

A PHYSICAL CONTROL INTERFACE WITH PROPRIOCEPTIVE FEEDBACK AND MULTIPLE DEGREES OF FREEDOM

G. H. Creasey, D. Gow, Y. Sloan, and B. Meadows
University of Edinburgh and Princess Margaret Rose Orthopaedic Hospital
Edinburgh, SCOTLAND

The use of the drug thalidomide by pregnant mothers in Britain resulted in a variety of deformities including the birth of children having no arms. Such children were provided with powered artificial arms with up to five degrees of freedom simultaneously controlled in real time by shoulder movement (ref. 1) and whose operation could be learnt by children within a matter of hours. The ease with which this manipulation could be learnt and used may have been due to the system used to provide feedback of position and force to the user's skin and joints. In this way, the physiological sense of proprioception was extended from the user into the device, reducing the need for visual feedback and conscious control.

With the banning of thalidomide, this technique fell into disuse but it is now being re-examined as a control mechanism for other artificial limbs (refs. 1-5) and it may have other medical applications to allow patients to control formerly paralysed limbs moved by electrical stimulation (ref. 6). It may also have commercial applications in robotic manipulation or physical interaction with virtual environments.

To allow it to be investigated further, the original pneumatic control system has recently been converted to an electrical analogue to allow interfacing to electronic and computer-assisted systems. A harness incorporates force-sensitive resistors and linear potentiometers for sensing position and force at the interface with the skin, and miniature electric motors and lead screws for feeding back to the user the position of the robotic arm and the forces applied to it. In the present system, control is applied to four degrees of freedom using elevation/depression and protraction/retraction of each shoulder so that each collar-bone emulates a joystick. However, both electrical and mechanical components have been built in modular form to allow rapid replication and testing of a variety of force and position control strategies.

REFERENCES

1. Simpson DC. The development and the control of a powered prosthesis for children. Health Bulletin (1964) 22(4):67-69
2. Gow DJ, Dick T, Draper E, Loudon I & Smith P. Physiologically appropriate control of an electrically powered hand prosthesis. Proc 4th World Congress of Int Soc of Prosthetics & Orthotics, London, 1983, p.41

3. Doubler JA & Childress DS. An analysis of extended physiological proprioception as a prosthesis-control technique. *J Rehab Research and Devt* (1984) 21(1):5-18
4. Doubler JA & Childress DS. Design and evaluation of a prosthesis control system based on the concept of extended physiological proprioception. *J Rehab Research and Devt* (1984) 21(1):19-31
5. Kirtley C. Extended physiological proprioception. In *Neural Protheses: Motor System*, Engineering Foundation, New York, 1988, p.85
6. Johnson MW & Peckham PH. Evaluation of shoulder position as a command control source for use with neural prosthetic devices by quadriplegic individuals. *Proc Ann Conf Rehab Eng Soc North America* (1986) pp.454-456