1N-34 42842 P-12

NASA Technical Memorandum 106869 ICOMP-95-5; AIAA-95-0681

Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline

S.H. Shih and R. Hixon Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

Reda R. Mankbadi National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio (NASA-TM-106869) THREE-DIMENSIONAL N95-23220 STRUCTURE IN A SUPERSONIC JET: BEHAVIOR NEAR CENTERLINE (NASA. Lewis Research Center) 12 p Unclas

G3/34 0042842

Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Reno, Nevada, January 9–12, 1995



National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline

S. H. Shih* and D. R. Hixon* Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

chanics in Propulsion COLOR ILLUSTRATIONS rch Center 44135

ORIGINAL CONTAINS

R. R. Mankbadi** NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Abstract

The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simulating a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that a near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.

1. Introduction

Jet noise suppression has become a critical issue for the development of high speed civil transport plane. The jet noise is generated by the time dependent flow fluctuations in the near field which are associated with pressure fluctuations that propagate to the far field producing the radiated sound. Experiments have shown that the measured sound fields appear to emanate from a region about 10 diameters downstream of the nozzle exit [1]. This noise-producing initial region of the jet is characterized by a large scale vortical structure and can be viewed as having a wavelike nature. It is believed that the large scale structure is more efficient than the small scale structure in radiating sound [1-5]. This indicates that the initial development of the jet should be clearly resolved so that an accurate noise prediction can be made. The near flow field is described by the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations. However, direct numerical simulation can not resolve all scales of motion for high Reynolds number flows. It is appropriate to perform large-eddy simulations to accurately capture the large scales of motion while modelling the sub-grid scale turbulence.

The use of large-eddy simulations (LES) as a tool for prediction of the jet noise source has been proposed by Mankbadi et al. [6]. Not only the mean flow must be calculated accurately, but also the physical flow fluctuations must be accurately predicted since the sound source is given in terms of the flow fluctuations. Since the computational domain is usually finite, the numerical boundary conditions can generate spurious modes that render the computed flow fluctuations totally unacceptable. In simulating a circular jet, the centerline (r=0) represents a computational boundary. Boundary condition for axisymmetric mean flow is obvious. However, the unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, even though the mean flow is axisymmetric (see, for instance, Mankbadi [5], Michalke [7]). As such, spurious modes can be generated near the centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the three dimensional structure near the centerline, which is the subject of the present work.

The numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates requires the proper treatment of the discretized equations at the centerline. In axisymmetric jet flow, Mankbadi et al [6] derived a new set of equations at the centerline from the original equations using L'Hospitals rule to circumvent numerical problems associated with the geometric singularity in the formulation. In the present study, three approaches to the centerline treatment are considered, namely, asymptotic, averaging and interior points approaches. The effect of sub-grid scale turbulence stresses is not taken into account in this study to avoid any uncertainty from the tur-

^{*} Senior research associate, Member AIAA

^{**} Senior scientist and technical leader, CAA, Associate fellow AIAA

bulence models. A supersonic jet with Mach number =

1.5, Reynolds number = 1.27×10^6 based on the nozzle exit diameter and jet centerline parameters is considered. The outer stream is 0.25 of the jet exit velocity, and the jet temperature ratio is 0.5. Time-harmonic disturbances are imposed at the inflow boundary of the jet, and the subsequent development of disturbances are examined.

2. Governing Equations

The flow field of a supersonic jet is governed by the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, which can be written in cylindrical coordinates as

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rG) + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = S$$
(1)

where

$$Q = \left[\rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho w, \rho E\right]^{T}$$
(2)

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ p + \rho u^2 - \sigma_{xx} \\ \rho u v - \sigma_{xr} \\ \rho u w - \sigma_{x\phi} \\ \rho u I - u \sigma_{xx} - v \sigma_{xr} - w \sigma_{x\phi} - k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho u v - \sigma_{xr} \\ p + \rho v^2 - \sigma_{rr} \\ \rho v w - \sigma_{r\phi} \\ \rho v I - u \sigma_{xr} - v \sigma_{rr} - w \sigma_{r\phi} - k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \rho w \\ \rho u w - \sigma_{x\phi} \\ \rho v w - \sigma_{r\phi} \\ p + \rho w^2 - \sigma_{\phi\phi} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\rho w I - u \sigma_{x\phi} - v \sigma_{r\phi} - w \sigma_{\phi\phi} - k \frac{\partial T}{\partial \phi}$

$$S = \frac{1}{r} \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p + \rho w^2 - \sigma_{\phi \phi} \\ -\rho v w + \sigma_{r \phi} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$$
(6)

Here Q is the unknown vector, F, G, and H are the fluxes in the x, r, and ϕ directions, respectively; S is the source term that arises in cylindrical polar coordinates; and k is thermal conductivity. The total enthalpy is I, the total energy is E, and σ_{ij} are the viscous stresses. This system of equations is coupled with the equation of state for a perfect gas.

3. Numerical Scheme

The importance of the dispersion and dissipation of a given scheme, which used in connection with computational aeroacoustics, were highlighted by Hardin [8]. Both effects are crucial in computational aeroacoustics, and can render the computed unsteady part of the solution completely unacceptable. As such, high-order accurate schemes are required for problems in computational aeroacoustics.

A fourth-order accurate in space, second-order accurate in time scheme is used, which is an extension of the MacCormack scheme by Gottlieb and Turkel [9]. Mankbadi et al. [6] used this scheme to study the structure of axisymmetric supersonic jet flow and its radiated sound. Ragab and Sheen [10], and Farouk, Oran and Kailasanath [11] have also successfully applied this scheme for the study of nonlinear instability problems in plane shear layers. Sankar, Reddy and Hariharan [12] performed a comparative study of various numerical schemes for aeroacoustics applications, and found that this scheme offers high spatial accuracy. In this scheme, the operator is split into three one-dimensional operators and applied in a symmetric way to avoid biasing of the solution:

$$Q^{n+2} = L_{2x}L_{2r}L_{2\phi}L_{1\phi}L_{1r}L_{1x}Q^{n}$$
(7)

where L represents the one-dimensional operator. Each operator consists of a predictor and a corrector steps, and each step uses one-sided differencing: Predictor:

$$Q_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = Q_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{6\Delta x} (7F_i - 8F_{i-1} + F_{i-2})^n$$
 (8)

Corrector:

2

(3)

(4)

(5)

$$Q_{i}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[Q_{i}^{n} + Q_{i}^{n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Delta t}{6\Delta x} \left(7F_{i} - 8F_{i-1} + F_{i-2} \right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$
(9)

and likewise for the radial and azimuthal directions. The scheme becomes fourth-order accurate in the spatial derivatives when alternated with symmetrical variants. Let L_1 be the one-dimensional operator with forward difference in the predictor and backward difference in the corrector, then L_2 will be the one-dimensional operator with backward difference in the predictor and forward difference in the corrector in the predictor.

4. Boundary Conditions

The scheme uses one-sided differences for the fluxes. A cubic extrapolation is used to obtain the fluxes at two ghost points outside the computational domain in order to update the boundary points, i.e.

$$F_{m+1} = 4F_m - 6F_{m-1} + 4F_{m-2} - F_{m-3}$$
 (10)

$$F_{m+2} = 4F_{m+1} - 6F_m + 4F_{m-1} - F_m - 2$$
 (11)

The physical outflow boundary conditions for the computation are derived using linearized characteristics [13,14] to permit the unsteady flow properties to pass without producing non-physical reflections. A summary of the outflow boundary conditions is given in Mankbadi et al. [6].

The inflow was taken to be the mean flow with a hyperbolic-tangent profile plus time-harmonic disturbances. The initial mean axial velocity profile is given by Michalke and Hermann [15] as

$$\frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{o}}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} - \mathbf{u}_{o}\right)} = 1 - \tanh\left[\frac{1}{4\theta}\left(\mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\right)\right]$$
(12)

where u_j is the exit velocity of the jet, u_o denotes the velocity of coflow and θ is the momentum boundary layer thickness of the jet shear layer. The momentum thickness θ and radius r are normalized by the nozzle exit radius R. The corresponding temperature is specified by the Crocco's relation, which can be expressed as

$$T = T_{o} + (T_{j} - T_{o}) \cdot \frac{(u - u_{o})}{(u_{j} - u_{o})} + 0.5T_{j} (\gamma - 1) M^{2}$$
$$\bullet (u_{j} - u) \frac{(u - u_{o})}{(u_{j} - u_{o})^{2}}$$
(13)

where M is the Mach number. The time-harmonic disturbances consist of a single, symmetrical pair of the first helical mode, i.e.

$$\left[\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{v}' \mathbf{w}' \mathbf{p}' \boldsymbol{\rho}'\right] = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) e^{\mathbf{i} (\alpha \mathbf{x} - \omega \mathbf{t})} \cos{(\phi)} + CO(14)$$

where α is the wavenumber and CC is the complex conjugate. The angular frequency ω is chosen such that the corresponding Strouhal number St=f(2R)/u_j=0.125. The eigenfunctions $\Phi(r)$ are obtained from the solution of linear stability equations for velocities, density, and pressure respectively.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}) \ \hat{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r}) \ \hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{r}) \ \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}) \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

We now focus our attention on the flow behavior near the centerline, as r approaches zero.

5. Centerline Formulations

In implementing the fourth-order scheme near the centerline, two ghost points will be needed for updating. We found that using two ghost points near the centerline generates nonphysical oscillations and causes the code to blow up. Instead, we used the second-order MacCormack scheme for updating this point. Therefore, only one ghost point is needed. Three approaches to centerline treatment are considered and discussed below.

5.1 Asymptotic Behavior of Navier-Stokes Equations Near the Centerline

Starting from the Navier-Stokes equations, and requiring that the flow variables should be finite for r=0, we find that the azimuthal velocity w must satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{w}}{\partial \phi^2} + \mathbf{w} = 0 \tag{16}$$

The solution for w near the centerline can thus be written as

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A}\cos\phi + \mathbf{B}\sin\phi \tag{17}$$

We chose to study a top-bottom symmetrical jet, i.e. the three-dimensional helical modes come in pairs as the experimental investigation of Cohen and Wygnanski [16], and the theoretical investigation of Mankbadi [5,16] have shown. In this case, the constant A in the above equation is set to zero. The formulations for u, v, p and ρ can be derived in the same way. It follows from the Navier-Stokes equations that the flow behavior at r=0 can be written as

$$\mathbf{w} (\phi) = -\mathbf{v} \left(\phi_{0} \right) \frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \phi_{0}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{u} (\phi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{u} \left(\phi_{0} \right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \phi_{0}}$$

$$\mathbf{v} (\phi) = \mathbf{v} \left(\phi_{0} \right) \cdot \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \phi_{0}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{p} (\phi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{p} \left(\phi_{0} \right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \phi_{0}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{p} (\phi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{p} \left(\phi_{0} \right) \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \phi_{0}}$$
(18)

These equations are valid at $r=r_0$ where r_0 is a small quantity and is taken to be 0.01 in the present study. Thus the above equations describe the solution at ϕ on the circle $r=r_0$ in terms of the solution at ϕ_0 . In implementing this condition, the solution at ϕ_0 is obtained by taking the average of the values at 0° and 180°.

5.2 Averaging

Another alternative that can be used is the simple averaging. The idea is that the flow variable at centerline r=0 is single-valued and independent of the azimuthal direction. In implementing this approach, the flow variables u, v, w, ρ , and p at r=0 are taken to be the azimuthal average of all points at r= Δr .

5.3 Centerline as an interior point

The centerline boundary condition is in fact an artificial one that arises from the fact that polar coordinates are used with r starting from zero. Imagine performing full jet simulation with r extending from $-\infty$ to ∞ , thus point "a" closest to the centerline (figure 1) can be treated as an interior point. If a second-order scheme is used, then the flux at point "b" is needed for updating point "a". Since we are solving half a jet, point "b" is a ghost point. But because of symmetry, the flux at point "b" can be related to that of the interior point "c" as follows

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}} \tag{19}$$

$$N_{b} = -N_{c}$$
(20)

where M represents the mass, axial or radial momentum, or energy flux, while N is the momentum flux in the azimuthal direction.

6. Results and Discussion

A supersonic jet with Mach number 1.5, based on the jet exit parameters, is considered in this study. The velocity ratio u_0/u_j is 0.25, and the temperature T_0/T_j is 0.5. The Reynolds number based on the jet diameter and jet exit centerline velocity is 1.27×10^6 . The parameter, momentum thickness θ , in the initial axial velocity profile is 0.125. The inflow is taken to be the mean plus the time harmonic disturbance.

 $Q = \overline{Q} + \varepsilon \cdot Q' \tag{21}$

where \overline{Q} and Q' were given in the previous section, and ε is the input excitation level. The computational domain (as shown in figure 2) extends 5 radii in the radial direction, and 50 radii in the axial direction. ϕ ranges from 0 to 180 degrees in the azimuthal direction, since we consider a top-bottom symmetrical jet, and only half of the circular jet is solved. The computational grid consists of 300x100x13 mesh points in the axial, radial and azimuthal directions respectively. The mesh is uniform in the axial and azimuthal directions, and stretched in the radial direction with concentration of the grid points around r=R. The computations started with a time step corresponding to a CFL number of 0.3, and later on, after the initial transient purged out of the computational domain, a finer time step was used to collect the computed data for use in Fourier transform.

Figure 3 shows a snap shot of the predicted density contours using the asymptotic formulation, and a clean behavior near the centerline is evident. The excitation level ε is 1×10^{-5} in this case. For the sake of comparison, figure 4 shows a snap shot of the density with no careful treatment of the centerline. These results were obtained using a second-order MacCormack scheme for the points at $r=r_0+\Delta r$, and second-order extrapolation for the points at r=r. As indicated in figure 4, nonphysical values were generated near the centerline. Figure 5 shows the spectra at r=ro, x=40R. This figure shows that the amplitude peaks at the forcing frequency St=0.125 and its harmonic, and no spurious modes were generated when the asymptotic formulation is used. Without careful treatment of the centerline, spurious modes were produced that rendered the solution totally unacceptable.

Figure 6 shows the growth of the input axial velocity disturbance at r/R=1 for several excitation levels using

the asymptotic formulation. The time span in which the data was collected is 16 characteristic times, where the characteristic time is defined as the ratio of nozzle exit radius to the jet centerline velocity. This time span corresponds to the period of the input disturbance when the Strouhal number is 0.125. Fourier transforms are performed for the collected time dependent data to obtain the amplitude of the disturbances at each location. As one can see in figure 6, the disturbance grows linearly, as linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear effects occur and saturation is reached downstream.

Next, we show the results obtained using simple averaging at the jet centerline. A snap shot of the predicted density contours is shown in figure 7. Clean behavior near the centerline is obtained. Figure 8 presents the growth of the input axial velocity disturbances at r/R=1 for various excitation levels. An initial linear development of disturbances can be clearly seen and nonlinear effects take place when moving further downstream. The saturation of disturbance growth is also reached downstream.

Figure 9 shows a snap shot of the predicted density contours for $\varepsilon = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ using the interior point approach. No nonphysical value is generated near the centerline except the region near the outflow boundary. Figure 10 presents the growth of the input axial velocity disturbances at r/R=1 for various excitation levels. The same phenomenon as those of the previous two approaches occurred, i.e. an initial linear growth of disturbance followed by its nonlinear development. The saturation of disturbance growth is also reached downstream. Figure 11 shows the comparison of the growth of axial velocity disturbances at r/R=1 using the three different approaches. The results obtained using the interior point approach have a slightly higher growth rate than the results obtained using the asymptotic and averaging approaches.

The three-dimensional structure of the flow field is shown in figures 12-14. Figure 12 shows the snap shot of the computed velocity vectors in r- ϕ plane at x=28.3, 33.3 and 38.3. As demonstrated in this figure, the cross flow velocity increases and changes its direction when the flow goes downstream; a clear evidence of the development of streamwise vorticities. Figure 13 shows the kinetic energy and vorticity contours at $\phi=0$ and 180 degrees. It is seen that the symmetry of the flow no longer exists downstream. Figure 14 shows the iso-surfaces for the kinetic energy and vorticity magnitude at time t=100. The helical nature of the structure and the roll-up of vortices are evident.

7. Conclusions

Direct simulations of a supersonic round jet flow field were presented with emphasis on the numerical treatment of the centerline (r=0). Three approaches, asymptotic, averaging and interior point, were considered in the present study. Similar results were produced by all three approaches; a clean behavior of the flow near centerline, linear growth followed by nonlinear development of the disturbances, and the helical natural of the flow structure. Currently, attempts are under way to patch the computed nonlinear flow field to a linearized Euler solution to obtain the far-field sound.

References

- Seiner, J. M., McLaughlin, D. K. and Liu, C. H., "Supersonic Jet Noise Generated by Large-Scale Instabilities," NASA TP-2072, September, 1982.
- Zaman, K. B. M. Q., "Flow Field and Near and Far Sound Field of a Subsonic Jet," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 106, pp. 1-6, 1986.
- Mankbadi, R. R. and Liu, J. T. C., "Sound Generated Aerodynamically Revisited: Large-Scale Structures in a Turbulent Jet as a Source of Sound," Philos. Trans. Royal Society of London A, Vol. 311, pp. 183-217, 1984.
- Mankbadi, R. R., "The Self Noise from Ordered Structures in a Low Mach Number Jet," Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol. 57, pp. 241-246, 1990.
- Mankbadi, R. R., "Dynamics and Control of Coherent Structure in Turbulent Jets," Applied Mechanics Reviews, Vol. 45, No. 6, pp. 219-247, 1992.
- Mankbadi, R. R., Hayder, M. E. and Povinelli, L. A., "The Structure of Supersonic Jet Flow and Its Radiated Sound," AIAA Journal, Vol. 32, pp. 897-906, 1994.
- Michalke, A., "Survey on Jet Instability Theory," Progress in Aerospace Science, Vol. 21, pp. 159-199, 1984.
- Hardin, J. C., "Recent Insights into Computational Aero-Acoustics," in Computational Aero- and Hydro-Acoustics, edited by R. R. Mankbadi, A. S. Lyrintzis, O. Baysal, L. A. Povinelli, M. Y. Hussaini, FED-Vol. 147, pp. 1, 1993.
- Gottlieb, D. and Turkel, E., "Dissipative Two-Four Methods for Time-Dependent Problems," Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 30, No. 136, pp. 703-723, 1976.
- Ragab, S. A. and Sheen, S., "The Nonlinear Development of Supersonic Instability Waves in a Mixing Layer," Physics of Fluids A, Vol. 4, pp. 553-566, 1991.

- Farouk, B., Oran, E. S. and Kailasanath, K., "Numerical Simulations of the Structure of Supersonic Shear Layers," Physics of Fluids A, Vol. 3, pp. 2786-2798, 1991.
- Sankar, L. N., Reddy, N. N. and Hariharan, N., "A Comparative Study of Numerical Scheme for Aero-Acoustic Applications," in Computational Aeroand Hydro-Acoustics, edited by R. R. Mankbadi, A. S. Lyrintzis, O. Baysal, L. A. Povinelli, M. Y. Hussaini, FED-Vol. 147, pp. 1, 1993.
- Hayder, M. E., Turkel, E. and Mankbadi, R. R., "Numerical Simulation of a High Mach Number Jet," AIAA Paper 93-0653, 1993.

- Bayliss, A. and Turkel, E., "Far Field Boundary Condition for Compressible Flows," Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 48, pp. 182-199, 1982.
- Michalke, A. and Hermann, G., "On the Inviscid Instability of a Circular Jet with External Flow," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 114, pp. 343, 1982.
- Cohan, J. and Wygnanski, I., "The Evolution of Instabilities in the Axisymmetric Jet, part 2, The Flow Resulting From the Interaction Between Two Waves," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 176, pp. 121-235, 1987.



Fig. 1 Schematic of meshes near centerline, r-o plane.



Fig. 2 Computational Domain



Fig. 3 Snap shot of the predicted density contours at t=100 using asymptotic formulation, (a) r- ϕ plane, x=40 (b) x-r plane, ϕ =0 deg.



Fig.4 Snap shot of the predicted density contours at t=100 using extrapolation, (a) r- ϕ plane, x=40 (b) x-r plane, ϕ =0 deg.



Fig. 5 Comparison of axial velocity spectra at the centerline, x=40, $\phi=0$ deg.

•



Fig. 6 The growth of axial velocity disturbance at r=1 using asymptotic formulation.





Fig. 7 Snap shot of the predicted density contours at t=100 using averaging approach, x-r plane, $\phi=0$ deg.

Fig. 8 The growth of axial velocity disturbance at r=1 using averaging approach.





Fig. 9 Snap shot of the predicted density contours at t=100 using interior point approach, x-r plane, $\phi=0$ deg.

Fig. 10 The growth of axial velocity disturbance at r=1 using interior point approach.



Fig. 11 Comparison of the growth of axial velocity disturbance at r=1.



Fig. 12 Snap shot of the computed velocity vectors at t=100 in r- ϕ plane, (a) x=28.3 (b) x=33.3 (c) x=38.3.

Page intentionally left blank

_ _ _



Fig. 13 Snap shot of the predicted kinetic energy and vorticity contours at $\phi=0$ and $\phi=180$ deg., t=100, (a) kinetic energy, (b) vorticity magnitude.



Fig. 14 Snap shot of the predicted kinetic energy and vorticity isosurfaces at t=100, (a) kinetic energy=0.5, (b) vorticity magnitude=0.8.

Package approximation of a deformation of a summary 1 and per rescale, including the internet of advanced at a summary and the internet of advanced at a summary in	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
T. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED March 1995 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Technical Memorandum Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline 5. FUNDING NUMBERS 8. AUTHOR(S) 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-9487 Lewis Research Center E-9487 Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASTON NAMER Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA Lewis Research Center Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA The 108669 Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The Unclassified - Unlimited 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The Unclassified - Unlimited 10. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABLY VSTATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited 11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES The Unclassified - Unlimit	Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
March 1995 Technical Memorandum 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline 6. FUNDING NUMBERS 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES NASA TM-106869 NASA TM-106869 11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES NASA Comparison of by the American Intuition of Aeronautica and Antonautics, Reno, Nevada, Japared for the 33d Aeropace Semeent NCCI-370; R.R. Markbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Provindiu, organization oce 2600, (216) (43-518. 122. DISTRIBUTION VAUALABILITY STATEMENT 123. DISTRIBUTION VAUALABILITY STATEMENT 124. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline repres	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTILE S. FUNDING NUMBERS Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline S. FUNDING NUMBERS WU-505-90-5K NCC3-370 S.H. Shih, D.R. Hixon, and R.R. Mankbadi PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-9487 Lewis Research Center E-9487 Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 E-9487 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 NOSOP-95-5 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 354 Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Eshibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics, Reno, Nevada, Data data and D.R. Hixon, institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Obio (ver finded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-510; R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Obio (ver finded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-518. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT Interpreting the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special tettento in signey to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment, the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation, with a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious modes can be generated. The results show than ending a circular jet, the centerline represents a c		March 1995	Tec	hnical Memorandum	
Three-Dimensional Structure in a Supersonic Jet: Behavior Near Centerline WU-505-90-5K 8. AUTHOR(s) WU-505-90-5K S.H. Shih, D.R. Hixon, and R.R. Mankbadi NCC3-370 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Sponsoring Monitoren Magnetic Structure and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44125-3191 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Sponsoring Monitoren Magnetic Structure and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 Suponsoring Adveropace Stemes Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevala, Jamay 9-12, 1995 S.H. Shah and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Comparisonal Mechanism Proparation Mechanism Proparation and Pachanism Proparational Mechanism Proparational Mechanism is Proparational Mechanism is Proparational Clevel and, Ohio (wata), Application is available from the NASA Comparational Mechanism is proparation and Clevel and, Ohio (wata), Application is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 2000 Q16) 0437-5318. 14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 2000 word) 15. ADISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 16. DISTRIBUTION area of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simula area intervalue of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simula near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the lin	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(5) WU-305-90-3K NCC3-370 S.H. Shih, D.R. Hixon, and R.R. Mankbadi NCC3-370 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 9. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 10. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 10. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 10. SPONSORINGMONTORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for b337d Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, Jmaray 9-12, 1995; S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver finded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver monther NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (ver funded under NASA Cognetive Agreement NCC3-370); R	Three-Dimensional Structure				
S.H. Shih, D.R. Hixon, and R.R. Mankbadi NCC3-370 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-9487 Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 E-9487 9. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-106869 ICOMP-95-5 ICOMP-95-5 11. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics, Reno, Nevada, Janary 9-12, 1995, SH, Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Population, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCG-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCG-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCG-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCG-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povindili, organization code 2000, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONOVAULALENT STATEMENT Izb. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuli ng a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generate	6. AUTHOR(S)			WU-505-90-5K	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-9487 SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NAS A TM-1068669 Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NAS A TM-1068669 Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9-12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative dagreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative dagreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative dagreement NCC3-470); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative dagreement NCC3-470); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative dagreement NCC3-470); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative dagreement NCC3-470); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperave) (Secondow) <	S.H. Shih, D.R. Hixon, and	NCC3-370			
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 E-9487 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) I. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 I. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9–12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Clevaland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Markbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Clevaland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Markbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Clevaland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Markbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Clevaland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Markbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Clevaland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); Prosteritor, State Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. DISTRIBUTION code and compared words) 13b. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment in the dependent variatibles near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the cente	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. P			B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 E-9487 9. SPONSORING/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 10. SPONSORING/MONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, Jamany 9-12, 1995. SL, Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCCS-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 493–5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 14. dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable of scittation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	National Aeronautics and Space Administration				
Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9–12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3–370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded subject Category 34 The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the centerline represents a computation, us optioous mode is generated. The results show that near disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached down	Lewis Research Center			E-9487	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-106869 Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 ICOMP-95-5 AIAA-95-0681 ICOMP-95-5 AIAA-95-0681 Interpret of the 337 derospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9-12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Coperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cogenative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, Clowip Program Director, Louis A. PovineIII, organization code 2600, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION coDE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment, the dependent variables near the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show thai near flinear disturbance growth is obtaine	Cleveland, Ohio 44135-31	91			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-106869 Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 ICOMP-95-5 AIAA-95-0681 NASA TM-106869 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9-12, 1995. St. Shi and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621-0390. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. DISTRIBUTION for small amplitude excitation. Wind a careful treatment of the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. Wind a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinea development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.					
National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-106869 Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 ICOMP-95-5 AIAA-95-0681 ICOMP-95-5 January 9-12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment, the dependent variables near the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			0. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Washington, D.C. 20546–0001 ICOMP-95-5 AIAA-95-0681 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9-12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370) ; R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 433–5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION ODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuli ing a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	National Aeronautics and St	ace Administration	·	NASATM-106869	
AIAA-95-0681 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9-12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuli ing a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	Washington, D.C. 20546-0	001		ICOMP-95-5	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the 33rd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, January 9–12, 1995. S.H. Shih and D.R. Hixon, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio (wor funded under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-370); R.R. Mankbadi, NASA Lewis Research Center, ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 2600, (216) 433–5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION code This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	Ç .			AIAA-95-0681	
Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	Unclassified - Unlimited				
This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment of the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	Subject Category 34				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	This publication is available from the NASA Center for Aerospace Information, (301) 621–0390.				
The unsteady structure of a supersonic jet is highly three dimensional, though the mean flow is axisymmetric. In simuling a circular jet, the centerline represents a computational boundary. As such, spurious modes can be generated near centerline, unless special attention is given to the behavior of the 3D structure near the centerline. Improper treatment the dependent variables near the centerline results in the solution diverging or being suitable only for small amplitude excitation. With a careful treatment of the centerline formulation, no spurious mode is generated. The results show that near linear disturbance growth is obtained, as the linear stability theory indicates. At high levels of excitation, nonlinear development of disturbances is evident and saturation is reached downstream.	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
	The unsteady structure of a ing a circular jet, the centerl centerline, unless special att the dependent variables near excitation. With a careful tre near linear disturbance grow development of disturbance.	supersonic jet is highly three di ine represents a computational ention is given to the behavior r the centerline results in the so- eatment of the centerline formu- with is obtained, as the linear stal s is evident and saturation is rea	mensional, though the me boundary. As such, spurio of the 3D structure near t lution diverging or being lation, no spurious mode bility theory indicates. At ached downstream.	ean flow is axisymmetric. In simulat- bus modes can be generated near he centerline. Improper treatment of suitable only for small amplitude is generated. The results show that a high levels of excitation, nonlinear	
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 13	14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Unsteady; Large eddy simulation; Supersonic jet; Shear flow; Centerline 16. PRICE CODE A03	Unsteady; Large eddy simulation; Supersonic jet; Shear now; Centerine			16. PRICE CODE A03	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
OF REPORT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT	OF REPORT	OF THIS PAGE	OF ABSTRACT		
Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		