Optimization Methodology for Unconventional Rocket Nozzle Design

W. Follett

Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International, Canoga Park, California

Abstract

Several current rocket engine concepts such as the bell-annular tripropellant engine, and the linear aerospike being proposed for the X-33, require unconventional threedimensional rocket nozzles which must conform to rectangular or sector-shaped envelopes to meet integration constraints. These types of nozzles exist outside the current experience database, therefore, development of efficient design methods for these propulsion concepts is critical to the success of launch vehicle programs.

Several approaches for optimizing rocket nozzles, including streamline tracing techniques, and the coupling of CFD analysis to optimization algorithms are described. The relative strengths and weaknesses of four classes of optimization algorithms are discussed: Gradient based methods, genetic algorithms, simplex methods, and surface response methods. Additionally, a streamline tracing technique, which provides a very computationally efficient means of defining a three-dimensional contour, is discussed.

Gradient based schemes generally rely on a gradient evaluation at the current design point to determine the search direction required for objective function minimization with either constrained or unconstrained design variables. This type of technique is good at rapidly achieving an optimum if the objective function is well behaved. However, it can easily be trapped in local optima or by constraints in a region far from the optimal design.

Genetic algorithms are adaptive search procedures based on the biological concept of evolution. They start with an initial set, or population, of design points and use the genetic operators of selection, crossover and mutation to converge on an optimal design. Since this method searches from a set of designs rather than a single design, it can uncover different "families" of good designs.

Surface response methods utilize a limited number of runs to construct a model of the design space. This model is then used to determine an optimal design. Examples of such methods include Taguchi, neural networks, and regression models. If accurate models of the design space can be constructed, these methods become very attractive for problems where function evaluations are computationally expensive.

Streamline tracing provides a rapid means of determining a nozzle design which exhibits good performance but has an arbitrary exit shape. By using an axisymmetric optimum or ideal nozzle as a baseline, a shape can be inscribed onto the exit plane of the nozzle and numerous streamlines may be traced back to the plenum. These streamlines may then be used to define a nozzle contour, which will exhibit the same inviscid flow characteristics as the nozzle from which it was traced.

The performance of the various optimization methods on thrust optimization problems for tripropellant and aerospike concepts is assessed and recommendations are made for future development efforts.

ETHODOLOGY FOR OCKET NOZZLE DESIGN	/. Follett n - Rockwell International	13th Workshop for CFD Applications in Rocket Propulsion Huntsville, Alabama April 25-28, 1995
OPTIMIZATION M UNCONVENTIONAL R	W Rocketdyne Divisio	Computational Fluid Dynamics Branch Fluid Dynamics Division Structures and Dynamics Laboratory Science and Engineering Directorate Marshall Space Flight Center

CFD 95-016- 1//BM/WWF/sdh

GFD technology Center

A Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne

GFD Technology Center CFD 96-025-001/D1/WWF A POWERFUL DESIGN TOOL IS BEING CREATED BY COUPLING CFD **EFFICIENT AERO DESIGN TOOLS CURRENTLY EXIST FOR 2-D** UNCONVENTIONAL ROCKET NOZZLE DESIGN RELATIVELY LITTLE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT (<3%) - NEED CURRENT ROCKET ENGINE CONCEPTS CAN BENEFIT FROM 3-D **CHARACTERISTICS OF THRUST OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM: OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY FOR** AND AXISYMMETRIC NOZZLES **TO OPTIMIZATION METHODS** ACCURATE FLOWSOLVER • WHY OPTIMIZATION? MULTIPLE OPTIMA **NOZZLE DESIGNS** ROUGHNESS **Rockmell** Aerospace Rocketdyne





Acwell Aerospace Rocketdyne	C Rockwell Aerosp Rocketdyne
CONS - COMPUTATIONALLY EXPENSIVE	• 00
- HANDLES ROUGHNESS WELL - CAN FIND "FAMILIES" OF GOOD DESIGNS	
PROS - ROBUST METHOD GOOD AT FINDING GLOBAL OPTIMUM AMIDST LOCAL OPTIMA	•
 UTILIZE GENETIC OPERATORS OF MUTATION, CROSSOVER, & SELECTION TO EVOLVE TOWARDS OPTIMUM DESIGN 	• • •
GENETIC ALGORITHMS	• GENE
 CONS - MAY GET TRAPPED IN LOCAL OPTIMA DOES NOT HANDLE ROUGHNESS WELL 	Ŭ •
 PROS - RAPID SOLUTION FOR SMOOTH FUNCTIONS 	•
 PERTURB EACH DESIGN VARIABLE SLIGHTLY TO GENERATE FINITE DIFFERENCE GRADIENT 	•
GRADIENT BASED METHODS	· GRA
OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY DESCRIPTION	Ō



OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY DESCRIPTION
SURFACE RESONSE METHODS
TAGUCHI METHODS
 ORTHOGANAL ARRAYS ASSUME GLOBAL LINEARITY EFFECT OF A + EFFECT OF B = EFFECT OF A+B
NEURAL NETS
GOOD FOR MODELING NONLINEAR RESPONSES
 REGRESSION MODELS - FIRST ORDER, SECOND ORDER
 PROS - SIMULATES DESIGN SPACE WITH SMALL NUMBER OF CFD EVALUATIONS - CAN FILTER OUT ROUGHNESS
CONS - MODEL MAY NOT ACCURATELY SIMULATE DESIGN SPACE
Rockendia Aerospace Rocketdyne

CFD Technology Center CFD 85-025-007/D1/WWF MOST OPTIMIZATION SCHEMES ARE NATURALLY PARALLEL DISTRIBUTED HETEROGENEOUS ENVIRONMENT COUPLING CFD TO OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS IN THE REAL WORLD SWITCH OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS ASSIGNING PERFORMANCE LEVEL FLEXIBLE SOLUTION STRATEGY **COPING WITH CODE CRASHES** CONVERGENCE CHECKING **PARALLEL PROCESSING** FAULT TOLERANCE GRID GENERATION **CFD AUTOMATION** S ROCKWEII Aerospace Rocketdyne

COMPARISON OF OPTIMIZATION METHODS FOR NOZZLE DESIGN APPLICATIONS

TAGUCHI	+	0	g	0	+	+	0
SIMPLEX	+	+	+	I	I	+	ſ
GENETIC ALGORITHM	+	+	+	+	+	0	I
GRADIENT BASED		•	0	1	•		+
	ROUGH SURFACE	HANDLE CODE CRASHES	NONLINEAR VARIABLE INTERACTIONS	FINDS GLOBAL OPTIMUM	CAN FIND ALTERNATE OPTIMA	EFFICENCY / SPEED IN ROUGH	EFFICIENCY / SPEED ON SMOOTH FCN'S.
	ROBUSTNESS			SSE -10	IAENE ELLE	ENCA	EFFICI

AN INTELLIGENT HYBRID COULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF AND WEAKNESSES

NO ALGORITHM IS PERFECT, ALL HAVE STRENGTHS

THE BEST ASPECTS OF EACH TECHNIQUE Rockwell Aerospace

Rocketdyne

GFD Technology Center CFD \$6-025-008/D1/WWF

3-D THRUST CELL OPTIMIZATION

OBJECTIVE

- MAXIMIZE: THRUST(THRUSTER ONLY)
 - SYSTEM WEIGH MINIMIZE PEAK HEAT LOAD

•OPTIMIZATION METHODS

- TAGUCHI L32 & L64 MATRICES GENETIC ALGORITHM 15-21 DESIGN VARIABLES

3-D MOC EVALUATIONS

- 460 FOR TAGUCHI 1000 FOR GENETIC

IMPROVEMENT OVER BASELINE • 4.6% IN THRUST / WEIGHT

477







Mach Number Maximum = 4.0 Mach Number Minimum = 1.0

Mach Number Minimum = 3.0 Mach Number Maximum = 4.0





OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY FOR UNCONVENTIONAL ROCKET NOZZLE DESIGN CONCLUSIONS
THRUST OPTIMIZATION IS DIFFICULT DUE TO MULTIPLE LOCAL OPTIMA AND ROUGHNESS OF THE OBJECTIVE FUNCTION
 GRADIENT BASE METHODS HAVE PROVEN INEFFECTIVE SIMPLEX METHODS ARE MEDIOCRE GENETIC ALGORITHMS ARE ROBUST, BUT COSTLY SURFACE RESPONSE METHODS CAN DRASTICALLY REDUCE NUMBER OF CFD RUNS REQUIRED, BUT ONLY IF MODEL IS ACCURATE
 NO SINGLE SCHEME IS A "MAGIC BULLET" CURRENTLY INVESTIGATING FILTERING METHODS FOR GRADIENT BASED METHODS, INTELLIGENT HYBRID SCHEMES
MULTI-DISCIPLINARY OPTIMIZATION IS ESSENTIAL
Rockwell Amspace Rocketome Rocketome