The Case of Nuclear Propulsion

Academician Anatoly S. Koroteev, Keldysh Research Center, Nicolai N. Ponomarev-Stepnoi, Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Vladimir P. Smetannikov, State Enterprise "Krasnaya Zvezda" (Red Star), Albert A. Gafarov, Keldysh Research Center.

Mike Houts, Melissa Van Dyke, Tom Godfroy, James Martin, Shannon Bragg-Sitton, Ricky Dickens, Pat Salvail, Roger Harper, Ivana Hrbud

> NASA MSFC, TD40, Marshall Space Flight Center, Alabama, 35812 (256) 544-5720 / Fax: (256)544-5926; michael.houts@msfc.nasa.gov

Abstract. Fission technology can enable rapid, affordable access to any point in the solar system. If fission propulsion systems are to be developed to their full potential; however, near-term customers must be identified and initial fission systems successfully developed, launched, and utilized. Successful utilization will simultaneously develop the infrastructure and experience necessary for developing even higher power and performance systems. To be successful, development programs must devise strategies for rapidly converting paper reactor concepts into actual flight hardware. One approach to accomplishing this is to design highly testable systems, and to structure the program to contain frequent, significant hardware milestones. This paper discusses ongoing efforts in Russia and the United States aimed at enabling near-term utilization of space fission systems.

INTRODUCTION

The fission process was first reported in 1939, and in 1942 the world's first man-made self-sustaining fission reaction was achieved. Creating a self-sustaining fission chain reaction is conceptually quite simple. All that is required is for the right materials to be placed in the right geometry - no extreme temperatures or pressures required - and the system will operate. Since 1942 fission systems have been used extensively by governments, industry and universities. Fission systems operate independently of solar proximity or orientation, and are thus well suited for deep space or planetary surface missions. In addition, at launch (prior to significant operation at power) the fuel for fission systems (highly enriched uranium) is essentially non-radioactive, containing 0.064 curies/kg. This compares quite favorably to current space nuclear systems (Pu-238 in radioisotope systems contains 17,000 curies/kg) and certain highly futuristic propulsion systems (tritium in D-T fusion systems would contain 10,000,000 curies/kg). An additional comparison is that at launch a typical space fission propulsion system would contain an order of magnitude less onboard radioactivity than did Mars Pathfinder's Sojourner Rover, which used radioisotopes for thermal control. The primary safety issue with fission systems is avoiding inadvertent system start – addressing this issue through proper system design is quite straightforward. The energy density of fission is seven orders of magnitude greater than that of the best chemical fuels, and if properly utilized is more than adequate for enabling rapid, affordable access to any point in the solar system.

Despite the relative simplicity and tremendous potential of space fission systems, the development and utilization of these systems has proven elusive. The first use of fission technology in space occurred 3 April 1965 with the US launch of the SNAP-10A reactor. There have been no additional US applications of fission systems in space. While

space fission systems were used extensively by the former Soviet Union, their application was limited to earthorbital missions. Early space fission systems must be safely and affordably utilized if we are to reap the benefits of advanced space fission systems.

Table 1 gives a partial list of major US space fission programs that have failed to result in flight of a system (Angelo, 1985). There are a variety of reasons why these programs failed to result in a flight. The fact that so many programs have failed indicates that a significantly different approach must be taken if future programs are to succeed. In many cases, space reactor programs were cancelled because the proposed mission was cancelled. However, in many of those cases mission cancellation was partially due to the fact that the reactor required by the mission was taking too long and costing too much to develop.

TABLE 1. Partial list of major US space fission programs that have failed to result in flight of a system.

•Solid-Core Nuclear Rocket Program

•Medium-Power Reactor Experiment (MPRE)

•Thermionic Technology Program (1963-1973)

•Space Nuclear Thermal Rocket Program

•SP-100

•SNAP-50 / SPUR •High-Temperature Gas-

Cooled Electric Power Reactor (710 Reactor)

•SPAR / SP-100

•Flight Topaz

•DOE 40 kWe Thermionic Reactor Program •Advanced Liquid Metal Cooled Reactor

•Advanced Space Nuclear Power Program (SPR)

•Multi-Megawatt Program

•Thermionic Fuel Element Verification Program

• Air Force Bimodal Study

Previous and Ongoing Russian Nuclear Thermal Rocket Research

From 1950-1980 a prototype nuclear rocket engine (NRE) with a heterogeneous core, carbide fuel, a thrust of 36 kN, a specific impulse of 920 s, and an operational lifetime of 1 hour was developed and successfully ground tested in Russia (Demyanko et al., 2002).

From 1970-1988 thirty-one spacecraft powered by the first Russian nuclear power plant (NPP) "Buk" (3 kWe, thermoelectric power conversion) were launched into near-Earth orbits (Ponomarev-Stepnoi, 2000).

The world's first NPP "Topol" using thermionic energy conversion and providing 5 kWe of power, well-known as "Topaz", was tested in flight on two spacecraft in 1987-1988. Electricity from the Topaz system was used to power an electric propulsion (EP) subsystem.

The 6 kWe thermionic NPP "Enisei" (Topaz-2) underwent the complete cycle of proto-flight tests. Experimental studies with the NPP Topaz-2 were performed in the early 1990's in the USA within the framework of the Nuclear Electric Propulsion Space Test Program – NEPSTP.

Methods and means were developed in Russia to assure radiation safety of spacecraft with NPP both in normal operation and emergency situations.

Presently in Russia research related to the next use of fission energy in space is being conducted in accordance with the Space Nuclear Energetics Progress Concept approved by the Russian Federation government in February, 1998 (Rossiiskaya Gazeta, 1998). The Concept foresees the generation of technology advances providing the opportunity to develop nuclear systems at power levels up to 100 kW and lifetimes no less than 5-7 years by 2010, and solving a wide range of promising tasks associated with their use both in near space and interplanetary space. In the future, nuclear systems capable of providing 500 kWe or more must be developed. The Concept foresees large-scale cooperation of Russia with foreign countries in the field of space nuclear energetics.

The current emphasis of Russian research related to the introduction of nuclear energetics in space engineering is on using space fission systems to provide in-space transportation (Akimov et al., 2000). In accordance with the Space Nuclear Energetics Progress Concept in Russia, development of transport-power modules (TPM) is the main focus of activities. Such TPM provide both spacecraft delivery to the operational orbit, and supply the entire power needs of the spacecraft both during transit and when the operational orbit is achieved.

One direction of nuclear TPM realization is using combination of a nuclear power plant (NPP) and a sustainer electric propulsion system (Koroteev and Gafarov 2002). As power consumption by the SC at the operational orbit is usually lower than power consumption by the electric propulsion system, significant attention in Russia is being given to a dual power level NPP. In Table 1 some projects of such NPP with built-in two-level electric generating channels (EGC) are presented. These EGC are based on technology of multi-element EGC for the TOPAZ NPP. Presently, reactor tests of a two-level EGC are being successfully conducted.

Variant of NPP	NPP-25	NPP-50	NPP-100	NPP-150
Type of reactor	intermediate neutron reactor		fast neutron reactor	
Coolant	eutectic alloy "sodium-potassium"		lithium	
Basic structural material	stainless steel		niobium-based alloy	
Maximum temperature of coolant, °C	600		700	900
Useful electric power of NPP, kW				
- in nominal level	30	50	50	160
- in forced level	65-87	100-125	150	400
Lifetime, years				
- in nominal level	7-10 years		7-10 years	
- in forced level	to 1 year		0.5 year	
Mass of NPP, kg	2700-3000	3250-4000	5350-5600	7250

Table 1. Characteristics of thermionic NPP with built-in two-level EGC

The second variant of realization of nuclear TPM is based on additional equipping of NRE with the system of reactor thermal energy conversion into electric energy. Results of several studies of similar NPPS are presented in Table 2.

 Table 2. Characteristics of NPPS using NRE technologies

Variant of NPP	with thermionic converter with turbo-machine converter*		
Thrust in NRE mode, N	981	4903	
Specific impulse, N/s	8560		
Electric power, kW	25	25	40
Lifetime,			
- in NRE mode, hours	up to 10		
- in NPP mode, years	up to 10		

Mass of NPPS (without tank and hydrogen)	2435	2655	5145

* - Rankine or Brayton cycle

On the basis of the available scientific and technical heritage from 1960-1980 and with wide international support, Russian specialists developed performance specifications for a new generation NRE. The main characteristics are presented below:

Thermal power of NRE reactor, MW	340
Working medium	hydrogen
Temperature of propellant in nozzle chamber, K	2900
NRE trust, kN	68
Specific impulse, s	940
Total operating time, hour	~2
Mass of reactor with block of radiation protection and nozzle, kg	2675
Dry mass of NRE (without hydrogen tanks), kg	2890

The project of combined propulsion-power complex on the basis of NRE providing not only thrust but also electric power generation up to 25 kWe has also been developed.

Presently ROSAVIAKOSMOS has initiated an R&D work "Mars-XXI". The main goal is development of key elements of the power-propulsion system for a Manned Mars Expedition. Within this R&D work studying of several variants of such a system on the basis of nuclear energetics is foreseen (on the basis of NRE, NPP and their combinations).

Thermal NRE or NPPS on their basis, built according to the concept proposed in Russia, must be used as the basic variant of the Manned Mars Expedition approved by American specialists (Borowski and Dudzinski, 1998). It opens the prospects for international cooperation.

As the first step at the new stage of nuclear energetics introduction into space, development of a unified automatic spacecraft on the basis of nuclear electric propulsion system for Solar system exploration seems useful. The initial variant of such spacecraft could be based on one-level NPP with electric power of some ten kilowatts and lifetime of up to 10 years. Startup of a spacecraft from a sufficiently high near-Earth orbit allows not only increased effectiveness of nuclear energetics usage, but would also help establish safety assurance policies.

Organization of international programs permitting one to use the greatest achievements of the countries / participants in the projects must become an effective way of making space nuclear energetics progress. Russia can make a significant contribution to realization of such programs by using technical decisions and technologies partially tested in space flight conditions.

Ongoing Research at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center's Early Flight Fission Test Facility (EFF-TF)

The focus of research being conducted at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center's Early Flight Fission Test Facility (EFF-TF) is on enabling the near-term development and utilization of space fission systems. Near-term space fission systems must capitalize on experience gained from previous fission programs. The development of new nuclear technology has historically been costly and time consuming. Nuclear technology developed by previous

programs should thus be utilized, and requirements for new nuclear technology minimized. In-core components should operate within demonstrated fuel burnup capability and demonstrated neutron damage limits for the given reactor environment (temperature, chemistry, power density, etc.). The construction of new nuclear facilities or the extensive modification of existing facilities has historically been costly and time consuming. Near-term fission systems should thus require only existing nuclear facilities for their development. Flight qualification of any space system requires an extensive test program; thus near-term fission system flight units must be highly testable. Because of the expense and difficulty associated with performing realistic full-power ground nuclear tests, previous programs have considered the option of foregoing full-power ground nuclear testing in favor of a flight test. For example, in Josloff (1993) (referring to the SP-100 program) it is stated that "There has been recent interest among government agencies in establishing an early flight mission that would provide the catalyst needed to enable confident planning for subsequent operational missions. This first flight would validate the total system performance, obviate the need for costly ground nuclear testing, demonstrate safety features and facilitate safety approval through the INSRP process for the subsequent operational missions." Full power nuclear ground test facility requirements may also dictate that the unit tested on the ground be significantly different than the actual flight unit. Any differences between what is tested and what is flown will limit the benefit from full-power ground nuclear tests. It should also be noted that for NASA missions no safety-related data is obtained from a full-power ground nuclear test, and that the only potential benefit from that test is data related to system reliability. The cost effectiveness of a potential full-power ground nuclear test must thus be compared with other less expensive and time consuming methods for improving overall mission reliability. Highly testable systems that utilize established nuclear technology incur the least technical risk if full power ground nuclear testing is not performed. If full power ground nuclear testing is performed, highly testable systems will enable a high test effectiveness and a high confidence in nuclear testing success. The ability to quickly and affordably establish the safety and reliability of any proposed space fission system will be critical to its programmatic success.

Additional innovative approaches will have to be used to ensure that the next space fission system development program results in system utilization. Safety must be the primary focus of the program, but cost and schedule must also be significant drivers. System performance must be adequate, but the desire to make performance more than adequate should not be allowed to drive system cost and schedule. Near-term space fission systems must be safe, simple, and as inexpensive to develop and utilize as possible.

One method for ensuring that a space fission system development program is "on track" is to require frequent, relevant hardware-based milestones. When possible, these milestones should include subsystem or system-level testing. Successful development of individual components is obviously necessary, but in no way ensures that an integrated system can be developed and flight qualified. Highly realistic testing of integrated subsystems and systems is the best way to demonstrate that a proposed approach is viable.

The difficulty, schedule, and expense associated with performing realistic, full power ground nuclear testing of an integrated space fission system will eliminate such testing as a potential early milestone. Development and flight qualification testing will thus rely heavily on realistic, non-nuclear testing. Full-power acceptance testing will rely entirely on realistic non-nuclear testing, because nuclear heated tests cannot be performed on the actual flight unit. This in turn will require development of an adequate facility and thermal simulators capable of matching axial and radial power profiles, as well as fuel pin thermal conductivity. A viable space fission system development program must include development of highly realistic non-nuclear test capability.

POTENTIAL NEAR-TERM SPACE FISSION SYSTEMS

At least three potential near-term space fission systems have been proposed: a fast-spectrum, highly enriched uranium fueled reactor cooled by a noble gas mixture (GCR), a fast-spectrum, highly enriched uranium fueled reactor cooled by pumped NaK (NaK-LMR), and a fast-spectrum, highly enriched uranium fueled reactor cooled by heat pipes. In addition to similarities in fuel and operating neutron spectrum, these three potential near-term space

fission systems have numerous other commonalities. All use ex-core control (e.g. drums or sliding reflectors), beryllium or beryllium oxide neutron reflectors, lithium hydride neutron shielding, and are designed for highlyautonomous operation. Although many of the technologies used by the three systems are quite similar, differences related to the method of primary heat transport can result in differences associated with development and flight qualification.

Hardware-Based Technology Assessment of Gas Cooled Reactors

Engineers at Sandia National Laboratories have devised a "testable" gas cooled reactor cooled by a noble gas mixture of helium and xenon (Wright and Lipinski, 2003). Additionally, the gas flow path is designed to cool the pressure vessel to the extent that stainless steel or superalloys can be used for the pressure boundary even if the reactor is providing turbine inlet temperatures in excess of 1150 K. The Sandia approach eliminates all single-point failure refractory metal vessels, eliminates the need for a high temperature, primary heat exchanger, and eliminates the need for hermetic refractory metal to superalloy (or stainless steel) transition joints.

A potential three-step program for hardware-based technology assessment of the gas cooled reactor concept would involve single-channel hot flow testing followed by core segment testing and then by full-core testing. The single-channel flow test would utilize an accurate scale model of one flow channel in the GCR design and would be used to benchmark flow predictions. Pressure drop would be measured under a variety of flow and temperature conditions.

A 37-channel test could then be performed to verify performance predictions related to a significant segment of the core. A realistic flow configuration could be tested, including pressure, flow rate, and core temperature increase. Specific tasks would include the following:

- 1. Benchmark thermal-hydraulic correlations that are used in the design of the gas cooled reactor.
- 2. Investigate the effects of radially dependent power loads and the viability of flow control via flow orifices at the exit end.
- 3. Measure the gas exit temperature at several different channel locations to determine the flow rate through the channel and validate the flow resistance correlations. Repeat for a variety of mass flow rates.
- 4. Perform a variety of power transients and measure the time dependence of gas temperature. Use data to benchmark GCR correlations. Repeat for various mass flow rates.
- 5. Vary the local heating rate (in one or two rows) to determine the stability of the flow field.
- 6. Search for evidence of flow vibration in the pins (such as wear, sonic noise, etc) and quantify if possible.
- 7. Develop and demonstrate techniques for low-cost electrically heated testing, and for acceptance testing of flight hardware, for gas-cooled reactor systems.
- 8. Identify any potential showstoppers early in the program.

The final task in the three step program would be to fabricate and test a pre-prototypic, full core gas cooled reactor operating at the desired thermal power for an early flight system. For this task it would be highly desirable to accurately match noble gas inlet and exit conditions. Prior to performing this test, it would also be highly desirable to fully quantify the effects of impurities in the noble gas on refractory metal fuel cladding and other components in the gas loop.

A series of single-channel flow tests have been completed at NASA MSFC, and used to verify predicted flow characteristics. A representative 37-pin core segment is being fabricated, and testing is scheduled to begin in August, 2003. In addition to the eight specific tasks previously mentioned, testing of the 37-pin core segment will help assess the potential "test effectiveness" of realistic non-nuclear testing performed on the GCR. Test

effectiveness is a measure of how closely the tested system resembles the flight-ready system, both functionally and physically, and also on how closely the tests simulate the flight conditions. Instrumentation techniques optimized for GCR testing will also be developed and implemented. A drawing of the 37-pin Test article is shown in Figure 1, and the experimental setup used for single-channel flow testing is shown in Figure 2.





FIGURE 1. 37-Pin Gas Cooled Reactor Test Article.

FIGURE 2. Single-Channel Flow Experiment.

Hardware-Based Technology Assessment of Heat Pipe Cooled Reactors

Engineers at Los Alamos National Laboratory have devised numerous heat pipe cooled reactor concepts, ranging in power level from 15 kWt to > 800 kWt. Heat pipe systems do not require that a hermetic vessel surround the core. This allows ready access to one end of the core, providing a very high level of testability. Other potential advantages of heat pipe cooled systems are discussed in Poston, 2003a and Poston, 2003b.

Because of their high level of testability and other attributes, heat pipe cooled systems were the first of the three potential systems considered in this paper to undergo hardware-based technology assessment. Fabrication and test of a molybdenum heat pipe module was completed in 1996 via \$75K funding provided by NASA's Marshall Space Flight Program. The module was fabricated by Los Alamos National Laboratory and tested at the New Mexico Engineering Research Institute using equipment originally purchased from the Former Soviet Union for use by the Thermionic Systems Evaluation Test (TSET) program. The test demonstrated operation at full rated power, restart capability, and the soundness of the modular approach (Houts, 1997). A "bimodal" module was fabricated in 1998 and tested in 1999. Module testing demonstrated very high temperature operation, fast start capability, and the potential for generating modest amounts of thrust by directly heating a propellant gas. Data from the test was also used to benchmark thermal predictions. Details concerning this particular test series are given in Van Dyke, 2000. The next step in the hardware-based technology assessment of heat pipe cooled systems was the fabrication and test of a full-core, 30 kWt system. Initial testing provided information concerning the operation of a full reactor core. A Stirling engine was then procured and coupled to the 30 kWt core, and both steady state and transient testing performed. Upon completion of the coupled core / Stirling engine tests, the coupled system was sent to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, where it was integrated with an ion thruster. Steady state and transient testing of the integrated system was then performed. Details of the SAFE-30 test series and experimental results are given in Van Dyke, 2002 and Hrbud, 2003.

Experience gained from the SAFE-30 test series was used to design a 100 kWt stainless steel heat pipe cooled reactor core, and higher power refractory metal cores. Proposed improvements were made to both module geometry and thermal bonding techniques. Heat exchangers have been designed to enable heat to be transferred from the heat pipes to the noble gas coolant of a Brayton power conversion subsystem.

Future heat pipe cooled reactor research could include fabrication and test of the 100 kWt core and heat exchanger, or a significant portion thereof. Thermal bonding techniques for refractory metal heat pipe modules could be demonstrated, as well as integration of those modules with a representative heat exchanger. An extremely significant milestone for heat pipe cooled reactor development would be the successful fabrication and test of a pre-prototypic reactor core coupled to a pre-prototypic heat exchanger, operating at the thermal power and temperature required by a flight unit. Figure 3 is a picture of a coupled SAFE-30 /Stirling engine test. Figure 4 is a picture of a SAFE-100 thermal simulator test.



FIGURE 3. Coupled SAFE-30 / Stirling Engine Test.



FIGURE 4. SAFE-100 Thermal Simulator Test.

Hardware-Based Technology Assessment of Pumped NaK Cooled Reactors

All space reactors flown to date (US and Former Soviet Union) have been cooled by pumped NaK, and operated at peak NaK temperatures that allow non-refractory vessels and piping. Pumped NaK cooled systems operating at NaK temperatures below 1000 K are significantly different from lithium cooled systems operating at higher temperatures, and would be much easier to develop and utilize. The primary drawback of pumped NaK cooled systems is that their relatively low outlet temperature would likely result in a higher system specific mass relative to systems operating at higher temperatures.

A core concept with fuel pins in annular coolant channels and two-pass coolant flow has been proposed by industry and DOE national laboratories as one option for a testable pumped-NaK system. Figure 5 illustrates the general layout of the core assembly with NaK coolant loops and plenums. The coolant enters the core through an annular inlet plenum (positioned at the top) that directs it into a circumferential flow passage formed between the outer shell and core block. The flow follows this perimeter passage traversing the length of the core and exiting into the lower manifold. This manifold distributes the coolant for a return trip to the top of the core via annular gaps formed between the fuel pin clad and core block. At the top of the core an outlet plenum collects the heated NaK. Figure 6 shows an end view of this same core design layout, illustrating both the perimeter and annular fuel pin flow paths.

The NaK would flow through an appropriate heat exchanger, most likely either a liquid metal to gas heat exchanger (Brayton power conversion) or directly to a Stirling engine heater head. Test instrumentation would consist primarily of temperature and pressure sensors at various locations within the core and heat exchanger. Test objectives would include demonstrating the feasibility of a testable pumped NaK system, benchmarking thermal hydraulic codes used in the design of pumped liquid metal systems, verifying the performance of the flow geometry, and demonstrating the potential for uniform NaK channel outlet temperature.

CONCLUSION

Fission technology can enable rapid, affordable access to any point in the solar system. Fission systems can also enable power-rich environments for planetary surface exploration. If fission propulsion systems are to be developed to their full potential; however, near-term customers must be identified and initial fission systems successfully developed, launched, and utilized. Successful utilization will simultaneously develop the infrastructure and experience necessary for developing even higher power and performance systems. To be successful, development programs must devise strategies for rapidly converting paper reactor concepts into actual flight hardware. One approach to accomplishing this is to design highly testable systems, and to structure the program to contain frequent, significant hardware milestones.



FIGURE 5. General Core NaK Flow Loop.



Figure 6. Core End View Showing Flow Passages.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Unless otherwise referenced, the research reported in this paper was funded by and performed in Russia or at NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center.

References

Akimov V.N., A. A. Gafarov, A. S. Koroteev, A. B. Prishletsov (2000) Nuclear Energetics in Cosmonautics of XXI Century. – Poljot, 2000, № 10, pp.3-11, in Russian.

Angelo, J.A. and Buden, D. (1985) Space Nuclear Power, ISBN 0-89464-000-03, Orbit Book Company, Inc., Malabar, Florida.

Borowski, S.K. and L.A. Dudzinski (1998) "Vehicle and Mission Design Options for the Human Exploration of Mars/Phobos Using "Bimodal" NTR and LANTR Propulsion." - 34th Joint Propulsion Conference, Cleveland, OH. 1998.

Demyanko, Yu. G., G. V. Konyukhov, A. S. Koroteev, E. P. Kuzmin, A. A. Paveliev. (2002) Nuclear Rocket Engines. Edited by Academician A.S. Koroteev. – Moscow, OOO "Norma-Inform", 2002, in Russian.

Houts, M.G., Poston, D.I., Emrich, W.J. (1997) "Heatpipe Power System and Heatpipe Bimodal System Design and Development Options," in *Space Nuclear Power and Propulsion*, edited by M.S. El-Genk and M.D. Hoover, DOE Conf 970115, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, pp. 1317-1322.

Hrbud, I. et al. (2003) "End-to-End Demonstrator of the Safe Affordable Fission Engine (SAFE) 30: Power Conversion and Ion Engine Operation," these proceedings of *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by M.S. El-Genk, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2003.

Josloff, A.T., Matteo, D.N., Bailey, H.S. (1993) "SP-100 Space Reactor Power System Readiness and Mission Flexibility," in *Space Nuclear Power and Propulsion*, edited by Mohamed S. El-Genk, DOE Conf 930103, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, pp. 229-236.

Koroteev, A.S. and A. A. Gafarov (2002) "The Main Directions of Development and Application of Space Nuclear Propulsion Systems in Russia" 6th International Symposium "Propulsion for Space Transportation of the XXIst Century", Versailles : 13 to 17 May 2002.

Ponomarev-Stepnoi, N.N., V. M. Talyzin, V. A. Usov. (2000) Russian Space Nuclear Power and Nuclear Thermal Propulsion Systems. – Nuclear News, December 2000, pp.33-46.

Poston, D. I. et al. (2003a) "A 100-kWt NaK-cooled space reactor concept for an early-flight NASA mission," proceedings of *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by Mohamed S. El-Genk, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2003.

Poston, D. I. et al. (2003b) "Design of a Nb1Zr SAFE-400 Reactor," proceedings of *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by Mohamed S. El-Genk, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2003.

Rossiiskaya Gazeta (1998), Resolution of Russian Federation government dated February 2, 1998. № 144 "About Concept of Space Nuclear Energetics Progress in Russia". – Rossiiskaya Gazeta, February 11, 1998, in Russian.

Vandyke, M.K. et al. (2000) "Non-Nuclear Testing of a Fission System," in *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by Mohamed S. El-Genk, ISBN 1-56396-920-3, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2000, pp 1211-1217.

Vandyke, M.K. et al. (2002) "Phase 1 Space Fission Propulsion System Testing and Development Progress," in *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by M.S. El-Genk, ISBN 0-7354-0053-9, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2002, pp 692-697.

Wright, S.A. and Lipinski, R.J. (2003) "Pin-Type Gas Cooled Reactor for Nuclear Electric Propulsion," these proceedings of *Space Technology and Applications International Forum*, edited by M.S. El-Genk, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2003.