111 - 56 31427

NASA Technical Memorandum 106784 Army Research Laboratory Technical Report ARL-TR-637

# Sensor Fault Detection and Diagnosis Simulation of a Helicopter Engine in an Intelligent Control Framework

Jonathan Litt Vehicle Propulsion Directorate U.S. Army Research Laboratory Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

Mehmet Kurtkaya and Ahmet Duyar Florida Atlantic University Boca Raton, Florida (NASA-1

(NASA-TM-106784) SENSOR FAULT DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS SIMULATION OF A HELICOPTER ENGINE IN AN INTELLIGENT CONTROL FRAMEWORK (NASA. Lewis Research Center) 15 p

N95-1597(

Unclas

63/06 0031427

Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Military, Government, and Aerospace Simulation sponsored by the Society for Computer Simulation San Diego, California, April 11–13, 1994



National Aeronautics and Space Administration



# SENSOR FAULT DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS SIMULATION OF A HELICOPTER ENGINE IN AN INTELLIGENT CONTROL FRAMEWORK

Jonathan Litt Vehicle Propulsion Directorate Army Research Laboratory Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

Mehmet Kurtkaya and Ahmet Duyar Mechanical Engineering Department Florida Atlantic University Boca Raton, FL 33431

# <u>ABSTRACT</u>

This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide early detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability.

The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs exceed threshold values, a fault is detected. The isolation of sensor failures is accomplished through a fault parameter isolation technique where parameters which model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable parameter estimation algorithm. The fault parameters and their patterns can then be analyzed for diagnostic and accommodation purposes.

The scheme is applied to the detection and diagnosis of sensor faults of a T700 turboshaft engine. Sensor failures are induced in a T700 nonlinear performance simulation and data obtained are used with the scheme to detect, isolate, and estimate the magnitude of the faults.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

On-line fault detection and diagnosis is an area with great potential for high payoff, especially in the battlefield environment. The ability to detect and isolate a fault as it happens allows a decision to be made immediately about the viability of the system and the likelihood of completing the mission. In a situation where the unavailability of a system may have much direr consequences than merely the loss of some information or capability, every possible piece of data helps in gaining the advantage.

Sensor malfunctions are particularly pernicious because they might cause a mission to be terminated when all systems are actually functioning properly. Prime examples of this come from the Space Shuttle where numerous sensor failures over the years have caused subsystem shutdowns and aborted launches at great expense as well as posing potential danger to the astronauts. It is well known that the variables in complex systems are often correlated and that this information can be used to detect and isolate incorrect sensor readings. This technique allows sensor failures to be isolated, and it allows lost or incorrect values to be recovered using the remaining valid measurements (DeLaat and Merrill 1984, Guo and Nurre 1991).

A proposed intelligent control system contains the sensor fault detection, isolation, and accommodation scheme coordinated with complementary schemes for the actuators and components (Figure 1). If the differences between the estimated and sensed system outputs exceed some threshold values, the fault detection logic will initiate a parameter estimation algorithm which determines the type and magnitude of the failure. This is achieved through the use of fault parameters, variables defined to convert the model from that of the fully functioning system to that of an impaired one as their values move off nominal. Once the fault is isolated, the control system will accommodate it if possible (Litt 1990).

The test bed for this research is the T700 turboshaft engine, which is used in pairs in the army's Blackhawk and Apache helicopters. It is a 1600 horsepower-class modular two-spool engine consisting of a gas generator and a free power turbine (Figure 2). In the simplified model used here there is one input: fuel flow,  $W_f$ . There are four measured output variables: gas generator speed,  $N_g$ , interturbine gas temperature,  $T_{45}$ , interturbine gas pressure,  $P_{45}$ , and power turbine torque output,  $Q_{PT}$ . Should any of the sensors fail, the engine would appear to be malfunctioning and, if the faulty measurement were fed back through the control system, the engine would operate off the design point.

# SENSOR FAULT DETECTION AND ISOLATION

The Sensor Fault Detection and Isolation scheme is developed using a fault model. A simplified linear perturbation model has been developed which switches between several point models for full envelope coverage (Duyar, Gu, and Litt 1992). The simplified model at an operating point is of the standard form

$$\begin{array}{l} x(k+1) \ = \ Ax(k) \ + \ Bu(k) \\ y(k) \ = \ Cx(k) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The variables in these point models are all normalized with their zero values corresponding to the operating point. We assume that the (A, B, C) realization of the system is in  $\alpha$ -canonical form (Eldem and Duyar 1993). Lack of space precludes a thorough presentation here, but, very briefly,

$$C = [0: H^{-1}] A = A_0 + KHC$$
(2)

where K is a deadbeat observer gain (Kwakernaak and Sivan 1972), and  $A_0$  is nilpotent, i.e.  $A_0^{\mu} = 0$  for some  $\mu > 0$ . There are several other requirements which are listed in the references.

The model is created by substituting the equation for A from (2) into (1), evolving (1) through time from 0 to k, and rearranging, thus

$$y(k) = CA_0^k x(0) + \sum_{i=1}^k CA_0^{i-1} [KH : B] \begin{bmatrix} y(k-i) \\ u(k-i) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The fact that  $A_0$  is nilpotent is important because it allows (3) to be simplified to

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} CA_0^{i-1} [KH : B] \begin{bmatrix} y(k-i) \\ u(k-i) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

when  $k \ge \mu$ . Once in this form, the unknown parameters can be identified using a least squares technique. This way the initial model is developed.

The sensor fault model is built on top of (1) in the form

$$\begin{array}{l} x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) \\ y_s(k) = F_s Cx(k) + f_{s0} \end{array}$$
(5)

where  $y_s(k)$  is the set of normalized sensor readings at time k. In the case where all sensors are fully functional,  $F_s$  is the identity matrix and  $f_{s0}$  is the zero vector, thus reducing (5) to (1). The diagonal matrix  $F_s$  accounts for changes in sensor gain while a non-zero  $f_{s0}$  represents bias errors. Substituting and rearranging as before

$$y_{s}(k) = F_{s}CA_{0}^{k}x(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{k}F_{s}CA_{0}^{i-1}KHF_{s}^{-1}f_{s0} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}F_{s}CA_{0}^{i-1}[KHF_{s}^{-1}:B]\begin{bmatrix}y_{s}(k-i)\\u(k-i)\end{bmatrix} + f_{s0} \qquad (6)$$

Using the nilpotency of  $A_0$  to simplify (6) we get

$$y_{s}(k) = f_{s0} - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} F_{s} C A_{0}^{i-1} K H F_{s}^{-1} f_{s0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} F_{s} C A_{0}^{i-1} \left[ K H F_{s}^{-1} : B \right] \begin{bmatrix} y_{s}(k-i) \\ u(k-i) \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

when  $k \ge \mu$ . Once a failure is detected, an on-line estimation technique calculates values for the fault parameters,  $f_{s0}$  and  $F_s$ . As long as  $F_s$  is nonsingular, the value of the parameters along with some simple logic provides an assessment of the type and magnitude of the error. In the case where  $F_s$ 

becomes singular, implying that the gain of a sensor became zero, a logical check can be used to determine which sensor has failed.

# SIMULATION SETUP

A full nonlinear simulation of the T700 turboshaft engine subjected to a load (Ballin 1988) is used in the simulation test bed to represent the engine in question (Kurtkaya 1992). The fault detection and hypothesis testing modules run in parallel with the nonlinear simulation as shown in Figure 1. The time step used is 60 ms. All programs are written in FORTRAN and are embedded in Model-C, a control analysis and simulation package.

Past controller outputs together with the sensor readings are fed through the fault detection model described by (7) and the resulting estimate of the set of sensed variables is compared to those received from the simulation at the current time step. The differences, or residuals, are checked against threshold values which, when exceeded, activate the fault detection scheme.

Initially, the system is assumed to be functioning normally, thus the value for  $F_s$  is identity and  $f_{s0}$  is the zero vector implying no multiplicative sensor gain error and no sensor bias, respectively. The fault detection scheme contains models appropriate for each type of failure, i.e. actuator, component, and sensor. Just as the sensor fault parameters are associated with the C matrix as shown in (5), the actuator fault parameters are associated with the B matrix of (1) and the component fault parameters are associated with the A matrix (Duyar *et al.* 1991). Fault models similar to (7) are derived for the actuator and component failures. When the residual exceeds the activation threshold, an on-line parameter estimation scheme is initiated which calculates the fault parameters, e.g.  $F_s$  and  $f_{s0}$  in the sensor case.

It is important to run the parameter estimation scheme using data from the impaired system so that the results are not skewed by pre-failure information. Therefore, the parameter estimation module begins collecting data only after the activation threshold is exceeded. A recursive least squares technique with a forgetting factor of 0.98 is used to compute the value of the fault parameters. The models of the actuator, component, and sensor failures are developed in parallel and the three sets of fault parameters are computed simultaneously.

At each time step the resulting fault parameters are passed to the hypothesis testing module, actually a battery of simple logical checks, which determines what fault occurred using the identified parameters. The logic assumes that only one failure occurs at a time. The result is checked by confirming that the selected model using the identified fault parameters produces a smaller sum of the squared errors (residuals) over a fixed time than do the other estimated models using their fault parameters. In this case a moving window of five data points was used for summing the squares of the residuals before comparing the models, resulting in a delay of at least 0.3 seconds (5 samples  $\times$  0.060 seconds/sample) before the error is isolated and its magnitude estimated. This data length is selected to produce reliable results in relatively few samples.

### **RESULTS**

Testing was performed at the 96% power level. Results for two types of faults are presented: gas generator speed sensor bias, and multiplicative gain error of the gas generator speed sensor.

For the first case, a sensor bias of 1.8% of nominal was introduced to the nonlinear simulation's gas generator speed sensor's output at the start of the run. As soon as the bias was added, the misinformation, which was fed back for control purposes, disrupted all of the other output variables and caused the engine to operate off of the design point. The fault parameters were first computed after the impaired system was sampled twice, i.e. 0.12 seconds after the threshold was exceeded. Figure 3 shows how the fault parameters corresponding to the multiplicative sensor gain converged immediately to their correct values of 1.0 as determined through recursive least squares, indicating no sensor gain failure. Figure 4, on the other hand, shows that the bias on the N<sub>g</sub> sensor converged to approximately 0.018 while the other bias values stayed within the normal expected variation around zero. Figure 5 shows the results of the hypothesis testing using the fault parameters determined for each type of failure. The sums of the squared errors over a five sample moving window for each type of failure were computed beginning with the sample after the fault parameters had been first estimated, i.e. 0.18seconds after the threshold was exceeded. It can be seen that the sensor fault parameters provided a much better match to the simulated failure than either the actuator or component faults parameters did.

For the second case, the original unity gain of the  $N_g$  sensor was scaled by a multiplicative gain of 0.1 at the start of the run. Figure 6 shows the multiplicative gain fault parameters as determined by the recursive least squares technique from past data. It took significantly longer to converge than the previous example did but eventually the parameter corresponding to the  $N_g$  sensor's gain converged to about 0.1 while the other three hovered near 1.0. Figure 7 displays the estimated values of the bias parameters, which, after some initial hunting, converged to approximately 0.0.

The maximum time it takes to achieve a good identification depends upon how quickly the fault parameters converge, which can vary from almost instantaneously to several seconds as demonstrated by the examples. In general, however, with the combination of logic used to examine the fault parameters and the windowed residual information, it is usually possible to identify a failure and estimate its magnitude in a short period of time.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

A scheme to detect, isolate, identify and estimate the type and magnitude of sensor faults in a T700 turboshaft engine has been developed and demonstrated. It is an integral part of a proposed Intelligent Control System.

The injection of a fault caused the residual to exceed the threshold value and trigger the identification scheme. Once running, the scheme produced accurate results, usually in under a second. The identified fault parameters can be used in the state equation to cancel the bias or eliminate the incorrect gain, thereby accommodating the sensor faults and allowing the closed loop system to run as if unimpaired.

#### <u>REFERENCES</u>

Ballin, M. G. 1988. "A High Fidelity Real-Time Simulation of a Small Turboshaft Engine." NASA Technical Memorandum 100991.

DeLaat, J. D.; W. C. Merrill. 1984. "A Real-Time Implementation of an Advanced Sensor Failure Detection, Isolation, and Accommodation Algorithm." NASA Technical Memorandum 83553.

Duyar A.; Z. Gu; and J. S. Litt. 1992. "A Simplified Dynamic Model of the T700 Turboshaft Engine." NASA Technical Memorandum 105805.

Duyar, A.; T.-H. Guo; W. Merrill; and J. Musgrave. 1991. "Implementation of a Model Based Fault Detection and Diagnosis Technique for Actuation Faults of the Space Shuttle Main Engine." NASA Technical Memorandum 105781.

Eldem, V.; and A. Duyar. 1993. "Parametrization of Multivariable Systems Using Output Injections: Alpha Canonical Forms," *Automatica* 29, No. 4: 1127-1131.

Guo, T. -H.; J. Nurre. 1991. "Sensor Failure Detection and Recovery by Neural Networks." NASA Technical Memorandum 104484.

Kurtkaya, M. H. 1992. "Fault Detection and Diagnosis of the T700 Helicopter Engine." Master's Thesis, Mechanical Engineering Department, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL.

Kwakernaak, H., and R. Sivan. 1972. Linear Optimal Control Systems. Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, 527.

Litt, J. 1990. "An Expert System to Perform On-Line Controller Restructuring for Abrupt Model Changes." NASA Technical Memorandum 103609.



Figure 1. Model Based Fault Detection and Diagnosis Scheme

7



Figure 2. Cross section of a T700 turboshaft engine

ø





Figure 4. Estimates corresponding to a 1.8% bias in the Ng sensor output





Figure 6. Estimates corresponding to a 0.1 multiplicative gain in the Ng sensor



Figure 7. Estimates corresponding to a 0.1 multiplicative gain in the Ng sensor

Pail: analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         A CARL AND STATE       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         A CARL AND STATE       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         A CARL AND STATE       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         A CARL AND SUBTILE       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis       Pail: Analysis         A CHING (S)       Jonathan Litr, Mchmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar       Pail: Pail: Analysis       Pail: Pail: Analysis       Pail: Pai	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (LAWE DURING       2. REPORT DATE       1. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED         1. ACCURATE AND SUBTILE       1. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED       Technical Memorandum         4. TITLE AND SUBTILE       1. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED       Technical Memorandum         4. TITLE AND SUBTILE       1. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED       1. Report Type AND DATES COVERED         3. FUNCING INMARCENT CONTROL TO AND PROPERTY OF AND DATES COVERED       1. Report Type AND DATES COVERED       1. Report Type AND DATES COVERED         3. AUTHOR(S)       Jonathan Lit, Mehmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar       1. LIGITO2ATHAS       1. LIGITO2ATHAS         3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       1. SPONSORMIGAMONTOFING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)             1. SAME ARCHARDANT SE M	Public reporting burden for this collection of gathering and maintaining the data needed, collection of Information, including suggestion Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2	Information is estimated to average 1 hour per and completing and reviewing the collection to ns for reducing this burden, to Washington He 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management	or response, including the time for ru f information. Send comments rega adquarters Services, Directorate for and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	eviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, arding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.	
November 1994       Technical Memorandum         A TTLE AND SUBTILE       5. FUNDING RUMBERS         Seasor Fault Detection and Diagnosis Simulation of a Helicopter Engine in an Intelligent Control Framework       5. FUNDING RUMBERS         #. AUTHOR(\$)       WU-505-62-0X         Jonathan Litr, Mehmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(\$)         NASA Lewis Research Center       Report Number         Cheehad, Obio 44135-3191       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(\$)         MASA Lewis Research Center       Report Number         Spendson Dimotorine       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         Mada and Spece Administration       B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(\$)         Mada and Research Center       Report Number         10. SPONSORINGAMONTORINA AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(\$)       10. SPONSORINGAMONTORINA AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(\$)         National Acroaustics and Spece Administration       Washingen, D. C. 2054-000         Mada and       Spece Administration         Mashingen, D. C. 2054-000       10. SPONSORINGAMONTORINA AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(\$)         NAS Kawing Needer Laworacy, Mada Admine University, Mechanical Enginesis Libratory, NASA Lewis Research Center         NASKA Kawing Needer Laworacy       10. SPONSORINGAMONTORINA AGENCY NAME(\$)         13. METRET (Mastimum 2000 words) <td>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan</td> <td>k) 2. REPORT DATE</td> <td>3. REPORT TYPE AN</td> <td>ID DATES COVERED</td>	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan	k) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	ID DATES COVERED	
4. THE AND SUBTILE     5. FUNDING NUMBERS       Senser Fault Detection and Diagnosis Simulation of a Helicopter Engine in an Intelligent Control Framework     5. FUNDING NUMBERS       6. AUTHOR(S)     Jonathan Litt, Mehmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar     7. PERFORTING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     8. PERFORTING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. Solve Status Research Laboratory     E-9237     10. SPONSORING.GMONITORING AGENEV NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       Nishal Arenomutics and Space Administration     Wahingon, D.C. 20364-0001     10. SPONSORING.MONITORING AGENEV NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       Nishal Arenomutics and Space Administration     Wahingon, D.C. 20364-0001     10. SPONSORING.MONITORING AGENEV NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       Nishal Arenomutics and Space Administration     Wahingon, D.C. 20364-0001     NASA TM-106784       11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     Fraperd for the 1994 Conference of Military, Government, and Aeroipsee Simulation sponsored by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, Admine Diagnosis Action, Eodd 33431 (work fanded by NASA Gam NGC-11958, Perpensible perm, Doublest, Uscala, Machalo Laberty, Mechanical Engineesing Department, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (work fanded by NASA Gam NGC-11958, Perpensible perm, Doublest, Statisting and Almot Duyar, Poinda Admite Laiverstati, Mechanical Engineesing Department, Boca Raton, Florida 3441 (work fanded by NGC-11958, Perpensible		November 1994	T	echnical Memorandum	
Sensor Fault Detection and Diagnosis Simulation of a Helicopter Engine in an Intelligent Control Framework     WU-505-62-0X ILL61102AH45       4. AUTHOR(5)     UN-505-62-0X ILL61102AH45       Jonathan Litt, Mchmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar     PERFORTING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)       7. PERFORTING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)     PERFORTING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)       Vehice Proplation Directoria     E-9237       US-SAmy Research Laboratory     E-9237       Caevada, (bit 44135-3191     E-9237       0. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENEY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)     NASA TM-106784       National Arcenture on Space Administration     Washington, D.C. 2054-0001       and     U.S. Amy Research Laboratory     NASA TM-106784       Adelpki, Mayana 20783-1145     NASA TM-106784       11. SUPPLEMENTARY WOYES     NASA TM-106784       Physical 20783-1145     NASA Grain NAG3-11939, Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lit, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748, (vork finedal by NASA Grain NAG3-1193), Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lit, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748, (vork finedal by NASA Grain NAG3-1193), Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lit, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748, (vork finedal by NASA Grain NAG3-1193), Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lit, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748, (vork finedal by NASA Grain NAG3-1193), Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lit, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748, (vork finedal by NASA Grain NAG3-1193), Responsible person, Jonathan	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
AUTHOR(S)    Jonathan Litt, Mehmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar    PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)    NASA Lewis Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   ad   Valide Propulsion Directoriae   U.S. Amy Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   ad   Valide Propulsion Directoriae   U.S. Amy Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   ad   Valide Propulsion Directoriae   U.S. Amy Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   ad   Valide Propulsion Directoriae   U.S. Amy Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   ad   Valide Propulsion Directoriae   U.S. Amy Research Laboratory   Crevelad, Oix 4413-5191   SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES   Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Milliary, Government, and Acrospace Simulation spontored by the Society of Computer Simulation, San Digo,   Calfornia, AND-1990, Responsible preva, Oixing Altania: University, Mechanical Engineering Deparateme, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (work finded by   NASA T M-106784   ARL-TR-637   The Schem utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide carry   Maka Carn NAG-1990, Responsible preva, Outlanda, Altania: University, Mechanical Engineering Deparatore, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (work finded by   NASA T M-106784   ARL-TR-637   The Schem utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide carry   detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with the alter control system with the alter control system with the alter control system with the analyzed for diagnostic and accommodation of faults, reduce maintenee cost,   and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of   an engine whose sons out is is functioning normality. If the differences between the real and expected outputs oreal diagnostic an accommodation	Sensor Fault Detection and Intelligent Control Framew	d Diagnosis Simulation of a Hel vork	icopter Engine in an	NH 505 (8 AN	
Jonathan Litt, Mehmet Kurtkaya, and Ahmet Duyar     Intervention       7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)     a. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)       NASA Lasis Research Center     E-9237       Checkand, Ohio 44135-3191     E-9237       9. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY MAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)     Ib. SPONSORINGADONTORING AGENCY MAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5)       National Accessuries and Space Administration     Washingen, D.C. 2036-0001       and     U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       List, Mary Research Laboratory     ARE -TR-637       Addeph, Maryland 2078-1145     NASA TM-106784       ARL -TARY NOTE!     NASA TM-106784       The UPPLEMENTARY NOTE!     Nearbank S. List, Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Amy Research Laboratory, NASA Levin Research Center, Mehmet Kinkaya and Ahmed Duyar, Florida Adantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Bock Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NG-119). Research Laboratory, NASA Levin Research Center, Mehmet Kinkaya and Ahmed Duyar, Florida Adantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Bock Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NG-119). Research Laboratory, UASA Center NG-11918. Research Center, Mehmet Kinkaya and Almed Duyar, Florida Adantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Bock Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NG-11918). Research Center, Mehmet Kinkaya and Almed Duyar, Florida Xiattic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Bock Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NG-11918). Responinte prorinon provide early detection, isolation of a	6. AUTHOR(S)			WU = 505 = 62 = 0X 11 161102 $\Delta H = 45$	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       NASA Lewis Research Laboratory     8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       Venice Propulsion Directorate     8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       U.S. Amy Research Laboratory     8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION       S. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     10. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       National Accounties and Space Administration     Mathington, D.C. 2054-0001       and     U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       Addept. Maynual 2078-31145     NASA TW-106784       11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTE     NASA TAM-106784       Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Millary, Government, and Aeropace Simulation spontoed by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-31, 1994. Jonathan S. Lit, Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, NASA Lewire Research Caney, Markay and Almer Davar, FloridA Alattic University, Machanical Binginority Department, Booke Rateon, Florida 33431 (verk funded by NASA Gram NAG3-1145)       12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT     12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE       Unclassified - Unlimited     Subject Category 06       3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)     This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine.       13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)     The scheme with sealth monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system with health monitoring cap	Jonathan Litt, Mehmet Ku				
Weake Propulsion Directorate     E-9237       Weake Propulsion Directorate     U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       Cleveland, Olio 44135-3191     I. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       National Accounties and Space Administration     Washington, D.C. 20546-0001       and     U.S. Amy Research Laboratory       Adelphi, Mayland 20783-1145     NASA TM-106784       11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Milliary, Government, and Aerospace Simulation sponsored by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-13, 1994 Londaha S. Lit, Vahide Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Amy Research Laboratory, NASA Lewis, Research Center, Memet Kunkaya and Ahmet Duyar, Plorida Atlantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Boca Raton, Florida 3331 (verk funded by NASA Grant, NAG3-1998, Responsible person, Jonahan S. Lit, verginization code 2550, (216) 433-3748.       12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT     12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE       Unclassified - Unlimited     Subject Category 06       13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)     This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time integral part of a proposed integrating which can provide early detectorin, isolation, and diagnosis of fallures. It is an integral part of a proposed integrating which can provide early detectoring easystem availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suit is functioning normally. If the diff	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)     8       NASA Lewis Research Center     6       Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191     44135–3191			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(§) AND ADDRESS(ES)       10. SPONSORING/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(§) AND ADDRESS(ES)         National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 2034-0001 and U.S. Amy Research Laboratory Adelphi, Maryland 20785-1145       10. SPONSORING/MONTORING AREA TRACESSTORE         11. SUPLEMENTARY NOTES Mome Environment, and Aerospace Simulation sponsoral by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-13, 1994. Jonathan S. Litt, Vehicle Proposition Directorate, U.S. Amry Research Laboratory, NASA Lewis Research Center, Mome Knewsya and Amme Duyar, Piorida Atamic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Book Raton, Fiorda 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NAG5-1199). Responsible person, Jonathan S. Litt, organization code 2530, (216) 433-3748.         12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 06       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       11 the sing and part Bolication of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide carly detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and encrease system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of a mathemate cast. and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of a T700 turboshaft engine. Sensor failures are induced in a T700 nonlinear performance simulation and data obtained are used with the scheme to de	Vehicle Propulsion Directorate U.S. Army Research Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191			E–9237	
National Accession and Space Administration       AGENCY REPORT NUMBER         Washington, D.C. 20546-000       NASA TM-106784         ARL-TR-637       ARL-TR-637         Adaphi, Maryland 20783-1145       NASA TM-106784         11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       NASA TM-106784         Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Miliary, Government, and Aerospace Simulation sponsored by the Society for Comparer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-13, 1994, Jonathan S. Lin, Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, NASA Lewis Research Center; Memer Kurkaya and Ahmet Dayar, Florida Atlantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Boas Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NAG3-1198). Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lin, organization code 2550, (210) 433-3748.         12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 06       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       11b. State and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the regine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs of an engine which model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable parameter swhich model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable param- teter estimation algorithm. The fault p	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10.			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING	
and U.S. Amy Research Laboratory Addphi, Maryland 20783–1145     NASA 1M-106/784 ARL-TR-637       11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for he 1994 Conference of Milliary, Government, and Aerospace Simulation sponsored by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-13, 1994. Jonathan S. Litt, Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Amy Research Laboratory, NASA Lewis Research Center, Mehmet Kunkya and Ahmet Duyar, Florida Aludiu Cluiversity, Mechanical Engineering Department, Boca Raton, Florida 3431 (work funded by NASA Grant NAG3–1198). Responsible person, Jonathan S. Litt, organization code 2550, (216) 433–3748.       12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT     12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE       Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 06     12b. DISTRIBUTION code       13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)     11is paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide cardly detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs of thershold values, a fault is detected. The isolation of sensor faultres is accomplished through a fault parameter isolation technique where parameters which model the fault parameters and their patterns can then be analyzed for diagnostic and accommodation purposes. The scheme is applied to the detecti	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546–0001				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       Prepared for the 1994 Conference of Military, Government, and Aerospace Simulation spensored by the Society for Computer Simulation, San Diego, California, April 11-13, 1994. Jonathan S. Liu, Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, NASA Lewis Research Center, Mehment Kurkaya and Ahmen Duyar, Florida Atlantic University, Mechanical Engineering Department, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (work funded by NASA Grant NAG3-1198). Responsible person, Jonathan S. Lin, organization code 2550, (216) 433-3743.         12a. DISTRIBUTIONWAVAILABILITY STATEMENT       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide early detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs of the engine whose anor suite is a functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs of the sensor faults of a fault parameter isolation technique where parameters which model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable parameter scheme is applied to the detection and diagnosis of sensor faults of a TO0 turboshaft engine. Sensor failures are induced in a T700 nonlinear performance simulation and data obtained are used	U.S. Army Research Laboratory Adelphi, Maryland 207831145			NASA TM-106784 ARL-TR-637	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)       This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide early detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs exceed threshold values, a fault is detected. The isolation of sensor failures is accomplished through a fault parameter isolation technique where parameters which model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable parameter estimation algorithm. The fault parameters and their patterns can then be analyzed for diagnostic and accommodation purposes. The scheme is applied to the detection and diagnosis of sensor faults of a T700 turboshaft engine. Sensor failures are induced in a T700 nonlinear performance simulation and data obtained are used with the scheme to detect, isolate, and estimate the magnitude of the faults.       14. SUBJECT TERMS     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       Fault detection; Sensors; T700 engine; Control systems     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       Unclassified     Unclassified     19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <th>NASA Grant NAG3-1198). Resp 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 06</th> <th>ya, Fionda Adamuc Oniversity, Mecha ponsible person, Jonathan S. Litt, organi STATEMENT</th> <th>incar Engineering Department, zation code 2550, (216) 433–3</th> <th>748. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</th>	NASA Grant NAG3-1198). Resp 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 06	ya, Fionda Adamuc Oniversity, Mecha ponsible person, Jonathan S. Litt, organi STATEMENT	incar Engineering Department, zation code 2550, (216) 433–3	748. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
This paper presents an application of a fault detection and diagnosis scheme for the sensor faults of a helicopter engine. The scheme utilizes a model-based approach with real time identification and hypothesis testing which can provide early detection, isolation, and diagnosis of failures. It is an integral part of a proposed intelligent control system with health monitoring capabilities. The intelligent control system will allow for accommodation of faults, reduce maintenance cost, and increase system availability. The scheme compares the measured outputs of the engine with the expected outputs of an engine whose sensor suite is functioning normally. If the differences between the real and expected outputs exceed threshold values, a fault is detected. The isolation of sensor failures is accomplished through a fault parameter isolation technique where parameters which model the faulty process are calculated on-line with a real-time multivariable parameter estimation algorithm. The fault parameters and their patterns can then be analyzed for diagnostic and accommodation purposes. The scheme is applied to the detection and diagnosis of sensor faults of a T700 turboshaft engine. Sensor failures are induced in a T700 nonlinear performance simulation and data obtained are used with the scheme to detect, isolate, and estimate the magnitude of the faults.       14. SUBJECT TERMS     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       Fault detection; Sensors; T700 engine; Control systems     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT     18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE     19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT       0r REPORT     Unclassified     19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	ds)			
14. SUBJECT TERMS     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       Fault detection; Sensors; T700 engine; Control systems     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       15. NUMBER OF PAGES     15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15.	This paper presents an appl The scheme utilizes a mode detection, isolation, and dia monitoring capabilities. Th and increase system availal an engine whose sensor sui threshold values, a fault is of technique where parameter eter estimation algorithm. purposes. The scheme is ap failures are induced in a T7 isolate, and estimate the ma	lication of a fault detection and e el-based approach with real time agnosis of failures. It is an integ he intelligent control system will bility. The scheme compares the te is functioning normally. If the detected. The isolation of senso s which model the faulty process The fault parameters and their p pplied to the detection and diagr (00 nonlinear performance simula agnitude of the faults.	diagnosis scheme for the e identification and hypor ral part of a proposed inf l allow for accommodation e measured outputs of the differences between the r failures is accomplishe s are calculated on-line we atterns can then be analy nosis of sensor faults of a lation and data obtained a	sensor faults of a helicopter engine. thesis testing which can provide early telligent control system with health on of faults, reduce maintenance cost, e engine with the expected outputs of e real and expected outputs exceed d through a fault parameter isolation with a real-time multivariable param- zed for diagnostic and accommodation a T700 turboshaft engine. Sensor are used with the scheme to detect,	
14. SUBJECT TERMS     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       Fault detection; Sensors; T700 engine; Control systems     15. NUMBER OF PAGES       16. PRICE CODE     A03       17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT     18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE     19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       Unclassified     Unclassified     Unclassified     Unclassified					
Fault detection; Sensors; T700 engine; Control systems     15.     16. PRICE CODE     A03     17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT   19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE     Unclassified   Unclassified   Unclassified   20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	14. SUBJECT TERMS	15. NUMBER OF PAGES			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT     18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE     19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT       Unclassified     Unclassified     Unclassified     20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	Fault detection; Sensors; T	16. PRICE CODE A03			
Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA OF ABSTRACT	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		

1997 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997