NASA/TP-1998-206895



Earth Probe Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) Data Product User's Guide

R. McPeters, P.K. Bhartia, A. Krueger, and J. Herman Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland

C. Wellemeyer, C. Seftor, G. Jaross, O. Torres, L. Moy, G. Labow, W. Byerly, S. Taylor, T. Swissler, and R. Cebula Raytheon STX Corporation, Lanham, Maryland

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Acknowledgments

The Level-2 and Level-3 data products described in this User's Guide were prepared by the Ozone Processing Team (OPT) of NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center. Please acknowledge the Ozone Processing Team as the source of these data whenever reporting on results obtained using the TOMS data..

The TOMS algorithm development, evaluation of instrument performance, ground-truth validation, and data production were carried out by the Ozone Processing Team (OPT) at NASA/GSFC. The OPT is managed by the Nimbus Project Scientist, R.D. McPeters. The current OPT members include Z. Ahmad, G. Batluck, E. Beach, P. Bhartia, W. Byerly, R. Cebula, E. Celarier, S. Chandra, M. DeLand, D. Flittner, L. Flynn, J. Gleason, J. Herman, E. Hilsenrath, S. Hollandsworth, C. Hsu, R. Hudson, G. Jaroos, N. Krotkov, A. Krueger, G. Labow, D. Larko, J. Miller, L. Moy, R. Nagatani, P. Newman, H. Park, W. Planet, D. Richardson, C. Seftor, T. Swissler, R. Stolarski, S. Taylor, O. Torres, C. Wellemeyer, R. Wooldridge, and J. Ziemke.

The TOMS instrument was built and launched by Orbital Sciences Corporation of Pomona, California.

Available from:

NASA Center for AeroSpace Information Parkway Center/7121 Standard Drive Hanover, Maryland 21076-1320 Price Code: A17

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Price Code: A10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	on	Page
1.0	INTE	RODUCTION
2.0	OVE	RVIEW
	2.1	Instrument
	2.2	Algorithm4
	2.3	Data Uncertainties
	2.4	Archived Products
	2.5	Near Real-Time Products
3.0	INST	RUMENT
	2.1	Description
	3.1	Description
	3.2	A 2 1 Declayer Calibration
		3.2.1 Prelaunen Canoration
		3.2.2 Radiance-Based Calibration Adjustments
	22	Wavelength Monitoring
	3.4	Gain Monitoring
	3.5	Attitude Determination 11
	3.6	Validation
40	ALG	ORITHM 13
	1120	
	4.1	Theoretical Foundation
	4.2	Calculation of Radiances
	4.3	Surface Reflection
	4.4	Initial B-Pair Estimate
	4.5	
	4.0	Validity Checks
5.0	4.7	
5.0	GEN	ERAL UNCERTAINTIES
	5.1	Accuracy and Precision of TOMS Measurements
	5.2	Calculated Radiances and Their Use in the Algorithm
	5.3	Comparison with Fairbanks Ozone Sondes
	5.4	Comparison with ADEOS/TOMS
	5.5	Comparison with Ground-based Measurements
6.0	PRO	BLEMS LOCALIZED IN SPACE AND TIME
	6.1	Aerosol Contamination
	6.2	Scan Angle Dependence
	6.3	Solar Eclipses
	6.4	Polar Stratospheric Clouds
	6.5	High Terrain
	6.6	Missing Data
7.0	DATA	A FORMATS
	7.1	Hierarchical Data Format
		7.1.1 Level-2 Hierarchical Data Format Product
		7.1.2 Level–3 Hierarchical Data Format Product

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section		Page
7.2	Native Format.	
	7.2.1 TOMS Ozone File (Level-2 Data Product)	
	7.2.2 CDTOMS (Level-3 Data Product)	
REFEREN	ICES	
RELATED	LITERATURE	
LIST OF A	CRONYMS, INITIALS, AND ABBREVIATIONS	

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A. STANDARD OZONE AND TEMPERATURE PROFILES	53
APPENDIX B. SOFTWARE TO READ HDF OZONE DATA	55
APPENDIX C. DATA AVAILABILITY	57
APPENDIX D. ATTITUDE ANOMALIES	59
APPENDIX E. MISSING DATA	63

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re Page
2.1	Earth Probe TOMS Instantaneous Fields of View
3.1	Estimated Change in EP/TOMS Instrument Sensitivity
3.2	Comparisons of Estimates of Instrument Change
4.1	Modes of Equatorial Distributions of Residues
5.1	Summary of EP/TOMS - Sonde comparisons
5.2	Time Series of EP/TOMS - ADEOS/TOMS total ozone differences
5.3	Percentage Difference of TOMS - ground ozone measurements as a function of time
6.1	TOMS Derived Ozone Error as a Function of Aerosol Index
6.2	Derived Total Ozone as a Function of Scan Position
7.1	Sample CDTOMS Daily Grid File Excerpt 44

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	Page
3.1	Earth Probe TOMS Albedo Calibration Constants and Gain Range Ratios
4.1	Pair/Triplet Wavelengths
4.2	Effective Absorption and Scattering Coefficients
4.3	Rotational Raman Scattering Corrections
4.4	Error Flags
5.1	Errors in Retrieved TOMS Ozone
6.1	Earth Probe TOMS Eclipse Exclusions (1996-1998)
7.1	TOMS Level-2 HDF SDFs
7.2	Detailed Description of TOMS Level-2 SDSs
7.3	Fill Values for Missing Scans
7.4	TOMS Level-2 HDF Coordinate SDSs
7.5	TOMS Level-3 HDF Coordinate SDSs
7.6	Format of TOMS Ozone File Header Record
7.7	Format of Data Records

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	<u>2</u>	'age
7.8	Detailed Descriptions	. 40
7.9	Format of Orbital Summary Record	. 41
7.10	Format of Trailer Record	. 42
7.11	Format of Header Line of CDTOMS Daily Grid	. 43
A.1	TOMS Version 7 Standard Ozone Profiles	. 53
A.2	TOMS Version 7 Standard Temperature Profiles	. 54
A.3	Umkehr Layers	. 54
D.1	Summary Listing of TOMS Attitude Anomaly Events	. 59
E.1	EP/TOMS Orbits with No Ozone Data	. 63
E.2	Incomplete EP/TOMS Orbits	. 63
E.3	EP/TOMS Orbits Containing Stare Mode Data	. 64

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is a guide to the data products derived from the measurements made by the Earth Probe Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (EP/TOMS), and processed by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). It discusses the calibration of the instrument, the algorithm used to derive ozone values from the measurements, uncertainties in the data, and the organization of the data products. The data begin July 25, 1996 and are ongoing at the time of this publication. These data are being archived at the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC), and being made available in near real-time based on preliminary calibration through the TOMS Web site as given in Appendix C.

The EP/TOMS was launched only a few months before another TOMS Instrument was launched aboard ADEOS, a Japanese meteorological satellite. The EP/TOMS was put in a lower, 500 km orbit in order to provide higher spatial resolution for studies of local phenomena. After failure of the ADEOS satellite on June 29, 1997, it was decided to raise the EP/TOMS orbit to 750 km to provide more complete global coverage. This was accomplished over the course of two weeks from December 4 through December 12 of 1997 during which no EP/TOMS data are available. The result is an EP/TOMS data set of one and one half years of high resolution data taken at the expense of full global coverage, and a continuing data set beginning in December of 1997 that provides more nearly global coverage. This data set can be used for monitoring of long-term trends in total column ozone as well as seasonal chemical depletions in ozone occurring in both southern and northern hemisphere polar spring. Other monitoring capabilities include detection of smoke from bio-mass burning, identification of desert dust and other aerosols as well sulfur-dioxide and ash emitted by large volcanic eruptions. A one and one half year gap exists in the long-term TOMS data record between the failure of the Meteor-3 Spacecraft in December of 1994 and the beginning of the EP/TOMS data record in July of 1996. In spite of this, the EP/TOMS data set represents a continuation of the TOMS dataset based on the Nimbus-7 and Meteor-3 TOMS from October 31, 1978 through December 28, 1994, and on EP/TOMS from July 15, 1996 into the future. A follow-on TOMS experiment is scheduled to fly aboard a Russian Meteor-3M Spacecraft planned to be launched in August of 2000.

The EP/TOMS is the first of three instruments built by Orbital Sciences Corporation to continue the TOMS Mission. These instruments are similar in design to the previous TOMS instruments. They provide enhanced systems to monitor long-term calibration stability, and a redefinition of two wavelength channels to aid in calibration monitoring and to provide increased ozone sensitivity at very high solar zenith angles. One of the other new TOMS was flown aboard the Japanese meteorological satellite, ADEOS, and the other is scheduled for launch aboard a Russian Meteor Spacecraft in August of 2000. Further discussion of the EP/TOMS instrument is provided in Sections 2.1 and 3.

The EP/TOMS was the only instrument aboard an Earth Probe Satellite launched on July 2, 1996. It achieved its initial orbit about 12 days later, and began taking measurements on July 15th. The EP/TOMS measures solar irradiance and the radiance backscattered by the Earth's atmosphere in six selected wavelength bands in the ultraviolet. It scans the Earth in 3-degree steps to 51 degrees on each side of the subsatellite point in a direction perpendicular to the orbital plane.

The algorithm used to retrieve total column ozone (also referred to as total ozone) from these radiances and irradiances is outlined in Section 2.2 and described in detail in Section 4. This algorithm is identical to the one used for the Version 7 Nimbus–7 and Meteor-3 TOMS data archive. Because of this, the initial archive of the EP/TOMS data set is also referred to as Version 7. A radiative transfer model is used to calculate backscattered radiances as a function of total ozone, latitude, viewing geometry, and reflecting surface conditions. Ozone can then be derived by comparing measured radiances with theoretical radiances calculated for the conditions of the measurement and finding the value of ozone that gives a computed radiance equal to the measured radiance.

Section 2 provides a general overview of the EP/TOMS instrument, the algorithm, the uncertainties in the results, and of other basic information required for best use of the data files. It is designed for the user who wants a basic understanding of the products but does not wish to go into all the details. Such a user may prefer to read only those parts of Sections 3 through 6 addressing questions of particular interest. In Section 3, the instrument, its calibration, and the characterization of its changes with time are discussed. The algorithm for retrieval of total ozone and its theoretical basis are described in Section 4. Section 5 describes the overall uncertainties in the ozone data and how

they are estimated, while Section 6 discusses particular problems that may produce errors in specific time intervals and geographical areas. Both sections identify some anomalies remaining in the data and discuss what is known about them. The structure of the data products is identical to those of previous TOMSs. This information is presented in Section 7. Appendix A tabulates the standard atmospheric ozone and temperature profiles used in the algorithm for ozone retrieval. Appendix B describes software available for reading the data files, and Appendix C provides information on data availability. Appendix D contains a catalog of Earth Probe Spacecraft attitude anomalies that affect the derived ozone at off-nadir scan positions by less than 1%.

2.0 OVERVIEW

2.1 Instrument

EP/TOMS was the only instrument aboard an Earth Probe satellite launched by a Pegasus XL rocket on July 2, 1996. The satellite reached its initial orbit of 500 km at an inclination 98 degrees and a local equator crossing time of 11:16 AM some 12 days later, and regular ozone measurements began on July 25. The EP/TOMS experiment provides measurements of Earth's total column ozone by measuring the backscattered Earth radiance in the six 1-nm bands listed in Table 3.1. The experiment uses a single monochromator and scanning mirror to sample the backscattered solar ultraviolet radiation at 35 sample points at 3-degree intervals along a line perpendicular to the orbital plane. It then quickly returns to the first position, not making measurements on the retrace. Eight seconds after the start of the previous scan, another scan begins. The measurements used for ozone retrieval are made during the sunlit portions of the orbit. In December of 1997, the EP/TOMS orbit was elevated to an altitude of 739 km with an inclination of 98.4°. The local equator crossing time was unchanged. Figure 2.1 illustrates the resulting instantaneous fields of view (IFOV) on the Earth's surface for adjacent scans and adjacent orbits. In its initial operation, the scanner measured 35 scenes, one for each scanner view angle stepping from right to left. The lower orbit was selected to provide the higher spatial resolution shown in Figure 2.1 at the expense of inter-orbit filling which would provide daily global coverage. Global coverage was not a concern until failure of the Japanese meteorological satellite, ADEOS which carried a TOMS and provided that function. After the orbit elevation, EP/TOMS gives better coverage between orbits resulting in 90% daily global coverage.



Figure 2.1 Earth Probe TOMS Instantaneous Fields of View Projected onto Earth's Surface. The right portion (samples 18-35) of two consecutive scans are shown, and a portion of a scan from the previous orbit is also shown to illustrate the degree of inter-orbit coverage at the equator for: a) 500 km orbit altitude, and b) 750 km orbit altitude. The higher orbit after December 1997, results in 90% daily global coverage (84% at equator and 100% at 30° latitude).

The ozone retrieval uses a normalized radiance, the ratio of the backscattered Earth radiance to the incident solar irradiance. This requires periodic measurements of the solar irradiance. To measure the incident solar irradiance, the TOMS scanner is positioned to view one of three ground aluminum diffuser plates housed in a carousel. The selected diffuser reflects sunlight into the instrument. The diffuser plate is the only component of the optical system not

common to both the Earth radiance and the solar irradiance measurement. Only a change in the reflectivity of the diffuser plate can cause a change of the radiance/irradiance ratio with time. In principle, an accurate characterization of these changes will yield the correct variation of this ratio, and hence, an accurate long-term calibration of the instrument. The three diffuser plates are exposed at different rates, allowing calibration by examining the differences in degradation of diffuser reflectivity resulting from the different rates of exposure. This approach was first used with Meteor 3 TOMS (Jaross et al., 1995) and proved to be very successful. In addition, the EP/TOMS is equipped with UV lamps for monitoring the reflectivity of the solar diffusers. A more detailed description of the instrument and its calibration 3.

2.2 Algorithm

Retrieval of total ozone is based on a comparison between the measured normalized radiances and radiances derived by radiative transfer calculations for different ozone amounts and the conditions of the measurement. It is implemented by using radiative transfer calculations to generate a table of backscattered radiance as a function of total ozone, viewing geometry, surface pressure, surface reflectivity, and latitude. Given the computed radiances for the particular observing conditions, the total ozone value can be derived by interpolation in radiance as a function of ozone. It is also possible to reverse this process and use the tables to obtain the radiances that would be expected for a given column ozone and conditions of the measurement. The logarith n of the ratio of this calculated radiance to the measured radiance is the residue.

The reflecting surface is assumed to consist of two components, a surface component of lower reflectivity and a cloud component of higher reflectivity. By comparing the measured radiance at the ozone-insensitive 360 nm wavelength with that calculated for cloud and for ground reflection alone, the effective cloud fraction and the contribution from each level can be derived. Using this effective cloud fraction and the radiances measured at one pair of wavelengths, an initial ozone estimate is derived using the tables. This ozone estimate is then used to calculate the residues at all TOMS wavelengths except the longest. A correction to the initial ozone estimate is then derived from the residues at selected wavelengths. Applying this correction produces the Best Ozone value. The choice of wavelengths is based upon the optical path length of the measurement. Section 4 provides a full description of the algorithm. The OPT has developed algorithms for the derivation of other parameters from the TOMS measurements in addition to total ozone. These include an estimate of UVB flux at the surface (Krotkov et al., 1998) and estimates of aerosol loading due to the presence of atmospheric aerosols (Hsu et al., 1996; Seftor et al., 1997; Herman et al., 1997; and Torres et al., 1995 and 1998a).

2.3 Data Uncertainties

Uncertainties in the ozone values derived from the TOMS measurements have several sources: errors in the measurement of the radiances, errors in the values of input physical quantities obtained from laboratory measurements, errors in the parameterization of atmospheric properties used as input to the radiative transfer computations, and limitations in the way the computations represent the physical processes in the atmosphere. Each of these sources of uncertainty can be manifested in one or more of for r ways: random error, an absolute error that is independent of time, a time-dependent drift, or a systematic error that will appear only under particular circumstances. For EP/TOMS total ozone, the absolute error is ± 3 percent, the random error is ± 2 percent (though somewhat higher at high latitudes) and the drift after 1.5 years of operation is less than ± 0.6 percent. More detailed descriptions of the different sources of uncertainty and the extent to w the each contributes to the overall uncertainty appear in Sections 3, 5, and 6. Section 3 discusses uncertainties due to errors in the characterization of the instrument error to yield the overall estimates given above. Section 6 c iscusses errors that are limited in their scope to specific times, places, and physical conditions. Sections 5 and 6 also d the remaining anomalies that have been identified in the EP/TOMS data set, with a discussion of what is know 1 of their origin.

Comparisons between EP/TOMS and ground based measurements of total ozone indicate that the EP/TOMS data are consistent with these uncertainties. The EP/TOMS ozone is approximately 1.0% higher than a 30 station network of ground measurements. Nimbus-7 TOMS is about 0.5% higher than a similar ground based network (McPeters and

Labow, 1996) and Meteor-3 TOMS is not significantly different from the same network. None of the TOMS ozone data sets show any significant drift relative to the ground based networks.

Data quality flags are provided with the derived ozone in the TOMS Ozone File (Level-2 data product). Only the data quality flag values of 0 are used to compute the averages provided on the CDTOMS (Level-3) product. Other flag values indicate retrieved ozone values that are of lower quality, allowing the users of Level-2 to decide whether or not they wish to accept such data for their applications.

2.4 Archived Products

The EP/TOMS total ozone products are archived at the GSFC DAAC in Hierarchical Data Format (HDF). There are two kinds of total ozone products: the TOMS Ozone File or Level-2 Data Product, and the CDTOMS or Level-3 Data Product. The TOMS Ozone File contains detailed results of the TOMS ozone retrieval for each IFOV in time sequence. One file contains all the data processed for a single orbit. The CDTOMS contains daily averages of the retrieved ozone and effective surface reflectivity in a 1-degree latitude by 1.25-degree longitude grid. In areas of the globe where orbital overlap occurs, the view of a given grid cell closest to nadir is used, and only good quality retrievals are included in the average. Detailed descriptions of these products are provided in Section 7. Each CDTOMS file contains one daily TOMS map (0.4 megabyte/day).

2.5 Near Real-Time Products

The EP/TOMS Level-3 data are also made available in near real-time over the internet. The near real-time products are not to be considered of the same high quality as those available through the archive, but they can be accessed earlier through the EP/TOMS Web Site at "http://jwocky.gsfc.nasa.gov/eptoms/ep.html".

3.0 INSTRUMENT

3.1 Description

The TOMS on board the Earth Probe satellite measures incident solar radiation and backscattered ultraviolet sunlight. Total ozone was derived from these measurements. To map total ozone, TOMS instruments scan through the subsatellite point in a direction perpendicular to the orbital plane. The Earth Probe TOMS instrument is identical to two other new TOMS instruments, one of which was flown aboard the Japanese Meteorological Satellite ADEOS I in 1996, the other is scheduled for launch aboard a Russian Meteor Spacecraft in August of 2000. These three are essentially the same as the first two TOMS, flown aboard Nimbus 7 and Meteor 3: a single, fixed monochromator, with exit slits at six near-UV wavelengths. The slit functions are triangular with a nominal 1-nm bandwidth. The order of individual measurements is determined by a chopper wheel. As it rotates, openings at different distances from the center of the wheel pass over the exit slits, allowing measurements at the different wavelengths. The order is not one of monotonically increasing or decreasing wavelength; two samples at each wavelength are interleaved in a way designed to minimize the effect of scene changes on the ozone retrieval. The Instantaneous Field of View (IFOV) of the instrument is 3 degrees x 3 degrees. A mirror scans perpendicular to the orbital plane in 3-degree steps from 51 degrees on the left side of spacecraft nadir to 51 degrees on the right (relative to direction of flight), for a total of 35 samples. At the end of the scan, the mirror quickly returns to the first position, not making measurements on the retrace. Eight seconds after the start of the previous scan, another begins.

On previous TOMS consecutive cross scans overlapped, creating a contiguous mapping of ozone. The low altitude of EP/TOMS (500 km) meant less overlap for EP/TOMS than for N7/TOMS (935 km), or M3/TOMS (1050 km), or ADEOS TOMS (800 km). Overlap occurred poleward of 50 degrees The initial mean localtime of the ascending node was 11:16 AM, and remained in the range from 11:03 AM to 11:30 AM throughout the first year. The orbital inclination was 97.55 deg. and remained essentially unchanged until the orbit was raised. Orbital altitude was 500 km, decaying to 495 km after 1 year. This translates to an orbital period of 94 min. 44 sec. at launch. Between December 4th and 12th of 1997, the Earth Probe orbit was raised to a mean altitude of 739 km. The new orbital period is 99.7 min. and has a 98.4° inclination. The time of the ascending node is essentially unchanged.

One significant difference in the new TOMS series from the previous Nimbus-7 and Meteor 3 TOMS is a change in the wavelength selection for the 6 channels of the three new instruments. Four of the nominal band center wavelengths (Table 3.1) remain the same on all TOMS. Channels measuring at 340 nm and 380 nm have been eliminated in favor of 309 nm and 322 nm on the new TOMS. Ozone 'etrieval at 309 nm is advantageous because of the relative insensitivity to calibration errors, though retrievals are limited to equatorial regions. Ozone retrievals at high latitudes are improved because 322 nm is a better choice for the optical paths encountered there.

Backscatter ultraviolet instruments measure the response to solar irradiance by deploying a ground aluminum diffuser plate to reflect sunlight into the instrument. Severe degradation of the Nimbus-7 diffuser plate was observed over its 14.5 year lifetime, and determining the resultant change of the instrument sensitivity with time proved to be one of the most difficult aspects of the instrument calibration (Cebula et al., 1988; Fleig et al., 1990, Herman et al., 1991; McPeters et al., 1993; Wellemeyer et al., 1996). The three-diffuser system aboard Meteor-3 and subsequent TOMS reduces the exposure and degradation of the diffuser used for the solar measurements and allows calibration through comparison of signals reflected off diffusers with different rates of exposure. The diffusers, designated Cover, Working, and Reference, are arranged as the sides of an equilateral tria gle and mounted on a carousel, so that a given diffuser can be rotated into view on demand. The Reference diffuser is exposed for the remainder of the time whether or not the solar flux is being measured. Comparison of the solar irradiance measurements from the different diffusers is used to infer that the degradation of the Reference diffuser on EP/TOMS was negligible during the initial low orbit period.

A new feature on EP/TOMS is the ability to monitor solar diffuser reflectance. A device referred to as the Reflectance Calibration Assembly (RCA) was added to the new series of TOMS. This assembly employs a phosphor light source with peak emission over the TOMS wavelength range. When powered on, the lamp illuminates the exposed diffuser surface which is then viewed using the TOMS scan nirror. The scan mirror also rotates to view the

phosphor surface directly. The ratio of signals in the two scan mirror positions is a measure of relative diffuser reflectance.

The EP/TOMS has eleven operating modes during normal operations. The most important of these are:

- 1. Standby mode
- 2. Scan mode
- 3. Solar calibration mode
- 4. Wavelength monitoring mode
- 5. Electronic calibration mode
- 6. Reflectance calibration mode
- 7. Direct control mode

The primary operating mode of the TOMS is scan mode. It is in this mode that the scanning mirror samples the 35 scenes corresponding to the scanner view angles, measuring the backscattered Earth radiances used for deriving column ozone. During the nighttime portion of the orbit the instrument is placed in standby mode, at which time the scan mirror points into the instrument at a black surface. During solar calibration mode the scanner moves to view the exposed diffuser surface. The remaining modes are specialized for calibration purposes as the names indicate. The direct control mode was also used several times in early 1997 to stop instrument scanning and make a continuous series of measurements along a single ground track.

3.2 Radiometric Calibration

Conceptually, the calibration of the TOMS measured Earth radiance and solar irradiance may be considered separately. The Earth radiance can be written as a function of the instrument counts in the following way:

$$I_m(t) = C_r k_r G_r f_{inst}(t) \tag{1}$$

where

 $I_m(t)$ = derived Earth radiance,

- C_r = counts detected in earth radiance mode,
- k_r = radiance calibration constant,
- G_r = gain range correction factor, and
- f_{inst} = correction for instrument changes.

The measured solar irradiance, F_m can be written as:

$$F_{m}(t) = C_{i}k_{i}G_{i}f_{inst}(t) / g\rho(t)$$
⁽²⁾

where

 C_i = irradiance mode counts,

- k_i = irradiance calibration constant,
- G_i = gain range correction factor,

 f_{inst} = correction for instrument changes,

 $\rho(t) = \text{solar diffuser plate reflectivity } (\rho(t = 0) = 1), \text{ and }$

g = relative angular correction for diffuser reflectivity.

In practice, however, there is no attempt to accurately determine k_r or k_i separately, either their absolute value or time dependent changes. The primary quantity measured by TOMS and used to derive ozone is the normalized radiance,

 I_m/F_m . The advantage of this approach is that the spectrometer sensitivity changes affecting both the Earth and solar measurements (f_{inst}) cancel in the ratio.

The ratio becomes:

$$\frac{I_m}{F_m} = \frac{C_r}{C_i} K \frac{G_r}{G_i} g\rho(t)$$
(3)

where K is a combined calibration constant for TOMS normalized radiances referred to as the albedo calibration constant (Table 3.1). Radiance and irradiance measurements are generally made in different gain ranges, but evidence indicates that G has been properly characterized (see Section 3.4). The initial absolute TOMS calibration therefore, involves knowledge of the quantity k_{rg}/k_i . Since the instrument changes affecting both the Earth and solar measurements cancel in the ratio, the quantity critical to the time-dependent calibration of the normalized radiance is the diffuser plate reflectivity, $\rho(t)$. The angular dependence, g, is primarily required to correct for the diffuser Bi-directional Reflectivity Distribution Function (BRDF), but also contains the small correction due to light scattered from the instrument.

 Table 3.1.Earth Probe TOMS Albedo Calibration Constants and Gain Range Ratios.

Wavelength (nm)	Albedo Cal Constant (steradian ⁻¹)	Adjustment Factor (ratio)
308.60	0.087	1.015
313.50	0.088	1.015
317.50	0.089	1.012
322.30	0.088	1.010
331.20	0.091	1.009
360.40	0.094	1.000
	Gain Range Ratios	
Range 2/1		Range 3/2
10.027		9.999

3.2.1 Prelaunch Calibration

Earth Probe TOMS prelaunch characterization included determination of the albedo calibrations, K, and band center wavelengths. Both of these are reported in Table 3.1. Several different methods were employed to measure the values of K for the six TOMS channels. These included separate characterization of radiance and irradiance sensitivity and direct measurement of the flight diffuser reflectance. Only one method was chosen to represent the instrument calibration.

The technique selected to calibrate the instrument radiance and irr diance sensitivity ratio (albedo calibration) involves calibration transfer from a set of laboratory diffuser plates. These Spectralon diffusers were independently characterized by GSFC and by NIST. A NIST-calibrated tungsten-halogen lamp is used to illuminate a Spectralon plate which in turn is viewed by the instrument. This yields an estimate of the radiance calibration constants k_r . The same lamp illuminating the instrument directly yields the irradiance calibration constants k_i . In the ratio of calibration constants many systematic error sources, such as absolute lamp irradiance, cancel. The value of k_i is also measured at various illumination angles to determine the angular correction g.

The film strip technique was used to determine instrument wavelength selection. Photo-sensitive film is placed to cover the six exit slits prior to final instrument assembly. An image of the exit slits is obtained by exposing the film with the slit plate acting as a mask. The film is then exposed through the monochromator using several emission line sources placed at the entrance slit of the instrument. The film images of these lines overlap the exit slit images, thus

providing for relative measurement of the two. Several films are used to provide optimum exposure and to give the best estimate for band centers.

A reassessment of the film strip data from ADEOS TOMS revealed a deviation from the nominal band center wavelength of the 312.5 nm and 360 nm channels of 0.02 nm and 0.3 nm respectively. These errors were determined by comparing the slit position on the film with positions of nearby emission lines. A similar analysis performed for the EP/TOMS film strip data yielded a 0.3 nm error in the 360 nm channel, but no error at 312.5 nm. No adjustment has been applied to the data to account for the 360 nm error. A 0.3-0.4 N-value error in the aerosol index results, and derived ozone is systematically high by approximately 0.5%. This ozone error is reflected in the time invariant wavelength calibration uncertainty in Table 5.1.

3.2.2 Radiance-Based Calibration Adjustments

The initial albedo calibrations of the wavelengths were adjusted prior to processing. The main motivation for this adjustment is algorithmic. Since different wavelengths are used to determine total ozone in different solar zenith angle regimes, it is imperative that the wavelength dependence of the initial calibration be consistent with the forward model calculation of the theoretical radiances used in the retrieval. Any inconsistencies can be identified through analysis of the residues (see Section 4.5 for further discussion of the residues). In cases where the A-triplet (313 nm, 331 nm, and 360 nm) wavelengths are used to determine total ozone and effective reflectivity, adjusted residues can be computed for the remaining wavelengths (309 nm, 318 nm, and 322 nm). These residues specifically characterize the inconsistency of the measured radiances with the total ozone and reflectivity derived using the A-triplet. Modal residues to A-triplet retrievals from the equatorial region were used to estimate the necessary adjustments (see Table 3.1). No adjustment has been made to the absolute scale (360 nm albedo calibration value). Since these adjustments were based on data from the first few days of operation, some small inconsistencies remain, on average, in the data (Figure 4.1), but these are well within the error budget discussed in Section 5.

3.2.3 Time-Dependent Calibration

As discussed in the introduction to this section, the time-dependent calibration requires a correction for changes in the reflectivity of the solar diffuser plate. The EP TOMS was equipped with a carousel with three diffusers that were exposed to the degrading effects of the Sun at different rates. The cover diffuser was exposed almost constantly, but the working diffuser was exposed weekly, and the reference diffuser was exposed once every 5 weeks. While the cover diffuser degraded quite rapidly, working and reference diffuser degradation has been minor.

Evidence for Working surface reflectance change has been observed through comparison of working and reference solar signals. By assuming that reflectance change is proportional to solar exposure amount we have estimated the total reflectance decrease in the working surface. Decreases at the end of 1997 relative to the initial values were 0.7% and 1.0% at 360 nm and 309 nm, respectively. The uncertainty is $\pm 0.1\%$. However, the decreases scale linearly with wavelength. Therefore, changes in triplet wavelength combinations are insignificant at the level of 0.1% (see discussion in Section 4.5). As a consequence, we have not applied a correction to existing data to account for diffuser degradation. We treat all solar data from the working surface as though its reflectance has remained constant.

Solar measurements are made near the northern terminator when the trailing side of the spacecraft and the TOMS solar diffuser carousel are exposed to the sun. Weekly measurements of the Working surface are presented in Figure 3.1, where the initial values have been normalized to 1 and signals have been corrected for sun/earth distance. These plots represent f_{inst} (equation 2) since we have assumed no degradation of the Working surface. In the figure, the 360 nm signal is shown along with the 331/360 nm signal ratio and the A triplet wavelength combination. The nearly 25% decrease at 360 nm is substantial, greater than previous TOMS instruments. The decrease in throughput is believed to be optical degradation of the fore-optics, probably the scan mirror. A curious feature is observed in ratios to the 360 nm channel, and exemplified by the 331/360 nm plot. The rate of decrease in instrument throughput was initially greater at shorter wavelengths, but reversed after 6 months. The reasons for this are not completely understood. It appears to be the result of two competing changes, one where sensitivity decrease is greater at short wavelengths and one where it is greater at long wavelengths.



Figure 3.1. Estimated Change in EP/TOMS Instrument Sensitivity Based on Solar Measurements Using the Working and Reference Diffusers. Working diffuser solar measurements (+), and fit characterizations used in the archive processing (solid line) are shown.

The solar Working measurements have been used to characterize the instrument calibration for the near real-time product. Since C_r and C_i of equation 3 cannot be measured simultaneously, C_i must be characterized at the time at which C_r are measured. A regression of existing solar data is performed every week to predict the value of C_i for the coming week. These predictions are shown overplotted in Figure 3.1. In near real-time mode, the regression changes each week with the addition of new data, so small weekly discontinuities result. Also, regressions are performed for 360 nm and the five wavelength ratios to that channel. This results in a somewhat poorer prediction for the triplet combinations, the characterizations which most affect ozone. Deviations typically do not exceed 0.3% in equivalent ozone.

The earth radiance data from 1996 have been reprocessed. The characterization of C_i used for this reprocessing was based on a smooth polynomial regression of the 1996 solar data. The near real-time predictions described above begin in 1997. The EP TOMS data are being archived in this form in 1998, and may be reprocessed using a smooth function later in the life of the mission.

3.3 Wavelength Monitoring

Following the laboratory wavelength calibration, an on-board wavelength monitor has tracked changes in the wavelength scale, both before launch and in orbit. Change might be produced by excessive temperature differentials or mechanical displacement of the wavelength-determining components resulting from shock or vibration. Scans of an internal mercury-argon lamp for in-flight monitoring of the wavelength selection are executed once per week during nighttime. The wavelength calibration is monitored by observing two wavelength bands on either side of the 296.7-nm Hg line. Relative changes in the signal level indicate wavelength shifts. These shifts are nearly equivalent at all 6 wavelengths. There is no evidence of any prelaunch wavelength drift. Wavelength monitor results indicate a drift in band centers since launch of less than 0.02 nm. Changes in the instrument wavelength selection of this magnitude are not considered significant for ozone retrieval.

3.4 Gain Monitoring

The current from the Photo-Multiplier Tube (PMT) is fed to three electronic amplifiers in parallel, each of which operates in a separate gain range. The choice of amplifier recorded for output is based upon the signal level. Thus, knowledge of the gain ratios between ranges represents part of the determination of instrument linearity, and the stability of the gain ratios can affect the time-dependent calibration of the normalized radiance (Equation 3). The two ratios were determined electronically prior to launch. The value of the ratios directly affects the ozone retrieval because the solar calibration takes place exclusively in the least sensitive range, while earth measurements occur in all three ranges.

In the postlaunch phase, the gain ratios are monitored using signals which are simultaneously amplified in all three ranges. These simultaneous readings are reported in the instrument telemetry for one scene each scan. Thus earth radiances can be used to verify the interrange ratios when the signals fall within the operating range for both amplifiers. This tends to occur near the day/night terminator in the orbit. Interrange ratios have been found to be constant in time, with average values close to the prelaunch characterization. The postlaunch averages used in ozone processing are reported in Table 3.1.

3.5 Attitude Determination

The spacecraft attitude has been well maintained since launch. Apparent large excursions (up to 40 deg.) have been observed in the horizon sensor, but these individual measurements do not represent true attitude changes and are averaged for 16 sec before being used for attitude adjustment. These excursions occurred several times per month on average during the low orbit period. They are believed to be caused by high energy particles creating noise in the attitude sensor. Maximum errors in the actual attitude have been 0.6 deg. in roll and pitch, and the mean value was about 0.1 deg. The errors arise when the spacecraft corrects for the perceived attitude error. Excursions always last less than 2 minutes and occur throughout the orbit. Yaw excursions can be slightly larger (~1 deg. max.) and longer in duration (~3 min.), but are correlated in time with roll/pitch changes. The effect of these attitude errors on solar calculations is negligible. Errors in retrieved ozone resulting from altitude errors are typically 1 D.U. or less and are always less the 4 D.U. These significant errors tend to be limited to the extreme off-nadir scenes. A table of orbits and times when large attitude excursions occurred is given in Appendix D.

3.6 Validation

Several techniques are employed to validate characterizations of instrument performance. Among these is an internal method based on the residues described in Section 4.5. Monitoring the triplet residue for the 309 nm channel is equivalent to the pair justification method (Herman et al., 1991). This method is being used to verify wavelength dependent changes in the spectrometer sensitivity, but cannot detect absolute changes at a single wavelength.

The spectral discrimination technique was first applied as the primary calibration technique for the Nimbus 7 TOMS, which had no on-board diffuser calibration apparatus (Wellemeyer et a., 1996). This method has been applied to the EP/TOMS data record. The trend in the 331 nm residue over highly reflective equatorial clouds indicates that the wavelength dependent calibration of EP/TOMS is stable to within a few tenths of a percent. Using the spectral discrimination technique, the difference in trend between the 331 nm residue over low reflecting surfaces and the 331 nm residue over highly reflective clouds can be used to derive the drift in calibration at the 360 nm reference channel. This analysis indicates a small upward trend in derived surface reflectivity of approximately 0.5 percent over the first 1.5 years. This drift, which is consistent with our estimate of working diffuser degradation would have no significant effect on derived ozone.

Absolute changes in spectrometer sensitivity have also been observed by studying signals measured at the nadir over Antarctica and Greenland and corrected for solar zenith angle dependence. The ice signal time series is plotted with the solar Working measurements in Figure 3.2. Greenland and Antarctica results have been combined in a single data set by normalizing results during their overlap at the first equinox. Solar and ice data are further normalized to 1 during the first week of data. Ice results represent weekly average sensitivity values determined for all available zenith angles up to 83 degrees. The solar and ice results at 360 nm exhibit good agreement, with deviations of less than 1%.



Figure 3.2. Comparisons of estimates of instrument change in the EP/[^]. OMS based on solar output and the reflectivity of Antarctica and Greenland.

4.0 ALGORITHM

The Earth Probe TOMS algorithm is based on the one used for Nimbus-7 and Meteor-3 TOMS. The major differences concern the use of the 360 nm wavelength for reflectivity instead of 380 nm and the use of 322 nm and 331 nm in the C-triplet instead of 331 nm and 340 nm for ozone determination. The Earth Probe and ADEOS TOMS algorithms are identical except for small differences in the band center wavelengths.

4.1 Theoretical Foundation

To interpret the radiance measurements made by the TOMS instrument requires an understanding of how the Earth's atmosphere scatters ultraviolet radiation as a function of solar zenith angle. Incoming solar radiation undergoes absorption and scattering in the atmosphere by atmospheric constituents such as ozone and aerosols and by Rayleigh scattering. Radiation that penetrates to the troposphere is scattered by clouds and aerosols, and radiation that reaches the ground is scattered by surfaces of widely varying reflectivity.

The backscattered radiance at a given wavelength depends, in principle, upon the entire ozone profile from the top of the atmosphere to the surface. The three shortest wavelengths used in the TOMS ozone measurements were selected because they are strongly absorbed by ozone. At these wavelengths, absorption by other atmospheric components is negligible compared to that by ozone.

At all of the TOMS wavelengths, the backscattered radiance consists primarily of solar radiation that penetrates the stratosphere and is reflected back by the dense tropospheric air, clouds, aerosols, and the Earth's surface. The intensity is determined primarily by the total optical depth above the scattering layer in the troposphere. The amount of ozone below the scattering layer is small and can be estimated with sufficient accuracy to permit derivation of total column ozone. Because most of the ozone is in the stratosphere, the principal effect of atmospheric ozone at these wavelengths is to attenuate both the solar flux going to the troposphere and the component reflected back to the satellite.

Derivation of atmospheric ozone content from measurements of the backscattered radiances requires a treatment of the reflection from the Earth's surface and of the scattering by clouds and other aerosols. These processes are not isotropic; the amount of light scattered or reflected from a given scene to the satellite depends on both the solar zenith angle and view angle, the angle between the scene and the nadir as seen at the satellite.

Earlier TOMS algorithms, previous to the current version 7 algorithm, were based on the treatment of Dave (1978), who represented the contribution of clouds and aerosols to the backscattered intensity by assuming that radiation is reflected from a particular pressure level called the "scene pressure," with a Lambert-equivalent "scene reflectivity" R. When this method was applied, at the non-ozone-absorbing wavelengths the resulting reflectivity exhibited a wavelength dependence correlated with partially clouded scenes. To remove this wavelength dependence, a new treatment has been developed, based on a simple physical model that assumes two separate reflecting surfaces, one representing the ground and the other representing clouds. The fractional contribution of each to the reflectivity is obtained by comparing the measured radiances with the values calculated for pure ground and pure cloud origin.

The calculation of radiances at each pressure level follows the formulation of Dave (1964). A spherical correction for the incident beam has been incorporated, and Version 7 treats molecular anisotropy (Ahmad and Bhartia, 1995). Consider an atmosphere bounded below by a Lambertian reflecting surface of reflectivity R. The backscattered radiance emerging from the top of the atmosphere as seen by a TOMS instrument, I_m , is the sum of purely atmospheric backscatter I_a , and reflection of the incident radiation from the reflecting surface I_s ,

$$I_{m}(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{0}, \Omega, P_{0}, R) = I_{a}(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{0}, \phi, \Omega, P_{0}) + I_{s}(\lambda, \theta, \theta_{0}, \phi, \Omega, P_{0}, R)$$
(4)

where

 λ = wavelength,

 θ = satellite zenith angle, as seen from the ground,

- θ_0 = solar zenith angle,
- ϕ = azimuth angle,
- Ω column ozone amount,
- P_0 = pressure at the reflecting surface, and
- R = effective reflectivity at the reflecting surface.

The surface reflection term can be expressed as follows:

where

$$I_{s}(\lambda,\theta,\theta_{0},\Omega,P_{0},R) = \frac{RT(\lambda,\theta,\theta_{0},\Omega,P_{0})}{1 - RS_{b}(\lambda,\Omega,P_{0})} \quad \text{and}$$
(5)

$$T(\lambda, \theta, \theta_0, \Omega, P_0) = I_d(\lambda, \theta, \theta_0, \Omega, P_0) f(\lambda, \theta, \Omega, P_0)$$
(6)

where

- S_{b} = fraction of radiation reflected from surface that atmosphere reflects back to surface,
- I_d = total amount of direct and diffuse radiation reaching surface at P_0 ,
- f = fraction of radiation reflected toward satellite in direction θ that reaches satellite,

and the other symbols have the same meaning as before. The denominator of Equation 5 accounts for multiple reflections between the ground and the atmosphere.

The intensity of radiation as it passes through a region where it is absorbed and scattered can be described in general terms as having a dependence $I \propto \exp(-\tau)$. For a simplified case, where all processes can be treated as absorption, the optical depth τ depends on the number of absorbers *n* in a column and the absorption efficiency α of the absorbers; that is, $I \propto \exp(-n\alpha)$. The column number should thus scale approximately as -log I. The ozone algorithm therefore uses ratio of radiance to irradiance in the form of the N-value, defined as follows:

$$N = -100 \log_{10} \left(\frac{I}{F}\right). \tag{7}$$

The N-value provides a unit for backscattered radiance that has a scaling comparable to the column ozone; the factor of 100 is to produce a convenient numerical range. (This same definition is used in the derivation of ozone from the ground-based Dobson and Brewer networks).

The basic approach of the algorithm is to use a radiative transfer model to calculate the N-values that should be measured for different ozone amounts, given the location of the measurement, viewing conditions, and surface properties, and then to find the column ozone that yields the measured N-values. In practical application, rather than calculate N-values separately for each scene, detailed calculations are performed for a grid of total column ozone amounts, vertical distributions of ozone, solar and satellite zenith angles, and two choices of pressure at the reflecting surface. The calculated N-value for a given scene is then obtained by interpolation in this grid of theoretical N-values.

The ozone derivation is a two-step process. In the first step, an initial estimate is derived using the difference between N-values at a *pair* of wavelengths; one wavelength is significantly abscrbed by ozone, and the other is insensitive to ozone. Use of a difference provides a retrieval insensitive to wavelength-independent errors, in particular, any in the zero-point calibration of the instrument. In deriving the initial estimate, the same pair is always used.

In the second step, N-values are calculated using this ozone estimate. In general, these calculated values will not equal the measured N-values. The differences, in the sense $N_{meas}-N_{calc}$, are called the *residues*. Using the residues at a properly chosen *triplet* of wavelengths, it is possible to simultaneously solve for a correction to the original ozone estimate and for an additional contribution to the radiances that is linear with wavelength, arising primarily from

wavelength dependence in the surface reflectivity but also possibly originating in the instrument calibration. The triplet consists of two pair wavelengths, as described above, plus 360 nm, which is insensitive to ozone. The pair wavelengths used are those most sensitive to ozone at the optical path length of the measurement. The separation of the 360-nm wavelength from the pair wavelengths is far larger than the separation between the pairs; thus, the 360-nm measurement provides a long baseline for deriving wavelength dependence. This process may be iterated, using the results of the first triplet calculation as the new initial estimate. Table 4.1 lists the wavelengths of the pairs and triplets.

Pair/Triplet Designation	Ozone Sensitive Wavelength (nm)	Ozone Insensitive Wavelength (nm)	Reflectivity Wavelength (nm)	Range of Application (optical path s)
A	312.6	331.3	360.4	1 ≥ s
В	317.6	331.3	360.4	$3 \ge s > 1$
С	322.4	331.3	360.4	s > 3

Table 4.1. Pair/Triplet Wavelengths

4.2 Calculation of Radiances

To carry out the calculation described in Section 4.1 requires the following information:

- · Ozone absorption coefficients as a function of temperature for the wavelengths in the TOMS bandpasses.
- Atmospheric Rayleigh scattering coefficients.
- · Climatological temperature profiles.
- · Climatological ozone profiles.
- Solar zenith angle.
- Satellite zenith angle at the IFOV.
- Angle between the solar vector and the TOMS scan plane at the IFOV.
- Pressure at the reflecting surface.

Because of the its finite bandwidth, TOMS does not measure a monochromatic radiance. For comparison with the TOMS measurements, radiances are calculated at approximately 0.05-nm intervals across each of the TOMS slits, using the appropriate absorption coefficient and temperature dependence (Paur and Bass, 1985) for each wavelength. The I/F for the entire band, $A(\lambda_0)$, is then given by the following expression:

$$A(\lambda_0) = \int A(\lambda)F(\lambda)S(\lambda)d\lambda / \int F(\lambda)S(\lambda)d\lambda$$
(8)

where

 $A(\lambda) = \frac{I(\lambda)}{F(\lambda)} \text{ at wavelength } \lambda,$ F(\lambda) = solar flux at wavelength \lambda,

- $I(\lambda)$ = earth radiance at wavelength λ , and
- $S(\lambda)$ = Instrument response function at wavelength λ .

The wavelength dependence of the solar flux is based on SOLSTICE measurements (Woods et al., 1996). This detailed calculation replaces the effective absorption coefficients used in Version 6.

Table 4.2 shows effective absorption coefficients for the EP/TOMS wavelengths. As discussed above, effective absorption coefficients are not used in the Version 7 algorithm. The same method of calculation was used as in Version 6, integrating the monochromatic laboratory values over the TOMS bandpass for the following conditions: a mid-latitude profile for $\Omega = 350$, a path length of 2.5, and a wavelength-independent solar flux. These effective absorption coefficients are given in Table 4.2. Because the effective absorption coefficient depends on the ozone profile, optical path length, and solar flux spectrum, the Version 7 technique of calculating I/F at individual wavelengths and then integrating over the TOMS bandpass eliminates the imprecision arising from using one set of effective absorption coefficients, derived for a particular set of conditions, for all calculations. Table 4.2 also contains the Rayleigh scattering coefficients and the regression equations used for the temperature dependence of the ozone coefficients. The values shown in the table are purely to illustrate the magnitude of the change; they have not been used in the algorithm.

Vacuum Wavelength (nm)	Effective Ozone Absorption Coefficient (atm-cm ⁻¹) at 0°C	Temperature Dependence Coefficients		Rayleigh Scattering Coefficient (atm ⁻¹)
	(C ₀)	C ₁	C ₂	
308.65	3.23	7.89 x 10 ⁻³	3.79 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.077
312.56	1.83	6.10 x 10 ⁻³	3.15 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.020
317.57	0.973	3.59 x 10 ⁻³	2.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.953
322.37	0.536	2.08 x 10 ⁻³	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.894
331.29	0.165	9.10 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.795
360.40	< 10 ⁻⁸	_	-	0.557
	Correction to oz	one absorption for te	mperature:	
Ozone absorption = $C_0 + C_1T + C_2T_2$ (where T is in degrees C)				

Table 4.2. Effective Absorption and Scattering Coefficients

Ozone and temperature profiles were constructed using a climatology based on SBUV measurements above 15 km and on balloon ozonesonde measurements (Klenk et al., 1983) for lower altitudes. Each standard profile represents a yearly average for a given total ozone and latitude. Profiles have been constructed for three latitude bands: low latitude (15 degrees), mid-latitude (45 degrees), and high latitude (75 degrees). There are 6 profiles at low latitudes and 10 profiles each at middle and high latitudes, for a total of 26. These profiles cover a range of 225-475 D.Us. for low latitudes and 125-575 for middle and high latitudes, in steps of 50 D.Us. The profiles are given in Appendix A.

Differences between these assumed climatological ozone profiles and the actual ozone profile can lead to errors in derived total ozone at very high solar zenith angles. The longer wavelength triplets are used at high path lengths because they are much less sensitive to profile shape effects. The differential impact of the profile shape error at the different wavelengths indicates, however, that profile shape information s present in the TOMS measurements at high solar zenith angles. An interpolation procedure has been developed to extract this information (Wellemeyer et al., 1997), and implement it in the Version 7 algorithm.

To use the new Version 7 ozone profile weighting scheme for high path lengths, it was necessary to extend the standard profiles beyond the available climatology. To minimize the use of extrapolation in this process, profile shapes were derived by applying a Principal Component Analysis to a separate ozone profile climatology derived from SAGE II (Chu et al., 1989) and balloon measurements to derive Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs). The EOFs corresponding to the two largest eigenvalues represented more than 90 percent of the variance. The EOF with the greatest contribution to the variance was associated with variation in total ozone. The second most important EOF was associated with the height of the ozone maximum and correlated well with latitude, showing a lower maximum at higher latitude. This correlation was used as the basis for lowering the heights of the ozone maximum at high latitudes and raising them in the tropics when extending the original climatology to represent the more extreme profile shapes (Wellemeyer et al., 1997).

Given the wavelength, total ozone and ozone profile, surface pressure, satellite zenith angle at the field of view, and solar zenith angle, the quantities I_m , I_a , T, and S_b of Equations 4 and 5 can then be calculated at the six TOMS wavelengths. For the tables used in the algorithm, these terms are computed at the TOMS wavelengths for all 26 standard profiles and two reflecting surface pressure levels (1.0 atm and 0.4 atm). For each of these cases, I_m , I_a , T are calculated for 10 choices of solar zenith angle from 0–88 degrees, spaced with a coarser grid at lower zenith angles

and a finer grid for higher zenith angles, and for six choices of satellite zenith angle, five equally spaced from 0–60 degrees and one at 70 degrees. In Version 6, the tables extended only to a satellite zenith angle of 63.3 degrees. The fraction of reflected radiation scattered back to the surface, S_b , does not depend on solar or satellite zenith angle.

4.3 Surface Reflection

To calculate the radiances for deriving ozone from a given measurement requires that the height and reflectivity of the reflecting surface be known. The TOMS algorithm assumes that reflected radiation can come from two levels, ground and cloud. The average ground terrain heights are from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Meteorological Center (NMC), provided in km for a 0.5-degree x 0.5-degree latitude and longitude grid. These heights are converted to units of pressure using a U.S. Standard Atmosphere (ESSA, 1966) and interpolated to the TOMS IFOVs to establish the pressure at the Earth's surface. Probabilities of snow/ice cover from around the globe are collected by the Air Force Global Weather Center and mapped on a polar stereographic projection. These data have been averaged to provide a monthly snow/ice climatology mapped onto a 1-degree x 1-degree latitude and longitude grid and used to determine the presence or absence of snow in the TOMS IFOV. If the probability is 50 percent or greater, snow/ice is assumed to be present. For cloud heights, a climatology based upon the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) data set is used. It consists of the climatological monthly averages over a 0.5 x 0.5-degree latitude-longitude grid. The impact of the use of this climatology on the TOMS derived ozone is discussed in Hsu et al., 1997.

Reflectivity is determined from the measurements at 360 nm. For a given TOMS measurement, the first step is to determine calculated radiances at 360 nm for reflection off the ground and reflection from cloud, based on the tables of calculated 360-nm radiances. For reflection from the ground, the terrain height pressure is used, and the reflectivity is assumed to be 0.08. For cloud radiances, a pressure corresponding to the cloud height from the ISCCP-based climatology is used, and the reflectivity is assumed to be 0.80. The ground and cloud radiances are then compared with the measured radiance. If $I_{ground} \leq I_{measured} \leq I_{cloud}$, and snow/ice is assumed not to be present, an effective cloud fraction f is derived using

$$f = \frac{I_{measured} - I_{ground}}{I_{cloud} - I_{ground}}.$$
(9)

If snow/ice is assumed to be present, then the value of f is divided by 2, based on the assumption that there is a 50-50 chance that the high reflectivity arises from cloud. The decrease in f means that there is a smaller contribution from cloud and a higher contribution from ground with a high reflectivity off snow and ice. Equation 9 is solved for a revised value of I_{ground} , and the ground reflectivity is calculated from Equation 5. For the ozone retrieval, the calculated radiances are determined assuming that a fraction f of the reflected radiance comes from cloud with reflectivity 0.80, and a fraction 1-f from the ground, with reflectivity 0.08 when snow/ice is absent and with the recalculated reflectivity when snow/ice is present. An effective reflectivity is derived from the cloud fraction using the following expression:

$$R = R_g(1 - f) + R_c f$$
(10)

where R_g is 0.08 when snow/ice cover is assumed absent and has the recalculated value when it is assumed present. This reflectivity is included in the TOMS data products but plays no role in the retrieval.

If the measured radiance is less than the ground radiance, then the radiation is considered to be entirely from surface terrain with a reflectivity less than 0.08. Equations 4 and 5 can be combined to yield:

$$R = \frac{I - I_a}{T + S_b (I - I_a)}.$$
(11)

The ground reflectivity can be derived using an I_a obtained assuming ground conditions. Similarly, if the measured radiance is greater than the cloud radiance, when snow/ice are absent, the reflected radiance is assumed to be entirely from cloud with reflectivity greater than 0.80, and an I_a derived using the cloud conditions is used in Equation 11 to derive the effective reflectivity. If snow/ice are present, the cloud and ground are assumed to contribute equally to I_m at 360 nm. Equation 11 can then be used to calculate new values of both ground and cloud reflectivities from these radiances. Radiances at the shorter wavelengths are calculated using these reflectivities and a value of 0.5 for *f*.

4.4 Initial B-Pair Estimate

The initial ozone is calculated using the B-pair, which provides good ozone values over the largest range of conditions of any of the pairs.

The first step is to calculate radiances for the conditions of the measurement—geometry, latitude, cloud and terrain height, and cloud fraction. For each ozone value in the table, radiances are calculated for the 1.0 atm and 0.4 atm levels, using ground reflectivity and the values of I_a , T, and S_b from the tables for the geometry of the measurement and a single ozone profile—the low latitude profile for measurements at latitudes 15 degrees and lower, the mid-latitude profile for 15 degrees < latitude ≤ 60 degrees, and the high latitude profile at latitudes higher than 60 degrees. These radiances are then corrected for rotational Raman scattering (the Ring effect). The correction factors, based on the results of Joiner et al., (1995), are shown in Table 4.3. They were computed using a solar zenith angle of 45 degrees and a nadir scan. The dependences on solar and scan angles, which are small under most conditions, are neglected. Two sets were calculated, one at 1 atm and the assumed 80 percent ground reflectivity for use with the 1-atm radiance tables and the other at 0.4 atm and the assumed 80 percent cloud reflectivity for use with the 0.4-atm tables. This correction greatly reduces the biases that had been seen between ozone values.

	Radiance Co	prrection (%)
Actual Wavelength (nm)	Pressure = 1.0 atm Reflectivity = 8%	Pressure = 0.4 atm Reflectivity = 80%
308.65		_0.167
312.56	0.17	0.006
317.57	-0.598	_0.311
322.37	0.126	0.056
331.29	0.310	0.139
360.40	-0.430	-0.175

Table 4.3. Rotational Raman Scattering Corrections

The ground radiance is then derived by interpolating between values for the two pressures to derive the radiance for the pressure at the terrain height from the grid. A similar process i: carried out for both pressures using cloud reflectivity, and the cloud radiance is derived by linear interpolation for the pressure level at the height given by the ISCCP cloud height climatology. Finally, the appropriate fractions of ground and cloud radiances, determined as described in Section 4.3, are added to yield I/F for all ozone values. These results are then converted to N-values.

The next step is to compare the measured radiance with the calculated radiance. The two tabulated ozone values whose calculated B-pair N-value differences bracket the measured N-value difference are identified in the table. A climatological ozone amount below the terrain pressure level is subtracted from these two bracketing table ozone values, and the initial ozone estimate is derived by linearly interpolating between the two resultant values, using the measured N-value and the two calculated N-values.

4.5 Best Ozone

Once an initial estimate of ozone has been obtained, it is used to calculate N-values at all TOMS wavelengths in the way described in Section 4.2, applying the rotational Raman scattering correction described in Section 4.4. N-values are calculated for each measurement, using one profile or two, depending upon the latitude. For latitude ≤ 15 degrees, only the low latitude profiles are used, for 15 degrees< latitudes ≤ 45 degrees, one set each is calculated using low and

middle latitude profiles, for 45 degrees< latitudes < 75 degrees, N-values are calculated using middle and high latitude profiles; and for latitude \geq 75 degrees, only N-values for high latitude profiles are calculated. Values of dN/d Ω are calculated, as well.

In general, these calculated N-values will not equal the measured N-values. In the derivation of the initial ozone estimate, reflectivity is assumed to be independent of wavelength, but for some surface conditions, such as sea glint, desert dust, or ice, the reflectivity will be wavelength dependent. In addition, residual errors in the instrument calibration can produce a wavelength dependent artifact in the measured N-value. Because of these effects on the spectrum of backscattered radiation and because of the simplifications used in its derivation, the initial ozone estimate will not be equal to the true ozone value. This error in ozone will also contribute to the discrepancy between the measured N-value N_m and the value N_0 calculated from the initial ozone estimate. The initial ozone estimate should, however, be sufficiently close to the true value to derive a correction using a first order Taylor expansion in the difference. The wavelength-dependent contribution from factors other than ozone, such as reflectivity and residual errors in the instrument characterization, is assumed to be a linear function of wavelength, a + b λ . Then,

$$N_m = N_0 + (\Omega - \Omega_0) \left(\frac{dN}{d\Omega}\right)_0 + a + b\lambda.$$
⁽¹²⁾

Let

 $r_{\lambda} = (N_m - N_0)_{\lambda}$ be the residue at wavelength λ , and

 $s_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{dN}{d\Omega}\right)_{\lambda}$ be the sensitivity at wavelength λ .

Equation 17 becomes:

$$r_{\lambda} = s_{\lambda}(\Omega - \Omega_0) + a + b\lambda. \tag{13}$$

The radiation at 360 nm is insensitive to ozone, and therefore $s_{360} = 0$. Further, since the reflectivity was derived at 360 nm, the residue is zero at that wavelength. Substituting into Equation 13 and solving yields:

$$a = -360b \tag{14}$$

and therefore, for the ozone-sensitive wavelengths,

$$r_{\lambda} = s_{\lambda}(\Omega - \Omega_0) + b(\lambda - 360). \tag{15}$$

There are two unknowns, Ω and b. Let $\Delta \lambda = \lambda$ -360. Using measurements at two wavelengths, labeled λ_1 and λ_2 , it is possible to solve for Ω :

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 + \frac{r_1 \Delta \lambda_2 - r_2 \Delta \lambda_1}{s_1 \Delta \lambda_2 - s_2 \Delta \lambda_1}.$$
(16)

Equation 16 is the form in which the algorithm applies the correction. Ozone values are derived for each of the two profiles selected.

Another form of this equation is:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda_2}{\Delta\lambda_1} = \frac{r_1 - s_1(\Omega - \Omega_0)}{r_2 - s_2(\Omega - \Omega_0)}.$$
(17)

This form illustrates how the correction is equivalent to assuming that the size of that part of the residual not arising from ozone error is linear with wavelength.

This situation is illustrated in Figure 4.1, which shows the modes of the equatorial distributions of residues at each channel as a function of wavelength. These modal residues represent a huge population, but they serve to illustrate concepts applicable to individual retrievals as well. Because the A-triplet is used exclusively at path lengths encountered in the tropics, the modal residues at 313, 331, and 360 nm are co-linear.



Figure 4.1. Modes of Equatorial Distributions of Residues for Each of the EP TOMS Channels as a Function of Wavelength. Residues are reported on the Level-2 product in units of N-value. A difference of 1 N-value is equal to 2.31%.

The A-triplet residue can be defined as:

$$r'_{\lambda} = r_{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda - 360}{331 - 360} r_{331}.$$
 (18)

The modal A-triplet residues for the 309, 318, and 322 nm channels are equal to their vertical displacement from the A-triplet line in Figure 4.1. These non-zero triplet residues indicate some residual wavelength dependent inconsistency in the measurement system. This may be due to calibration error, some systematic error in the atmospheric radiation transfer model used in the retrieval, or systematic wavelength dependence in the effective surface reflectivity at the bottom of the atmosphere. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, calibration adjustments have been made to remove the modal A-triplet residues. This is intended to remove the systematic offset that would occur between A-triplet ozone and B-triplet or C-triplet ozone. It also serves to normalize the triplet residues for use in the profile mixing scheme described below. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, t use initial adjustments were derived based on a limited population. Figure 4.1 is based on the first 1.5 years of data, so it represents the residual uncertainty in the current archive dataset which is less than 1% in ozone. It also serves to illustrate the concept of A-triplet residue. Note that similar definitions of B-triplet residue and C-triplet residue can be constructed relative to total ozone derived using these triplets as well.

For retrievals at latitudes where two profiles are used, an ozone value appropriate to the latitude of the measurement is then derived from the ozone values for the two profiles, using an equation of the following form:

$$\Omega = (1 - f_{prof})\Omega_{lower} + f_{prof}\Omega_{higher}$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

where

Ω	=	best ozone,
Ω_{lower}	=	ozone retrieved using lower latitude profile,
$\Omega_{ ext{higher}}$	=	ozone retrieved using higher latitude profile, and
fprof	=	weight given to higher latitude profile.

Thus, f_{prof} will be 0 if only the lower latitude profile is selected, 1 if only the higher latitude profile is selected, and in between for a combination of the two profiles. The choice of pairs and f_{prof} depends upon the optical path length $\Omega_0(\sec \theta_0 + \sec \theta)$, in atm-cm.

For path lengths less than 1.5, a value of f_{prof} obtained by simple linear interpolation in latitude,

$$f_{prof} = \frac{|latitude| - |latitude|_{lower}}{|latitude|_{higher} - |latitude|_{lower}}$$
(20)

is used for latitudes between 15 and 75 degrees using the two profiles appropriate to the latitude. The low latitude profile alone is used from the equator to 15 degrees, and the high latitude profile alone is used from 75 degrees to the pole. For a path length less than or equal to 1.0, the A-triplet wavelengths are used in Equation 16; for a path length greater than 1 and no greater than 1.5, the B-triplet is used with the same latitude interpolation.

For longer path lengths, the profile mixing scheme mentioned above in Section 4.2 is used to determine the profile mixing factor, f_{prof} . The basic principle is to improve the triplet ozone using profile shape information in the triplet residue of a shorter wavelength to determine the profile mixing factor defining a linear combination of the standard profiles that best explains the radiances at all four wavelengths. This profile mixing factor is defined as:

$$f_{prof} = \frac{r'(lower)}{r'(lower) - r'(higher)}$$
(21)

where lower and higher refer to latitudes of the two profiles used and r' refers to the B-triplet residue for the 313 nm channel for 1.5 < s < 3.0 and to the C-triplet residue at the 318 nm channel for $s \ge 3$. In most cases, the appropriate profile will be between the higher and lower latitude profiles, and the residues will be of opposite sign; thus the denominator represents a distance between the residues (or sensitivity to profile shape) and the numerator a fraction of this distance. When the low- and mid-latitude profiles are used, if the derived value of f_{prof} is greater than 1, the process is repeated using the mid- and high-latitude profiles; similarly, if $f_{prof} < 0$ when using mid- and high-latitude profiles.

The final step is to estimate the amount of the derived ozone that is beneath clouds. Estimates of the ozone amount under the cloud level pressure level are obtained for each of the two latitude profiles used to derive Best Ozone and the two tabulated ozone values on either side of the derived Best Ozone. The column ozone beneath cloud is then derived by interpolating in ozone and using f_{prof} to weight the latitudes. Finally, this ozone amount is multiplied by the cloud fraction f to derive the ozone in a particular field of view that is under cloud. The sensitivities are calculated from the sensitivities for the two profiles using the same weighting as for ozone.

4.6 Validity Checks

The algorithm contains several validity checks for maintaining data quality. Before measured radiances are accepted for use in ozone determination, the solar zenith angle, satellite attitude, and instrument status are checked to ensure the suitability of the radiances and other geophysical input to the algorithm. This section describes the quality checks performed to identify invalid and lower quality ozone values caused either by bad input data that passed preprocessing checks or by limitations of the ozone algorithm. It also explains the significance of the error flags that are set.

The principal tool used to investigate the validity and quality of a total ozone value is the set of residues. The residues measure how well radiances calculated based on the ozone derived using one set of wavelengths match the radiances measured at the other wavelengths. The usual significance of a large residue is that the atmospheric or surface conditions deviate significantly from those assumed in the algorithm, for example, if reflectivity has a non-linear dependence on wavelength. The final triplet residues for wavelengths used in the retrieval will be zero.

The first check is of all the non-zero residues; if any is greater than 122.5 in units of N-value, the error flag is set to 5. This condition usually arises when problems in the data stream lead to incorrect values for the measured radiance or when the atmospheric conditions are so unusual that the assumptions used in the calculation of radiances do not hold.

Data that pass flag 5 are checked for sulfur dioxide contamination. The SO_2 index (SOI) is defined by the following equation:

$$r = SOI\left[\frac{dN}{d(SO_2)}\right] + \Delta\Omega\left(\frac{dN}{d\Omega}\right) + b(\lambda - 360).$$
(22)

This equation is formulated in the same way as Equation 13, the basic equation for the ozone correction, with an additional term for sulfur dioxide contamination. The physical interpretation is that the mismatch between calculated and measured radiance has a component due to SO_2 in addition to the components due to ozone error, wavelength-dependent reflectivity, and residual calibration error accounted for in Equation 15. Using three wavelengths provides three equations, which can be solved for SOI as a function of the resicues, the sensitivities, and the wavelengths. The algorithm uses the residues at 317 nm, 322 nm, and 331 nm. The 312-nm wavelength is not used because it is more affected by aerosols. If the SOI is greater than 12.5, the error flag is set to 4. Since the triplet residues at the wavelengths used to derive the SOI are all zero when the C-triplet is used to derive ozone with the B-triplet to select the profile, SOI is not evaluated for path lengths greater than 3; the output data set will contain a fill value. SO_2 -contaminated data will still be likely to be flagged by the remaining residue tests, but the presence of SO_2 will not be identified.

In principle, Equation 22 could be used to simultaneously solve for ozone and SOI. However, the wavelengths best for ozone determination at a given path length are not necessarily the best for SOI determination. The more complicated expression for ozone that would result would significantly increase the computer time required, and the accuracy of the "corrected" ozone would likely be poor. For further information about SO₂ derived from TOMS measurements, see Krueger et al., 1995 and 1998, Schaefer et al., 1997,

The next check assesses triplet consistency. If a single triplet is usec, the triplet residue defined in Equation 18 is checked for the ozone-sensitive wavelength not used in the ozone determination: 317 nm in the case of the A-triplet, and 312 nm for the B-triplet. The maximum residues allowed, in N-value units, are 1.1 at 317 nm when an A-triplet determination is checked and 0.9 at 312 nm when a B-triplet determination is checked. If a second triplet is used to determine the profile, then the requirement is that a value of f_{prof} can be found such that $0.5 \le f_{prof} \le 3.5$. Values of f_{prof} outside this limit require such a degree of extrapolation that the profile is not considered highly reliable. If the data fail the relevant test, the error flag is set to 3. The next check uses the 331-nm residue. If this residue exceeds 4 in N-value units, the error flag is set to 2. Flag values of 3 or 2 resulting from large residues imply that the values of I/F may be inconsistent with the assumption that the linear correction can be used.

For solar zenith angles greater than 84 degrees, the algorithm loses accuracy. Most retrievals must make use of the C-triplet, which is not highly sensitive to ozone. In addition, the conditions depart from those for which the radiative transfer code was designed, in particular the extreme geometry (Caudill et al., 1997). For this case, the error flag is set to 1. Finally, the value 10 is added to the flag value for the data that are taken in polar summer on the descending (north to south) part of the orbit. While all flagged ozone values appear on the Level-2 data sets, only ozone values with the flag set to 0 for a good retrieval from the ascending part of the orbit are used to derive the gridded means of Level-3.

Table 4.4 summarizes the error flags, when they are set, and their significance.

Flag	Criterion	Significance
0	No other flag set	Good value
1	Solar zenith angle > 84°	Algorithm less accurate
2	r(331) > 4 (N-value)	Linear correction inadequate
3	$r_{trip}(317) > 1.1$ (N-value) (if A-triplet alone used) $r_{trip}(312) > 0.9$ (N-value) (if B-triplet alone used)	Linear correction inadequate
	f _{prof} < -0.5 or f _{prof} > 3.5 (profile selection)	Anomalous Profile
4	SOI > 24	Sulfur dioxide contamination
5	any residue > 12.5	Unusual atmospheric conditions or data stream problems
+10	Descending orbit	Data taken during descending (north to south) portion of orbit.

Table 4.4. Error Flags

4.7 Level 3 Gridding Algorithm

The level-3 gridding algorithm is used to combine the orbital TOMS measurements into a daily map product with a fixed global grid. The grid used is 1 degree in latitude by 1.25 degrees longitude over the entire globe. Only high quality level-2 data with a quality flag of zero as defined in Table 4.4 are included in the cell averages.

The cell averages are computed as weighted averages of TOMS parameters derived for IFOVs that overlay the given cell. For this purpose, a simple rectangular model is used for the actual TOMS IFOV, which is illustrated in Figure 2.1. The area of overlap between the rectangular IFOV and a given cell is used to weight its contribution to the given grid cell average. A single TOMS IFOV can contribute weight to more than one cell average within a single 1 degree latitude band. Contributions outside the latitude band are ignored as a simplification of the calculation. The dimensions of the model IFOV vary from 26 km x 26 km at nadir to 45 km x 80 km at the extreme off-nadir for the low 500 km orbit period, and from 38 km x38 km at nadir to 70 km x140 km at the extreme off-nadir during the high 750 km orbit period after December 12, 1996.

At higher latitudes where orbital overlap occurs, the orbit that provides the best view of a given cell is used. In practice, cell averages are computed separately for each TOMS orbit, and the one with the shortest average path index is selected. The path index is calculated as $\sec(\theta_0) + 2\sec(\theta)$, where θ_0 and θ are the solar zenith and spacecraft zenith angles respectively, defined at the IFOV. This index is designed to place more importance on the spacecraft zenith angle than on solar zenith angle relative to the proper calculation of geometric path ($\sec(\theta_0) + \sec(\theta)$).

The TOMS level-3 product is non-synoptic. The Western Pacific is measured near the beginning of the GMT day, and the Eastern Pacific is measured near the end of the GMT day. There is a 24-hour discontinuity in the data at 180th meridian. Individual TOMS IFOVs are sorted into different days across the 180th meridian to ensure that this is the only place where such a time discontinuity occurs.

TOMS level-3 products are archived at the Goddard DAAC in Hierarchical Data Format as described in Section 7.1.2. The derived total ozone and effective surface reflectivity are available in this form. The TOMS near real-time level-3 products are available via anonymous ftp in their native format, which is described in Section 7.2.2.

5.0 GENERAL UNCERTAINTIES

There are three areas in which uncertainties can be introduced into the ozone derived from TOMS: the accuracy and precision of the measurements, the value of the radiances calculated from the radiative transfer model, and the process of comparing the measured and calculated radiances to derive ozone. In each of these areas, errors of three kinds are possible: random errors, time-invariant systematic errors, and time-dependent systematic errors.

Table 5.1 summarizes the estimated uncertainties in the retrieved Earth Probe ozone. They are organized by kind of error rather than by where they originate in the ozone retrieval process. This organization makes it clearer how the errors are to be combined to derive a total error for the retrieval. However, the following discussion will be organized by where the error arises in the retrieval process, to clarify the relationship between the individual uncertainties and how they arise.

It is important to recognize that the use of a single number to describe the uncertainty from any source is an oversimplification. In all cases, the uncertainty in total ozone depends upon the wavelengths used in determining ozone, the uncertainty in the measurement at those wavelengths, and the sensitivity of the retrieved ozone to a change in the value of I/F at that wavelength. In addition, the error from a particular source will depend on the conditions of measurement, with values higher than the usual values under certain conditions. The entries in Table 5.1 represent values for the most common conditions. Some cases where the uncertain y may differ significantly from the values in the table are noted.

Source	Error (%)
Random—not applicable to long-term change (typical values—may be larger in winter months or under disturbed atmospheric conditions) Instrument noise Instrument characterization Atmospheric temperature Retrieval error Trongenberic ogone	0.3 0.3 1 1*
Net (Root sum of squares)	2.0
Time Invariant	
Rayleigh scattering Ozone absorption cross-section Wavelength calibration Radiometric calibration Retrieval error Net (Root sum of squares)	<0.5 <2** 1 <1 <1 <1 3
Time Dependent (over first year) Radiometric calibration Wavelength calibration Atmospheric temperature Tropospheric ozone	< 0.5 <0.25 0.16/°K).05/percent change

Table 5.1	Errors	in	Retrieved	TOMS	Ozone
Taule J.I.	LITOIS	111	NCU ICVCU	10000	OZONC

^{*} May be 5 percent or higher at very high solar zenith angles.

** Value for comparisons with non-UV instruments or UV measurement: evaluated using different ozone absorption cross-sections.

5.1 Accuracy and Precision of TOMS Measurements

There are three separate components to determining the accuracy and precision of the normalized radiances that are used in the total ozone retrieval from TOMS. First is the precision of the radiances, which is governed by instrument noise and by the digitization of the TOMS output. These factors produce random errors in the value that is given for measured radiance. The second is the initial laboratory calibration. An error in the absolute radiometric calibration or in the wavelength calibration may lead to a time invariant, systematic zero-point error or bias in the retrieved

ozone. The third is possible changes with time in the instrument sensitivity. An error here may cause a drift with time of the derived total ozone values.

Instrument noise has been reduced in the new TOMS instruments and does not contribute significantly to errors in derived ozone. The total random instrumental error is 0.3 percent. This error is the first entry under random errors in Table 5.1. As discussed in Section 3.2.3, uncertainty in near real-time fitting of newly acquired solar data leads to a small short-term precision error. It is labelled instrument characterization in Table 5.1.

The uncertainty of the initial radiometric calibration of EP/TOMS is less than 1 percent in derived total ozone. Uncertainties in the radiometric calibration at individual wavelengths may be somewhat larger than this, but since the ozone is derived using wavelength triplets, the impact on derived ozone remains small.

Errors in the instrument wavelength scale also can generate uncertainties in the retrieved ozone. The radiances that are calculated for comparison with measurements must be derived for the wavelengths and slit sensitivity of the TOMS instrument. If there is an error in the wavelengths assumed, then the calculated radiances will not be the same as those actually measured by the TOMS instrument, leading to an error in the retrieved ozone. Other than the 0.3 nm error at 360 nm discussed in Section 3.2.1, it is estimated that the initial TOMS wavelength calibration is known to ± 0.03 -nm accuracy. This uncertainty plus the 360 nm error correspond to a possible systematic error of about 1 percent in derived ozone, constant with time.

A wavelength calibration drift could produce a time-dependent error in ozone. As noted in Section 3.3, the wavelength calibration drifted by less than 0.02 nm over the first 1.5 years of the EP/TOMS data record, corresponding to a possible drift of less than 0.25 percent in ozone. The upper limit to the possible change appears on the second line under the time-dependent changes of Table 5.1.

The uncertainty in the time dependence of the radiometric calibration is estimated to be less than 0.5 percent in ozone. This uncertainty is relatively small because of the low solar exposure of the Earth Probe Working diffuser. Much of the uncertainty arises from the solar signal characterization. This situation is illustrated in Figure 3.1 by the fit of the A-triplet wavelengths. It is the uncertainty in the determination of the wavelength dependent calibration that is critical to the TOMS total ozone determination.

5.2 Calculated Radiances and Their Use in the Algorithm

Errors in the calculation of radiances have two principal origins: in the physical quantities whose values are obtained from laboratory physics and in the atmospheric properties assumed for the radiative transfer calculations. Calculation of radiative transfer through the atmosphere requires values for the ozone absorption and Rayleigh scattering coefficients. The values used in the algorithm are obtained from laboratory measurements. Any error in the laboratory values will propagate through the algorithm to produce a systematic error in the derived ozone. The first two lines in the time-invariant error group of Table 5.1 show the effect of the uncertainties in these quantities on derived ozone. In addition, the absorptivity of ozone is a function of the temperature. The calculated radiances are based upon climatological temperature profiles (Appendix A). However, if the temperature structure departs from the climatology, the absorption coefficient may change from that assumed in the algorithm, producing an error in retrieved ozone. The size of this error is shown in the second line of the random error group.

The third random error component listed in Table 5.1, called retrieval error, arises from variations of the properties of the real atmosphere about those assumed for the calculation of radiances. The most important of these is the difference between the actual vertical distribution of ozone and the standard profile used to compute the look-up tables. At low to moderate solar zenith angles, the TOMS derived total ozone is not significantly dependent on the ozone profile used. At high solar zenith angles, however, profile sensitivity is a significant source of error. The profile interpolation procedure described in Section 4.5 reduces this error, but does not eliminate it (Wellemeyer et al., 1997).

The fourth random error in Table 5.1 arises from possible variations in tropospheric ozone, in particular from cases where changes in tropospheric ozone do not affect the measured radiance. TOMS cannot measure ozone that is hidden from the instrument by thick cloud. In the TOMS algorithm, a climatological tropospheric ozone amount is

assumed to be present beneath the cloud fraction identified by the reflectivity channel of TOMS. Thus, the error due to hiding by clouds in a given measurement is equal to the error in tropospheric ozone times the cloud fraction, and the algorithm will, in general, be less sensitive to errors in tropospheric ozone if the cloud fraction is low. About 6 percent of total ozone is in the lowest 5 km, with a 50 percent variability. The radiation from the troposphere has both surface and atmospheric components: the surface component traverses the troposphere and provides a measure of tropospheric ozone, while the atmospheric component, arising from Rayleigh scattering, is not as sensitive to the ozone amount. Over surfaces with low reflectivity, the Rayleigh scattering component dominates, and the measured radiance will not be sensitive to departures from the standard tropospheric ozone profile. When the surface is highly reflective, the ozone-sensitive surface component is more important, and the TOMS estimate of tropospheric ozone improves; thus, the problem of tropospheric ozone is less significant over ice-covered regions such as the Antarctic. The retrieval also improves at low solar zenith angles when incident UV penetrates further into the troposphere (Klenk et al., 1982). A related error has to do with variability of the actual cloud height about the ISCCP climatology assumed in the algorithm. Standard deviations of about 100 mb occur near the equator and can be associated with 1.0% error in derived ozone, resulting from assigning the incorrect ozone beneath the cloud. Overall, TOMS measures roughly half of the tropospheric ozone variation.

Assignment of the temperature, retrieval, and tropospheric ozone errors as random is based upon an approach in which the atmospheric variations are not known and are treated as random variability about the climatology. However, if independent measurements of any of these quantities are available for a scan, then such measurements can be used to correct the ozone values derived from TOMS, and the error would no longer be random.

5.3 Comparison with Fairbanks Ozone Sondes

A number of ozone-sondes were flown from Fairbanks, Alaska during fall of 1996 in support of the EP/TOMS validation effort. These measurements have been used to validate the profile selection scheme described in Section 4.5. Nineteen coincidences have been identified between these Fairbanks ozone-sondes and EP/TOMS retrievals in which the profile selection method was applied. Coincidences were also identified between the ozone-sondes and measurements of the ozone profile by the SBUV/2 instrument on-board the NOAA-9 Spacecraft, so that a composite profile could be constructed of the lower atmosphere measured by the czone-sonde and the upper levels measured by SBUV/2. Figure 5.1a shows a sample composite profile compared to TOMS standard profiles for the same total ozone amount with profile shape selected purely by latitude (TOMS Version 6) and with profile shape determined using the mixing fraction f_{prof} in Equation 21. Figure 5.1b summarizes estimated errors in EP/TOMS total ozone relative to the composite profiles that are due to differences between the profile shape estimated using the TOMS profile selection scheme and that measured by ozone-sonde and SBUV/2. These are quite small considering that most of these retrievals are at solar zenith angles higher than 84 degrees. (See the first footnote in Table 5.1).

5.4 Comparison with ADEOS/TOMS

A TOMS instrument flown on the Japanese Meteorological Satellite, ADEOS, took data from September 11, 1996 through June 29, 1997 when contact was lost with the satellite. The ADEOS/TOMS was identical to the EP/TOMS, though it was flown in a higher orbit to provide complete daily global coverage. Similar calibration procedures were carried out for the two instruments, and the same retrieval algorithm was applied to both data sets. Figure 5.2 shows the time series of the percent differences in total ozone between Earth Probe and ADEOS TOMS, which fall within the experimental uncertainties. These differences may be compared with the A-triplet fitting in Figure 3.1.

5.5 Comparison With Ground-based Measurements

The EP/TOMS data have been compared with ground based measurements made by a network composed of 30 midnorthern latitude stations with Dobson and Brewer ozone measuring instruments. Each ground measurement was paired with the TOMS sample whose center was closest to the station; if two measurements were equally near, the one measured closest to nadir was used. A weekly mean was then calculated of the daily TOMS-ground differences at each station. These means were then averaged to derive a weekly average TOMS-network difference.

Figure 5.3 shows the percentage difference of TOMS—ground ozone measurements as a function of time. The EP/ TOMS total ozone is about 1.0% higher than the ground measurements. Similar comparisons of the Nimbus-7 and



Figure 5.1. Summary of EP/TOMS - Sonde comparisons. A) Comparison of profile shapes used in TOMS retrievals with simple latitude mixing (dots) and profile selection (dashes) with a coincident ozone-sonde from Fairbanks (solid). Each shape plotted contains the same total ozone, but the impact of the selected shape on TOMS derived ozone at 84.9 degrees solar zenith angle relative to the sonde amount is illustrated. A coincident NOAA-9 SBUV/2 measurement is used to provide ozone amounts in the uppermost six layers. B) Residual error in EP/TOMS retrievals relative to all nineteen coincident composite profiles from ozonesonde and SBUV/2 at high solar zenith angles.



Figure 5.2. Time Series of EP/TOMS - ADEOS/TOMS total ozone differences.



Figure 5.3. Percentage Difference of TOMS - ground ozone n easurements as a function of time.

Meteor-3 TOMS with ground measurements indicate biases of about 0.5% and 0.0% respectively. There is no significant trend in the bias, so only the mean bias and its standard deviation are noted in the figure.

Ground based comparisons also indicate an underestimation in TOMS at low ozone amounts in the Northern Hemisphere. This appears to be because of the lower tropospheric ozone amounts assumed in the standard profiles (Appendix A) for 225 D.U. and lower (McPeters and Labow, 1996).

6.0 PROBLEMS LOCALIZED IN SPACE AND TIME

6.1 Aerosol Contamination

Increased Mie scattering resulting from the presence of tropospheric aerosols modifies the radiative properties of the atmosphere and may significantly affect the radiances measured by TOMS. The triplet formulation described in Section 4 is designed to correct for such departures if they result in algorithmic residues that are linear with wavelength. This appears to work quite well except in the situation where absorbing aerosols are present. A careful study of this effect using a variety of absorbing aerosol models has indicated that absorbing aerosols are generally associated with a positive residue at 331 nm (the aerosol index), and that the resulting error in TOMS derived ozone is roughly linear with the 331 nm residue (Torres et al., 1998b). This finding is illustrated in Figure 6.1. Retrievals with 331 nm residues greater than four are flagged with an error code of 2 and are excluded from the level-3 product. However, Figure 6.1 indicates that significant errors in derived ozone may still be present. These situations occur in northern Africa and the equatorial Atlantic during late summer and fall when large quantities of desert dust are present in the atmosphere. They also occur when large quantities of smoke due to bio-mass burning or forest fires are present. Interested users may correct these data based on the results summarized in Figure 6.1 using the 331 nm residue (aerosol index) reported on the level-2 product. A level-3 product containing the aerosol index is planned for release later in 1998. We hesitate to provide a corrected data set because the modeling is quite specific and other sources of uncertainty contribute to the 331 nm residue. However, we think that corrections based on Figure 6.1 during episodes of absorbing aerosols in the troposphere will give 2 percent accuracy.



Figure 6.1. TOMS Derived Ozone Error as a Function of Aerosol Index in the Presence of Tropospheric Absorbing Aerosol. (D) Desert dust (C1 and C2) Smoke.
6.2 Scan Angle Dependence

For the near local noon equator crossing time, Sun glint can occur over water for clear sky and near overhead Sun. Under these conditions, the derived surface reflectivity is enhanced, a result of the extra radiation reflected from the surface. The consequence is that derived ozone is low under these conditions slightly east of nadir in the vicinity of scan position 22. This effect is illustrated in Figure 6.2, which shows weekly averages of equatorial ozone as a function of scan position away from and near equinox. The bottom panel shows a modest impact in the weekly mean, but individual scans show about a 2 percent effect. Individual samples susceptible to glint contamination are over water and have scattering angles, $\xi < 30^{\circ}$,

where $\xi = \cos^{-1}(\cos\theta_0\cos\theta + \sin\theta_0\sin\theta\cos\phi)$.

The upper panel shows ozone as a function of sample number for an unaffected scan. It shows a smaller scan angle dependence of about 1 percent, probably arising from scattering due to background aerosols and by cirrus cloud, neither of which is fully treated in the radiative transfer calculation described in Section 5.1. To date, no major volcanic eruption has injected aerosol into the stratosphere, during the EP/TOMS lifetime. If such an event occurs, significant scan angle dependence similar to that seen in Nimbus 7 TOMS after the eruptions of El Chichon and Mt. Pinatubo (McPeters et al., 1996) will result.



Figure 6.2 Derived Total Ozone as a Function of Scan Position. (Top) Typical weekly average scan dependence. (Bottom) Weekly average scan dependence affected by sea glint.

6.3 Solar Eclipses

When the Sun is eclipsed, the decrease in incoming solar irradiance leads to a decrease in the backscattered Earth radiance. However, because the solar irradiance used for the ozone retrieval is derived from measurements of the uneclipsed Sun, the derived I/F is not correct during times of eclipse. Consequently, ozone values are not retrieved for periods of time and ranges of latitude where the radiances are affected by a solar eclipse. In actual production, tabulated eclipse information is part of the input stream for the job run and is used by the software to exclude the eclipse periods and regions. These are shown in Table 6.1.

Year	Start	Time (UT)	End Time (UT)		Latitude	
	Day	Seconds	Day	Seconds	Min	Max
1996	206	46950	206	47142	-90	90
1997	67	83796	68	12648	5	84
1 99 7	244	78246	245	8586	-83	-10
1998	57	53424	57	723 ⁻⁷ 8	-36	66
1998	233	83412	234	18120	-67	36

Table 6.1 Earth Probe TOMS Eclipse Exclusions (1996-1998)

6.4 Polar Stratospheric Clouds

The effect of anomalously high clouds can be a significant error source for localized regions in the Arctic and Antarctic. Polar Stratospheric Clouds (PSCs) above the ozone peak may cause the TOMS retrieved total ozone to be underestimated for solar zenith angles larger than 70 degrees. Models indicate that the impact of these clouds on TOMS retrieved total ozone is a strong function of optical depth. Type % PSCs of optical depth 0.01 (composed of HNO₃/3H₂O, particle mean radius ~0.5 µm) may produce an underestim te of up to 2 percent at solar zenith angles greater than 80 degrees. Larger errors (up to 6 percent) may be introduced by Type II PSCs of optical depth 0.05 (water ice, particle mean radius ~5–50 µm). Underestimates as large as 50 percent may occur when Type II PSCs of optical depth 0.4 (associated with lee-waves) are present. No corrections have been made for the presence of PSCs, but they tend to be very localized in time and space, lasting 3–5 days with typical sizes of 1000–3000 km (Torres et al., 1992).

6.5 High Terrain

Users may note an apparent anticorrelation of ozone with terrain height, I articularly in the form of ozone dips above high mountain ranges. These dips occur because the algorithm retrieves the actual column ozone above the surface, not above sea level. The atmospheric ozone that would normally be present between sea level and the actual terrain height is "missing." Column ozone actually is lower above the mountains, in the same way as other atmospheric constituents. The relation between column ozone and altitude is thus not an artifact of the measurement but simply reflects the fact that when the surface is higher, there is less atmosphere above it. Some TOMS data users have made use of this effect to infer tropospheric ozone amounts in regions adjacen: to high mountains. It should be noted the measurement efficiency of the BUV technique used by TOMS is reduced for tropospheric ozone (Klenk et al., 1982).

6.6 Missing Data

A number of data gaps appear in the EP/TOMS data record due to loss of telemetered data. A summary of missing Level 2 data (whole orbits and partial orbits) is given in Appendix E. Note that the Level 3 data may appear complete when part of an orbit is missing at high latitude where data from an adjacent orbit may be used to complete coverage. Conversely, data coverage may be incomplete in situations where all of the telemetered data are available. For example, data gaps sometimes appear over western Africa when retrievals are flagged as bad quality due to high levels of UV absorbing desert dust. Any Level 2 data with quality flag other than zero are excluded from the Level 3 data set.

7.0 DATA FORMATS

7.1 Hierarchical Data Format

TOMS data products will be available electronically from the Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) in the form of Hierarchical Data Format (HDF) files (Ilg et al., 1993; Kalman, 1994). Along with the files, the DAAC will distribute HDF software tools for reading the files. A detailed HDF description is provided below for completeness, but the HDF tools available at the DAAC and elsewhere make it unnecessary to understand this detail except under special circumstances.

7.1.1 Level-2 Hierarchical Data Format Product

The standard archival Level-2 products are stored in HDF files, one for each orbit, at the GSFC DAAC. They are generated using version 3.3 release 4 of HDF available from the University of Illinois' National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA) and endorsed by the Earth Observing System Data Information System (EOSDIS) Project. The Level-2 file contains all output from the Version 7 ozone processing, including ozone and reflectivity products, as well as diagnostic parameters and a SOI, on a scan-by-scan basis for each TOMS daylit FOV.

The Level-2 HDF file consists of the following components:

- 1. A File Label
- 2. A File Description
- 3. Metadata (stored as a second file description)
- 4. Network Common Data Form (netCDF) style attributes
- 5. Multiple Data Scientific Data Sets (SDSs)
- 6. Multiple Coordinate SDSs

The File Label is a string that identifies the instrument, the spacecraft, date, and orbit number of the data within the Level-2 HDF. It has the following form: "TOMS_EP_yyddd_nnnnn", where yy is the (two-digit) year, ddd is the three-digit day of year, and nnnnn is the lifetime orbit number (i.e., revolution since launch, where orbit 1 is defined to start with the first ascending node equator crossing). Leading zeroes are used for yy, ddd, and nnnnn when applicable.

The File Description is a field of up to 40,000 ASCII characters which describes, in free form text, the Level-2 product and its generation algorithm.

Metadata include the following:

- 1. Data set name ("data_set=TOMS")
- 2. Data product name ("data_product=Level 2 orbital data")
- 3. Granule size ("granule_size=XXXXXXX" where XXXXXXX' is in bytes)
- 4. Time of first scan ("begin_date=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS" where 'YYYY" is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour', and 'SS' is second of minute in UT)
- 5. Time of last scan ("end_date=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS" where 'YYYY' is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour', and 'SS' is second of minute in UT)
- 6. Geographical flag ("geog_flag=O" indicating orbital data)
- 7. Northern latitude ("north_lat=SDD.DD" where'S' is + for northern hemisphere and for southern hemisphere and DD.DD is latitude in degrees)
- 8. Southern latitude ("south_lat=SDD.DD" where'S' is + for northern hemisphere and for southern hemisphere and DD.DD is latitude in degrees)
- 9. East longitude ("east_lon=SDDD.DD" where'S' is + for east of the Prime Meridian and for west of the Prime Meridian and DDD.DD is longitude in degrees)

- 10. West longitude ("west_lon=SDDD.DD" where 'S' is + for east of the Prime Meridian and for west of the Prime Meridian and DDD.DD is longitude in degrees)
- 11. Day/night flag ("day_night_flag=D" indicating daytime data)
- 12. Granule version ("granule_version=01" indicating first archive version
- 13. Producer granule ID ("producer_granule_id=alsNNNNN.hdf" where 'NNNNN' is orbit number with leading zeroes as necessary)
- 14. Number of scans including fill ("last_seq_index=XXX" where 'XXX' is number of scans)
- 15. Date and time of ascending node equator crossing ("date_eqx=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS where 'YYYY' is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour, and 'SS' is second of minute in UT)
- 16. Longitude of ascending node equator crossing ("long_eqx=SDDD.DD" where 'S' is + for east of the Prime Meridian and DDD.DD is longitude in degrees)
- 17. Spacecraft altitude at last scan ("altitude=XXX" where XXX is altitude in km)
- 18. Orbit number ("orbit=NNNNN" where NNNNN is orbit number)
- 19. Fill value for 4 byte signed integer ("miss_val_signed_4_byte=0x7fffffff")*
- 20. Fill value for 2 byte signed integer ("miss_val_signed_2_byte=0x7fff")*
- 21. Fill value for 1 byte unsigned integer ("miss_val_unsigned_1_byte=0x7f")* *Note: C code assignment shown for values in Table 7.3

The following netCDF style attributes are included:

- 1. Quality flag counters (32)
 - 1 Number of input/output errors for this orbit
 - 2 Number of scans read for orbit
 - 3 Number of scans written for orbit
 - 4 Number of samples out of range (total of 5-7)

Number of samples out of range for

- 5 Zenith angle > 88 degrees
- 6 Latitude
- 7 Instrument counts (negative)
- 8 Number of samples written that were bad (total of 9-32)

Numbers of individual error flags for each Algorithm Flag (see Table 7.2 for description of error and algorithm flags):

- 9 Number of samples that had error flag = 0 or 10
- 10 Number of samples that had error flag = 1 or 11
- 11 Number of samples that had error flag = 2 or 12
- 12 Number of samples that had error flag = 3 or 13
- 13 Number of samples that had error flag = 4 or 14
- 14 Number of samples that had error flag = 5 or 15
- 15–20 Same as 9–14 for Algorithm Flag = 2
- 21–26 Same as 9–14 for Algorithm Flag = 3
- 27-32 Same as 9-14 for Algorithm Flag = 4
- 2. TOMS band center wavelengths (nm), shortest first
- 3. Solar irradiance F-values at 1 A. U. (watts/cm³) for the current day at the six TOMS wavelengths, shortest first
- 4. Count-to-radiance conversion factors (watts/cm³/steradian/count) for each of the four gain ranges for each of the six wavelengths, shortest first
- 5. Nominal spacecraft zenith angle (degrees) at each scan position

There are 26 Data SDSs stored in the Level-2 product. Their names, dimensions and data types are listed in Table 7.1. More detailed descriptions, units, offsets, and scale factors are listed in Table 7.2. The data are stored as integers; to convert to the physical units, they must be added to the offset and then multiplied by the scale factor. Table 7.3 lists the fill values used for different data types for missing scans. An exception to these fill values has been identified in the Level-2 HDF for EP/TOMS and left uncorrected. An ozone value of -1 is given on rare occasions when an error flag of 3 is returned for algorithm flag 3 or 4 due to lack of convergence in the ozone algorithm. One-dimensional SDSs are stored in a TOMS scan number domain. Two-dimensional SDSs are stored in a TOMS scan number by TOMS scene number domain. Three-dimensional SDSs are stored in a TOMS scan number by TOMS scene number by TOMS wavelength domain. The dimension of 500 (column 2) is nominal. The actual dimension is "scan-number" (Table 7.4).

The four Coordinate SDSs stored in the Level-2 product are listed in Table 7.4.

Table	7.1	TOMS	Level_2	HDF SDSs	
raute	/	10000	LCVCI-2	1101. 2023	

Name of SDS	Dimensions	Data Type
LSEQNO	500	2 byte integer
YEAR	500	2 byte integer
DAY	500	2 byte integer
SECOND-OF-DAY	500	4 byte integer
ALTITUDE	500	2 byte integer
NADIR	500	2 byte integer
SYNC	500	2 byte integer
LATITUDE	35 x 500	2 byte integer
LONGITUDE	35 x 500	2 byte integer
SOLAR_ZENITH_ANGLE	35 x 500	2 byte integer
РНІ	35 x 500	2 byte integer
NVALUE	6 x 35 x 500	2 byte integer
SENSITIVITY	5 x 35 x 500	2 byte integer
dN/dR	6 x 35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
RESIDUE	5 x 35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
TOTAL_OZONE	35 x 500	2 byte integer
REFLECTIVITY	35 x 500	2 byte integer
ERROR_FLAG	35 x 500	2 byte integer
OZONE_BELOW_CLOUD	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
TERRAIN_PRESSURE	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
CLOUD_PRESSURE	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
SOI	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
ALGORITHM_FLAG	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
CLOUD_FRACTION	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
MIXING_FRACTION	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
CATEGORY	35 x 500	1 byte unsigned integer
The last index varies most rapidly in all	arrays.	

Table 7.2. Detailed	Description	of TOMS	Level-2 SDSs
---------------------	-------------	---------	--------------

SDS Name	Description		
LSEQNO	Sequence number of scan within orbit		
YEAR	Year (four digits) at start of scan, GMT.		
DAY	Day of year (1-366) at start of scan, GMT.		
GMT	Greenwich Mean Time in seconds of day at start of scan (1-86,400).		
ALTITUDE	Spacecraft altitude at start of scan (km).		
NADIR	Nadir scan angle, used to express the spacecraft's attitude error, the angle		
	between the vectors from the S/C to the local normal and from the S/C to the		
	FOV $(0 \le \text{nadir angle} \le 180)$ (x 100).		

SDS Name	Description
SYNC	Flag for chopper non-synchronization occurrence:
	0: Does not occur in current or next scan
	1: Occurs in current scan, not in next
	2: Occurs in next scan, not current
	3: Occurs in both current and next scan
LATITUDE	IFOV latitude, from 90° N–90° S (degrees x 100).
LONGITUDE	IFOV longitude, from 180° W-180° E (degrees x 100).
SOLAR_ZENITH_ANGLE	IFOV solar zenith angle (degrees x 100).
PHI	Angle ϕ between Sun and satellite measured at IFOV, (degrees x 100).
NVALUE	N-values (as defined in Section 4.5) at 6 wavelengths, shortest first (x 50).
SENSITIVITY	Sensitivity $dN/d\Omega$ at 5 shortest wavelengths, shortest first, obtained by table
	interpolation (matm-cm ⁻¹ x 10,000).
dN/dR	N-value sensitivity to reflectivity dN/dR at 6 wavelengths, shortest first
	$(\%^{-1} x - 50).$
RESIDUE	Adjusted residues (see Sections 4.5) at 5 shortest wavelengths, shortest first
	$(x \ 10 + 127).$
TOTAL_OZONE	Total Ozone (matm-cm), x 10.
REFLECTIVITY	Effective reflectivity assuming Lambertian surface (% x 100).
ERROR_FLAG	Error Flag
	0 good data
	1 good data, 84° < solar zenith angle < 88°
	2 residue at 331 nm greater than 4 in N-value units
	3 triplet residue too large
	4 SOI > 24 (SO ₂ contamination)
	5 At least one residue has absolute value larger than 12.5
	A value of 10 is added to the error has for all scans on descending (midnight)
OTONE DELOW CLOUD	part of orbit.
OZONE_BELOW_CLOUD	Estimated ozone below cloud layer (il adit-citi).
CLOUD DESSURE	Cloud pressure from ISCCP climatology (atm x 100).
CLUUD_PRESSURE	Subbur dioxide index (SOI) (matm-cm \pm 50)
SUL	Algorithm flag identifies triplet(s) used
ALGORITHM_PLAG	1: A-triplet alone used
	2: B-triplet alone used
	3: B-triplet used with profile selection (B-mix)
	4: C-triplet used with profile selection (C-mix)
CLOUD FRACTION	Effective cloud fraction, as defined in Section 4.3 (percent).
MIXING FRACTION	Mixing fraction front, which parameterizes contributions of lower and higher
	latitude profiles in ozone determinatio 1, as described in Section 4.5; values range
	from 0.5 to 3.5 (x 10).
	1.0 pure low latitude
	2.0 pure mid latitude
	3.0 pure high latitude
CATEGORY	Surface Category code
	0: ocean
	1: land
	2: low inland (below sea level)
	3: mixed land and ocean
	4: mixed land and low inland
	5: mixed ocean, land, and low inland

Table 7.2. Detailed Description of TOMS Level-2 SDSs (Continued)

Data Type	Decimal	Hexadecimal
1 byte unsigned integer:	255	xFF
2 byte integers:	32767	x7FFF
4 byte integers:	2147483647	x7FFFFFFF

Table 7.3.	Fill Values fo	r Missing Scans
------------	----------------	-----------------

Table 7.4. TOMS Level-2 HDF Coordinate SDSs

Name	Туре	Scaletype	Scalemin	Scalemax
scan-number	2 byte int	regular	0	#scans-1
scene number	2 byte int	regular	0	#scenes-1
wavelength_6	4 byte real	irregular	n/a (6 TOMS wavelengths)	
wavelength_5	4 byte real	irregular	n/a (5 shortest wavelengths)	

7.1.2 Level-3 Hierarchical Data Format Product

The standard archival Level-3 product contains global arrays of total ozone and effective surface reflectivity stored as daily HDF files. A Level-3 file is generated from each complete daily set of Level-2 files.

The Level-3 HDF file is comprised of the following elements:

- 1. a File Label
- 2. a File Description
- 3. Metadata (stored as a second file description)
- 4. 2 Data Scientific Data Sets (SDS)
- 5. 2 Coordinate SDSs
- 6. The File Label is "TOMS_EP_DAILY_GRIDDED_DATA_mm_dd_yy" where 'mm' is month of year (1-12), 'dd' is day of month, and 'yy' is 2-digit year. Leading zeroes are used in these substitutions.

The Level-3 file names have the following form:

algYYDDD.hdf

where YY is a 2-digit year and DDD is day of year.

The File Description provides background on the TOMS instrument, processing algorithms and data products, in free format. The following metadata are included:

- 1. Data set name ("data_set=TOMS")
- 2. Data product name ("data_product=Level 3 daily gridded data")
- 3. Granule size ("granule_size=XXXXXXX" where 'XXXXXXX' is in bytes)
- Begin date and time ("begin_date=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS" where 'YYYY' is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour, and 'SS' is second of minute in UT)
- 5. End date and time ("end_date=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS" where 'YYYY' is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour, and 'SS' is second of minute in UT)
- 6. Geographical flag ("geog_flag=G" indicating global data)
- 7. Northern latitude ("north_lat=+90.00")
- 8. Southern latitude ("south_lat=-90.00")
- 9. East longitude ("east_lon=+180.00")
- 10. West longitude ("west_lon=-180.00")
- 11. Day/night flag ("day_night_flag=D" indicating daytime data)
- 12. Granule version ("granule_version=01" indicating first archive version)

- 13. Producer granule ID ("producer_granule_id=algYYDDD.hdf" where 'YY' is 2-digit year and 'DDD' is day of year both with leading zeroes as necessary)
- 14. Fill value for ozone ("miss_val_ozone=0")
- 15. Fill value for reflectivity ("miss_val_ref=999")
- Local time of ascending node equator crossing ('lect=YYYY-MM-DD HH:MM:SS where 'YYYY' is year, 'MM' is month of year (1-12), 'DD' is day of month, 'HH' is hour of day, 'MM' is minute of hour, and 'SS' is second of minute)

The data stored in the SDSs are on a fixed 1-degree latitude by 1.25-degree longitude grid. The gridded ozone values are stored as 3-digit integers in units of matm-cm. Reflectivity in percent, is also stored as 2-byte integers. Grid cells that are missing data due to lack of sunlight or other problems will be filled with 0 for ozone, 999 for reflectivity.

The two Coordinate SDSs stored in the Level-3 product are listed in Table 7.5.

Name	Туре	Scaletype	Scalemin	Scalemax
Latitude	4 byte real	regular	-89.5	89.5
Longitude	4 byte real	regular	-179.375	179.375

Table 7.5. TOMS Level-3 HDF Coordinate SDSs

7.2 Native Format

7.2.1 TOMS Ozone File (Level-2 Data Product)

The TOMS Ozone File, also called the Level-2 Data Product, is a binary file, written as FORTRAN unformatted records. It is generated under UNIX. These files are used primarily as part of the TOMS processing. They are not normally distributed but may be obtained by special arrangement.

Each file contains all of the data processed for a single day. The first record of the file is a header, written in character format, containing information on the production hardware and software for both the Level–2 product and the Level–1 product used to generate it, the date and time the Level–2 file was generated, and the time period that the data on the file cover. The data records follow, ordered chronologically by time (GMT) of observation, and grouped by TOMS orbit. Each data record contains the information processed from one scan of the TOMS instrument. Only daylight scans, where the solar zenith angle at the nadir view for the scan is less than or equal to 92 degrees, have been processed by the ozone algorithm and written to the ozone file. The end of an orbit is indicated by a record called the orbital summary record, which contains the date, time, and location of the start and end of the orbit and of the equator crossing, counts of the number of scans processed and those flagged for various reasons, and other summary and ancillary information for the orbit. The last record of the file, called the trailer record, contains the time and date of the first and last scan of the last orbit of the day and the total number of he scans processed and flagged for various reasons for all orbits.

Each type of record, other than the header, can be identified by the logical sequence number, which is stored as an integer in the two most significant bytes of the third word of the record. All data records have a positive logical sequence number that counts the order of that record within the orbit to which it belongs, starting with a value of 1 for the first data record of the orbit. The orbital summary record for each orbit has a *negative* logical sequence number whose absolute value is one greater than that of the last data record of the orbit. The trailer record contains the unique logical sequence number of -1, which may be used to identify the end of the file.

Tables 7.6–7.10 contain, in order, the format of the header record, the format of the data records, a detailed description of selected words in the data record, the format of the orbital summary record, and the format of the trailer record.

Bytes	Character Representation*	Description
1–9	TOMS-EP	Spacecraft identification.
10-14	FM-3 _b	Flight model identifier.
	-	1= Nimbus
		2= Meteor
		3= Earth Probe
		4= ADEOS
15-22	LEVEL-2 _b	Data product identification
23-38	BY _b XXXXXXXXXXX _b	Program name in 12 characters, e.g., ozt.f
39–51	VERSION _b XXXX _b	Program version in 4 characters, e.g., 1.0
52–63	MMM _b DD _b YYYY _b	Program date in month-day-year, e.g., JUL 01 1994
64-83	ON _b XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX _b	Processing environment, char., e.g., ALPHA UNIX V
84–106	GEN _b MMM _b DD _b YYYY _b HHMMSS _b	Time in month, day, year, hours, minutes, and seconds, corresponding to generation time of file
107-135	DATA, SPAN, MMM, DD, YYYY, HHMMS	Time in month day year hours minutes and seconds
107 155	Sk	corresponding to start of data span on file.
136-159	TO _b MMM _b DD _b YYYY _b HHMMSS _{bbb}	Time in month, day, year, hours, minutes, and seconds,
		corresponding to end of data span on file.
160–170	LEVEL-1 _b BY _b	Indicates that Level-1 program name follows.
172-220	rufgen.c	Program name and version information.
221-2100	Blanks	Blank space
* Character	"b" is used to indicate a blank character.	

Table 7.6.	Format of T	OMS Ozone	File	Header	Record
------------	-------------	------------------	------	--------	--------

Word	Byte 1	Byte 2	Byte 3	Byte 4		
1		Orbit number				
2		GMT (seconds of	f day) at start of scan			
3	Logical sequence nu	umber	Chopper synchroniz	Chopper synchronization flag		
4	Day of year at start	of scan	Year at start of scan	Year at start of scan		
5	Altitude		Sample 1 view angle	Sample 1 view angle		
6	Latitude		Longitude			
7	Solar Zenith Angle		φ Angle			
8	N ₃₀₉		N313	N ₃₁₃		
9	N ₃₁₈		N ₃₂₂			
10	N ₃₃₁		N ₃₆₀			
11	$(dN/d\Omega)_{309}$		$(dN/d\Omega)_{313}$			
12	$(dN/d\Omega)_{318}$		$(dN/d\Omega)_{322}$			
13	$(dN/d\Omega)_{331}$		Reflectivity			
14	Total Ozone		Error Flag			
15	$(dN/dR)_{309}$	$(dN/dR)_{313}$	$(dN/dR)_{318}$	$(dN/dR)_{322}$		
16	$(dN/dR)_{331}$	$(dN/dR)_{360}$	Fill	Terrain pressure		
17	$RES(N_{309})$	$RES(N_{313})$	$RES(N_{318})$	$RES(N_{322})$		
18	$RES(N_{331})$	Ozone Below Cloud	SOI	Cloud pressure		
19	Algorithm Flag	Eff. Cloud Fraction	Mixing Fraction	Surface Category		
20-495	Same as 6 through 1	9 for samples 2 to 35	-			
496-525	Spares	•				

Notes:

All values are stored in INTEGER format, MSB first. Values stored in one byte are always positive, with a value of 255 indicating missing data. Values stored in two bytes can be either positive or negative, with values of 32767 indicating missing data. Some values have had constants added or multiplied to accommodate integer storage.

Word	Bytes	Description
1		Orbit number, starting at ascending node
2		Greenwich Mean Time at start of scan in seconds (1-86,400)
3	1–2	Sequence number of record in orbit
	3-4	Flag for chopper non-synchronization:
		0 Does not occur in current or next scan
		1 Occurs in current scan, not in next
		2 Occurs in next scan, not current
		3 Occurs in current and next scan
4	1–2	Day of Year (1–366) at start of scan
	3–4	Year at start of scan (4 digits)
5	1–2	Spacecraft altitude in kilometers at start of scan
	3–4	Sample 1 view angle is the same for all scenes, since nominal attitude is assumed.
6	1–2	IFOV latitude, from 90° S-90° N, in degrees x 100
	3–4	IFOV longitude, from 180° W-180° E, in degrees x 100
7	1–2	IFOV solar zenith angle, in degrees x 100
	3–4	Angle ϕ between Sun and satellite measured at IFOV, in degrees x 100
8	1–2	309 nm N-value x 50 (N-value is defined in Section 4.5)
	3-4	313 nm N-value x 50
9	1–2	318 nm N-value x 50
	3–4	322 nm N-value x 50
10	1-2	331 nm N-value x 50
	3–4	360 nm N-value x 50
11	1–2	309 nm sensitivity $dN/d\Omega$, in (matm-cm) ⁻¹ x 10,000
	3–4	313 nm sensitivity $dN/d\Omega$, in (matm-cm) ⁻¹ x 10,000
12	1-2	318 nm sensitivity $dN/d\Omega$, in (matm-cm) ⁻¹ x 10,000
	3-4	322 nm sensitivity $dN/d\Omega$, in (matm-cm) ⁻¹ x 10,000)
13	1-2	$331 \text{ nm sensitivity dN/ds2, in (matm-cm)}^{+} \times 10,000$
	3-4	Effective Reflectivity, in percent x 100
14	1-2	Iotal Ozone, in maim-cm x 10 Emer Flag (Age - Age + 10 for data taken during dagan ding N. S. subit)
14	3-4	Error Flag (hag = hag + 10 for data taken during descending, N-S, orbit): 0(10), and data
		1(11) good data $1(11)$ good data $84^\circ < 574 < 88^\circ$
		1(11) good data, $64 < SZA < 66$
		3 (13) triplet residue too large:
		$(A_{\text{triplet}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 N_{\text{value units}})$
		(R-triplet: $r_{2,7} > 1.1$ N-value units)
		(B-mix)for of < 0.5 or > 3.5)
		(C-mix:fprof < 0.5 or > 3.5)
		4 (14) SOI flag set (SO ₂ is present)
		5(15) fatal: set when the absolute value of any residue is larger than 12.5: ozone and SOL
		set to fill values
15	1	309 nm dN/dR (reflectivity sensitivity) in percent x -50
	2	313 nm dN/dR, in percent ⁻¹ x -50
	3	318 nm dN/dR, in percent $x = 50$
	4	322 nm dN/dR, in percent ⁻¹ x -50
16	1	331 nm dN/dR, in percent ⁻¹ x -50
	2	360 nm dN/dR, in percent ⁻¹ x -50
	3	spare byte
	4	Terrain pressure, in atm x 100

Table	7.8.	Detailed	Descriptions
-------	------	----------	--------------

Word	Bytes	Description
17	1	309 nm residue x 10 + 127
	2	313 nm residue x 10 + 127
	3	318 nm residue x 10 + 127
	4	322 nm residue x 10 + 127
18	1	331 nm residue x 10 + 127
	2	Amount of ozone added below cloud layer, in matm-cm
	3	SOI, in matm-cm + 50
	4	Pressure derived from ISCCP cloud climatology, in atm x 100
19	1	Algorithm flag (flag = flag + 10 for snow assumed present):
		1 (11) A-triplet used
		2 (12) B-triplet used
		3 (13) B-triplet used with profile selection (B-mix)
		4 (14) C-triplet used with profile selection (C-mix)
	2	Effective cloud fraction x 100
	3	Profile mixing fraction x 10:
		$1 < f_p < 2$ profile between low and mid latitude
		$2 < f_p < 3$ profile between mid and high latitude
	4	Surface category code:
		0 water
		1 land 2 land (halans and hard)
		2 low inland (below sea level)
		3 land and water
		4 Tanu and Tow-Initand
20 522		5 water, rand and row-initiality Same as 6, 10 for samples 2, 25
20-323 524-525		Same as 0–19 for samples 2–55 Snares

Table 7.8. Detailed Descriptions (Continued)

Word	Description			
1	Orbit number			
2	GMT (seconds) of first scan of orbit			
3	Negative logical sequence number (2 most significant bytes)*			
4	Day of year of first scan of orbit			
5	Year of first scan of orbit (4 digits)			
6	Latitude (90° S–90° N) for first scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)			
7	Longitude (180° W-180° E) for first scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)			
8	GMT (seconds) of last scan of orbit			
9	Day of year of last scan of orbit			
10	Year of last scan of orbit (4 digits)			
11	Latitude (90° S-90° N) for last scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)			
12	Longitude (180° W-180° E) for last scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)			
13	Local time (seconds) at equator crossing (or -77 if unavailable)			
14	Day of year (local time) at equator crossing			
15	Year (local time) at equator crossing			
16	GMT (seconds) at equator crossing (or -77 if unavailable)			
17	Day of year (GMT) at equator crossing			
18	Year (GMT) at equator crossing			
19	Longitude at equator crossing (or -77777 if unavailable), nadir view (degrees x 100)			
20	Altitude (km) at last scan			

Word	Description
21	Number of input/output errors for this orbit
22	Number of scans read for orbit
23	Number of scans written for orbit
24	Number of samples out of range (total)
	Number of samples out of range for:
25	Zenith angle > 88 degrees
26	Latitude out of range (> 90 degrees)
27	Counts negative
28	Number of bad samples written: algorithm flag not 0, 1, 10, or 11 (total)
29-34	Counts of error flags for Algorithm Flag = 1 (see data record for description of error flags):
29	number of samples that had error flag = 0 or 10
30	number of samples that had error flag = 1 or 11
31	number of samples that had error flag = 2 or 12
32	number of samples that had error flag = $3 \text{ or } 13$
33	number of samples that had error flag = 4 or 14
34	number of samples that had error flag = 5 or 15
35–40	Same as 29–34 for Algorithm Flag = 2
41-46	Same as 29–34 for Algorithm Flag = 3
47–52	Same as 29–34 for Algorithm Flag = 4
53	Minimum ozone for orbit.
54	Maximum ozone for orbit.
55-60	The six instrument wavelengths.
61–66	Solar irradiance F-values at 1 AU (watts/cm ³) for current day at the six instrument wavelengths,
	shortest first.
67–90	Calibration constants: The counts to radiance conversion factors, in units of watts/cm ³ /steradian/
	count, given for each of the four gain ranges for each of the six wavelengths in order: words 67–80,
	309 nm;; words 87–90, 360 nm.
91–127	Nominal spacecraft zenith angle (0-80 degrees) at each scan position.
* Notes: The	e logical sequence number is a 16-bit integer that occupies the left half (two most significant bytes) of
word 3. We	ords 53-127 are stored in IEEE-754 32-bit floating-point fo mat (REAL*4); all others are 4-byte (32-

Table 7.9	Format of	Orbital S	Summary	Record (Continued)	١
14010 / . / .	I Officiat Of	Oronary	continues y	1000.01	continuou,	,

Table 7.10. Format of Trailer Record

bit) integer format with the most significant byte first.

Word	Description
1	Orbit number of last scan
2	GMT (seconds) of first scan of last orbit of day
3	Logical sequence number $(= -1)$ (2 most significant byte:) [*]
4	Day of year of first scan of last orbit of day
5	Year of first scan of last orbit of day
6	Latitude (90° S-90° N) for first scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)
7	Longitude (180° W-180° E) for first scan, nadir view (de trees x 100)
8	GMT (seconds) of last scan of last orbit of day
9	Day of year of last scan of last orbit of day
10	Year of last scan of last orbit of day
11	Latitude (90° S-90° N) for last scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)
12	Longitude (180° W-180° E) for last scan, nadir view (degrees x 100)
13	Total number of input/output errors
14	Total number of scans read
15	Total number of scans written

16	Total number of good samples written
17	Total number of samples out of range
	Total number of samples out of range for:
Word	Description
18	Zenith angle > 88 degrees
19	Latitude out of range (absolute value > 90 degrees)—normally zero
20	Counts out of range (negative)
21	Number of samples written that were bad: algorithm flag not 0, 1, 10, or 11 (total)
22–27	Totals of error flag counts for algorithm flag $= 1$:
22	Total number of samples that had error flag = 0 or 10
23	Total number of samples that had error flag = 1 or 11
24	Total number of samples that had error flag = $2 \text{ or } 12$
25	Total number of samples that had error flag = $3 \text{ or } 13$
26	Total number of samples that had error flag = 4 or 14
27	Total number of samples that had error flag = 5 or 15
28-33	Same as 22–27 for Algorithm Flag = 2
34-39	Same as 22–27 for Algorithm Flag = 3
40-45	Same as 22–27 for Algorithm Flag = 4
46–525	Spare

* The trailer record identifier (=-1) is a 16-bit integer that occupies the left half (two most significant bytes) of word 3. All other values are stored as 4-byte integers, MSB first.

7.2.2 CDTOMS (Level-3 Data Product)

The CDTOMS Level-3 product contains global total ozone on a fixed 1-degree latitude by 1.25-degree longitude grid. It is available at URL ftp://jwocky.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/eptoms. In the near future, we plan to make additional TOMS derived parameters available in similar format. The averaging technique for producing this grid from the Level-2 product is described above in Section 4.7.

Except for some changes in the header line, the Version 7 Level-3 product is identical to the Nimbus-7/TOMS Version 6 CD-ROM product and the CDTOMS ozone product that was available by ftp. One global grid is stored in each CDTOMS file.

Table 7.11 provides a detailed description of the first line of a daily grid file. Figure 7.1 shows an example of the header and the first two latitude zones in a CDTOMS daily file from the ADEOS/TOMS. The gridded ozone values are stored as 3-digit integers in units of matm-cm. Each of the 180 latitude zones requires 10 lines. They are ordered from south to north with the first zone centered at -89.5 degrees. Within each latitude zone, values are given for each of 288 longitude zones from 180° W through 0° (Greenwich) to 180° E. The first longitude zone is centered at -179.375 degrees. As shown in Figure 7.1, annotation is present after all values are given for a latitude zone. Zeroes denote missing data; that is data that could not be collected due to lack of sunlight or other problems.

Character	Contents					
1	ASCII blank (HEX 20)					
2–5	"Day:" (quotes indicate fixed content)					
6	ASCII blank	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7–9	day of year					
10	ASCII blank					
11-13	month ("Jan," "Feb," "Mar")					
14	ASCII blank					
15-16	day of month					

Table 7.11. Format of Header Line of CDTOMS Daily Grid

Character	Contents
17	(4 9)
18	ASCII blank
19–22	year
23–16	ASCII blanks
27-33	"EP/TOMS"
34–37	ASCII blanks
38-40	"STD"
41	ASCII blank
42-46	"OZONE"
47-50	ASCII blanks
51-60	"GEN:yy.ddd"
61	ASCII blank
62-70	"Asc LECT:"
71	ASCII blank
72–73	hour (local) of ascending node equator crossing
74	ASCII ":"
75–76	minute (local) of ascending node equator crossing
77	ASCII blank
78–79	"AM" or "PM" indicating morning or afternoon/evening ascending node equator crossing
80	ASCII blank
81<	If > (line feed character; i.e., HEX 0A)

Table 7.11. Format	of Header l	Line of	CDTOMS	Daily	Grid ((Continued)

Day: 269 Sep 25, 1997 EP/TOMS STD OZONE GEN:97.269 Asc LECT: 11:19 AM Longitudes: 288 bins centered on 179.375 W to 179.375 E (1.25 degree steps) Latitudes : 180 bins centered on 89.5 S to 89.5 N (1.00 degreesteps) 196196196196196192192192192 lat = -89.5 21121121121121121121120920920920920921221221220220220220220218218218218218218218218218218217217217217 198196196196196196196196196 lat = -88.5

Figure 7.1. Sample CDTOMS Daily Gric File Excerpt

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, Z. and P. K. Bhartia, 1995, "Effect of Molecular Anisotropy on the Backscattered UV Radiance," Applied Optics.
- Caudill, T. R., D. E. Flittner, B. M. Herman, O. Torres, and R. D. McPeters, 1997, "Evaluation of the Pseudo-spherical Approximation for Backscattered Ultraviolet Radiances and Ozone Retrieval," J. Geophys. Res, 102, 3881-3890.
- Cebula, R. P., H. Park, and D. F. Heath, 1988, "Characterization of the Nimbus-7 SBUV Radiometer for the Long-Term Monitoring of Stratospheric Ozone," J. Atm. Ocean. Tech., 5, 215-227.
- Chu, W. P., M. P. McCormick, J. Lenoble, C. Brogniez, and P. Pruvost, 1989, "SAGE II Inversion Algorithm," J. Geophys. Res., 94, 8339-8351.
- Dave, J. V., 1964, "Meaning of Successive Iteration of the Auxiliary Equation of Radiative Transfer," Astrophys. J., 140, 1292-1303.
- Dave, J. V., 1978, "Effect of Aerosols on the Estimation of Total Ozone in an Atmospheric Column From the Measurement of its Ultraviolet Radiance," J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 899–911.
- Environmental Science Services Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and United States Air Force, 1966, U. S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Fleig, Albert J., R. D. McPeters, P. K. Bhartia, Barry M. Schlesinger, Richard P. Cebula, K. F. Klenk, Steven L. Taylor, and D. F. Heath, 1990, "Nimbus-7 Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV) Ozone Products User's Guide," NASA Reference Publication, 1234, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC.
- Herman, J.R., R. Hudson, R. McPeters, R. Stolarski, Z. Ahmad, X.-Y. Gu, S. Taylor, and C. Wellemeyer, 1991, "A New Self-Calibration Method Applied to TOMS/SBUV Backscattered Ultraviolet Data to Determine Long-Term Global Ozone Change," J. Geophys. Res., 96, 7531-7545.
- Herman, J.R., P.K. Bhartia, O. Torres, C. Hsu, C. Seftor, E. Celarier, 1997, "Nimbus TOMS/Absorbing Aerosols," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16911-16922.
- Hsu, N. C., J. R. Herman, P. K. Bhartia, C. J. Seftor, O. Torres, A. M. Thompson, J. F. Gleason, T. F. Eck, and B. N. Holben, 1996, "Detection of Biomass Burning Smoke from TOMS Measurements," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 745-748.
- Hsu, N. Christina, R. D. McPeters, C. J. Seftor, and A. M. Thompson, 1997, "The Effect of An Improved Cloud Climatology on the TOMS Total Ozone Retrieval," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 4247-4255.
- Ilg, D., F. Baker, and M. Folk, 1993, "HDF Specification and Developer's Guide, Version 3.2," National Center for Supercomputing Applications, Champaign, IL.
- Jaross, G., A. J. Krueger, R. P. Cebula, C. Seftor, U. Hartman, R. Haring, and D. Burchfield, 1995, "Calibration and Postlaunch Performance of the Meteor-3/TOMS Instrument," J. Geophys. Res., 100, 2985-2995.
- Joiner, J., P. K. Bhartia, R. P. Cebula, E. Hilsenrath, and R. D. McPeters, 1995, "Rotational Raman Scattering (Ring Effect) in Satellite Backscatter Ultraviolet Measurements," *Applied Optics*, 34, 4513-4525.
- Kalman, L., 1994,"HDF Reference Manual, Version 3.3," National Center for Supercomputing Applications, Champaign, IL.
- Klenk, K. F., P. K. Bhartia, A. J. Fleig, V. G. Kaveeshwar, R. D. McPeters, and P. M. Smith, 1982, "Total Ozone Determination From the Backscattered Ultraviolet (BUV) Experiment," J. Appl. Meteorol., 21, 1672–1684.
- Klenk, K. F., P. K. Bhartia, E. Hilsenrath, and A. J. Fleig, 1983, "Standard Ozone Profiles From Balloon and Satellite Data Sets," J. Climate Appl. Meteorol., 22, 2012–2022.
- Krotkov, N.A., A.J. Krueger, and P.K. Bhartia, 1997, "Ultraviolet Optical Model of Volcanic Clouds for Remote Sensing of Ash and Sulfur Dioxide," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 21891-21904.
- Krotkov, N.A., P.K. Bhartia, J.R. Herman, V. Fioletov, and J. Kerr, 1998, "Satellite Estimation of Spectral Surface UV Irradiance in the Presence of Tropospheric Aerosols 1: Cloud-Free Case," J. Geophys. Res., In press.
- Krueger, A.J., L.S. Walter, P.K. Bhartia, C.C. Schnetzler, N.A. Krotkov, I.E. Sprod, and G.J.S. Bluth, 1995, "Volcanic Sulfur Dioxide Measurements from the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Instruments," J. Geophys. Res., 100, 14057–14076.
- Krueger, A.J., L.S. Walter, I.E. Sprod, N.A. Krotkov, C.C. Schnetzler, 1998, "Low Resolution SO₂ Alert," DPD3281.

REFERENCES (Continued)

- McPeters, R.D., et al., 1996, "Nimbus-7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) Data Product's User's Guide," NASA Reference Publication 1384, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC.
- McPeters, R. D., S. M. Hollandsworth, L. E. Flynn, J. R. Herman, and C. J. Seftor, 1996, "Long-Term Ozone Trends Derived From the 16-Year Combined Nimbus7/Meteor 3 TOMS Version 7 Record," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 3699-3702.
- McPeters, R. D. and G. J. Labow, 1996, "An Assessment of the Accuracy of 14.5 Years of Nimbus 7 TOMS Version 7 Ozone Data by Comparison with the Dobson Network," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 3695-3698.
- National Center for Supercomputing Applications, 1994, "Hierarchical Data Format," http://www.ncsa.uiuc.edu/SDG/software/ HDF/HDFIntro.html, hypertext file.
- Paur, R. J., and A. M. Bass, 1985, "The Ultraviolet Cross-Sections of Ozone: II. Results and Temperature Dependence," *Atmospheric Ozone*, edited by C.S. Zerefos and A. Ghazi, 611–616, D. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Schaefer, S. J., J. B. Kerr, M. M. Millan, V. J. Realmuto, A. J. Krueger, N. A. Kretkov, C. Seftor, I. E. Sprod, 1997, "Geophysicists Unite to Validate Volcanic SO2 Measurements," EOS Trans., 78, 217-223.
- Seftor, C. J., N. C. Hsu, J. R. Herman, P. K. Bhartia, O. Torres, W. I. Rose, D. J. Schneider, and N. Krotkov, 1997, "Detection of Volcanic Ash Clouds From Nimbus-7/TOMS Reflectivity Data," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16,749-16,759.
- Torres, O., Z. Ahmad, and J. R. Herman, 1992, "Optical Effects of Polar Stratospheric Clouds on the Retrieval of TOMS Total Ozone," J. Geophys. Res., 97, 13,015–13,024.
- Torres, O., J. R. Herman, P. K. Bhartia, and Z. Ahmad, 1995, "Properties of Mount Pinatubo Aerosols as Derived From Nimbus-7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Measurements," J. Geophys. Res., 100, 14,043–14,055.
- Torres, O., P.K. Bhartia, J.R. Herman, Z. Ahmad, and J. Gleason, 1998a, "Derivation of Aerosol Properties from Satellite measurements of Backscattered Ultraviolet Radiation. Theoretical Basis," J. Geophys. Res., In press.
- Torres, O., L. Moy, P.K. Bhartia, 1998b, "Impact of Aerosol Absorption on Total Ozone Retrieval from Satellite Measurements of Backscattered Ultraviolet Radiation," submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
- Wellemeyer, C. G., S. L. Taylor, G. Jaross, M. T. DeLand, C. J. Seftor, G. Labow, T. J. Swissler, and R. P. Cebula, 1996, "Final Report on Nimbus-7 TOMS Version 7 Calibration," NASA Contractor Report 4717, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC.
- Wellemeyer, C. G., S. L. Taylor, C. J. Seftor, R. D. McPeters, P. K. Bhartia, 1997, "A Correction for TOMS Profile Shape Errors at High Latitude," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 9029-9038.
- Woods, T. N., et al., 1996, "Validation of the UARS Solar Ultraviolet Irradiance: Comparison With the Atlas 1-2 Measurements," J. Geophys. Res., in press.

RELATED LITERATURE

Bates, D. R., 1984, "Rayleigh Scattering by Air," Planet. Sp. Sci., 32, 785-790.

- Bhartia, P. K., K. F. Klenk, D. Gordon, and A. J. Fleig, "Nimbus-7 total Ozone Algorithm," 1983, Proceedings, 5th Conference on Atmospheric Radiation, American Meteorological Society, Baltimore, MD.
- Bhartia, P. K., K. F. Klenk, C. K. Wong, D. Gordon, and A. J. Fleig, 1984, "Intercomparison of the Nimbus-7 SBUV/TOMS Total Ozone Data Sets With Dobson and M83 Results," J. Geophys. Res., 89, 5239–5247.
- Bhartia, P. K., D. Silberstein, B. Monosmith, and Albert J. Fleig, 1985, "Standard Profiles of Ozone From Ground to 60 km Obtained by Combining Satellite and Ground Based Measurements," *Atmospheric Ozone*, edited by C. S. Zerefos and A. Ghazi, 243-247, D. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Bhartia, P. K., J. R. Herman, R. D. McPeters, and O. Torres, 1993, "Effect of Mount Pinatubo Aerosols on Total Ozone Measurements From Backscatter Ultraviolet (BUV) Experiments," J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18547–18554.
- Bluth, G. J. S., S. D. Doiron, C. C. Schnetzler, A. J. Krueger, and L. S. Walter, 1992, "Global Tracking of the SO₂ Clouds From the June 1991 Mount Pinatubo Eruptions," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19, 151–154.
- Bluth, G. J. S., S. D. Doiron, C. C. Schnetzler, A. J. Krueger, and L. S. Walter, 1993, "New Constraints on Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Global Volcanism," *Nature*, 366, 327–329.
- Bowman, K. P. and A. J. Krueger, 1985, "A Global Climatology of Total Ozone From the Nimbus-7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer," J. Geophys. Res., 90, 7967-7976.
- Bowman, K. P., 1986, "Interannual Variability of Total Ozone During the Breakdown of the Antarctic Circumpolar Vortex," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 1193–1196.
- Bowman, K. P., 1988, "Global Trends in Total Ozone," Science, 239, 48-50.
- Chandra, S., 1986, "The Solar and Dynamically Induced Oscillations in the Stratosphere," J. Geophys. Res., 91, 2719-2734.
- Chandra, S., and R. S. Stolarski, 1991, "Recent Trends In Stratospheric Total Ozone: Implications of Dynamical and El Chichón Perturbation," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18, 2277–2280.
- Chandra, S., 1993, "Changes in Stratospheric Ozone and Temperature Due to the Eruption of Mt. Pinatubo," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 33-36.
- Dave, J. V., 1965, "Multiple Scattering in a Non-Homogeneous, Rayleigh Atmosphere," J. Atmos. Sci., 22, 273-279.
- Dave, J. V., and Carlton L. Mateer, 1967, "A Preliminary Study on the Possibility of Estimating Total Atmospheric Ozone From Satellite Measurements," J. Atmos. Sci., 24, 414–427.
- Eck, T. F., P. K. Bhartia, P. H. Hwang and L. L. Stowe, 1987, "Reflectivity of Earth's surface and Clouds in Ultraviolet From Satellite Observations," J. Geophys. Res., 92, 4287-4296.
- Fraser, R. S., and Z. Ahmad, 1978, "The Effect Of Surface Reflection and Clouds on the Estimation of Total Ozone From Satellite Measurements." Fourth NASA Weather and Climate Program Science Review, NASA Conf. Publ. 2076, 247–252, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC, [NTIS N7920633].
- Fleig, A. J., P. K. Bhartia, and David S. Silberstein, 1986, "An Assessment of the Long-Term Drift in SBUV Total Ozone Data, Based on Comparison With the Dobson Network," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 1359–1362.
- Fleig, A. J., D. S. Silberstein, R. P. Cebula, C. G. Wellemeyer, P. K. Bhartia, and J. J. DeLuisi, 1989, "An Assessment of the SBUV/ TOMS Ozone Data Quality, Based on Comparison With External Data," Ozone in the Atmosphere, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 1988 and Tropospheric Ozone Workshops, edited by R. D. Bojkov and P. Fabian, 232-237, A. Deepak, Hampton, VA.
- Gleason, J. F., P. K. Bhartia, J. R. Herman, R. McPeters, P. Newman, R. S. Stolarski, L. Flynn, G. Labow, D. Larko, C. Seftor, C. Wellemeyer, W. D. Komhyr, A. J. Miller, and W. Planet, 1993, "Record Low Global Ozone in 1992," *Science*, 260, 523–526.
- Gleason, J.F., N.C. Hsu, and O. Torres, 1998, "Biomass Burning Smoke Measured Using Backscattered Ultraviolet Radiation: SCAR-B and Brazilian Smoke Interannual Variability," accepted to J. Geophys. Res.
- Heath, D. F., A. J. Krueger, H. R. Roeder, and B. D. Henderson, 1975, "The Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet and Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (SBUV/TOMS) for Nimbus G," Opt. Eng., 14, 323–331.
- Heath, D. F., A.J. Krueger, and H. Park, 1978, "The Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV) and Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) Experiment," in *The Nimbus-7 Users' Guide*, edited by C. R. Madrid, 175–211, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD.

RELATED LITERATURE (Continued)

- Heath, D. F., 1988, "Non-Seasonal Changes in Total Column Ozone From Satellite Observations," 1970-1986, Nature, 332, 219-227.
- Heath, D. F., 1990, "Changes in the Vertical Distribution of Stratospheric Ozone and the Associated Global Scale Changes in Total Ozone From Observations With the Nimbus-7 SBUV Instrument; 1978-1986," Proceedings of the International Ozone Symposium 1988 and Tropospheric Ozone Workshops, edited by R. Bojkov and P. Fabian, 810, A. Deepak, Hampton, VA.
- Herman, J. R., R. D. Hudson, and G. Serafino, 1990, "Analysis of the Eight-Year Trend in Ozone Depletion From Empirical Models of Solar Backscattered Ultraviolet Instrument Degradation," J. Geophys. Res., 95, 7403–7416.
- Herman, J. R., R. McPeters, R. Stolarski, D. Larko, and R. Hudson, 1991, "Global Average Ozone Change From November 1978 to May 1990," J. Geophys. Res., 96, 17,279–17,305.
- Herman, J. R., R. McPeters, and D. Larko, 1993, "Ozone Depletion at Northern and Southern Latitudes Derived from January 1979 to December 1991 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Data," J. Geophys. Res., 98, 12,783–12,793.
- Herman, J. R., D. Larko, 1994, "Nimbus-7/TOMS-November 1, 1978 to May 6, 1993: Low Ozone Amounts During 1992–1993 From Nimbus-7 and Meteor-3 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer," J. Geophys. Res., 99, 3483–3496.
- Herman, J. R., P. K. Bhartia, J. Ziemke, Z. Ahmad, and D. Larko, 1996, "UV-B Increases (1979-1992) from Decreases in Total Ozone," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2117-2120.
- Herman, J.R., P.K. Bhartia, A.J. Krueger, R.D. McPeters, C.G. Wellemeyer, C.J. Seftor, G. Jaross, B.M. Schlesinger, O. Torres, G. Labow, W. Byerly, S.L. Taylor, T. Swissler, R.P. Cebula, and X. Gu, October 1996, "Meteor-3 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) Data Products User's Guide," NASA Reference Publication 1393.
- Hudson, R. D., J. R. Herman, and G. Serafino, 1989, "On the Determination of Long-Term Trends From SBUV Ozone Data," Ozone in the Atmosphere, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 1988 and Tropospheric Ozone Workshops, edited by R. Bojkov and P. Fabian, 189-192. A. Deepak, Hampton, VA.
- Janz, S., E. Hilsenrath, J. Butler, D. F. Heath, and R. P. Cebula, 1996, "Uncertainties in Radiance Calibrations of Backscatter Ultraviolet (BUV) Instruments as Determined from Comparisons of BRDF Measurements and Integrating Sphere Calibrations," *Metrologia*, 32, 637-641.
- Jaross, G., A. J. Krueger, 1993, "Ice Radiance Method for Backscatter UV Instrument Monitoring," in *Atmospheric Ozone*, edited by T. Henriksen, 94–101, D. Reidel, Norwell, Mass.
- Jaross, G., A. J. Krueger, H. Park, and R. Haring, 1996, "Improved Ozone Trend Measuring Capabilities of TOMS Instruments," SPIE Proceedings, 7-8 August, 1996, Denver, Colorado, 2831, 48-56.
- Klenk, K. F., 1980, "Absorption Coefficients of Ozone for the Backscatter UV Experiment," Applied Optics, 19, 236-242.
- Komhyr, W. D., R. D. Grass, and R. K. Leonard, 1989, "Total Ozone, Ozone Vertical Distributions, and Stratospheric Temperatures at South Pole, Antarctica, in 1986 and 1987," J. Geophys. Res., 94, 11,429–11,436.
- Krotkov, N. A., I. V. Geogdzhaev, N. Ye. Chubarova, S. V. Bushnev, V. U. Khatiatov, T. V. Kondranin, December, 1996, "A New Database Program for Spectral Surface UV Measurements," J. of Oceanic and Atmos. Tech., 13, 1291-1299.
- Krueger, A. J., 1983, "Sighting of El Chichon Sulfur Dioxide Clouds With the Nimbus-7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer," Science, 220, 1377-1378.
- Krueger, A. J., M. R. Schoeberl, and R. S. Stolarski, 1987, "TOMS Observations of Total Ozone in the 1986 Antarctic Spring," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 14, 527-530.
- Labow, G. J., L. E. Flynn, M. A. Rawlins, R. A. Beach, C. A. Simmons, and C. 14. Schubert, 1996, "Estimating Ozone with Total Ozone Portable Instruments II. Practical Operation and Comparisons," *Appl. Opt.*, 35, 6084-6089.
- Larko, D. E., L. W. Uccellini, and A. J. Krueger, 1986, "Atlas of TOMS Ozone D: ta Collected During the Genesis of Atlantic Lows Experiment (GALE)," NASA-TM-87809, 99 pp.
- Lienesch, J. H. and P. K. K. Pandey, 1985, "The Use of TOMS Data in Evaluating And Improving The Total Ozone from TOVS Measurements," *Rep. NOAA-TR-NESDIS-23, Issue 22*, 3814–3828.
- Logan, J. A., 1985, "Tropospheric Ozone: Seasonal Behavior, Trends, and Anthropogenic Influence," J. Geophys. Res., 90, 10,463-10,482.

RELATED LITERATURE (Continued)

- Pommereau, J. P., F. Goutail, H. LeTexier, and T. S. Jorgensen, 1989, "Stratospheric Ozone and Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring at Southern and Northern Polar Latitudes," Our Changing Atmosphere, Proceedings of the 28th Liege International Astrophysical Colloquium, edited by P. Crutzen, J.-C. Gerard, and R. Zander, University de Liege, Liege, Belgium.
- Rottman, G. J., C. A. Barth, R. J. Thomas, G. H. Mount, G. M. Lawrence, D. W. Rusch, R. W. Saunders, G. E. Thomas, and J. London, 1982, "Solar Spectral Irradiance, 120 to 190 nm, October 13, 1981–January 3, 1982," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 9, 587-593.
- Schoeberl, M. R., A. J. Krueger, and P. A. Newman, 1986, "The Morphology of Antarctic Total Ozone as Seen by TOMS-Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 1217–1220.
- Schoeberl, M. R., P. K. Bhartia, E. Hilsenrath, and O. Torres, 1993, "Tropical Ozone Loss Following the Eruption of Mt. Pinatubo," Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 29–32.
- Seftor, C. J., G. Jaross, J. R. Herman, X. Gu, L. Moy, S. L. Taylor, and C. G. Wellemeyer, 1997, "The Meteor 3/Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Version 7 Data Set: Calibration and Analysis," J. Geophys. Res., 102, 19247-19256.
- Solomon, S., 1990, "Antarctic Ozone: Progress Towards a Quantitative Understanding," Nature, 347, 347-354.
- Stolarski, R. S., A. J. Krueger, M. R. Schoeberl, R. D. McPeters, P. A. Newman, and J. C. Alpert, 1986, "Nimbus-7 Satellite Measurements of the Springtime Antarctic Ozone Decrease," *Nature*, 322, 808-811.
- Stolarski, R. S., P. Bloomfield, R. D. McPeters, and J. R. Herman, 1991, "Total Ozone Trends Deduced From Nimbus-7 TOMS Data," Geophys. Res. Lett., 18, 1015–1018.
- Stolarski, R. S., 1992, "Observations of Global Stratospheric Ozone Change," Ber. Bunsen Ges. Phys. Chem., 96, 258-263.
- Stolarski, R. S., L. Bishop, R. Bojkov, M. L. Chanin, V. Fioletev, V. Kircchoff, J. Zawodny, and C. Zerefos, 1992, "Ozone and Temperature Trends," in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion; 1991, WMO Rep. 25, 2.1–2.30, World Meteorol. Organ., Geneva.
- Stolarski, R. S., R. Bojkov, L. Bishop, C. Zerefos, J. Staehelin, and J. Zawodny, 1992, "Measured Trends in Stratospheric Ozone," Science, 256, 342-349.
- Torres, O., and P.K. Bhartia, 1995, "Effect of Stratospheric Aerosol on Ozone Profile from BUV Measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 235-238.
- Vigroux, Ernest, 1953, "Contribution a l'Etude Experimental de l'Absorption de l'Ozone," Ann. Phys., Ser. 12, 8, 709-762.
- Vigroux, E., 1967, "Determination des Coefficients Moyen d'Absorption de l'Ozone en vue des Observations Concernant L'ozone Atmospherique a l'Aide Du Spectrometre Dobson," Ann. Phys., Ser. 14, 2, 209–215.
- Watson, R. T., and Ozone Trends Panel, 1990, "Report of the International Ozone Trends Panel 1988," Rep. 18. Global Ozone Res. and Monit. Proj., World Meteorol. Organ., Geneva.
- Wellemeyer, C. G., A. J. Fleig, and P. K. Bhartia, 1989, "Internal Comparisons of SBUV and TOMS Total Ozone Measurements," Ozone in the Atmosphere, Proceedings of the International Ozone Symposium and Tropospheric Ozone Workshops, edited by R. Bojkov and P. Fabian, 193–197, A. Deepak, Hampton, VA.
- Wellemeyer, C. G., S. L. Taylor, R. R. Singh, and R. D. McPeters, 1992, "External Comparisons Of Reprocessed SBUV/TOMS Ozone Data," Ozone in the Troposphere and Stratosphere, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, edited by R. D. Hudson, 911–914, NASA Conference Publication 3266, Greenbelt, MD.

LIST OF ACRONYMS, INITIALS, AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
A.U.	Astronomical Unit
BRDF	Bi-directional Reflectivity Distribution Function
BUV	Backscatter Ultraviolet
CAO	Central Aerological Observatory
CD-ROM	Compact Disk-Read Only Memory
CDTOMS	Compact Disk TOMS Gridded Data
DAAC	Distributed Active Archive Center
D. U.	Dobson Units (= milliatmosphere-centimeters)
EOF	Empirical Orthogonal Functions
ESSA	Environmental Science Services Administration
EOSDIS	Earth Observing System Data Information System
FOV	Field-of-View
ftp	file transfer protocol
GMT	Greenwich Mean Time
GRIDTOMS	Gridded TOMS Tape
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center
HDF	Hierarchical Data Format
HDTOMS	High Density TOMS Tape
IAM	Interface Adapter Model
IFOV	Instantaneous Field-of-View
ISCCP	International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project
LECT	Local Equator Crossing Time
М3	Meteor-3 spacecraft
MSB	Most Significant Byte
N7	Nimbus-7 spacecraft
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCSA	National Center for Supercomputing Applications
netCDF	Network Common Data Format
NMC	National Meteorological Center
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
OPT	Ozone Processing Team
PMT	Photo-Multiplier Tube
PSC	Polar Stratospheric Cloud

LIST OF ACRONYMS, INITIALS, AND ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

RCA	Reflectance Calibration Assembly
SAGE	Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment
SBUV	Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet
SDS	Scientific Data Set
SOI	Sulfur Dioxide Index
TOMS	Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
UV	Ultraviolet

APPENDIX A. STANDARD TEMPERATURE AND OZONE PROFILES

This appendix contains the standard ozone and temperature profiles used in the calculation of radiances discussed in Section 4. The profiles are described as a function of Umkehr Layer. Table A.1 gives the column ozone, in units of matm-cm, for each layer. The three-digit and one-letter code identifies the total ozone and latitude of the profile. Profiles are provided for three latitude zones: 15 degrees, denoted L for low, 45 degrees, denoted M for mid, and 75 degrees, denoted H for high. The three-digit number is the total ozone, in units of matm-cm. Table A.2 gives the temperature at the midpoint of each layer, and the boundaries of the layers, in pressure units, and the locations of the midpoints of the layers are given in Table A.3.

	Umkehr Layer Number										
Profile	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	>9
225L	15.0	9.0	5.0	7.0	25.0	62.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
275L	15.0	9.0	6.0	12.0	52.0	79.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
325L	15.0	9.0	10.0	31.0	71.0	87.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
375L	15.0	9.0	21.0	53.0	88.0	87.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
425L	15.0	9.0	37.0	81.0	94.0	87.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
475L	15.0	9.0	54.0	108.0	100.0	87.2	57.0	29.4	10.9	3.2	1.3
1 25M	6.0	5.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	31.8	28.0	20.0	11.1	3.7	1.4
1 75M	8.0	7.0	8.0	12.0	26.0	41.9	33.6	22.3	11.1	3.7	1.4
225M	10.0	9.0	12.0	18.0	44.0	52.1	39.2	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
275M	16.0	12.0	15.0	29.0	58.0	63.7	40.6	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
325M	16.0	14.0	26.0	45.0	74.7	66.9	41.7	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
375M	16.0	16.0	39.0	64.0	85.7	71.1	42.5	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
425M	16.0	18.0	54.0	84.0	97.7	71.7	42.9	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
475M	16.0	22.0	72.0	107.7	101.0	72.6	43.0	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
525M	16.0	26.0	91.0	127.7	108.0	72.6	43.0	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
575M	16.0	30.0	110.0	147.7	115.0	72.6	43.0	24.5	11.1	3.7	1.4
125H	9.5	7.0	18.3	7.6	8.2	28.6	22.0	12.4	7.7	2.5	1.2
175H	9.5	8.0	22.8	22.0	26.9	32.3	26.8	15.0	8.0	2.5	1.2
225H	10.0	9.0	27.6	45.7	41.0	35.0	28.8	15.4	8.3	2.9	1.3
275H	14.0	12.0	34.0	66.9	54.2	36.0	28.8	15.4	8.9	3.4	1.4
325H	14.0	15.0	46.8	82.6	65.2	41.7	28.8	17.2	8.9	3.4	1.4
375H	14.0	20.0	61.2	93.8	75.2	45.9	32.5	18.7	8.9	3.4	1.4
425H	14.0	25.0	76.2	104.9	84.2	51.4	35.6	20.0	8.9	3.4	1.4
475H	14.0	32.0	91.0	117.1	93.0	55.8	37.5	20.9	8.9	3.4	1.4
525H	14.0	41.0	107.1	128.1	101.0	60.2	38.2	21.7	8.9	3.4	1.4
575H	14.0	49.0	123.2	142.2	111.0	60.6	38.8	22.5	8.9	3.4	1.4

Table A.1. TOMS Version 7 Standard Ozone Profiles

D ()					Umkeł	nr Layer N	lumber				
Profile	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	> 9
225L	283.0	251.0	215.6	200.7	210.7	221.6	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
275L	283.0	251.0	215.9	203.5	2 11. 9	222.5	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
325L	283.0	251.0	216.5	207.0	213.6	223.0	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
375L	283.0	251.0	216.0	210.0	216.0	224.0	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
425L	283.0	251.0	216.0	213.0	217.0	224.5	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
475L	283.0	251.0	216.0	216.0	219.0	225.0	231.1	245.3	258.7	267.4	265.4
125M	237.0	218.0	196.0	191.0	193.0	210.0	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
175M	260.0	228.0	201.7	198.0	202.1	214.3	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
225M	273.0	239.0	213.3	207.5	211.7	219.1	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
275M	273.0	239.0	217.1	212.2	214.9	220.4	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
325M	273.0	239.0	219.1	216.6	217.0	220.8	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
375M	273.0	239.0	220.2	219.0	219.0	221.9	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
425M	273.0	239.0	220.9	220.7	221.0	223.7	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
475M	273.0	239.0	221.5	222.5	222.7	224.4	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
525M	273.0	239.0	222.3	224.8	225.5	225.8	227.6	239.4	253.6	263.9	262.6
575M	273.0	239.0	225.0	227.0	227.0	227.0	227.6	239.4	253.5	263.9	262.6
125H	237.0	218.0	196.0	191.0	193.0	210.0	223.3	237.1	251.6	262.4	265.6
175H	260.0	228.0	201.7	198.0	202.1	214.3	223.3	237.1	251.6	262.4	265.6
225H	260.0	228.0	209.7	208.5	212.5	222.0	228.0	237.1	251.6	262.4	265.6
275H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.6	262.4	265.6
325H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6
375H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6
425H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6
475H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6
525H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6
575H	260.0	228.0	222.6	223.4	223.8	226.5	231.6	237.1	251.5	262.4	265.6

Table A.2. TOMS Version 7 Standard Temperature Profiles

Table A.3. Umkehr Layers

Umkehr Layer Number	Layer Pressure (mb)	Pressure at Altitude of Mic point	Layer Midpoint (km)
12	0.000-0.247		_
11	0.247-0.495	.3:0	56.5
10	0.495-0.990	.700	51.0
9	0.990-1.980	1.40	45.5
8	1.980-3.960	2.8.0	40.2
7	3.960-7.920	5.00	35.2
6	7.920-15.80	11.2	30.4
5	15.80-31.70	22.4	25.8
4	31.70-63.30	44.8	21.3
3	63.30-127.0	89.6	17.0
2	127.0-253.0	179.0	12.5
1	253.0-506.0	358.0	7.9
0	506.0-1013	710.0	2.8

APPENDIX B. SOFTWARE TO READ HDF OZONE DATA

This appendix describes software that can be used to read the TOMS HDF Level-2 and Level-3 data files. The software is written in C and requires the HDF version 3.3 or 4 (or higher) libraries to compile. The read software is available at the GSFC DAAC (see Appendix C). The HDF libraries can be downloaded via anonymous ftp at ftp.ncsa.uiuc.edu in directory /HDF. Copies of the most recent HDF version libraries can be downloaded from the DAAC anonymous ftp server at daac.gsfc.nasa.gov in directory /pub/hdf.

The Program read_tomsl2.c can be used to read the TOMS Level-2 HDF files. Issuing the command read_tomsl2 will display a list of the HDF files in the current directory. Next, the program will display the following information—

- Text: "File description stored in the file" (optional),
- A prompt to save the output to an ASCII file, and
- File label and metadata.

The next keystroke will display netCDF-style global attributes.

The next keystroke will display a numbered list of all the SDSs providing the name and dimensions of the SDS corresponding to each number. The user can select any SDS to view, or can exit the program by entering q. For the selected SDS, the program will display a description of the axis or axes, the physical units, and offsets and scale factors used to convert the values in the HDF data set to physical values. The user can then press q or any other key to go back to the SDS list and continue browsing the data. After selecting the desired SDS, the user can then select a latitude band for which the data will be displayed. The output will include year, day number, time, latitude, longitude, solar zenith angle, scan number, nadir angle, and the SDS data. The output will be displayed in physical values.

The program read_tomsl3.c can be used to read the TOMS Level-3 HDF files. Issuing the command read_tomsl3 will display a list of the HDF files in the current directory. Next, the program will display the following information—

- File label,
- Text: "File description stored in the file" (optional), and
- Metadata.

The next keystroke will display a numbered list of all the SDSs providing the name and dimensions of the SDS corresponding to each number: 1 is ozone, 4 is reflectivity. (Numbers 2 and 3 are coordinate data sets and will not be displayed by this software). The user can display either SDS by entering its number or can exit the program by entering q. The user will be prompted to output the file to the screen, an ASCII file, or to a binary file. The output will be displayed in physical values. For screen and ASCII dumps, latitude and longitude values will be included with the data values.

APPENDIX C. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data Archive

The derivative data products defined in this User's Guide are archived at and available from the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Distributed Active Archive Center (NASA/GSFC/DAAC). All data and services offered by the Goddard DAAC are free. For very high volume data orders, users may be asked to provide the magnetic tapes for the requested data.

The DAAC may be accessed on World Wide Web at http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/. Options for locating and accessing data are listed on the DAAC home page. Information about TOMS and other ozone data archived at the Goddard DAAC can be found at http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/CAMPAIGN_DOCS/ATM_CHEM/ac_main.html. In addition to data, the DAAC Web pages contain information about HDF, the format in which it provides the Level-2 and Level-3 TOMS products, available from http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/REFERENCE_DOCS/HDF/gdaac-hdf.html.

The DAAC maintains a help desk, which provides assistance with its on-line ordering services. It can be reached as follows:

Electronic Mail:	daacuso@daac.gsfc.nasa.gov
Telephone:	+1-301-614-5224 or 1-800-257-6151
FAX:	+1-301-614-5268

The postal address of the DAAC is:

Goddard Distributed Active Archive Center Global Change Data Center Code 902.2 NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD 20771

Near Real-time Data

Various TOMS Level-3 data (native format, Section 7.2) and images as well as electronic versions of the data products user's guides are available on the World Wide Web TOMS Home Page at http://jwocky.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html.

APPENDIX D. ATTITUDE ANOMALIES

The EP/TOMS spacecraft has experienced a number of attitude anomalies listed below in Table D.1. These anomalies are short lived and tend to affect only the extreme off-nadir scans (not used in level-3 at high latitude). The ozone errors are typically 1D.U. or less during the events listed in Table D.1.

-18 18 11 1			UT Start	UT End	Max	Maximum Attitude Error (deg)		Spacecra (0	aft Position deg)
Orbit	Year	day	hr:mn:sc	hr:mn:sc	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	Lat	Lon
337	1996	206	18:36:48	19:07:27	-0.70	-0.95	0.70	28.5	-121.9
611	1996	224	18:08:12	18:09:29	-0.55	0.31	-0.88	32.3	-108.0
693	1996	230	03:33:06	03:33:10	-0.18	0.056	-0.26	17.9	113.4
723	1996	232	02:55:39	02:56:04	0.17	0.064	0.32	21.1	122.2
754	1996	234	03:54:11	03:54:16	0.19	0.076	0.34	27.3	106.7
800	1996	237	04:31:39	04:33:54	-0.40	0.19	-0.83	36.3	95.1
981	1996	249	02:11:07	02:13:02	0.57	0.26	1.2	14.5	133.9
1300	1996	270	01:51:17	01:51:38	0.22	0.089	0.41	25.9	137.6
1863	1996	307	02:32:12	02:34:23	0.54	0.21	1.2	27.0	126.8
1893	1996	309	01:58:23	01:55:14	-0.34	0.13	-0.74	26.3	136.7
2196	1996	329	00:07:38	00:14:40	-0.52	0.23	-1.5	42.6	158.7
2351	1996	339	04:45:17	04:45:33	0.19	0.080	0.36	14.8	95.9
2438	1996	344	23:34:49	23:35:50	0.47	0.24	0.85	3.5	1 74.9
2473	1996	347	05:17:16	05:20:20	0.58	0.30	1.3	23.4	86.0
2577	1996	354	01:25:23	01:28:28	-0.52	0.24	-1.3	22.7	144.1
3003	1997	016	01:43:30	01:45:21	0.52	0.24	1.1	23.1	139.9
4150	1997	091	11:32:56	11:34:46	0.28	0.12	0.62	24.6	-7.6
4484	1997	113	10:25:54	10:32:15	0.43	0.19	1.3	34.2	6.5
4583	1997	119	22:37:39	22:40:19	-0.47	0.21	-1.0	24.1	-173.8
4629	1997	122	23:09:39	23:18:27	-0.52	0.32	-1.5	40.1	173.7
4703	1997	127	19:54:53	19:55:01	0.18	0.081	0.34	11.5	-130.7
4816	1 997	135	06:10:19	06:14:29	0.37	0.23	1.6	29.5	71.8
4910	1997	141	10:27:05	10:29:49	0.50	0.28	1.4	25.0	8.7
5007	1997	147	19:27:17	19:30:42	0.50	0.24	1.0	25.3	-126.5
5017	1997	148	11:15:55	11:16:19	0.21	0.11	0.51	23.3	-2.6
5132	1997	156	00:38:08	00:41:16	0.47	0.21	1.3	28.2	155.4
5333	1997	169	05:42:38	05:42:46	0.27	0.11	0.44	24.9	80.6
5524	1997	181	18:55:13	18:57:08	0.62	0.33	1.4	23.5	-117.8
5607	1997	187	05:52:41	05:53:54	0.31	0.27	0.61	31.6	76.7

Table D.1. Summary Listing of EP TOMS Attitude Anomaly Events

			UT Start	UT End	Maximum Attitude Error (deg)			Spacecraft Position (deg)		
Orbit	Year	day	hr:mn:sc	hr:mn:sc	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	Lat	Lon	
5614	1997	187	16:53:30	16:54:23	-0.39	0.25	-0.51	23.5	-87.1	
5774	1997	1 98	05:14:43	05:16:22	0.28	0.18	0.67	27.9	86.7	
5848	1 997	203	01:58:19	02:00:18	-0.54	0.26	-1.1	33.9	134.7	
5934	1 997	208	17:33:46	17:33:58	0.17	0.12	0.33	17.3	-96.0	
6208	1 997	226	17:41:22	17:43:50	0.39	0.39	0.77	26.9	-99.9	
6241	1 997	228	21:41:25	21:45:02	-0.60	0.29	-1.3	21.6	-159.4	
6367	1 997	237	04:28:07	04:32:37	-0.52	0.29	-1.4	41.6	95.1	
6565	1997	250	04:38:31	04:40:10	-0.51	0.22	-0.83	17.8	97.4	
6593	1 997	252	00:50:57	00:53:08	0.56	0.29	1.2	30.6	152.2	
6620	1 997	253	19:25:04	19:26:06	-0.30	0.22	-0.50	25.5	-125.2	
6634	1 997	254	17:27:56	17:29:30	0.36	0.17	0.73	20.7	-95.3	
6772	1 997	263	19:04:43	1 9:0 5:44	-0.39	0.22	-0.60	22.0	-119.6	
6787	1997	264	18:46:50	18:46:54	0.16	0.067	0.25	29.3	-116.0	
7277	1 99 7	296	23:11:50	23:13:49	0.37	0.17	0.96	12.0	179.9	
7805	1997	338	02:52:46	03:29:22	-0.81	-1.2	-0.84	3.9	117.2	
8061	1997	348	17:53:20	17:55:23	0.41	0.17	0.93	22.1	-102.0	
8135	1997	353	20:49:56	20:51:26	-0.46	0.22	-0.68	12.6	-144.5	
8209	1997	358	23:47:25	23:49:48	0.59	0.29	1.4	11.0	171.1	
8237	1997	360	23:57:45	00:00:33	0.60	0.31	1.6	7.5	169.0	
8319	1998	001	16:08:58	16:09:56	0.31	0.15	0.66	-16.8	-69.7	
8322	1998	001	19:36:46	19:38:57	-0.33	0.12	-0.64	18.1	-127.3	
8340	1998	003	01:29:27	01:33:08	-0.50	0.21	-1.3	15.4	144.6	
8444	1 998	010	06:24:02	06:24:06	-0.21	0.053	-0.32	19.2	71.3	
8597	1998	020	20:37:42	20:40:34	-0.60	0.31	-1.4	20.6	-143.0	
8618	1998	022	09:02:38	09:05:09	0.30	0.12	0.60	-12.1	35.8	
8709	1998	028	16:18:27	16:18:35	0.22	0.10	0.40	-13.0	-72.4	
8841	1998	037	18:07:23	18:07:27	0.14	0.053	0.29	11.6	-103.3	
8845	1998	038	00:43:03	00:43:07	0.16	0.057	0.28	1.2	159.3	
8916	1998	042	22:44:28	22:47:32	-0.40	0.15	-0.86	19.2	-174.5	
8986	1998	047	19:04:18	19:06:58	0.42	0.20	1.1	16.7	-118.9	
9213	1998	063	12:19:33	12:24:48	0.45	0.21	1.6	31.7	-21.1	
9267	1998	067	07:31:14	07:31:18	0.16	-0.052	0.30	-32.1	62.8	
9835	1998	106	15:29:11	15:31:26	0.55	0.27	1.3	-9.6	-61.1	
9845	1998	107	06:34:57	06:37:49	0.51	0.25	1.4	21.5	67.6	

Table D.1. Summary Listing of EP TOMS Attitude Anomaly Events (Continued)

			UT Start	UT End	Maximum Attitude Error (deg)			Spacecra (aft Position deg)
Orbit	Year	day	hr:mn:sc	hr:mn:sc	Roll	Pitch	Yaw	Lat	Lon
9877	1998	109	11:48:27	11:48:47	0.18	0.074	0.43	23.1	-10.4
10173	1998	130	01:12:03	01:15:03	0.63	0.32	1.5	0.3	151.6
10191	1998	131	05:32:42	05:36:52	0.30	0.14	1.3	24.5	82.4
10551	1998	156	07:09:16	07:09:20	0.16	0.052	0.34	23.2	59.5
10582	1 99 8	158	07:21:51	07:21:59	0.18	0.082	0.31	26.4	55.8
10624	1 99 8	161	05:08:29	05:10:53	-0.39	0.18	-0.81	30.9	87.7
10661	1 998	163	18:35:58	18:39:19	0.53	0.26	1.2	29.2	-114.1
10798	1 998	173	06:16:21	06:18:57	-0.57	0.29	-1.2	30.9	70.7
10884	1 998	179	05:12:32	05:14:27	0.51	0.25	1.2	34.1	86.1
10943	1 99 8	183	07:17:06	07:18:36	0.24	0.099	0.55	39.6	53.8
11130	1998	1 96	05:54:10	06:00:27	0.58	0.32	1.9	31.9	75.1
11172	1998	199	03:41:01	03:43:08	-0.55	0.26	-1.1	13.2	112.7
11369	1 998	212	19:07:33	19:07:54	0.19	0.082	0.42	26.3	-120.7
11433	1 998	217	05:27:11	05:30:16	0.56	0.32	1.4	32.6	82.5
11532	1 998	224	02:02:53	02:04:40	0.38	0.18	0.82	45.6	130.7
11576	1 998	227	03:02:19	03:04:54	0.40	0.21	1.1	23.2	120.6
11648	1998	232	02:44:29	02:47:05	-0.57	0.29	-1.2	36.5	122.5

Table D.1. Summary Listing of EP TOMS Attitude Anomaly Events (Continued)

.

APPENDIX E. MISSING DATA

No Earth scan data (and therefore no Level-2 or Level-3 data) were acquired for the orbits given in Table E.1. Table E.2 lists the orbits that were partially missing through June of 1998. The percentage of available data is shown in parenthesis. These tables will be updated and made available at the Web Site for Near Real-time data given in Appendix C. Table E.3 shows the set of orbits during which some "stare mode" data were taken. In this mode, the scan mirror is held stationary so that the instrument stares at a fixed view angle. As a result, approximately 3 minutes of the ozone data are missing from each of these orbits. We do not anticipate the acquisition of any additional stare mode data.

Month	Days	Year	Orbit Numbers	Comment
November	28	1996	2258	
November	16-19	1997	7640-7675	Instrument in "safehold"
December	4-13	1997	7903-8037	Orbit altitude being raised

Table E.	. EP/1	OMS	Orbits	with	No	Ozone	Data
----------	--------	-----	--------	------	----	-------	------

 Date	Year	Orbit (% Available)
 July 16	1996	216 (84%), 219 (61%)
July 17	1996	221 (40%), 227 (61%), 229 (40%), 232 (29%)
July 19	1996	264 (39%)
July 21	1996	295 (60%)
July 22	1996	299 (12%)
July 24	1996	339 (40%)
October 9	1996	1505 (64%), 1506 (80%)
November 28	1996	2257 (38%), 2259 (62%)
December 31	1996	2773 (77%)
March 9	1997	3794 (65%)
November 16	1997	7639 (41%)
November 19	1997	7676 (60%)
December 4	1997	7902 (38%)
December 13	1997	8038 (67%)
April 14	1998	9807 (82%)
May 1	1998	10045 (72%)
May 12	1998	10212 (96%), 10213-10216 (38% each)
May 13	1998	10217-10223 (38% each), 10224 (40%)
May 19	1998	10312 (99%)
May 20	1998	10327 (98%)
May 24	1998	10376 (61%), 10377 (39%)
June 14	1998	10675 (99%)

Table E.2 Incomplete EP/TOMS Orbits

Date	Year	Orbits	Date	Year	Orbits
April 1	1997	4152	June 5	1997	5143
April 2	1997	4167	June 6	1 997	5158
April 6	1997	4228, 4230	June 7	1 997	5173
April 11	1997	4306	June 10	1 997	5219
April 16	1997	4382	June 11	1 997	5233, 5234
April 20	1997	4443	June 12	1 997	5240, 5250
April 21	1997	4458	June 14	1 997	5277, 5280
April 25	1 99 7	4519	June 15	1 997	5295
April 30	1997	4595	June 16	1 997	5309, 5310
May 4	1997	4655	June 17	1997	5316, 5326
May 5	1997	4671	June 19	1 997	5353, 5356
May 9	1997	4732	June 20	1 997	5371
May 10	1997	4747	June 21	1997	5386
May 13	1997	4793	June 24	1997	5432
May 14	1 997	4808	June 25	1997	5447
May 19	1997	4884	June 28	1997	5493
June 1	1997	5082	June 29	1997	5508
June 2	1997	5097			

Table E.3 EP/TOMS Orbits Containing Stare Mode Data

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.						
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan	nk)	2. REPORT DATE November 1998	3. REPORT TYPE A Technical F	ND DATES Publicatio	DOVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5. FUN	DING NUMBERS	
Earth Probe Total Ozone Data Product User's Guid						
 6. AUTHOR(S) R. McPeters, P.K. Bhartia, A. Krueger, J. Herman C. Wellemeyer, C. Seftor, G. Jaross, O. Torres, L. Moy, G. Labow, W. Byerly, S. Taylor, T. Swissler, and R. Cebula 						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES)					DRMING ORGANIZATION DRT NUMBER	
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771					98B00076	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	(ES)	10. SPC AGE	SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001					P—1998–206895	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES C. Wellemeyer, C. Seftor, G. Jaross, O. Torres, L. Moy, G. Labow, W. Byerly, S. Taylor, T. Swissler, and R. Cebula						
Raytheon STX Corporation	on, L	anham, Maryland				
	Y STA	TEMENT		12b. DIS	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Subject Cotegory 46						
Benort available from the N		Contor for AcroSpace I				
Parkway Center/7121 Stand	lard I	Drive, Hanover, Marylan	nformation, nd 21076-1320			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word Two data products from the	e Ear	th Probe Total Ozone N	Apping Spectrometer	er (EP/To	DMS) have been	
TOMS began taking mass	archived at the Distributed Active Archive Center, in the form of Hierarchical Data Format files. The EP/					
TOWIS began taking measu	irem	ents on July 15, 1996. I	ne instrument meas	ures back	scattered Earth radi-	
solar diffuser used for the i	ance and incoming solar irradiance; their ratio is used in ozone retrievals. Changes in the reflectivity of the					
solar diffuser used for the irradiance measurement are monitored using a carousel of three diffusers, each						
ozone compares measured Earth radiances at sets of three woyalangths with radiances aslaulated for different						
ent total ozone values. The initial error in the absolute scale for TOMS total ozone is 3 percent, the end						
standard deviation random error is 2 percent, and the drift is less than 0.5 percent over the first year of data						
The Level-2 product contains the measured radiances, the derived total ozone amount, and reflectivity						
information for each scan position. The Level-3 product contains daily total ozone and reflectivity in a 1-						
degree latitude by 1.25 degrees longitude grid. Level-3 files containing estimates of UVB at the Earth						
surface and tropospheric aerosol information are also available. Detailed descriptions of both HDF data						
files and the CD-ROM product are provided.						
14. SUBJECT TERMS					15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
reflectivity, UVB, aerosol					16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFI					20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
OF REPORT Unclassified	0	THIS PAGE Unclassified	of abstract Unclassified		UL	

•