Oxygen-Rich Combustion Experiments in a LOX/GH₂ Uni-element Rocket

S. A. Rahman, H. M. Ryan, S. Pal, R. J. Santoro

Propulsion Engineering Research Center and Department of Mechanical Engineering The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Background

Combustion characteristics of a LOX/GH₂ swirl coaxial injector element have been examined up to very high oxidizer to fuel ratios in a research rocket chamber at Penn State University's Cryogenic Combustion Lab. The single-element tests demonstrate that, for injector element flowrates comparable to those of booster engine injectors, ignition, stable combustion, and good performance can be achieved with LOX at O/F ratios as high as 170.

Operation of injectors at such high O/F ratios is a highly desirable element of candidate cryogenic propulsion systems for next-generation Reusable Launch Vehicles (RLV). Oxygen-rich preburners, supplying low temperature exhaust gases to the turbine drives, have the potential to minimize cost, weight, and operational complexity of advanced rocket engines. Fundamental data at the single-element level, such as that reported here, is a component of an industry-wide oxygen-rich combustion technology program for RLV propulsion. Recent progress is summarized in this presentation.

Research Objectives

Research efforts are directed towards understanding specific technical issues that must be resolved to minimize the risk and cost associated with developing oxygen-rich rocket preburners. The experiments concentrate on hot-fire uni-element tests to demonstrate concepts which can be incorporated into hardware design and development. Two concepts under consideration are direct injection of propellants at high O/F, and stoichiometric injection followed by downstream injection of LOX to achieve the high O/F. The specific results given here address the performance, ignition, combustion stability, and wall heat transfer aspects of a direct-injection swirl coaxial element design operating at high O/F.

Current Progress

Experiments with direct-injection at high O/F have been conducted in an optically-accessible uni-element rocket test chamber of 2 inch square cross-section (1.1 ft. length) with LOX/GH₂ propellants. A swirl coaxial injector element, characterized under both cold-flow and hot-fire, was used to atomize the LOX. LOX flowrates were held constant in the experiments while O/F ratio was achieved by varying the hydrogen fuel flowrate. A gaseous hydrogen/oxygen torch was used to ignite the main flow.

A series of experiments has been completed where O/F ratio was varied from 5 to 170, while simultaneous measurements were made of high frequency pressure oscillations and wall heat transfer. Chamber pressures for this series were nominally 300 psia, and data was obtained at both upstream and downstream locations within the rocket chamber. The results show that wall heat transfer is greatly reduced for high O/F combustion. Pressure oscillations are also at a low level, approximately 1% of chamber pressure, for the entire range of O/F.

Further characterization of the direct-injection high O/F scheme is planned, and will involve non-intrusive measurement of spray penetration and the spray flame temperature.

Acknowledgment

The oxygen-rich studies are sponsored by NASA Marshall Space Flight Center under NASA Agreement No. NCC8-46. The swirl coaxial injector design/characterization work is supported by Dr. Mitat Birkan of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, under grant number F49620-93-1-0365.

OXYGEN-RICH COMBUSTION EXPERIMENTS IN A LOX/GH₂ UNI-ELEMENT ROCKET

S. Rahman, H. Ryan, S. Pal & R. Santoro

PENNSTATE



Department of Mechanical Engineering

and

Propulsion Engineering Research Center

Rocket Propulsion and Launch Vehicle Technology 13th Workshop for CFD Applications in April 25 - 27, 1995 Huntsville, AL

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Dr. Mitat Birkan
- Air Force Office Of Scientific Research
- G. Cox and D. Hautman
- United Technologies
- J. Hulka
- Aerojet Propulsion Division
- C. Dexter, J. Hutt
- NASA Marshall Space Flight Center
- M. Moser, L. Schaaf, M. Foust
- Penn State University

OPTICALLY ACCESSIBLE ROCKET CHAMBER

- Heat-Sink Copper Chamber
- Modular / Interchangeable Chamber Sections
- 51 x 51 mm Cross-Section
 (2 in. square)
- 51 mm (2 in.) Round Viewing Windows
- 51 mm (2 in.) long Slot Windows on top/bottom
- Gaseous H₂/O₂ Torch Ignitor





Z
0
H
VA
E O
Z
Ъ Г
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
IL
Ū
JE
OB

- Augment Limited Experimental Data Base on **Oxygen-Rich Combustion**
 - Demonstrate Ignition/Combustion
- Identify High O/F Limit of Combustion
- **RLV Propulsion Technology Issues to be** Addressed
- LOX/GH₂ Preburner Operation at High O/F I
- Full Face Injection vs. Stoich. Injection + Dilution

OVERVIEW & STATUS

- Hot-Fire with LOX/GH₂ Swirl Coaxial Injector (0.135 in. POST ID)
 - LOX Flowrates 0.25 0.4 lbm/s
 - P_c 150 500 psia
 - O/F 5 170
- $(P_c = 300 \text{ psia nom.})$ **High O/F Studies**
 - Meaurements Completed
- » Chamber Wall Heat Transfer
- » High Frequency Pressure
- » C* Efficiency
- $(P_c = 800 \text{ psia nom.})$ **High O/F Studies**
- Repeat Above Measurements
- Testing in Progress

HOT-FIRE ... FLOWFIELD

LOX/GH₂, O/F = 5.7, $P_c = 440 \text{ psia}$

COMBUSTING SPRAY FLAME

LASER LIGHT SCATTERED BY LOX SPRAY



Conical Flame Zone Attached to LOX Post (left)

35 mm. photos 1 msec. exposure

Laser-Light Scattered by LOX Drops in Flame (right) •





Adiabatic Flame Temperature Decreases with O/F Ratio •

C* EFFICIENCY AT HIGH O/F



- **Tests Demonstrate Ignition/Combustion** for O/F Ratio = 5 to $1\overline{70}$
- $P_{c} = 200 300 \text{ psia}$
- Swirl Coaxial Injector
- LOX/GH₂ Propellants
 - 0.25 lbm/s LOX Flow

- Some Tests with Larger LOX Injector
 - 93% C* Efficiency for (O/F = 125 - 140, LOX Flow = 0.9 lbm/s)







- 2 Heat Flux Gauges Used
- On Opposing Sidewalls of Rocket
- Heat Xfer. Computed from Temps. T1, T2, T3, T4
- Technique by NASA LeRC, Ref: Liebert '88, NASA-TP-2840
- Transient Heat Flux Obtained



HIGH-FREQUENCY PRESSURE GAUGE

- PCB Gauge Model 113A24
 (500 kHz Natural Freq., 1 µsec response)
- 50 kHz Sampling Employed
- 0.02 psi Resolution
- Gauge Mounted almost flush with Chamber Inner Wall





HIGH O/F HOT-FIRE MATRIX

Xdcrs.	x = 12 in.	×				×				×			
y Pressure 2	x = 9 in.									×	×	×	×
ocations 1 Frequency	x = 3 in.					×	×	×	×				
ment Lo High	x = 1in.	×	×	×	×								
Instru s A & B	x = 9 in.									×	×	×	×
ux Gauges	x = 3 in.					×	×	×	×				
Heat Fl	x = 1in.	×	x	×	×								
C*-Eff.	(%)	95.6	94.9	84.3	90.3	100	93.2	91.5	92.7	95.7	93.5	86.5	92.7
Estimated Flame Temp.	(deg R)	6160	3435	1765	1100	6010	3425	1880	1085	6015	3555	1910	1060
Chamber Pressure	(psia)	295	262	249	301	336	258	277	302	315	254	264	308
O/F Ratio		6.1	46.4	103	166	5.31	46.6	96.5	168	5.32	44.2	94.8	171
Hydrogen Flow	(lbm/s)	0.04197	0.00552	0.00252	0.00157	0.04859	0.00552	0.00266	0.00153	0.04868	0.00557	0.00271	0.00155
LOX Flow	(lbm/s)	0.256	0.256	0.26	0.261	0.258	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.259	0.246	0.257	0.265

- LOX Flowrate Held Constant at 0.25 lbm/s
- GH₂ Flow Varied to Achieve Ox-Rich Conditions



WALL HEAT FLUX ... x = 9 in.



TIME (S)

 ∞

9

4

2



	PENN STATE	AEROJET ('73)	PRATT & WHITNEY ('91)
INJECTOR	Swirl Coax 1 Element	Swirl Coax 42 Elems.	Swirl Coax 60 Elems.
PROPELLANTS & O/F	LOX/GH ₂ 5.3	GOX/GH ₂ 4	LOX/GH2 6
LOX FLOW (lbm/s)	0.25	n/a	-
P _c (psia)	300	300	1780
HEAT FLUX (Btu/in ^{2-S)}	9	7.5	25
Chaml	ber Heat Flux C	compared to Oth	er Work
D D C O C O C O C O C O C O C O C O C O	mpares to Aerojo nn State Heat Flu	et Result ix Scales With Ele	ment Flowrate to

HEAT FLUX ... Other Work

18 Btu/in²-s for 1 lbm/s Element (25 Btu/in²-s for P&W)



CHAMBER RESONANT FREQS.

Predicted Freq.	n. (Hz)	2360 (1L)	7140 (3L)			1240 (1L)			910 (1L)		690 (1L)	2070 (3L)	
(Hz)	x = 12 i	none	ı	•	1279	ı	ŀ	931	1154	•	2032	I	1
quency Observed (ferent Positions	x = 9 in.			6580			1309			1740, 1975, 5081			1987
esonant Fre at Difi	x = 3 in.		6534			1291			1154			2029	
Ř													
	$\mathbf{x} = 1$ in.	6476			1279			931			2032		
P'-rms Pc	(%) $x = 1$ in.	0.35 6476	0.49	0.80	0.44 1279	1.12	1.00	0.33 931	0.44	2.42	0.55 2032	0.36	0.93

1st and 3rd Longitudinal Modes Observed

SUMMARY

- Uni-Element Hot-fire Results (LOX/GH₂)
- Oxygen-Rich Ignition/Combustion Achieved with LOX in Uni-Element Rocket: 5 < 0/F < 170
- Observed Flameholding at LOX Post
- LOX Region Visualized in Flame at O/F = 5.7 I
- Oxygen-Rich: C*-Efficiency > 92%
- Near-Stoich.: C*-Efficiency > 96%
- Chamber Heat Flux Characterized at $P_c = 300 \text{ psia}$ (800 psi Tests in Progress)
- Smooth Combustion in Uni-Element Rocket, Fluctuations ~ 1% of P_c for 300 psia tests

of Oxygen-Rich Preburners Utilizing Secondary Dilution **Computational Fluid Dynamic Analyses of**

Jeffrey M. Grenda and Charles L. Merkle

Propulsion Engineering Research Center Department of Mechanical Engineering The Pennsylvania State University

POTENTIAL FULL-FLOW PREBURNER DESIGNS

- Direct Injection
- Fuel Burned with Full Oxidizer Flow Rate
- High O/F Combustion
- Downstream Dilution
- Fuel Burned at Near-Stoichiometric Conditions 1
- Remaining Oxidizer Injected Downstream
- Design Issues:
- Geometry and Method of Downstream Dilution 1
- Mixing and Uniformity of Exit Flow
- Ensure Vaporization of All Liquid
- Effects of Operating Conditions

PRE-BURNER SCHEMATIC

- Near Stoichiometric Combustion Produces High Temperatures
- Diluted By Injection of Liquid Oxidizer Downstream



RESEARCH GOALS

- Use CFD as Preliminary Design Tool
- Screen Various Geometrical Configurations 1
- Identify Appropriate Parameter Ranges
- Define Subscale Experiments
- Geometrical Configuration
- Parameter Ranges
- Validation of CFD Procedure
- **Compare Vaporization Predictions with Measurements** 1
- Assess Reliability of CFD Predictions
- Predict Full-Scale Performance
- Address Experimental Scale-Up Issues
- **Project Pros and Cons of Various Configurations** I
- Identify Important Design Parameters
- Define Appropriate Operating Regimes

PRESENT STATUS

- Configurations Considered:
- Axial Injection From Faceplate
- Radial Injection From Outer Wall
- Recessed Stoichiometric Core/Chamber
- Operating Conditions Tested:
- O/F Ratio Variations
- Liquid Injection Characteristics
- Gas Phase Composition and Character
- Chamber Length/Radius



BASIC PRE-BURNER GEOMETRIES

Axial Injection Geometry:



- Liquid Injected Axially From Injector Faceplate
- Atomization is Treated Empirically (Mean Drop Size) 1
 - Initial Drop Sizes and Velocities Stochastic 1



Axial Injection Including Detailed Core Region:



- Main Chamber Forms an Equivalent Backstep
- Liquid Injected Either Axially or Canted
- Initial Drop Sizes and Velocities Stochastic I

GAS PHASE MODELING

- Gas Phase Treated in Eulerian Fashion
- **Preconditioned Navier-Stokes Equations/Species Transport** ł
- k-e Turbulence Modeling

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial Fr}{\partial y} = H_{gas} + H_{liq} + L(Q_v)$$

- **Requires Liquid Phase Coupling Source Terms**
- Solved Implicitly for Robustness (ADI or LGS Algorithm)
- Gas Phase Solution Procedure Well-Validated Against Experimental and Analytical Solutions

DESCRIPTION OF LIQUID PHASE PROCESSES

- Injection
- Distributed Over Finite Region
- "Axisymmetric" Injector; 3-D Drop Velocities I
- Injector "Cone" Angle Specified
- Atomization
- Specify Droplet Size
- Mean: Experimental Observations; Ap
- Distribution: Upper Limit
- Specify Droplet Velocity
- Mean: Determined by Injector Δp
- **Distribution:** Random Function--Experimental Observation I

Typical: $u = \overline{u} \pm 10\% \overline{u}$

 $v = \pm 10\% \overline{u}$ $w = \pm 10\% \overline{u}$

546

DROPLET DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS:

- **Droplet Size Distribution is Represented by Several Models:**
- Upper-Limit Distribution Function
- Experimental Measurements
- Number Distribution f(d) and Mass Distribution g(d)
- Liquid Partitioned into Various Droplet Sizes



LIQUID PHASE VALIDATION:

Trajectories Validated By Comparison With Analytical Solutions



LIQUID PHASE VALIDATION:

Droplet Vaporization Rate Validated by Comparison With Detailed Numerical Solutions for Single Droplet



LIQUID PHASE VALIDATION:

Droplet Vaporization Rate Compares Well With Experiments







NOMINAL CONDITIONS:

- Operating Conditions:
- Chamber Diameter of 9"

ı

- Injector O/F Ratio 10 (3365 K)
- Core Mass Flow Rate 8.5 kg/sec
 - Average Gas Velocity 100 m/s
- Design Parameters Considered:
- Overall Dilution O/F Ratio
 - Liquid Injection Velocity
- Injected Droplet Diameter
- Gas Phase Mass Flow Rate/Velocity
 - Liquid Injection Spray Angle

PARAMETRIC RESULTS—RADIAL INJECTION

180	X	X	X
150	$\mathbf{x} \mathbf{(Vjet} = 50)$	x x (d32 = 100)	x x (d32 = 100)
80	X		
O/F Vinj	80	100	100

VARIATION IN O/F RATIO:

- Operating Conditions:
- Injector O/F Ratio: 10 (3365 K)
 - Inlet Gas Velocity: 100 m/s
- Mean Droplet Diameter: 150 um
- Liquid Injection Velocity: 80 m/s (20% dP) I
- Vary Parameter:

Downstream O/F: 80 to 180

- Exit Flowfield Similar for All O/F Ratio
- Colder Near Walls
- **Uniformity Increases With Axial Distance** I

I

Gas Temperature for LOX-Rich Preburner (Peripheral Injection Geometry)



Gas Temperature for LOX-Rich Preburner (Peripheral Injection Geometry)



RADIAL INJECTION SUMMARY

Gas Temperature for LOX-Rich Preburner (Peripheral Injection Geometry)



Gas Temperature for LOX-Rich Preburner (Peripheral Injection Geometry)





AXIAL INJECTION SUMMARY

Angle	45	30
Vinj	60	30
O/F	120	80
	Best Case	Worst Case





Gas Temperature for LOX-Rich Preburner (Axial Injection Geometry)





Effect of Injection Velocity/ Spray Angle

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Radial Injection is Most Effective
- Requires Matching Between:
- Injector Δp (Droplet Size/Velocity)
- PreBurner Diameter
- Mean Flow Velocity
- Representative Δp 's Match Expected PreBurner Sizes Well I
- Appropriate Control Available to Provide Acceptable Outflow Profiles |
- Viable Candidate for Downstream Dilution PreBurners I
- Axial Face Plate Injection
- Results in Acceptable Vaporization Lengths
- Outflow Uniformity is Marginal

565

CONCLUDING REMARKS (continued)

- Axial Face Plate Injection (continued)
- Can Be Simulated in Unielement Injector
- Useful Verification of Model Validation
- Useful Unielement Test Configuration
- Recessed Stoichiometric Core
- Backstep is an Aid in Mixing
- Use With Single or Dual Axial Injection
- Potentially Viable Candidate for Downstream Dilution PreBurners I