A determination of the source of Jovian hectometric radiation via occultation by Ganymede
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Abstract. During the first Galileo flyby of Ganymede, Jovian radio emissions in the frequency range of 700 kHz to 5.6 MHz were completely occulted by the satellite. We take advantage of this serendipitous observation to determine the location of the radio emission source relative to Jupiter. The evidence suggests that the apparent source is along an L ≥ 7 magnetic field line near the central meridian longitude (∼ 160°) of the spacecraft and at 1 to 3 Jovian radii above the northern hemisphere of Jupiter. These results are consistent with a source located along either the Ganymede or Europa flux tube.

1. Introduction

The first Galileo flyby of Ganymede revealed that this satellite has an internal magnetic field [Kivelson et al., 1996] and a magnetosphere [Gurnett et al., 1996]. In addition, Jovian radio emissions up to 5.6 MHz were completely occulted by Ganymede for periods lasting up to about 24 minutes. We use the precise positions of Galileo, Ganymede, and Jupiter at the times of these occultations to locate the position of the source relative to Jupiter as a function of frequency.

The radio emissions of interest here lie between about 700 kHz and the 5.6 MHz upper frequency limit of the instrument. Emissions in this frequency range are usually referred to as hectometric radiation since the wavelengths are on the order of 100 meters. Extensive observations of these emissions were carried out by the Voyager Planetary Radio Astronomy investigation [c.f. Alexander et al., 1981; Ladreiter and Leblanc, 1991] and the Ulysses Unified Radio and Plasma Wave (URAP) investigation [Stone et al., 1992]. The URAP instrument has an upper frequency cutoff of 940 kHz, hence, it could observe only the lower frequency portion of these emissions. However, the URAP instrument has the capability to perform two-dimensional direction-finding measurements on radio emissions and was used to determine the location of hectometric emissions. Results from these studies yielded locations above the Jovian auroral zone on field lines ranging from L ≈ 6 [Reiner et al., 1993a,b] to L ≈ 11 [Ladreiter et al., 1994]. The current model for the generation of hectometric radiation is that it is generated in the high latitude, low altitude region near Jupiter via the cyclotron maser instability [c.f. Ladreiter and Leblanc, 1991].

The Galileo plasma wave instrument utilizes an electric dipole antenna mounted on the end of the 10.6-m magnetometer boom with a length of approximately 6.6 m tip-to-tip. Search coil magnetometers covering the frequency range up to 160 kHz are also used, mounted on the high gain antenna feed, but due to their limited frequency range are irrelevant to the current topic. The plasma wave receiver includes 158 spectral channels operated as a sweep frequency receiver covering the range from 5.62 Hz to 5.62 MHz, which accumulates a complete spectrum every 18.67 seconds. For a more detailed description of the instrument, see Gurnett et al. [1992].

Kaiser and Alexander [1976] were the first to use occultations by a moon to carry out radio direction-finding measurements. They used occultations of the Earth by the moon to determine the source position of the Earth's auroral kilometric radiation with RAE-2 and used the duration of time for the radio signal to disappear or reappear as an indication of the source size. At a given frequency the Ganymede ingress and egress occur over time periods on the order of a few 18.67-s sample periods, hence, we can apply basically the same technique as Kaiser and Alexander. Since Galileo has several frequency channels across the hectometric frequency range, we can also map the distribution of the emission source as a function of frequency.

2. The Occultation

Figure 1 is a frequency-time spectrogram showing the intensity of waves as a function of frequency and time using the color bar on the right to relate wave intensity to various colors. Blue represents the lowest intensity and red the highest intensity. For context, we have included the data down to 300 Hz so that the primary interaction between Ganymede's magnetosphere and Jupiter's magnetosphere can be seen [Gurnett et al., 1996]. Closest approach to Ganymede occurred at about 0630 spacecraft event time (SCET).

Of primary interest is the complete occultation of the hectometric radiation between about 0550 and 0624 SCET. Both the ingress and the egress appear to be extremely sharp, on the order of only a few 18.67-s instrument sweeps. Such a complete and sharply-defined occultation can only be explained by a single small source. The occultation is, however, frequency dependent in that the higher frequencies are occulted first and longest. This implies that the source location is frequency dependent.

The method of direction-finding by occultation is quite simple. As illustrated in Figure 2, we show Jupiter as the small body at the center of the figure and the limb of Ganymede at four different times by the four larger circles. The smaller pair of these represent the limb of Ganymede at 0559:30 and 0602:40 during which time the 1.0-MHz emission was occulted. The larger pair of circles represent the limb of Ganymede at 0620:20 and 0621:00 SCET when the emission reappeared. The source, then, must be one of the two regions of inter-
Figure 1. A frequency-time spectrogram showing the dramatic plasma and radio wave signature of Ganymede's magnetosphere and the sharp, total occultation of the Jovian hectometric radiation.

section of the four Ganymede limbs. Since it is highly unlikely that the two small sources were occulted simultaneously, one of them represents the real source. We plot both but based on earlier studies, we assume the source situated over Jupiter's north auroral zone is the actual source. During the occultation, the magnetic latitude of Galileo ranged from 6° to more than 8° north, so it is less likely that southern hemisphere emissions are being observed at this time.

Also in Figure 2 we show the results for 3.6 and 4.8 MHz. The three pairs of regions show the source sizes observed, ranging from regions of order 0.1 R_J at the lower frequencies to elongated regions of order 1 R_J at higher frequencies. The determination of the earliest and latest times for the ingresses and egresses is confused to some extent by temporal variations in the intensity of the radio emission. The regions plotted in Figure 2 probably reflect both the actual source size plus any errors associated with choosing the times. In the limit in which the errors dominate, the regions are more accurately thought of as error bars on the direction determination. In the opposite limit in which there is minimal timing error, the region would truly be the extent of the source.

We do not consider either the ephemeris data or the size of Ganymede (the radius of 2634 km is thought to be within 10 km of its true value [IAU/IAG/Cospar, 1996]), to be significant contributors to the errors. It is clear from Figure 2, however, that the limb of Ganymede is very large at egress (just before closest approach) and, as shown by the 4.8 MHz region, it is moving very rapidly. Using the length of this region and the egress duration of 90 s, the angular rate of motion of the limb as viewed by Galileo is about 0.6 mrad/s. Hence, timing errors will be most important for high frequencies at egress. Therefore, we believe that the elongated nature of the 4.8-MHz result is primarily due to timing inaccuracies and is not indicative of the true source extent.

One other source of error we have not considered quantitatively is the effect of refraction near the source, in the Io torus, or in Ganymede's ionosphere. Menietti and Reiner [1996] have recently shown that refraction can be substantial for propagation out of the meridian plane. However, our results suggest propagation in or close to the meridian plane, hence, the primary refraction would be in the Z direction. We discuss possible refraction effects below.

In Figure 3 we plot the midpoints of the source regions for several frequencies from 710 kHz to 5.62 MHz. The locus of solutions is along a line extending radially outward from Jupiter with the highest frequency solutions closest to Jupiter, consistent with emission along a field line via the cyclotron maser instability just above

Figure 2. The determination of source region extent based on the earliest and latest ingress and egress times at 1.0, 3.6, and 4.8 MHz.

Figure 3. Based on analyses similar to that shown in Fig. 2, a series of source locations can be derived as a function of frequency. Note that the sources align reasonably well with both the field lines that thread Ganymede and Europa. Field lines through Io and Callisto are also shown.
the electron cyclotron frequency. It is likely that the
skewing of the midpoints of the high-frequency source
locations to the east in Figure 3 is a result of the timing
time errors discussed above.

3. Discussion

Also in Figure 3 we have included some specific field
lines based on the 06 model without a current sheet
[Connerney, 1993]. We make the reasonable assumption
that the nearly linear locus of source positions should lie
along the source field line. The field line which appears
as nearly a straight line is that which threads Ganymede
near a System III longitude ($\lambda_{III}$) of 158° and $L \approx 15$
at the time of the occultation. The other field lines are
Europe’s at $\lambda_{III} \approx 164°$ and $L \approx 9.3$, Io’s at 357° lon-
titude and $L \approx 5.9$, and Callisto’s at 129° and $L \approx 26.5$. At
this time Galileo is at $\lambda_{III} \approx 158°$ and 15.2 Rj. The
alignment of the source directions with the Ganymede
and Europa field lines is fairly good, suggesting that the
source must be very close to the one or the other of these
two satellite’s flux tubes at the time of the occultation.

In Figure 4 we plot the directions determined in Fig-
ure 3 as viewed from a vantage point rotated 90 degrees,
or about 70 degrees System III longitude. We have also
plotted 158 degree field lines with $L$ values of 6, 8, and
15. The primary point of this construction is to illus-
trate that field lines of $L < 7$ are not consistent with
the apparent position of the observed lowest frequency
source locations and one must rely on larger field lines.
However, we cannot assess an upper limit to $L$. We have
also plotted contours of constant electron cyclotron fre-
frequency $f_{ce}$ in Figure 4 to show where the source direc-
tions intersect the illustrated field lines. For the higher
frequency directions ($> 4.8$ MHz), the solutions inter-
sect a family of field lines with $L \geq 7$ at about the appro-
appropriate $f_{ce}$ contour. For the middle frequency solutions,
however, the solutions do not intersect the appropriate
contour at all. We do not have a good explanation for why these solutions intersect field lines where the
cyclotron frequency is too low to support emission at
that frequency, unless the observed emissions are har-
monics. The 1.1- and 0.7-MHz solutions approach the
1-MHz contour, but only at very high latitudes.

It is possible that refraction plays a role, especially
at the lower frequencies. Since the sources we di-
scuss lie northward of high density regions near Jupiter
and propagate northward of the Io torus, we would
expect refraction away from these regions. The re-
result of refraction from these sources should be to place
the actual source even farther north than the appar-
rent direction. This would exacerbate the inconsistency
with the electron cyclotron harmonic contours. Even
though the measured plasma frequencies ($\sim 100$ kHz)
in Ganymede’s immediate vicinity are quite low com-
pared to the hectometric radiation frequencies, some
refraction may occur. The result of this refraction is
different if the density increases steadily with decreas-
ing altitude than if there are high density layers such
as in Earth’s ionosphere. In the former case, refra-
ction should be away from Ganymede, again consistent
with placing actual source locations farther north than
the apparent direction. Layering, however, could have
the opposite effect. No layering was observed in the in-
situ density measurements from either of the first two
Ganymede encounters, and the second encounter closest
approach was only 260 km above the surface.

Finally, given that the field lines near $7 < L < 15$ are
the source, one can see from Figure 4 that the emission
cone opening angle is of order 40°. This is consistent
with previous studies [c.f. Ladreiter et al., 1994].

The very sharp and total occultation observed during
the Ganymede flyby leads to the conclusion that at a
given frequency there is one very small source. Further,
the apparent alignment with a single or small range of
field lines near the central meridian longitude of Galileo
certainly raises the question as to what would make a
particular field line so significant. We suggest two pos-
sible answers to this question. First, a single, small
source could be explained if the emission is beamed
outward in the central meridian plane. Assuming emis-
sion in a hollow cone around the source field line as is
often assumed for the cyclotron maser instability, the
only way we can envision such a restriction would be
for the hollow cone to be oriented such that only the
southern-most extension of the cone is oriented toward
Galileo and that emission in other directions around
the cone are beamed to higher latitudes than Galileo. This
would seem to be highly unlikely since the condition
would have to exist over the entire range of frequencies
observed. Second, one would have to consider either the
Europa or Ganymede flux tubes as possibly connected
to the source region. One could imagine that the dra-
matic interaction between Ganymede and the Jovian
magnetosphere might drive currents similar in nature
to those driven by Io, albeit at a somewhat lower level.

Figure 4. A side view of the results shown in Figure
3 illustrating the source altitude above Jupiter. Note
that field lines with $L \geq 7$ must be considered in order
for the sources at all frequencies to fall on a single field
line.
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iter et al. [1994] suggest that there are probably multiple sources extended over a range of longitudes and Reiner et al. [1993a] suggest that the source could be distributed over 25 degrees in longitude. Why only one?

We should also point out that the observations presented herein were confined to a single 30-minute time period. At other times there may be emissions from locations other than the Ganymede or Europa field lines.
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**4. Conclusions**

We have used the occultation of Jovian hectometric radiation by Ganymede to do a precise direction-finding determination of the radiation source as a function of frequency. The source appears to lie along an L > 7 field line near the central meridian plane of the spacecraft of about 160 lambda at a distance of 1 - 3 Rj from Jupiter over the northern auroral zone for frequencies between 5.6 and 0.7 MHz, respectively. The apparent size at a given frequency is small, of order 0.1 Rj. The results are consistent with one of two possible conclusions. First, the source could be on a single or highly localized set of magnetic field lines threading Ganymede or Europa. The very strong interaction between the magnetospheres of Jupiter and Ganymede would certainly seem consistent with processes that might produce radio emissions. Second, the emission may simply propagate in the meridian plane and only the source at Ganymede's central meridian longitude is visible to Galileo at the time of the occultation.

Ladreiter et al. [1994] report central meridian longitudes for the sources they studied in the range of 40° to 130° and Reiner et al. [1993a] found a range of 30° to 115°. The 158° reported here is seemingly inconsistent with these results. However, Lecacheux et al. [1992] showed that the peaks in hectometric radiation occurrence probability in lambda are a function of the latitude of the observer. This implies there is a strong latitudinal beaming and that the latitude of the observer is important in setting the lambda range for optimal hectometric visibility. Since during this time period Galileo was orbiting with an inclination that favored southern latitudes, the lambda range required to place it in a latitude-limited beam would be different from most previous studies (e.g. Voyagers 1 and 2 and Ulysses inbound) that were based on trajectories biased to the north.