Structural Life and Reliability Metrics—Benchmarking and Verification of Probabilistic Life Prediction Codes

Jonathan S. Litt Army Research Laboratories Glenn Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Sherry Soditus United Airlines San Francisco International Airport San Francisco, California 94128

Robert C. Hendricks and Erwin V. Zaretsky NASA Glenn Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Over the past two decades there has been considerable effort by NASA Glenn and others to develop probabilistic codes to predict with reasonable engineering certainty the life and reliability of critical components in rotating machinery and, more specifically, in the rotating sections of airbreathing and rocket engines. These codes have, to a very limited extent, been verified with relatively small bench rig type specimens under uniaxial loading. Because of the small and very narrow database the acceptance of these codes within the aerospace community has been limited. An alternate approach to generating statistically significant data under complex loading and environments simulating aircraft and rocket engine conditions is to obtain, catalog and statistically analyze actual field data. End users of the engines, such as commercial airlines and the military, record and store operational and maintenance information. This presentation describes a cooperative program between the NASA GRC, United Airlines, USAF Wright Laboratory, U.S. Army Research Laboratory and Australian Aeronautical & Maritime Research Laboratory to obtain and analyze these airline data for selected components such as blades, disks and combustors. These airline data will be used to benchmark and compare existing life prediction codes.



STRUCTURAL LIFE AND RELIABILITY METRICS— BENCHMARKING AND VERIFICATION OF PROBABILISTIC LIFE PREDICTION CODES



Jonathan S. LittSherry SoditusArmy Research LaboratoryUnited AirlinesGlenn Research CenterSan Francisco International AirportCleveland, Ohio 44135San Francisco, California 94128

Robert C. Hendricks and Erwin V. Zaretsky NASA Glenn Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135



STATE OF THE ART

- Probabilistic life prediction codes are not verified with full-scale engine components
- Database is limited to simple rig specimens
- Lack of funds and time for full-scale engine component testing under controlled conditions
- •Engine company data limited and proprietary
- •Multiple codes do not correlate with each other and possibly not with limited data available



NEEDS

- Affordable and statistically significant database for critical engine components
- •Ability to benchmark and verify existing reliability and life prediction codes with fullscale engine components
- Ability to develop reasonable engineering confidence in available analytical tools or modify the codes accordingly



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- •Obtain from UAL reliability and life data for critical engine components and flight operating conditions information
- •Develop a statistical database for each component selected for analysis
- •Independent analysis by multiple participants of the life and reliability of the selected components
- •Comparison of analysis with airline database



BENEFITS

- Enhanced aviation safety and accident prevention
- Low cost design and manufacturing for new production engines
- Reduced life-cycle and maintenance costs
- Reliable design for finite life
- Airline on-time performance, airport throughput
- Military readiness









PARTICIPANTS

NASA GRC, Cleveland UAL Maintenance, San Francisco USAF Wright Labs, Dayton NAVAIR, Pax River Aeronautical & Maritime Research Laboratory (AMRL), Australia Ohio Aerospace Institute (OAI), Cleveland



Obtain Statistical Maintenance Database on:

- •Turbine Disk
- •Fan Blade Hub
- •Turbine Blade
- Combustor

Define Operating Profile for Each Component

Statistically Analyze Data

Independent Probabilistic Life Prediction of

Each Component

Compare Prediction with Field Data



APPROACH—For Turbine Disks

Test to Failure in Spin Rig 10 Disks Retired for Time

- Develop Statistical Database for Disk Material For Life Prediction Purposes
- Apply Statistical Database to Disk Life Prediction







Material: Disk material, IN 100

Static and Fatigue tests

Fatigue test matrix:

- Stress levels: 3-4 appropriate stress levels
- Temperature range: 3-4 appropriate temperatures 72 °F to ~1400 °F.

TEMPERATURE	STRESS			
	x ₁	\mathbf{x}_2	x ₃	x ₄
1. ROOM	~	~	4	4
2. 500° F		V.		
3. 1000° F		V		
4. 1400° F		V		





ANALYTICAL TOOLS

Sample: Weibull Analysis of Test Data



Cycle to failure, N_f





Cycle to failure, N_f

Effect of temperature





CURRENT STATUS

Field Data Collected and Statistically Analyzed Retired Disks Collected for Spin Testing Material Procured for Coupon Test Specimens Perform Coupon Testing and Analyze Data FEA and Component Life Prediction Probabilistic Life Prediction and Compare with Field Data Endurance Tests of 10 Turbine Disks