

Fire Suppression and Response

Strategic Research to Enable NASA's Exploration Missions June 22 - 23, 2004 Cleveland, OH



Overview

- Organizing Questions
- Programmatic Background
- Experimental Concepts
- Discussion

Fire Prevention, Detection, and Suppression

Organizing Questions for Research in Fire Suppression and Response



Background

- Limited research to date directed toward extinguishment of existing fires
 - Venting extinguishment testing (Skylab and KC-135)
 - CO₂ extinguishment testing (KC-135)
 - Thin-fuel Flammability limit testing (drop towers and KC-135)
- Testing has been limited to partially developed small fires
- Development of a reliable extinguishment system will require testing of extinguishment of a variety types of fires in a range of geometries, including well established fires

851

NASA/CP-2004-213205/VOL1



Strategic Research to Enable NASA's Exploration Missions June 22 - 23. 2004

Cleveland, OH

Organizing Questions

- What is the relative effectiveness of candidate suppressants to extinguish a representative fire in reduced gravity, including high-O₂ mole fraction, low-pressure environments?
- 2. What are the relative advantages and disadvantages of physicallyacting and chemically-acting agents in spacecraft fire suppression?
- 3. What are the O₂ mole fraction and absolute pressure below which a fire cannot exist?
- 4. What effect does gas-phase radiation play in the overall fire and post-fire environments?
- 5. Are the candidate suppressants effective to extinguish fires on practical solid fuels?
- 6. What is required to suppress non-flaming fires (smoldering and deep-seated fires) in reduced gravity?
- 7. How can idealized space experiment results be applied to a practical fire scenario?
- 8. What is the optimal agent deployment strategy for space fire suppression?



- 1. What is the relative effectiveness of candidate suppressants to extinguish a representative fire in reduced gravity, including high-O₂ mole fraction, low-pressure environments?
- CO₂, N₂, He, water mist, microencapsulated water, ...
- What metric do you use for effectiveness when evaluating different suppressants?
- What test configuration (or range of configurations) should be used?

NASA/CP-2004-213205/VOL1



NASA/CP-2004-213205/VOL1

Strategic Research to Enable NASA's Exploration Missions June 22 - 23, 2004 Cleveland, OH

- 2. What are the relative advantages and disadvantages of physically-acting and chemically acting agents in spacecraft fire suppression?
- Chemical suppressants may be effective at concentrations below SMAC values
- Are chemical suppressants equally effective in reduced gravity?
- What metric do you use for effectiveness when evaluating different suppressants?
- What test configuration (or range of configurations) should be used?

- 3. What are the O₂ mole fraction and absolute pressure below which a fire cannot exist?
- Provides a lower limit for design of a suppression delivery system
- Presume a physically-acting extinguishing agent
- Value will depend on configuration, fuel, and diluent
 - Testing with µg droplet combustion has shown the limiting oxygen index (LOI) for droplet combustion to be substantially (~4 mol %) below that for solids or normal gravity droplet testing.

- 4. What effect does radiative absorption in the gas phase play in the overall fire and post-fire environments?
- Prior work with radiatively participating gases indicate that extinguishing CO₂ concentrations in oxidizing environments might result in broader flammability limits due to radiative feedback from the CO₂ rich ambient.
- Effect is minimized in normal gravity because of buoyancy.



- 5. Are the candidate suppressants effective to extinguish fires on practical solid fuels?
- Evaluating agent effectiveness may require a simple geometry
- How is the connection made to a practical solid fuel?
- Is a space flight verification test required?



- 6. What is required to suppress non-flaming fires (smoldering and deep-seated fires) in reduced gravity?
- NFPA Standard 12 requires a 20-minute holding time with CO₂
- Smoldering combustion is one of the most probable spacecraft fire scenarios (cable overheat, trash and bio-matter storage) yet holding times are unknown
- Deep seated fires (i.e., fires that can re-ignite after suppression of the gas-phase flame) have not been addressed for microgravity conditions
- Competition between heat loss (diffusion) and oxidant diffusion timescales
- Geometry can be either smoldering or dispersed solid (e.g. crib or trash fire)
- Testing will first establish whether re-ignition can occur and then extinguishment criteria will be established

7. How can idealized space experiment results be applied to a practical fire scenario?

- Real fire geometries are complex and involve radiative interaction between burning solids.
- Model development concurrent with small scale extinguishment tests will build framework for large scale tests.
- Model validation with large scale testing will ultimately be required to assure extinguishment effectiveness



8. What is the optimal agent deployment strategy for space fire suppression?

- Normal gravity buoyant pumping of agent into fire is absent in µg (in both flooding and targeted application of agent)
- Fire brand transport and flammability must be considered in the design of hand-held extinguishers
- Fire brands released by agent deployment will not settle as in 1-g
- Flooding applications must be validated by computational modeling of agent deployment combined with experimental understanding of local extinguishment
- Data from the prior questions should be able to help address this issue

Fire Prevention, Detection, and Suppression

NASA/CP-2004-213205/VOL1

Programmatic Background

- The Combustion Integrated Rack is currently scheduled for launch on ULF-2 in October 2006
- In March, a proposal was made at HQ to move the CIR launch to ULF-1.1 in June 2005
- What experiment can be run that supports the exploration mission?
- Two concepts were developed for rapid deployment
- The proposal was not accepted but the concepts remain relevant

NASA

Strategic Research to Enable NASA's Exploration Missions June 22 - 23, 2004 Cleveland, OH

• Fire Suppression

- Carriers
 - ISS Glovebox
 - CIR new insert
 - FEANICS
- Experiments
 - GBEX (cup burner)
 - FLEX (MDCA hardware)
 - Porous plate/cylinder

- Backward Facing Step
- Real Materials
- Smoldering Materials