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ABSTRACT
This report documents the results of an experimental study on large droplet ice accretions which was conducted in the NASA-Lewis Ice Research Tunnel (IRT) with a full-scale 77.25 inch chord Twin-Otter wing section. This study was intended to: (1) document the existing capability of the IRT to produce a large droplet icing cloud, and (2) study the effect of various parameters on large droplet ice accretions.

Results are presented from a study of the IRT’s capability to produce large droplets with MVD of 99μm and 160μm. The effect of the initial water droplet temperature on the resultant ice accretion was studied for different initial spray bar air and water temperatures. The initial spray bar water temperature was found to have no discernible effect upon the large droplet ice accretions. Also, analytical and experimental results suggest that the water droplet temperature is very nearly the same as the tunnel ambient temperature, thus providing a realistic simulation of the large droplet natural icing condition.

The effect of temperature, droplet size, airspeed, angle-of-attack, flap setting and de-icer boot cycling time on ice accretion was studied, and will be discussed in this report. It was found that, in almost all of the cases studied, an ice ridge formed immediately aft of the active portion of the de-icer boot. This ridge was irregular in shape, varied in location, and was in some cases, discontinuous due to aerodynamic shedding.

NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>airfoil chord, inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>cylinder radius, inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>time, seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOA</td>
<td>Angle-of-attack, degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Collection efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>Federal aviation regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSSP</td>
<td>Forward scattering spectrometer probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRT</td>
<td>Icing research tunnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWC</td>
<td>Liquid water content, g/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>Median volumetric diameter, μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAP</td>
<td>Optical array probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature, °F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Airspeed, mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>droplet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTRODUCTION

Recent aircraft accidents have served to focus the attention of the icing community on the role that large droplets may have played in these accidents. It is possible that the combination of large droplet and near-freezing temperatures may have contributed to one commuter aircraft crash, and may also have been a factor in others. The fact that these droplets may impinge on the airfoil surface beyond the ice protected surfaces, or impinge within the protected zone and then slide aft before freezing is a concern.
At the present time the icing database concerning large droplets at near-freezing temperatures is very limited. This is a result of several factors. First, these conditions occur infrequently when considered on a statistical basis. Secondly, if large droplet icing clouds are defined to have droplet distributions with Median Volumetric Diameter (MVD) ranging from 40 to 400μm, then this condition falls outside the FAR-part 25 icing certification envelope. Typically, the emphasis in icing tunnels and icing flight programs has been on aircraft certification within the FAR-part 25 envelope, where icing cloud MVD's are less than 40μm. Therefore, the icing tunnel or flight databases with this condition are very limited.

Given the fact that this combination of large droplet with near-freezing temperatures is an important issue for the icing community to deal with, the Icing Technology Branch at NASA-Lewis Research Center has attempted to study the large droplet phenomena. One goal of this effort is to increase the size of the existing database on large droplet ice accretions at near-freezing temperatures. To achieve this goal, the NASA-Lewis Icing Research Tunnel has been utilized to conduct experimental large droplet icing investigations.

The first experimental large droplet investigations at near-freezing temperatures were conducted in the NASA-Lewis Icing Research Tunnel (IRT) in December 1994, and also during May 1995. As a follow on to these investigations, another more comprehensive experimental investigation was conducted in the IRT during the last quarter of 1995. The primary objectives of this latter investigation were: (1) To document the existing capability of the IRT to produce icing clouds of large droplets at near freezing temperatures, and (2) To conduct a parametric study of large droplets at near-freezing temperatures on a commuter-class aircraft wing section, by varying temperature, droplet size, airspeed, angle-of-attack, flap-setting, and de-icer boot cycling time.

This experimental investigation of large droplet ice accretions was comprised of two tests, each one utilizing a different commuter-class aircraft wing section. The first test entry utilized a full-scale 77.25 inch chord Twin Otter wing section with a 30% chord flap. The second entry utilized a full-scale wing section with a NACA-23012 airfoil section, and a nominal chord length of 68 inches at half-span. Due to time constraints in reducing the data from the second test entry, only the results from the Twin Otter wing were available at the time of this writing. It is anticipated that the results from the second test entry with the NACA-23012 wing will be presented in a later report.

**FACILITY AND MODEL DESCRIPTION**

Icing Research Tunnel
The NASA IRT is a closed-loop refrigerated wind tunnel. The test section is 6 ft high and 9 ft wide, and contains a turntable assembly which allows for model angle-of-attack changes. A 5000 hp fan provides airspeeds up to 400 mph (empty test section). The refrigeration heat exchanger can control the air temperature from +40 °F to -40 °F. The water spray system is capable of simulating icing clouds with MVD of 14 to 40μm, and Liquid Water Content (LWC) of 0.3 - 3.0 g/m³. Figure 1 shows a schematic view of the IRT.

Icing Cloud Spray System
The spray system that generates the icing cloud in the IRT is composed of eight spraybars containing a total of 95 spray nozzles. The spraybars are located in the settling chamber upstream of the contraction section as shown in Figure 1. The spray nozzles used are air-assist type atomizers which are not commercially available but are specially built for NASA. A cross-sectional view of the nozzle is shown in Figure 2.

Two types of nozzles are currently utilized in the IRT spray system and are designated Standard and Mod-1. The Mod-1 nozzles were used for the large droplet tests described in this report. This is because they produce LWC levels closer to those expected for large droplets in natural icing clouds, than do the Standard nozzles. The Mod-1 nozzles are normally used to produce icing clouds with MVD of 14 to 40μm using air pressures from 10 to 80 psig.

Model
The test article was a 77.25 inch chord Twin Otter wing section with a 30% chord flap. The leading edge of the model was outfitted with a full-span pneumatic de-icer boot extending to 10% chord on the suction surface, and to 11% chord on the pressure surface. A picture of the test article is shown in Figure 3.

The model was also instrumented with 16 Type-T thermocouples at a spanwise location of 42 inches above the tunnel floor. The thermocouples were located at various chordwise positions, both on the
boot and on the metal skin of the airfoil as shown in Figure 4. A very thin patch of boot material (.01 inch) was used to cover the boot thermocouples, providing physical protection and also providing a good boot surface temperature measurement.

**LARGE DROPLET SPRAY CALIBRATION**

The calibration of the IRT's icing cloud was extended to include the large droplet sizes chosen for this investigation (99 μm and 160 μm). The LWC was fixed at a single value for each of the above droplet sizes. No further calibration was required for the 40 μm droplets, since these droplets are within the standard IRT cloud calibration envelope.

**Determination of Liquid Water Content**

To determine the liquid water content (LWC), nine 1.5 inch diameter vertical cylinders spaced at nine inches were mounted in the test section of the tunnel. The cylinders were rotated at approximately 60 rpm. They were exposed to the icing cloud for a predetermined length of time. The resulting ice accretion was determined by measuring the diameter of the iced cylinders using a probe which traversed each cylinder from 6 inches above the tunnel floor to 10 inches from the tunnel ceiling. The data recorded was the ice diameter measurement at vertical intervals of 1.25 inches for each cylinder.

The recorded data was used to plot the LWC uniformity in the test section and to calculate the central value of LWC. The central LWC value was calculated using the equation:

\[
LWC = \frac{C \Delta r}{E_b^* V^* t / 2}
\]

where:
- \(\Delta r\) is the ice thickness on the cylinder at the center location
- \(E_b\) is the average collection efficiency
- \(V\) is the airspeed
- \(t\) is time
- \(C\) is a constant which includes the ice density

The ice density is assumed to be 0.88. Using the units of \(\Delta r\) in inches, \(V\) in miles per hour and \(t\) in seconds, the value of \(C\) is 5.0 x 10^6. The time is divided by 2 since one half of the cylinder is always exposed to the cloud impingement.

**Droplet Size**

Droplet size distributions were measured in the center of the tunnel test section using two laser-based instruments. A forward scattering spectrometer probe, model FSSP-100, was used to measure droplets with diameters between 2 and 47 μm and an optical array probe, model OAP230X, was used to measure droplets with diameters from 47 to 450 μm. Both of these instruments are manufactured by Particle Measuring Systems Inc. in Boulder, Colorado.

Each probe was alternately placed in the center of the tunnel and the stabilized icing cloud was sampled for 100 seconds at an airspeed of 195 mph. For these tests only every other spraybar was operated to reduce the droplet number densities to acceptable levels for the FSSP to make valid measurements. Reference 1 details the comparison of using all spraybars and half-spraybars on the FSSP results and the rationale for why half-spray results are more acceptable.

The standard PMS data correction algorithms were used including an "activity" correction for the FSSP and bin depth of field and effective array width corrections for the OAP. The droplet distributions from each probe were combined and the median volumetric diameter (MVD) was calculated. The LWC was also computed from the combined droplet distribution as a check against the rotating cylinder LWC.

As an alternate to the PMS data correction algorithm, the NCAR (Baumgardner) methodology was used to determine the effect it would have on the calculated MVD and LWC. A comparison of the calculated values of MVD and LWC resulting from the PMS and NCAR methods is shown in Table 1 and the number density and LWC distributions are shown in Figure 5. For both spray conditions, the NCAR method resulted in a decrease of 23 to 30 percent in the MVD and an increase of 330 to 389 percent in the LWC. The LWC values resulting from the PMS correction algorithm were judged to be more reasonable since they agreed much more closely with the rotating cylinder values. As can be seen in Figure 5, the droplet distributions generated with the OAP/PMS correction algorithm are smoother and exhibits a better match to the FSSP distributions than the OAP/NCAR correction algorithm. Therefore the MVD values calculated with the PMS algorithm were used as the representative values for these spray conditions.

**DROPLET SUPERCOOLING INVESTIGATION**

When the IRT is used to produce icing clouds with normal cloud droplet sizes of 14 to 40 μm, droplet
supercooling is accelerated by the expansion and subsequent cooling of the pressurized air used in the nozzles to promote droplet breakup. The air and water are normally heated to approximately 175 °F to preclude droplet freeze-out. Low atomizing air pressures of 2 to 5 psig were used to generate large droplets, thereby significantly reducing expansion cooling. Since large droplets contain a larger amount of mass to cool, the loss of expansion cooling raised concerns that the droplets might not reach ambient temperature before impinging on the model.

A computer code developed by the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) was used to determine the effect of spraybar water temperature on the degree of droplet cooling. Table 2 shows the predicted final droplet temperature for initial water temperatures of 50, 100, and 200 °F. For all code runs, the tunnel test section airspeed was 195 mph, the tunnel total temperature was 28 °F, and the initial relative humidity at the spray location was 83%. \( T_d - T_a \) represents the difference between the droplet temperature and the air temperature.

It can be seen from Table 2, that the initial water temperature had a negligible effect on the final droplet temperature. Varying the initial water temperature from 50 °F to 200 °F resulted in only a 0.1 °F change in droplet temperature. Also, the maximum temperature difference (between the droplets and the air) was predicted to occur for the largest droplet size of 160μm, where the droplets were predicted to be 1.8 °F warmer than the air.

An experiment was conducted to examine the validity of the code results for icing spray clouds with MVD’s of 99μm and 160μm. Experimental icing runs were conducted in the IRT at an airspeed of 195 mph and a total temperature of 28 °F. A temperature of 28°F was suitable for this investigation because it was near freezing, yet didn’t exhibit appreciable water runback and random ice shedding. The result was a traceable ice shape. For each droplet size, the atomizing air and water temperatures were varied between nominal values of 50 °F, 100 °F, and 200 °F. Ice was accreted on the model, and ice shape tracings were obtained. These ice shape tracings were then compared to determine how the initial spray and water temperature affected the resultant ice accretion. It should be mentioned that nominal spraybar temperature values were not always achieved. An attempt was made to get as close as possible to the nominal values for those cases.

Figure 6 presents experimental ice shape tracings resulting from 3 different initial spraybar air and water temperatures and a 160μm MVD icing cloud. A comparison of the three ice tracings reveals that the ice shapes are virtually identical for this range of spraybar air and water temperatures. This experimental result confirms the AEDC code predictions and lends credibility to the code predictions that the droplets are supercooled (with a temperature close to that of the airstream).

Figure 7 provides additional experimental evidence which appears to support the above conclusion. Figure 7 is a time-history plot of temperature obtained from a leading-edge thermocouple. Temperature measurements are plotted for the first 75 seconds of a 160μm MVD icing spray. The icing spray was initiated at t=0 seconds. Initially, the leading-edge was near the tunnel total temperature of 28 °F. Visual observation of this leading-edge region indicated that the icing cloud first impinged on the model at 9 seconds. Note that at this time the leading-edge temperature began to dip. Over the next 5 seconds the temperature continued to decrease to a minimum of 1.5 °F below the initial value. At t=21 seconds complete freezing of the leading edge area was observed, which is where the temperature profile of Figure 7 began leveling at 32°F.

The temperature profile shown in Figure 7 suggests that the impinging droplets were initially cooling the airfoil surface below the total temperature of the tunnel (approximately 28.5 °F). To cool the leading-edge by 1.5 °F, the droplet temperature would have to be no greater than 27 °F. It was likely that the droplet temperature was actually between the airstream static temperature of 21.7 °F and 27 °F. Note that the AEDC code predicted that the 160μm droplets would be 1.9 °F above the airstream static temperature (see Table 2); thus by this prediction, the droplet temperature would be approximately 23.6 °F.

Clearly, the AEDC analysis and experimental observations lead to the conclusion that the large droplets produced in the IRT are supercooled and approach the static temperature of the airstream.

**PARAMETRIC INVESTIGATION**

The objective of this portion of the test program was to study how parameters such as temperature, droplet size, AOA, airspeed, flap setting, and de-icer boot
cycle times affect large droplet ice accretions. Additionally, it was desired to compile a database of large droplet ice accretions which could be used to better characterize this phenomena. Table 3 lists the range of parameter values which were evaluated for their effect on large droplet ice accretions. A test matrix was developed using the parameter values defined in Table 3 and subsequently used to guide the testing in the IRT.

The test matrix was developed with the assumption that both droplet size and near-freezing temperatures are key parameters affecting large droplet ice accretions. Therefore, a temperature “sweep” was incorporated into the matrix to investigate large droplet ice accretions at temperatures within +/- 4 °F of the freezing point. Previous large droplet studies conducted in the IRT suggested that runback and ice sliding may be important features of ice accretions at near-freezing temperatures, as these characteristics had been observed at temperatures at or above 28 °F. Therefore, 28 °F was chosen as the lower temperature bound for the temperature sweep.

It was not possible to test all of the conditions reflected in Table 3 within the tunnel time allotted for this test program. Therefore, as the temperature sweep was conducted, one temperature was selected as an “anchor-point”. This temperature remained fixed, while the other parameters of interest were varied (hence the name “anchor-point”). The selection of the “anchor point” was subjective and based on consultation with NASA colleagues who had conducted previous large droplet investigations in the IRT. Ice accretions obtained at the anchor point temperature were believed to be most representative of the large droplet icing condition. The “anchor-points” selected for this investigation were \( T_1 = 30 \) °F at airspeeds of 125 mph and 163 mph, and \( T_1 = 32 \) °F at an airspeed of 195 mph.

In addition to the temperature sweep, large droplet ice accretions were studied at two other temperatures: 5 °F and 0 °F. The 5 °F condition was chosen based on meteorological evidence suggesting that the large droplet condition may extend as low as 5 °F, while the 0 °F condition was chosen to obtain impingement information with short duration icing sprays.4

The droplet sizes selected for this investigation ranged from the upper end of the standard IRT icing cloud envelope to near the limit of what is currently attainable with the existing IRT spray bar system. It was found that as the droplet MVD was increased, the LWC of the icing cloud became more nonuniform over the area of the test section. After review of the large droplet spray calibration data, it was decided that the 160 \( \mu \)m MVD cloud: 1) provided an acceptable area of uniform LWC at the center of the test section and 2) provided the largest droplet size distribution which could be confidently measured with the available droplet size measuring instrumentation.

The lower limit airspeed of 125 mph represents a typical approach condition for the Twin Otter aircraft, while the upper airspeed limit of 195 mph was chosen to provide enough of an airspeed variation that its effect on the large droplet ice accretions could be studied.

The four angles of attack and the three flap settings were considered to be typical of nominal Twin Otter flight conditions. Also, because this was a rather large chord model (77.25”), it was decided to limit the angle-of-attack to minimize tunnel blockage effects.

Four different de-icer boot cycling times were tested, which ranged from no activation of the boot to cycling the boot in 6 minute intervals. A 3 minute boot cycle time was the normal cycling interval, while the .7 min cycling time represented the fast cycling interval for the Twin Otter.

Ice Shape Repeatability
Since the effect of large droplet ice accretion on the aerodynamic performance of an aircraft is due to the peculiar way it accumulates, the ice shape that forms is of key importance in studying these effects. Therefore, a significant part of this study was to record large droplet ice accretions under various conditions such as: temperature, airspeed, droplet size, model angle-of-attack, model flap setting, and de-icer boot cycling time. Underlying this type of study is the question of how well an ice shape is reproduced under a given set of these parameters.

There are two aspects to the question of repeatability in this study. The first concerns the ability to closely reproduce icing conditions in the IRT. The second centers around how well an ice shape reproduces itself in a given set of conditions. This latter aspect may at first appear to be totally dependent upon the first. However, in these tests, the ice protection system did not completely remove the accumulated ice and the shape of the residual ice was always somewhat random. Furthermore, at total temperatures very near...
freezing (32 +/- 2 °F), the accumulated ice would often be shed off the model independent of the ice protection system activation. This “self-shedding” occurred in a random manner, leaving behind a variable ice shape.

As has been found in previous studies, the ability to reproduce icing conditions in the IRT is very good and continues to improve as enhancements are continually being made to the tunnel. Moreover, results found during the droplet cooling portion of this study also indicated that the IRT reproduces icing conditions very well.

Variation in ice shapes formed under nearly identical conditions can be seen in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 8 shows ice shape tracings from the spanwise centerline of the airfoil for three separate test runs at the same set of conditions. The active portion of the ice protection system extends to approximately 7.5% chord on the suction surface. It can be seen that the ridge of residual ice forms in the general vicinity of the edge of the active portion of the boot. However, the ridge varies considerably in height and shape as well as in location from 7% to 9.5% chord. Similar results can be seen in Figure 9, which shows three nearly identical cloud runs at a total temperature of 31°F, rather than at 32°F.

This random variation in ridge location, height and shape was found throughout this entire series of tests. This characteristic of near-freezing temperature large droplet ice accretion could well be a cause of the unpredictability of it’s effect on aircraft performance.

Total Temperature Effect
The effect of total temperature on large droplet ice accretions was investigated by varying the total temperature from 36 °F down to 5 °F, and then comparing the features of the resultant ice accretions. This was done at the following nominal condition: MVD = 160μm, AOA = 0 °, Flap = 0 °, Boot cycle = 3 min, Spray = 18 minutes, and V = 125 and 195 mph. Generally speaking, the trends noted at 195 mph were similar to those observed at 125 mph. The only difference was in the temperature at which trends were observed to begin or end.

At Tt = 36 °F, all the impinging water ran back to the trailing-edge and was blown off the model. Decreasing the temperature to 34 °F, less runback was noted and an ice accretion formed at V = 195 mph. The temperature had to be decreased to 32 °F before an accretion was observed at 125 mph. When the ice accretion began to form, there was a distinct ridge noted aft of the active portion of the de-icer boot on both the suction and pressure surfaces.

As the temperature was decreased still further, less and less runback was observed aft of the boot probably because more of the water was freezing earlier during the runback process. Eventually, a temperature was reached where the ice ridge on the boot (aft of the active portion) appeared to reach a relative maximum. This temperature was 30 °F for V = 195 mph, while at 125 mph this temperature was 28 °F.

The pictures in Figure 10 visually depict what a strong effect the total temperature has on the large droplet ice accretion. The lines on the model indicate 5, 10, and 15% chord locations. Figure 10a shows the ice accretion on the suction surface for a total temperature of 32 °F. Runback extended from just aft of the deicer boot at 10% chord to beyond 15% chord. Note the lack of a defined ridge just aft of the active portion of the boot at 7.5% chord. Any ice ridge that formed tended to be very “slushy” and subsequently was blown off the airfoil at this temperature.

Dropping the total temperature to 30 °F resulted in the accretion shown in Figure 10b. There was much less runback aft of the boot, and a thin layer of glaze ice was visible between 7.5% to 10% chord. However, the ice was “wet” and lacked adhesive strength, because the upper portion of the ridge was shed. Also, we see the beginning of ice protuberances or nodules just aft of 10% chord. These nodules grew normal to the surface and were consistently found just aft of an ice ridge.

When the total temperature was further decreased to 28 °F, we saw a marked change in the ice accretion. Now the ridge appeared to have more structural strength and, in this case, had resisted shedding. Also note the lack of runback and the very well defined nodule growth aft of the de-icer boot. It is very evident from this sequence of pictures in Figure 10, that small changes in total temperature can have a very significant effect on the resultant large droplet ice accretion when the total temperature is near 32 °F.

It was also noted that a liquid region existed at the leading-edge of the airfoil. The width of this zone was observed to directly correlate with total temperature. The warmer the temperature, the wider this zone was. Boot thermocouple temperature data verified this observation. Table 4 compares leading-edge boot...
temperatures of 28 °F and 0 °F. Almost all of the leading-edge thermocouples have temperatures near 32 °F for \( T_1 = 28 °F \), while only one thermocouple has a temperature near 32 °F for \( T_1 = 0 °F \).

**Droplet Size Effect**

When considering how to evaluate the effect of droplet size on large droplet ice accretions at near freezing temperatures, it was recognized that both impingement and runback contribute to the formation of the resultant ice accretion. Therefore, testing was conducted at 0 °F to characterize impingement characteristics with 40, 99, and 160µm MVD droplets. Then similar test runs were repeated at near freezing temperatures to characterize runback effects.

**Droplet Impingement at 0 °F:** Much of the testing for this investigation was done over a period of eighteen minutes in order to include a number of cycles of pneumatic boot operation. This procedure allowed the fullest examination of the peculiarities of large droplet ice accretion. However, to establish airfoil impingement limits shorter spray times were used for some tests. Shorter spray times were needed because ice buildup changes the airflow around the leading-edge thereby affecting the droplet impingement. Low temperatures were also needed to ensure freezing on impact such that runback was prevented.

To document the initial large droplet impingement limits on the Twin Otter airfoil, a number of short duration sprays were carried out at a total temperature of 0 °F at various droplet sizes, airspeeds, and airfoil angles-of-attack. The results of these test runs are given in Table 5, along with LEWICE impingement limit predictions. In general, as the droplet size increased, the impingement limits also increased for a given airspeed and AOA. When the AOA was varied with the airspeed and droplet size held constant, the impingement limits shifted significantly. As the airfoil was rotated from a positive to a negative AOA, the impingement limit on the suction surface moved aft, while the impingement limit on the pressure surface moved fore. Finally, a change in airspeed while holding droplet size and AOA constant was observed to have no effect on the impingement limits.

In addition to these tests, one other set of impingement tests was conducted. As mentioned earlier, a buildup of ice on an airfoil can alter the droplet impingement limits. When a ridge of ice is formed aft of the ice protection system, the airflow is obviously altered. It was, therefore, of interest to determine what effect this had on the impingement limits. To accomplish this, the airfoil was subjected to a large droplet icing cloud at near freezing temperature for eighteen minutes while cycling the ice protection system at three minute intervals. The icing spray was then turned off and the tunnel air temperature was reduced to 0 °F. The icing spray was again turned on; this time for only a short period of one minute. The ice from the two sprays could be distinguished by its type. The ice formed at near freezing was predominately glaze ice, while that formed at well below freezing was rime ice. The rime ice collected on the pneumatic boot and on the ice ridge just aft of the boot. No rime ice was observed on the airfoil or on the glaze ice which had formed aft of the ridge. This strongly suggests that the ice which had formed aft of the ridge on the airfoil was not from direct impingement. It was either from runback along the surface of the airfoil or from liquid droplets which had collected on the ice ridge and then been blown off the ridge onto the airfoil further downstream.

Flow visualization using a sheet laser clearly indicated the presence of a separation bubble immediately downstream of the ridge in which such droplets could have been entrained and redirected toward the airfoil. The ice formed on this area of the airfoil in these conditions was not of conventional glaze, rime, or mixed type. Rather it was an area of individual ice "nodules" or protuberences which grew normal to the surface of the airfoil. The shape of these nodules was peculiar as well; having a flat surface facing downstream with a semi-hemispherical surface facing upstream and attached to the surface by a thin stem. In addition, the radius of the semi-hemisphere grew larger as the nodule grew away from the surface of the airfoil. These nodules were observed to grow as much as an inch away from the surface. Under some conditions, the nodules actually grew together into a large mass of ice. Figure 11 shows a sketch of a typical nodule.

**Droplet Size Effect at Near 32 °F:** Also of interest in this investigation was the documentation of variation in ice accretion due to changing droplet size at near freezing temperatures. Unfortunately, the MVD and LWC could not be set independently at the larger drop sizes. A change in MVD resulted in a corresponding change in LWC. Thus it was not possible to investigate the effect of droplet size at a constant LWC.
In general, as the median droplet size increased, the ice could be seen to accumulate further and further aft on both the suction and pressure surfaces of the airfoil. Figure 12 shows ice shapes formed from each of the three median droplet sizes. As seen in the figure, the extent of the ice accretion on the suction surface increased from about 7% chord for 40μm MVD droplets to 10% chord for 99μm MVD droplets to 15% chord for 160μm MVD droplets. Again, it is worthy to note that the active portion of the boot ended at 7.5% chord on the suction surface.

Angle-of-Attack Effect
As the angle of attack of the airfoil was changed, the droplet impingement and the ice accretion were expected to change as well. Tests were run with the model set at AOA’s of -2, 0, 2, and 4 degrees to investigate this effect. Ice shapes obtained at various AOA are shown in Figure 13 for airspeeds of 125 mph and 195 mph. Generally speaking, an increase in AOA caused more ice to accrete on the pressure surface, while a decrease in AOA caused more ice to accrete on the suction surface.

As expected, at -2° AOA the ice accretion extended further aft over the suction surface than in the other AOA cases. At +4 AOA, ice accumulated along the entire length of the pressure surface of the model.

Table 6 shows the maximum and minimum ridge heights at various AOA’s. Ridge heights were measured at three spanwise locations (at model centerline, and at -5 inches and +4 inches on either side of the centerline) on both the suction and pressure surfaces of the airfoil. The maximum and minimum from these three measurements are listed in the table for both surfaces.

Effect of Flap Setting
Several tests were run at various flap settings to investigate the effect this parameter has on large droplet ice accretion. Most of the tests were run with the flap stowed (i.e., at a setting of 0°). The two alternate flap settings were at 10° and 20°. On the Twin Otter aircraft for a given flap setting, the actual flap deflection varies across the span of the flap on the wing. Since this model is a six foot section just inboard of the aileron, the actual flap deflection was approximately 8° for the 10° setting and approximately 16° for the 20° setting. All tests run at flap settings of 10° and 20° were at an airspeed of 125 mph as Twin Otter airspeed is limited with flaps deployed.

At an AOA of 0°, little effect on ridge formation could be discerned on either the suction or pressure surface as a result of a flap setting change. However, changing the flap setting did affect the extent of ice accretion aft of the ridge on the suction surface. For all cases tested, as the flap setting increased, the extent of ice accretion on the suction surface (in terms of chordwise coverage) decreased appreciably. There was a decrease from 16% chord at flaps 0°, to 12.5% chord at flaps 10°, to about 9% chord at flaps 20°. This change in icing extent is shown in the ice accretions of Figure 14.

The extent of ice accretion on the pressure surface was about the same for flaps 0° and flaps 10°. At flaps 20°, ice did accumulate further aft on the pressure surface than at the other two flap settings and a significant amount of ice did accumulate on the pressure surface of the flaps at this setting. Table 7 lists some of the measured ridge heights obtained with various flap settings at 0° AOA.

At an AOA of -2° with the flaps set at 20°, ice accumulated further aft on the suction surface than in the 0° AOA case (12.5% chord compared to 9% chord). The extent of ice accretion on the pressure surface was less than in the 0° AOA case.

Effect of Boot Cycle
On the Twin Otter, the pilot has the choice of two different time periods between activation of the pneumatic ice protection boot, approximately 45 seconds and three minutes. Most of the testing conducted in this study was done using a three minute boot cycle time. However, several tests were conducted at other boot cycle times to investigate this effect. The alternate boot cycle times used were 42 seconds, six minutes, and no boot cycling whatsoever. The spray times for all of these runs was eighteen minutes.

For the near freezing cases run with no boot cycling, ice would accumulate on the leading edge to some point, then be blown off. A significant but irregular ridge would always remain in the area of 7 - 8% chord on the suction surface. It was irregular in the sense that random spanwise portions of it could also be blown off, leaving discontinuous spanwise lengths of ridge.

Using a boot cycle time of six minutes, the resulting ice accumulations looked similar to that of the no boot cycle condition although, overall, there appeared to be
slightly less ice accretion. Again, the discontinuous ridge formed. Similar trends were seen for the three minute and 42 second boot cycle times as slightly less ice accretion was observed but each with a discontinuous ridge.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

A study of large droplet ice accretions at near freezing temperatures was conducted in the IRT using a full-scale Twin Otter wing section. Following calibration of the IRT icing cloud for large droplet sizes of 99μm and 160μm MVD, a droplet cooling study was conducted. Results from this study, indicated that:

- Varying the initial spraybar water temperature had no discernible effect on the resultant large drop ice accretion.
- Leading-edge thermocouples indicated that the large droplet spray cooled the airfoil leading-edge as it impinged at the start of an icing spray. This result suggested that droplets were at a temperature below the total temperature of the airstream.

A parametric study was also conducted to determine the effect of various parameters on large drop ice accretions. Results from this study indicated that:

- An ice ridge formed after the active portion of the de-icer boot for almost every experimental run. The exact location of the ridge varied slightly along with its height and spanwise extent. This variability was caused by random aerodynamic shedding of the ice ridge.
- Large drop ice accretions were found to be very sensitive to changes in total temperature, and as the temperature was varied, the ice ridge appeared to reach a relative maximum at $T_i=28\,\text{F}(125\,\text{mph})$, and $T_i=30\,\text{F}(195\,\text{mph})$.
- An increase in droplet size moved the impingement limits farther aft on the airfoil, while a decrease in droplet size moved the impingement limits forward. The additional effect of runback and secondary impingement accreted ice even farther aft on the airfoil.
- Increasing the AOA caused more ice to accumulate on the pressure surface and less ice on the suction surface. Decreasing the AOA resulted in more ice accreting on the suction surface and less on the pressure surface.
- As flap setting was increased, the extent and amount of ice accretion on the suction surface decreased. The ice accretion on the pressure surface was noticeably affected only for a flap setting of 20° where ice accreted over the entire length of the pressure surface (including the flap).
- Variation in the boot cycling time did not appear to have a clearly defined effect on the resultant ice accretion. An ice ridge always formed aft of the active portion of the de-icer boot, regardless of boot cycling time.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The droplet cooling study provided strong evidence, supported by analytical results, that even 160μm droplets are supercooled in the IRT test section.

One of the primary results which emerged from the large droplet testing at near freezing temperatures, concerned the variability of the ice ridge aft of the active portion of the de-icer boot. This variability inherently makes it more difficult to repeat the same test condition, and obtain the same ice shape. Therefore, it is important to recognize that repeatability is a significant issue associated with large droplet icing tests conducted at near freezing conditions.

Results from the parametric investigation presented in this paper were obtained with a Twin Otter wing section. It is recognized that somewhat different results might have been obtained with a different airfoil. Therefore, additional testing has been planned with other airfoil sections to isolate “airfoil-specific” effects.
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Table 1. Comparison of PMS and NCAR correction algorithms for two large droplet spray conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spray Air Pressure (psig)</th>
<th>Spray Water Pressure (psig)</th>
<th>Rotating Cylinder LWC (g/m²)</th>
<th>PMS LWC (g/m²)</th>
<th>PMS MVD (μm)</th>
<th>NCAR LWC (g/m²)</th>
<th>NCAR MVD (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>160.7</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>111.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. AEDC Code (ref 3) prediction of effect of initial spray water temperature on final droplet temperature 
(T_f=28 °F, T_a=21 °F, V=195mph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Droplet Size (μm)</th>
<th>Temperature Difference T_d - T_a (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Range of parameter values for large droplet parametric study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>0, 5, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Droplet Size (μm)</td>
<td>40, 99, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airspeed (mph)</td>
<td>125, 163, 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle-Of-Attack (deg)</td>
<td>-2, 0, 2, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap Setting (deg)</td>
<td>0, 10, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot Cycle (minutes)</td>
<td>none, .7, 3, 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Leading-edge temperature profile measured 75 seconds after start of icing spray at 0 °F and 28 °F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T_f (°F)</th>
<th>TC1</th>
<th>TC2</th>
<th>TC3</th>
<th>TC4</th>
<th>TC5</th>
<th>TC6</th>
<th>TC7</th>
<th>TC8</th>
<th>TC9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Effect of droplet MVD, airspeed, and AOA on observed impingement limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Varied</th>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Icing Cloud MVD (µm)</th>
<th>Airspeed (mph)</th>
<th>Angle-of-Attack (deg)</th>
<th>Suction Surface Limit (% chord) meas (IRT)</th>
<th>calc (LEWICE)</th>
<th>Pressure Surface Limit (% chord) meas (IRT)</th>
<th>calc (LEWICE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>droplet size</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>droplet size</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airspeed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Effect of Angle-of-Attack on measured ice ridge height

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Airspeed (mph)</th>
<th>T₁ (°F)</th>
<th>Angle-of-Attack (degrees)</th>
<th>Suction Surface Ridge Height (inches) max</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>Pressure Surface Ridge Height (inches) max</th>
<th>min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49a</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50a</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Effect of flap setting on measured ice ridge height
(MVD=160µm, V=125 mph, AOA=0°, Boot Cycle=3min)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>$T_1$ (°F)</th>
<th>Flap Setting (degrees)</th>
<th>Suction Surface Ridge Height (inches)</th>
<th>Pressure Surface Ridge Height (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>max</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 – Plan view of Icing Research Tunnel.

Figure 2 – Icing Research Tunnel spray bar nozzle.
Figure 3 – Twin Otter wing section installed in Icing Research Tunnel.
Note: Boot thermocouples are located at spanwise STA = 42" 
Rivet thermocouples are located at spanwise STA = 41.75"
Model midspan STA = 36°, Leading Edge (0,0).

Figure 4 - Thermocouple locations on Twin Otter wing section.
a). Number density distribution (air pressure = 6 psig, water pressure = 36 psig)

b). LWC distribution (air pressure = 6 psig, water pressure = 36 psig)

Figure 5 – Comparison of droplet distributions using NCAR and PMS methods.
c). Number density distribution (air pressure = 5 psig, water pressure = 55 psig)

d). LWC distribution (air pressure = 5 psig, water pressure = 55 psig)

Figure 5 – Comparison of droplet distributions using NCAR and PMS methods (concluded).
Figure 6 - Large droplet ice shape comparison with spray bar water temperature varied. (Tt = 28°F, MVD = 160µm, LWC = 0.82 g/m³, AOA = 0°, V = 195 mph, Flap = 0°, Boot Cycle = none, Spray = 18 min.)
Figure 7 - Leading-Edge temperature after initiation of large droplet icing spray. (Tt=28°F, V=195 mph, MVD=160μm, LWC=0.82 g/m³, AOA=0°, Flap=0°)
Figure 8 - Run-to-run repeatability of large droplet ice accretions.
(Tt = 32°F, MVD = 160μm, LWC = 0.82 g/m^3, AOA = 0°, V = 195 mph, Flap = 0°,
Boot Cycle = 3 min., Spray = 18 min.)
Figure 9 - Run-to-run repeatability of large droplet ice accretions.

(Tt = 31°F, MVD = 160μm, LWC = 0.82 g/m³, AOA = 0°, V = 195 mph, Flap = 0°,
Boot Cycle = 3 min., Spray = 18 min.)
a). Run 3, $T_t = 32^\circ F$, $V = 125$ mph

b). Run 4, $T_t = 30^\circ F$, $V = 125$ mph

c). Run 5, $T_t = 28^\circ F$, $V = 125$ mph

Figure 10 – Effect of total temperature on large droplet ice accretion
($V = 125$ mph, MVD = 160 $\mu$m, LWC = 1.36 g/m$^3$, AOA = 0$^\circ$, Flap = 0$^\circ$, Boot Cycle = 3 min., Spray = 18 min.)
airflow

airfoil surface

Figure 11 - Sketch of typical nodule.
Figure 12 - Droplet size effect.

(Tt=30°F, AOA=0°, V=125 mph, Flap=0°, Boot Cycle=3 min., Spray=18 min.)
Figure 13 - AOA effect.

(a). $V = 125$ mph, $T_t = 30^\circ F$, LWC = 1.36 g/m$^3$  
(b). $V = 195$ mph, $T_t = 32^\circ F$, LWC = 0.82 g/m$^3$

(MVD = 160$\mu$m, Flap = 0°, Boot Cycle = 3 min., Spray = 18 min.)
Figure 14 - Effect of flap setting on large droplet ice accretion.
(Tt=30°F, MVD = 160µm, AOA=0°, V=125 mph, Boot Cycle=3 min., Spray=18 min.)
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This report documents the results of an experimental study on large droplet ice accretions which was conducted in the NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel (IRT) with a full-scale 77.25 inch chord Twin-Otter wing section. This study was intended to: (1) document the existing capability of the IRT to produce a large droplet icing cloud, and (2) study the effect of various parameters on large droplet ice accretions. Results are presented from a study of the IRT’s capability to produce large droplets with MVD of 99 and 160 µm. The effect of the initial water droplet temperature on the resultant ice accretion was studied for different initial spray bar air and water temperatures. The initial spray bar water temperature was found to have no discernible effect upon the large droplet ice accretions. Also, analytical and experimental results suggest that the water droplet natural icing condition. The effect of temperature, droplet size, airspeed, angle-of-attack, flap setting and de-icer boot cycling time on ice accretion was studied, and will be discussed in this report. It was found that, in almost all of the cases studied, an ice ridge formed immediately aft of the active portion of the de-icer boot. This ridge was irregular in shape, varied in location, and was in some cases, discontinuous due to aerodynamic shedding.