{1}{2}\bar{q}^2 \pi_z \omega_z} \quad (41)$$

It naturally depends upon only one parameter. It is straightforward to show that the squeezed values of π_z and ω_z respectively are

$$S_q^\dagger \pi_z S_q = \pi_z ch \frac{r}{2} + e^{2i\varphi} sh \frac{r}{2} \omega_z, \quad (42)$$

$$S_q^\dagger \omega_z S_q = \omega_z ch \frac{r}{2} + e^{2i\varphi} sh \frac{r}{2} \pi_z. \quad (43)$$

As expected this type of squeezing makes π -variables to have ω -components and viceversa.

The new associates squeezed states are defined by

$$|ws, q\rangle \equiv S_q |w, s\rangle \quad (44)$$

where S_q has been introduced in eq. (41). It is immediate to perform in this case similar calculations to what has already been done for the previous case. Results turn out to be mathematically simpler and physically interesting. We get

$$\langle z \rangle_q = \langle z_q \rangle_{CL} = i(w - \bar{s})ch \frac{r}{2} + ish \frac{r}{2} (\bar{s}e^{2i\varphi} - we^{-2i\varphi}), \quad (45)$$

$$\langle p_z \rangle_q = 2^{-1}(\bar{w} + s)ch \frac{r}{2} + 2^{-1}sh \frac{r}{2} (\bar{w}e^{2i\varphi} + se^{-2i\varphi}). \quad (46)$$

In addition one finds that $(\Delta_x)_q^2 = (\Delta_{p_x})_q^2 = 0$. Finally the variances of the canonical variables attain the respective forms.

$$(\Delta x)_q^2 = 4^{-1}e^r(1 - \cos 2\varphi) + 4^{-1}e^{-r}(1 + \cos 2\varphi) = (\Delta p_y)_q^2, \quad (47a)$$

$$(\Delta p_x)_q^2 = 4^{-1}e^r(1 + \cos 2\varphi) + 4^{-1}e^{-r}(1 - \cos 2\varphi) = (\Delta y)_q^2, \quad (47b)$$

Both uncertainties coincide, their value being

$$(\Delta x)_q^2 (\Delta p_x)_q^2 = (\Delta y)_q^2 (\Delta p_y)_q^2 = 4^{-1}(chr^2 - shr^2 \cos^2 2\varphi). \quad (48)$$

For $\varphi = k\pi/2$ we obtain squeezing and minimum uncertainty.

In conclusion we feel these coupled squeezed states (44) are the natural ones for introducing squeezing in the Landau system. We have shown they behave in a simpler way than those defined in ref. [3] while they also lead to physical squeezing.

References

- [1] S. Fubini, International J. of Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 3533.
- [2] I. A. Mal'kin and V. I. Man'ko, ZhETF **55** (1968) 1014.
- [3] V. V. Dodonov, E. V. Kurmyshev and V. I. Man'ko, Proc. Lebedev Physics Institute, Nova Science, Commack, N.Y. (1988) **176** pp 169.
- [4] B. L. Schumaker and C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. **A31** (1985) 3093.