1995116585

N95-23002



SUPERSYMMETRIC OSCILLATOR IN OPTICS

Sergey M. Chumakov Kurt Bernardo Wolf Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Apartado Postal 139-B, 62191, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México

Abstract

We show that the supersymmetric structure (in the sense of supersymmetric quantum mechanics) appears in Helmholtz optics describing light propagation in waveguides. For the case of elliptical waveguides, with the accuracy of paraxial approximation it admits a simple physical interpretation. The supersymmetry connects light beams of different colors. The difference in light frequencies for the supersymmetric beams is determined by the transverse gradient of the refractive index. These beams have the same wavelength in the propagation direction and can form a stable interference pattern.

1 Introduction

There is a correspondence between quantum mechanics and optics in the paraxial regime [1] and in the global one [2]. Consequently, many notions can be transported from one to the other, such as coherent and squeezed states (see, e.g., Ref. [3, 4]). Here we describe an optical system that exhibits supersymmetry in the sense of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM).

Supersymmetry in quantum mechanics connects two Hamiltonians with the same spectrum except for the ground state (unbroken supersymmetry [5], see [6] and references therein.) SUSY has been successfully applied in atomic [7] and nuclear physics [8]. The supersymmetric form of the Dirac equation in an external field [9, 10, 11] presents the Dirac equation as the square root of the Klein-Gordon equation; the kind of supersymmetry we have here is analogous and finds the square root of the Helmholtz equation. The supersymmetric structure of Helmholtz optics describes light propagation in a waveguide and admits a very clear physical interpretation.

We consider optical waveguides along the z-axis, *i.e.*, media that are inhomogeneous only in the x direction. From the wave equation in 2+1 dimensions for solutions of time frequency ν we have the Helmholtz equation

$$\left[\partial_{z}^{2} + \partial_{x}^{2} + \nu^{2} n^{2}(x)/c^{2}\right] f(x, z) = 0.$$
⁽¹⁾

In Section 2 we present the supersymmetric structure of Eq. (1), in Section 3 a physical reinterpretation, and some concluding remarks in Section 4. Note that until Section 3 we do not use the paraxial approximation.

2 Supersymmetric structure

We start with a system of two first-order equations for a two-component wave function of the x and z-coordinates,

$$\partial_{z} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1} \\ \Psi_{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ik & v_{+} \\ v_{-} & -ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1} \\ \Psi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2)$$

where k is a constant and

$$v_{\pm} \equiv \pm \partial_x + W(x),$$

with an arbitrary function W(x). The second z-derivative involves the square of this 2×2 matrix,

$$\partial_z^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} -k^2 + v_+ v_- & 0 \\ 0 & -k^2 + v_- v_+ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore we have two different Helmholtz equations for the components:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{z}^{2} + \partial_{x}^{2} + k^{2} - W_{x} - W^{2} \end{bmatrix} \Psi_{1} = 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{z}^{2} + \partial_{x}^{2} + k^{2} + W_{x} - W^{2} \end{bmatrix} \Psi_{2} = 0.$$
 (3)

where $W_x \equiv dW/dx$. Now, for a given wavenumber κ in z direction that is common to both wavefunctions, we write

$$\Psi_{1,2}(x,z) = \Phi_{1,2}(x)e^{-i\kappa z}.$$
(4)

Equations (3) then become the eigenvalue equations for the components,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 - W_x + W^2 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} k^2 - \kappa^2 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_1, \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + W_x + W^2 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} k^2 - \kappa^2 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_2.$$
(5)

Now we introduce the supersymmetric structure by considering the component Φ_1 as representing the 'boson' and Φ_2 the 'fermion' sectors of the supersymmetric Hamiltonian eigenfunctions. The supercharge operators are

$$Q_{-} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ v_{+} & 0 \end{array}\right), \qquad Q_{+} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & v_{-} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

The supersymmetric Hamiltonian, defined as the anticommutator of the two supercharges, is

$$H_{s} = \{Q_{-}, Q_{+}\} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{-}v_{+} & 0\\ 0 & v_{+}v_{-} \end{pmatrix}, \quad [H_{s}, Q_{\pm}] = 0.$$

The eigenvalue equations for H_{s} are then

$$v_{-}v_{+}\Phi_{1} = \left(k^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)\Phi_{1},$$
$$v_{+}v_{-}\Phi_{2} = \left(k^{2} - \kappa^{2}\right)\Phi_{2}.$$

These equations coincide with Eqs. (5). Operators v_-v_+ and v_+v_- have the same spectrum except for the ground state, which has to be a normalizable solution of one of the two equations $v_+\phi_1 = 0$ or $v_-\phi_2 = 0$. Therefore, SUSY connects solutions of Helmholtz equations of two different waveguides with index profiles

$$\nu^2 n^2(x)/c^2 = k^2 \mp W_x - W^2. \tag{6}$$

3 Physical Reinterpretation

We now reinterpret these formulas in another way to describe two *different* beams in the *same* waveguide. We choose

$$W(x) = w x. \tag{7}$$

The eigenvalue equations (5) are then two Schrödinger equations for harmonic oscillators with displaced energy levels,

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + w^2 x^2\right] \Phi_{1,2} = \left(k^2 \mp w - \kappa^2\right) \Phi_{1,2}.$$
(8)

Meanwhile, seen as Helmholtz equations, Eqs. (8) correspond to

$$\nu^2 n^2(x)/c^2 = k^2 \mp w - w^2 x^2, \tag{9}$$

with the same refractive index n(x). In the absence of material dispersion, n is independent of ν . This is an *elliptic* index-profile waveguide; it approximates the usual parabolic index-profile waveguide in the paraxial regime [12]. The eigenvalues of the operator on the left of (8) are well known to be

$$E_m = w(2m+1), \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (10)

We now find conditions for a supersymmetric pair of Helmholtz solutions propagating in the same waveguide. Into this waveguide $n^2(x) = n_0^2 - n_1^2 x^2$ we inject two light beams with slightly different time frequencies

$$\nu_{1,2}^2 = \nu_0^2 (1 \mp \epsilon)$$

To fulfill Eqs. (9), we substitute $k = n_0 \nu_0/c$, $w = n_1 \nu_0/c$ and find the conditions

$$\epsilon = \frac{n_1}{n_0 k} = \frac{w}{k^2}, \qquad \frac{\nu_2^2 - \nu_1^2}{\nu_0^2} = 2\epsilon.$$
(11)

This determines the frequency shift in terms of the transverse index profile of the waveguide.

From the standard quantum harmonic oscillator solution we know that the Gaussian beam width in x-direction is $\Delta x = (2w)^{-1/2}$. Therefore, the parameter

$$\epsilon = [2k^2(\Delta x)^2]^{-1} \sim (\text{wavelength/beam width})^2$$

should be small. The two light beams with the frequencies $\nu_{1,2}$ obey the Helmholtz equations with

$$\nu_{1,2}^2 n^2(x)/c^2 = k^2 \mp w - w^2 x^2 (1 \mp \epsilon).$$
(12)

When $\epsilon \to 0$, these two Helmholtz equations become a supersymmetric pair that is slightly broken by the term $\epsilon w^2 x^2$.

It is easy to see that SUSY has the same accuracy as the paraxial approximation. Solution of the Helmholtz equation (1) with the term (12) (compare with Eqs. (8)) shows that the z-propagation wavenumbers of the two beams are

$$\kappa_{1,2}^2(m) = k^2 - w[(1 \pm \epsilon)(2m+1) \pm 1], \qquad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

or

$$\frac{\kappa_{1,2}(m)}{k} \simeq 1 - \epsilon \left(m + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left(m + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mp \epsilon^2 \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \cdots$$

The term of order $\epsilon = w/k^2$ exhibits exact SUSY; except for the ground state $\kappa_2(0) = k$, the z-wavenumbers of the two beams coincide

$$\kappa_1(m-1) = \kappa_2(m) + O(\epsilon^2), \qquad m = 1, 2, \cdots,$$
(13)

The two terms of order ϵ^2 respectively give a nonlinear correction to the paraxial approximation and break supersymmetry. Therefore, SUSY is exact in the paraxial approximation and is broken beyond this regime.

Thus, supersymmetry (13) connects light beams of different frequencies $\nu_{1,2}^2$ in the same waveguide [cf. Eq. (11)], but having the same wavelength $2\pi/\kappa$ in the propagation direction z [Eq. (4)]. These two beams form a stable interference pattern along the waveguide axis.

4 Conclusions

We have considered the propagation of light in a planar waveguide ruled by the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation. Two Helmholtz equations with different refractive indices (3) form a super-symmetric pair in the sense of SUSY QM.

Supersymmetry also describes the propagation of light beams of different colors in the same waveguide when the transversal index profile is elliptic. This profile determines the difference of light frequencies. SUSY is exact in the paraxial approximation, and broken beyond. In the paraxial regime, supersymmetric light beams of different colors have the same wavelength along the axis of the waveguide, giving rise to a stable interference pattern.

5 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. V.V. Semenov (Institute of Spectroscopy, Russian Academy of Sciences, Troitsk) for a useful discussion, and Drs. D.D. Holm (Los Alamos National Laboratory) and V.P. Karassiov (P.N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Moscow) for their interest in this work.

References

- [1] M. Nazarathy and J. Shamir, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 70, 150 (1980).
- [2] V.I. Man'ko and K.B. Wolf, in: Lie Methods in Optics II, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 352. Ed. by K.B. Wolf (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1989), p. 163.
- [3] E.V. Kurmyshev and K.B. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A, 47, 3365 (1993).
- [4] P.W. Milonni, in: Coherence Phenomena in Atoms and Molecules in Laser fields, Ed. by A.D. Bandrauk and S.C. Wallace (Plenum Press, New York, 1992), p. 45.
- [5] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B, 185, 513 (1981).
- [6] Supersymmetry in Physics, Ed. by V.A. Kostelecký and D.K. Campbell (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985).

- [7] V.A. Kostelecký and M.M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. A, 32, 1293 (1985).
- [8] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett., 44, 772 (1980); L. Urrutia and E. Hernández, Phys. Rev. Lett., 51, 755 (1983).
- [9] J. Gamboa and J. Zanelly, J. Phys. A, 21, L283 (1988).
- [10] M. Moshinsky and A. Szczepaniak, J. Phys. A, 22, L817 (1989);
 J. Benítez et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 64, 1643 (1990).
- [11] V.V. Semenov, J. Phys. A, 23, L721 (1990).
- [12] M. Lax, W.H. Louisell and W.B. McKnight, Phys. Rev. A, 11, 1365 (1975); D. Stoler, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 71, 334 (1981).