

NASA Technical Memorandum 107259

Spaceflight Safety on the North Coast of America

Michael L. Ciancone Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

?

Maria T. Havenhill Micro Craft, Inc. Brook Park, Ohio

Judith A. Terlep NYMA Inc. Brook Park, Ohio

Prepared for the 14th International System Safety Conference sponsored by the System Safety Society Albuquerque, New Mexico, 1996



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

• ÷ . ٠ Spaceflight Safety on the North Coast of America

Michael L. Ciancone, Spaceflight Safety Engineer; NASA Lewis Research Center; Cleveland, Ohio

Maria T. Havenhill, System Safety Engineer; Micro Craft, Inc.; Brook Park, Ohio

Judith A. Terlep; NYMA, Inc.; Brook Park, Ohio (formally associated with Raytheon Engineers & Constructors as a Spaceflight Safety Engineer)

Abstract

Spaceflight Safety (SFS) engineers at NASA Lewis Research Center (LeRC) are responsible for evaluating the microgravity fluids and combustion experiments, payloads and facilities developed at NASA LeRC which are manifested for spaceflight on the Space Shuttle, the Russian space station Mir, and/or the International Space Station (ISS). An ongoing activity at NASA LeRC is the comprehensive training of its SFS engineers through the creation and use of safety tools and processes. Teams of SFS engineers worked on the development of an Internet website (containing a spaceflight safety knowledge database and electronic templates of safety products) and the establishment of a technical peer review process (known as the Safety Assurance for Lewis Spaceflight Activities (SALSA) review).

Introduction

Spaceflight safety (SFS) is a discipline which involves ensuring the safe design and operation of spaceflight hardware. In the United States, this work is particularly essential for manned spaceflight programs, and is centered around NASA activities associated with the Space Shuttle.

is responsible for the NASA Lewis Research Center (LeRC), in Cleveland, Ohio, management of spaceflight investigations, experiments, payloads and facilities (hereafter referred to simply as "experiments") associated with microgravity fluids and combustion phenomena. Each experiment is required to undergo an extensive safety review process before it is certified safe for flight. The particular review process depends on whether the experiment is new hardware, reflight hardware (i.e., uses hardware that has previously been flown), or series hardware (i.e., the hardware is new, but it is built to specifications which have been used for hardware which has previously flown). In addition, safety requirements and processes differ for the various carriers (e.g., Space Shuttle, Russian space station Mir, International Space Station (ISS)). Thus, the assigned SFS engineer and processes. range of related requirements familiar with а must be

Not only is the SFS engineer responsible for understanding various missions and carriers, he/she must also understand the various subsystems and operations associated with each experiment. This requires a broad background in systems engineering as well as design engineering. With a complete understanding of the system, the SFS engineer can then apply the appropriate safety requirements.

Due to the complexity of the various systems and the multitude of carriers, NASA LeRC has made efforts to take advantage of technological advances in information management systems to facilitate the interpretation and application of safety requirements. This effort has included the development of a website (containing a corporate knowledge repository and electronic templates) and the establishment of an independent peer review process.

Spaceflight Safety (SFS) Tools

Each space experiment undergoes a rigorous and comprehensive safety evaluation before it can be certified for flight. Information acquired by performing a safety analysis is documented in a safety compliance data package (SCDP). In addition to carrierspecific technical safety requirements, each experiment is also required to meet mission-specific safety integration requirements provided by the respective NASA Center responsible for payload integration (e.g., Johnson Space Center (JSC), Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC)). Each Integration Center has developed a set of safety integration requirements documents that are intended to represent tailored versions of technical safety requirements, supplemented with safety integration requirements. As a result, NASA LeRC has attempted to establish a standardized method of safety reporting for NASA LeRC experiments.

A website has been developed by the SFS engineers at NASA LeRC to serve as a repository of spaceflight safety information and to capture the collective experience gathered from various projects. The website, as an on-line reference of applicable safety data, can be used by both SFS engineers and experiment developers. As a medium to relay information, the website helps to ensure that the most recent version of safety data is available to the user in one easily-accessible location. It can also eliminate the need to send out reams of paper each time there is a revision to controlled information. As shown in the Appendix, the website contains the SFS Handbook, the SCDP instruction guide and templates, and hazard report templates.

The website address is http://www.osma.lerc.nasa.gov/sfs/.

The Spaceflight Safety Handbook serves as a collection of spaceflight safety requirements and knowledge of various experiment subsystems. Each chapter of the Handbook focuses on a specific subsystem (e.g., structures, electrical, etc.) for a typical space experiment and provides detailed information concerning applicable requirements, lessons learned, and typical hazards, causes and controls, which can

then be used in developing hazard reports. Designated teams of SFS engineers, representing a mix of experience and expertise, were responsible for the development of each chapter. The chapters were then converted into a consistent format for website display on the Internet.

The safety data package instruction guide details the programmatic requirements which encompass all NASA centers. This guide describes the format to use when creating a SCDP. In addition to containing information about SCDP development, a template was developed that includes ordered headings, titles, tables, forms, etc. Using this template, one can immediately begin an SCDP without spending an inordinate amount of time generating a new format for each SCDP. The template facilitates the creation of SCDPs which are similar in style and content, thus leading to the generation of more consistent and complete SCDPs.

The hazard report templates capture information on hazards common to many spaceflight experiments. Each of the NASA centers responsible for payload integration has attempted to generalize these hazards by providing standard hazard reports for certain types of hazards. These standardized hazard reports provide information on applicable safety requirements, hazard causes, and verification methods. Recently, the development of JSC Form 1230 has combined many of these hazard report templates into a single matrix format for application to fairly simple experiments. NASA LeRC has augmented the matrix with additional standard hazard reports templates of topics not covered by the matrix. The SFS engineer can then relate these hazards to her/his experiment to enhance consistency and completeness of their safety packages. In addition, each template is supplemented with experience-based information acquired in the development of previous safety packages.

Safety Assurance for Lewis Spaceflight Activities (SALSA)

Prior to the submittal of the final safety package to the formal review process, the SFS engineer coordinates with experiment Project personnel on the submittal of their safety package for a SALSA review, which provides an independent technical peer review of each safety package. This not only tends to enhance consistency among packages prepared by different SFS members, but also helps to train SFS engineers in fields outside of their area of expertise and experience. For new SFS engineers, this means learning about idiosyncrasies associated with the interpretation of sometimes ambiguous requirements. For more experienced SFS engineers, the SALSA review provides exposure to comments provided by other team members with greater experience in a particular technical area. The end result is a more consistent, complete and accurate safety package.

The SALSA panel consists of all of the SFS team members (both Civil Servants and Support Service Contractors), Safety Assurance Office (SAO) Management, and the Project Assurance Manager (who oversees the technical efforts of all relevant Safety, Reliability & Quality Assurance (SR&QA) disciplines). This brings to bear the collective

experience of the members of the SFS team and allows for effective utilization of their combined talents. The review is chaired by the Chief of the SAO, or his designee. Comments offered during the course of the review, although non-binding, are used by the Chair in determining whether or not to concur on the final safety package.

A typical SALSA review consists of a brief overview of the experiment design and operation, followed by a more detailed discussion of the hazards and controls associated with the experiment. Occasionally the line of questioning seems unduly harsh, but in retrospect, following the completion of the formal reviews, it is generally acknowledged that the SALSA review helped the NASA LeRC team to prepare and refine their presentation and to address areas of potential oversight. Since the resultant package is better, the chances of success at subsequent formal reviews is increased. In addition, the thoroughness of each review provides the project with assurances that the resulting package represents the collective experience of the SFS team, rather than the input of individual SFS engineers. The review also serves to enhance the credibility of the SFS team in working with the experiment's Project Office. This is particularly important in the current environment in which we (the SFS team) provide a service to the customer (the Project Office). In addition, Project personnel are able to witness first-hand, in an informal setting, the process by which the later reviews are likely to be conducted.

Summary and Recommendations

The use of standardized tools and processes has produced a number of benefits to SFS engineers and their customers:

- Safety packages are more complete, consistent and accurate;
- Experiment Project teams are better prepared for formal safety reviews;
- SFS Engineers are trained by virtue of being actively involved in the review of other packages;
- The experiment Project is provided with a heightened level of confidence in the product provided by the SFS team;
- Quick and easy access to relevant information is provided from locations with Internet access; and,
- The collective experience and expertise of the SFS team is utilized.

Although good progress has been made in developing and using these safety products and processes, effective implementation still lies ahead. In anticipation of these tools and processes achieving a more wide-spread use, the next steps include the following:

- Include information on ground safety (for Shuttle missions, this involves operations at NASA Kennedy Space Center to prepare experiment hardware for flight on the Shuttle);
- Include information on additional subsystems, such as ionizing radiation sources and pyrotechnic devices;

- Include new requirements associated with flight operations on the Russian space station Mir and/or the International Space Station (ISS);
- Develop and establish a process in which safety packages are created on a website server and made available to reviewers, thus avoiding the time and effort required to photocopy and distribute safety packages to reviewers.

Dan Goldin, the NASA Administrator, has directed the NASA workforce to work "faster, better, cheaper - without compromising safety." As a result, NASA LeRC has made efforts to take advantage of technological advances in information management to facilitate more efficient support of manned spaceflight activities.



The Spaceflight Safety team of the NASA Lewis Research Center's Safety Assurance Office (<u>SAO</u>) created this webpage to provide spaceflight safety practitioners and payload development personnel with tools to assist them in the development of safety compliance data packages (SCDPs). LeRC corporate knowledge along with requirements contained in various documents were compiled and used in the development of the following Spaceflight Safety Handbook, SCDP Templates, and Hazard Report (HR) Templates.

Safety Assurance for Lewis Spaceflight Activities (SALSA) is the process currently employed by the LeRC SFS team as a technical peer review of completed SCDPs. Information about this process is included on this webpage following the handbook and template sections.



SFS Handbook

The <u>Spaceflight Safety (SFS) Handbook</u> is divided into chapters highlighting the various subsystems which comprise a typical payload. Each chapter contains the following information:

- 1. a general subsystem description
- 2. a listing of applicable requirements
- 3. an identification of common hazards, causes, and controls
- 4. a miscellaneous section capturing other information, such as lessons learned and undocumented "requirements"

The purpose of this Handbook is to provide a quick reference for the individual evaluating the safety of spaceflight hardware. Currently, only hazards dealing with spaceflight systems are addressed. Future work will address ground systems and alternate carriers.



SCDP Templates

The Flight and Ground SCDP Templates provide a consistent format for the presentation of payload hazards and controls. *Their use is not intended to be in lieu of a hazard analysis*. Each template can be downloaded in Microsoft Word format or in WordPerfect format. Instructions for completing each template can be viewed on-line with a Portable Document Format (PDF) viewer such as <u>Acrobat Reader (Adobe)</u> or by referencing NASA Contract Report 198435 - Analysis of Microgravity Space Experiments Space Shuttle Programmatic Safety Requirements.

FLIGHT Safety Compliance Data Package Template

View instructions on line: PDF (632.0 KB) Template files:

<u>Microsoft Word 6.0 (63.0 KB)</u>

□ WordPerfect 6.1 (71.4 KB)

Requirements Applicability Matrix (for Flight SCDP only)

The Requirements Applicability Matrix, a necessary part of the Flight SCDP, is only available in WordPerfect version and PDF version format.

□ <u>WordPerfect 6.1 (414 KB)</u> □ <u>PDF (183.0 KB)</u>

GROUND Safety Compliance Data Package Template

View instructions on-line: PDF (595.0 KB)

Template files:

□ <u>Microsoft Word 6.0 (41.5 KB)</u> □ WordPerfect 6.1 (81.2 KB)



HR Templates & Form 1230

JSC Form 1230 has been developed by the Payload Safety Review Panel (PSRP) as a means of streamlining the formal safety review process. This form outlines many of the common hazards in a matrix format and is used in place of certain individual hazard reports in the SCDP. Hazard

report templates of common spaceflight hazards not addressed by the Form 1230 are currently being created by the LeRC SFS team.

JSC Form 1230

□ <u>View form on-line: PDF (293.0KB)</u> □ Microsoft Word 6.0 (23.0 KB)

LeRC Hazard Report Templates

These reports are currently under development and will be added as they are completed.



SALSA

Prior to the submittal of the final SCDP to the formal review process, the safety package can be evaluated at a SALSA review, which provides an independent technical peer review of content and format. This not only tends to enhance consistency among packages prepared by different individuals, but also provides each package developer with the opportunity to present their work to a panel acting as a mock PSRP.

The SALSA panel consists of all of the SFS team members (both Civil Servants and Support Service Contractors), SAO Management, and the Project Assurance Manager (who oversees the technical efforts of all relevant safety, reliability and quality assurance disciplines).

A typical SALSA review consists of a brief overview of the experiment design and operation, followed by a more detailed discussion of the hazards and controls associated with the experiment.

For further information about the SALSA process or to set a review time, contact Michael Ciancone, Spaceflight Safety Lead, at 216-433-5387 or via e-mail at [michael.ciancone@lerc.nasa.gov].

If you have questions about PDF or configuring your browser to view these documents, additional information can be obtained from <u>Viewer Help Page</u>.





Revised April 29, 1996



For further information, contact:

<u>Maria Havenhill</u> Curator maria.havenhill@lerc.nasa.gov <u>Michael Ciancone</u> Spaceflight Safety Lead michael.ciancone@lerc.nasa.gov

<u>Bruce Bream</u> OS&MA System Administrator bruce.bream@lerc.nasa.gov

You are visitor number 136 since 2/1/96. URL is http://www.osma.lerc.nasa.gov/sfs/sfshome.htm

References

- 1. "GAS Experimenter's Guide to the STS Safety Review Process and Data Package Preparation" (NASA GSFC), September 1993.
- 2. JA-012 Rev D, "Payload Safety Implementation Approach" (NASA MSFC Payloads Project Office), June 1988.
- 3. JSC 26943, "Guidelines for the Preparation of Payload Flight Safety Data Packages and Hazard Reports" (NASA JSC), February 1995.
- 4. NASA Contract Report 198435, "Analysis of Microgravity Space Experiments Space Shuttle Programmatic Safety Requirements" (NASA LeRC), January 1996.
- 5. NSTS 13830 Rev C, "Implementation Procedure for NSTS Payloads System Safety Requirements" (NASA JSC), July 1995.
- 6. NSTS 1700.7 Rev B, "Safety Policy and Requirements for Payloads Using the Space Transportation System" (NASA JSC), January 1989.

Biographies

Michael L. Ciancone NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 USA Michael Ciancone is a Spaceflight Safety Engineer for Space Experiments at the NASA Lewis Research Center (Cleveland, OH). He holds a B.S. in aerospace engineering and a B.A. in psychology from Case Western Reserve University (Cleveland, OH).

Maria T. Havenhill Micro Craft, Inc. 2001 Aerospace Parkway Brook Park, Ohio 44142 USA

Maria Havenhill is a Systems Safety Engineer at Micro Craft, Inc. supporting the Spaceflight Safety branch at NASA Lewis Research Center. She also acts as Curator of the SFS website. She received her B.S. and M.S.E. in mechanical engineering from Case Western Reserve University and is a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME).

Judith A. Terlep NYMA, Inc. 2001 Aerospace Parkway Brook Park, Ohio 44142 USA

Judith Terlep was formerly a Systems Safety Engineer with Raytheon Engineers & Constructors supporting the Spaceflight Safety branch at NASA Lewis Research Center. She is currently employed with NYMA, Inc. as an Electrical Engineer for the Space Experiments Division at NASA LeRC. She received her B.S. in electrical engineering from Grove City College and is a member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE).

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			
Public reporting burden for this collection of it	Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for i			
gathering and maintaining the data needed, a collection of information, including suggestions Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22	nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per i and completing and reviewing the collection of I s for reducing this burden, to Washington Hear 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management ar	response, including the time for review nformation. Send comments regarding Iquarters Services, Directorate for Info nd Budget, Paperwork Reduction Proje	ing instructions, searching existing data sources, this burden estimate or any other aspect of this mailon Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson ct (0704-0186), Washington, DC 20503.	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE June 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND D. Tech	ATES COVERED nical Memorandum	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5.	FUNDING NUMBERS	
Spaceflight Safety on the N	Iorth Coast of America			
6. AUTHOR(S)			WU-323-23-04	
••	a T. Havenhill, and Judith A. Ter	lep		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	8.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
National Aeronautics and S	pace Administration			
Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191			E-10322	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	10.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
National Aeronautics and S Washington, D.C. 20546–		NASA TM-107259		
(WORK FUNDED BY INASA CO 433-5387. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S		Ciancone, organization code 0530, (216)		
Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Categories 16 and 2				
This publication is available fro	m the NASA Center for AeroSpace Int	formation, (301) 621-0390.		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	ds)			
	ngineers at NASA Lewis Researc	ch Center (LekC) are respo	nsible for evaluating the	
microgravity fluids and cor for spaceflight on the Space ongoing activity at NASA I tools and processes. Teams safety knowledge database	e Shuttle, the Russian space static LeRC is the comprehensive train of SFS engineers worked on the	on Mir, and/or the Internati ing of its SFS engineers the development of an Interne by products) and the establis	NASA LeRC which are manifested ional Space Station (ISS). An rough the creation and use of safety t website (containing a spaceflight shment of a technical peer review	
microgravity fluids and cor for spaceflight on the Space ongoing activity at NASA I tools and processes. Teams safety knowledge database	e Shuttle, the Russian space static LeRC is the comprehensive train of SFS engineers worked on the and electronic templates of safet	on Mir, and/or the Internati ing of its SFS engineers the development of an Interne by products) and the establis	NASA LERC which are manifested ional Space Station (ISS). An rough the creation and use of safety t website (containing a spaceflight shment of a technical peer review view). 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 11 16. PRICE CODE	
microgravity fluids and cor for spaceflight on the Space ongoing activity at NASA I tools and processes. Teams safety knowledge database process (known as the Safe 14. SUBJECT TERMS Spaceflight; Safety	e Shuttle, the Russian space stati- LeRC is the comprehensive train of SFS engineers worked on the and electronic templates of safet by Assurance for Lewis Spaceflig	on Mir, and/or the Internati ing of its SFS engineers the development of an Interne by products) and the establis	NASA LeRC which are manifested tonal Space Station (ISS). An rough the creation and use of safety t website (containing a spaceflight shment of a technical peer review view). 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 11 16. PRICE CODE A03	
microgravity fluids and cor for spaceflight on the Space ongoing activity at NASA I tools and processes. Teams safety knowledge database process (known as the Safe	e Shuttle, the Russian space static LeRC is the comprehensive train of SFS engineers worked on the and electronic templates of safet	on Mir, and/or the Internati ing of its SFS engineers thu development of an Interne cy products) and the establis ght Activities (SALSA) rev	NASA LeRC which are manifested ional Space Station (ISS). An rough the creation and use of safety t website (containing a spaceflight shment of a technical peer review view). 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 11 16. PRICE CODE A03	

	•			
Prescribed 298-102	by	ANSI	Std.	Z39-18

-

. • •

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

f

,

Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Rd. Cleveland, OH 44135-3191

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

POSTMASTER: If Undeliverable — Do Not Return