13/0

NACA

0

no.

NTN

RM LGJØ

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR A NUMBER OF

NACA 6A-SERIES AIRFOIL SECTIONS

By.

Laurence K. Loftin, Jr.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.

> Decembro Land. 1

> > Simirona, COUN.

ADVANCE COPY NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

> WASHINGTON DEC 6 1946

MACA RM No. 16J01

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR A NUMBER OF

NACA 6A-SERIES AIRFOIL SECTIONS

By Laurence K. Loftin, Jr.

SUMMARY

The NACA 6A-series airfoil sections were designed to eliminate the trailing-edge cusp which is characteristic of the NACA 6-series sections. Theoretical data are presented for NACA 6A-series basic thickness forms having the position of minimum pressure at 30-, 40-, and 50-percent chord and with thickness ratios varying from 6 percent to 15 percent. Also presented are data for a mean line designed to maintain straight sides on the cambered sections.

The experimental results of a two-dimensional wind-tunnel investigation of the aerodynamic characteristics of five NACA 64A-series airfoil sections and two NACA 63A-series airfoil sections are presented. An analysis of these results, which were obtained at Reynolds numbers of 3×10^6 , 6×10^6 , and 9×10^6 , indicates that the section minimum drag and maximum lift characteristics of comparable NACA 6-series and 6A-series airfoil sections are essentially the same. The quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients and angles of zero lift of NACA 6A-series airfoil sections are slightly more negative than those of corresponding NACA 6-series airfoil sections. The position of the aerodynamic center and the lift-curve slope of smooth NACA 6A-series airfoil sections appear to be essentially independent of airfoil thickness ratio in contrast to the trends shown by NACA 6-series sections. The addition of standard leading-edge roughness causes the lift-curve slope of the newer sections to decrease with increasing airfoil thickness ratio.

INTRODUCTION

Considerable interest is being shown in airfoil sections having small thickness ratios because of their high critical Mach numbers. The NACA 6-series airfoil sections of small thickness have relatively high critical Mach numbers, but have the disadvantage of being very

thin near the trailing edge, particularly when the sections considered have the position of minimum pressure well forward on the basic thickness form. The thin trailing-edge portions lead to difficulties in structural design and fabrication.

In order to overcome the difficulties, the trailing-edge cusp has been removed from a number of NACA 6-series basic thickness forms and the sides of the airfoil sections made straight from approximately 80-percent chord to the trailing edge. These new sections are designated NACA 6A-series airfoil sections. A special mean line designated the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line has also been designed to maintain straight sides on the cambered sections.

This paper presents theoretical pressure-distribution data and ordinates for NACA 6A-series basic thickness forms covering a range of thickness ratios extending from 6 to 15 percent and a range of positions of minimum pressure extending from 30-percent to 50-percent chord.

The aerodynamic characteristics of seven NACA 6A-series airfoil sections as determined in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel are also presented. These data are analyzed and compared with similar data for NACA 6-series airfoil sections of comparable thickness and design lift coefficient.

COEFFICIENTS AND SYMBOLS

- cd section drag coefficient
- cdmin minimum section drag coefficient
- cz section lift coefficient
- cl, design section lift coefficient
- cl. maximum section lift coefficient

 $c_{m_{a.c.}}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about aerodynamic center $c_{m_c/h}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about quarter-chord point

NACA RM No. 16JOL

- a section angle of attack
- α_i section angle of attack corresponding to design lift coefficient

dez

- da section lift-curve slope
- V free-stream velocity
- v local velocity
- Av increment of local velocity
- ∆va increment of local velocity caused by additional type of load distribution
- PR resultant pressure coefficient; difference between local upper-surface and low-surface pressure coefficients
- R Reynolds number
- c airfoil chord length
- x distance along chord from the leading edge
- y distance perpendicular to chord
- yc mean-line ordinate
- a mean-line designation, fraction of chord from leading edge over which design load is uniform
- v airfoil design parameter (reference 1)

THEORETICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF AIRFOILS

<u>Designation</u>.- The system used for designating the new airfoil sections is the same as that employed for the NACA 6-series sections (reference 1) except that the capital letter "A" is substituted for the dash which appears between the digit denoting the position of minimum pressure and that denoting the ideal lift coefficient. For example, the NACA 64_1 -212 becomes the NACA 64_1 A212 when the cusp is removed from the trailing edge. In the absence of any further modification to the designation, the cambered airfoils are to be considered as having the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line. Basic thickness forms. The theoretical methods by which the basic thickess forms of the NACA 6-series family of airfoil sections were derived so as to have pressure distributions of a specified type are described in reference 1. The process of removing the trailing-edge cusp was accomplished by increasing the value of the airfoil design parameter ψ (reference 1) corresponding to the rear portion of the airfoil until the airfoil ordinates formed a straight line from approximately 80-percent chord to the trailing edge. Once the final form of the ψ curves was established, the new pressure distributions corresponding to the modified thickness forms were calculated by the usual methods described in reference 1.

A comparison of the theoretical pressure distributions of an NACA 641-012 airfoil section and an NACA 641A012 airfoil section (fig. 1) indicates that removing the trailing-edge cusp has but little effect upon the velocities around the section. A slight reduction of the peak negative pressure and flatter pressure gradient over the forward and rear portions of the airfoil section seem to be the principal effects. The theoretical calculations also indicate the presence of a trailing-edge stagnation point caused by the finite trailing-edge angle of the NACA 6A-series sections. This stagnation point is, of course, never realized experimentally.

Ordinates and theoretical pressure-distribution data for NACA 6A-series basic thickness forms having the position of minimum pressure at 30-, 40-, and 50-percent chord are presented in figures 2 to 16 for airfoil thickness ratios of 6, 8, 10, 12, and 15 percent. If intermediate thickness ratios involving a change in thickness of not more than 1 to 2 percent are desired, the ordinates of the basic thickness forms may be scaled linearly without seriously altering the gradients of the theoretical pressure distribution.

<u>Mean line</u>.- In order that the addition of camber not change the pressure gradients over the basic thickness form, a mean line should be used which causes uniform load to be carried from the leading edge to a point at least as far back as the position of minimum pressure on the basic thickness form. The usual practice is to camber NACA 6-series airfoil sections with the a = 1.0 type of mean line because this mean line appears to be best for high maximum lift coefficients and, contrary to theoretical predictions, does not cause excessive guarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients.

The a = 1.0 type mean line was not considered desirable, however, for the NACA 6A-series basic thickness forms because the surfaces of the cambered airfoil sections would be curved near the trailing edge. The type of mean line best suited for maintaining

4

straight sides on these newer sections would be one that is perfectly straight from 80-percent chord to the trailing edge. Such a camber line could be obtained by modifying an a = 0.7 mean line. Consideration of the effect of mean-line loading upon the maximum lift coefficient indicated, however, that a mean line having a uniform load distribution as far back along the chord as possible was desirable. It was found, that the a = 0.8 type mean line could be made straight from approximately 85-percent chord to the trailing edge without causing a sharp break in the mean line and with very little curvature between 80-percent and 85-percent chord. The aerodynamic advantages of using this mean line in preference to one having uniform load to 70-percent chord were considered to be more important than the slight curvature existing in the modified a = 0.8 mean line. For this reason, all cambered NACA 6A-series airfoil sections have employed the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line.

The ordinates and load-distribution data corresponding to a design lift coefficient of 1.0 are presented in figure 17 for the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line. The ordinates of a nean line having any arbitrary design lift coefficient may be obtained simply by multiplying the ordinates presented by the desired design lift coefficient.

<u>Cambered airfoils</u>.- The method used for cambering the basic thickness distributions of figures 2 to 16 with the mean line of figure 17 is described and discussed in references 1 and 2. It consists essentially of laying out the ordinates of the basic thickness forms normal to the mean line at corresponding stations. A discussion of the method employed for combining the theoretical pressure-distribution data, presented in figures 2 to 17 for the mean line and basic thickness distribution, to give the approximate theoretical pressure distribution about a cambered or symmetrical airfoil section at any lift coefficient is given in reference 1.

APPARATUS AND TESTS

<u>Wind tunnel.</u> All the tests described in this report were conducted in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel. The test section of this tunnel measures 3 feet by 7.5 feet. The models completely spanned the 3-foot dimension with the gaps between the model and tunnel walls sealed to prevent air leakage. Lift measurements were made by taking the difference between the pressure reaction upon the floor and ceiling of the tunnel; drag results were obtained by the wake-survey method and pitching moments were determined with a torque balance. A more complete description of the tunnel and the method of obtaining and reducing the data are contained in reference 1. Models. - The seven airfoil sections for which the experimental aerodynamic characteristics were obtained are:

63A010 63A210

64A010 64A210 641A212 642A215 64A410

The models representing the airfoil sections were of 24-inch chord and were constructed of laminated mahogany. The models were painted with lacquer and then sanded with number 400 carborundum paper until aerodynamically smooth surfaces were obtained. The ordinates of the models tested are presented in table 1.

Tests .- The tests of each smooth airfoil section consisted of measurements of the lift, drag, and quarter-chord pitchingmoment coefficients at Reynolds numbers of 3, 6, and 9 × 106. In addition, the lift and drag characteristics of each section were determined at a Reynolds number of 6×10^6 with standard roughness applied to the leading edge of the model. The standard roughness employed on these 24-inch-chord models consisted of 0.011-inchdiameter carborundum grains spread over a surface length of 8 percent of the chord back from the leading edge on the upper and lower surfaces. The grains were thinly spread to cover from 5 to 10 percent of this area. In an effort to obtain some idea of the effectiveness of the airfoil sections when equipped with trailing-edge high-lift devices, each section was fitted with a simulated split flap deflected 60°. Lift measurements were made using the split flap at a Reynolds number of 6×10^6 with the airfoil leading edge both smooth and rough.

RESULTS

The results obtained from tests of the seven airfoil sections are presented in the form of standard aerodynamic coefficients representing the lift, drag, and quarter-chord pitching-moment characteristics of the airfoil sections in figures 18 to 24. The calculated position of the aerodynamic center and the variation of the pitching-moment coefficient with lift coefficient about this point are also included in these data. The influence of the tunnel

boundaries has been removed from all the aerodynamic data by means of the following equations (developed in reference 1):

$$c_d = 0.990c_d^*$$

 $c_l = 0.973c_l^*$
 $c_{m_c/4} = 0.951c_m^*$
 $\alpha = 1.015\alpha^*$

where the primed quantities denote the measured coefficients.

DISCUSSION

Although the amount of systematic aerodynamic data presented for NACA 6A-series airfoil sections is not large, it is enough to indicate the relative merits of the NACA 6A-series airfoil sections as compared with the NACA 6-series sections. The variation of the important aerodynamic characteristics of the five NACA 64A-series airfoils with the pertinent geometrical parameters of the airfoils is shown in figures 25 to 31, together with comparable data for NACA 64-series airfoils. The curves shown in figures 25 to 31 are for the NACA 64-series airfoil sections and are taken from the faired data of reference 1. The experimental points which appear on these figures represent the results obtained for the NACA 64Aseries airfoil sections in the present investigation. Since only two NACA 63A-series sections were tested, comparativo results are not presented for them. The effect of removing the cusp from the NACA 63-series sections is about the same as that of removing the cusp from the NACA 64-series sections.

The comparative data showing the effects upon the aerodynamic characteristics of removing the trailing-edge cusp from NACA 6-series airfoil sections should be applied with caution if the cusp removal is affected in some manner other than that indicated earlier in this paper. For example, if the cusp should be removed from a cambered airfoil by means of a straight-line fairing of the airfoil surfaces, the amount of camber would be decreased near the trailing edge. Naturally, the effect upon the aerodynamic characteristics of removing the cusp in such a manner would not be the same as indicated by the comparative results presented for NACA 6-series and 6A-series airfoils. <u>Drag</u>. The variation of section minimum drag coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio at a Reynolds number of 6×10^6 is shown in figure 25 for NACA 64-series and NACA 64A-series airfoil sections of various cambers, both smooth and with standard leading-edge roughness. As with the NACA 64-series sections (reference 1), the minimum drag coefficients of the NACA 64A-series sections show no consistent variation with camber. Comparison of the data of figure 25 indicates that removing the cusp from the trailing edge has no appreciable effect upon the minimum drag coefficients of the airfoils, either smooth or with standard leading-edge roughness.

Increasing the Reynolds number from 3×10^6 to 9×10^6 has about the same effect upon the minimum drag coefficient of NACA 64A-series airfoils (figs. 18 to 24) as that indicated in reference 1 for the NACA 64-series airfoils.

Some differences exist in the drag coefficients of NACA 64and 64A-series airfoils outside the low-drag range of lift coefficients but these differences are small and do not show any consistent trands (figs. 18 to 24 and reference 1).

Lift. The section angle of zero lift as a function of thickness ratio is shown in figure 26 for NACA 64- and 64A-series airfoil sections of various cambers. These results show that the angle of zero lift is nearly independent of thickness and is primarily dependent upon the amount of camber for a particular type of mean line. Theoretical calculations using the mean line data of figure 17 and reference 1 indicate that the airfoils using the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line should have angles of zero lift less negative than would be obtained if an a = 1.0 type mean line were used. Actually the reverse appears to be the case due mainly to the fact that airfoils using the a = 1.0 type of mean line have angles of zero lift which are only about 74 percent of their theoretical value (reference 1), and the a = 0.8 (modified) mean lines have angles of zero lift larger than indicated by theory.

The measured lift-curve slopes corresponding to the NACA 64-series and NACA 64A-series airfoils of various cambers are presented in figure 27 as a function of airfoil thickness ratio. No consistent variation of lift-curve slope with camber or Reynolds number is shown by either type of airfoil. An increase in trailing-edge angle produced by removal of the cusp tends to reduce the lift-curve slope by an amount which increases with airfoil thickness (see references 3 and 4), but it appears that, for the 6A-series airfoils, this decrease in lift-curve slope is just enough to equal the normal increase in lift-curve slope caused by airfoil thickness because the present data for the

6A-sections show practically no variation with thickness. The value of the lift-curve slope for smooth NACA 64A-series airfoil sections is very close to that predicted from thin airfoil theory (2π per radian or 0.110 per degree). With standard leading-edge roughness, removing the trailing-edge cusp causes the lift-curve slope to decrease quite rapidly with increasing airfoil thickness ratio.

The variation of the section maximum lift coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio and camber at a Reynolds number of 6×10^6 is shown in figure 28 for NACA 64-series and NACA 64A-series airfoil sections with and without standard leading-edge roughness and simulated split flaps deflected 60°. A comparison of these data indicates that the character of the variation of maximum lift coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio and camber is practically the same for the NACA 64-series and NACA 64A-series airfoil sections. The magnitude of the maximum lift coefficient appears to be slightly less for the plain NACA 64A-series airfoils and slightly higher for the NACA 64A-series airfoils with split flaps than corresponding values for the NACA 64-series airfoils. These differences are small, however, and for engineering applications, the maximum lift characteristics of NACA 64-series and 64A-series airfoil sections of comparable thickness and design lift coefficient may be considered as practically the same.

A comparison of the maximum lift data for NACA 64A-series airfoil sections, with similar data for NACA 64-series airfoil sections, presented in figures 18 to 24, indicates that the scale-effect characteristics of the two types of section are essentially the same for the range of Reynolds number from 3×10^6 to 9×10^6 .

Pitching moment .- Thin-airfoil theory provides a means for calculating the theoretical quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients of airfoil sections having various amounts and types of camber. Calculations were made according to these methods for airfoils employing the a = 1.0 and a = 0.8 (modified) mean lines using the theoretical mean-line data presented in figure 17 and in reference 1. The results of these calculations indicate that the quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients of the NACA 64A-series airfoil sections, employing the a = 0.8 (modified) mean line, should be only about 87 percent of those for the NACA 64-series airfoil sections with the a = 1.0 mean line. The experimental relationship between the quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficient and airfoil thickness ratio and camber, shown in figure 29, discloses that the plain NACA 64A-series airfoils have pitching-moment coefficients which are slightly more negative than those for the plain NACA 64series airfoils. The increase in the magnitude of the pitching-moment

coefficient of NACA 64A-series airfoils as compared with NACA 64series airfoils becomes greater when the airfoils are equipped with simulated split flaps deflected 60° . A comparison of the theoretical and measured pitching-moment coefficients is shown in figure 30 for NACA 64-series and 64A-series airfoil sections. These comparative data indicate that the NACA 64A-series sections much more nearly realize their theoretical moment coefficients than do the 64-series airfoil sections. Similar trends have been shown to result when mean lines such as the a = 0.5 type are employed with NACA 6-series airfoils (reference 1).

<u>Aerodynamic center</u>.- From the quarter-chord pitching-moment data, the position of the aerodynamic center, and the variation of the moment coefficient about this point with lift coefficient, were calculated for each of the seven airfoils tested. The variation of the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center with airfoil thickness ratio is shown in figure 31 for the NACA 64-series and 64A-series airfoil sections. The data presented for the NACA 64-series airfoils are for all cambers and, in accordance with these results, the position of the aerodynamic center shows no consistent variation with camber for the NACA 64Aseries airfoils. The data of figures 18 and 24 show that variations in the Reynolds number have no consistent effect upon the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center.

Perfect fluid theory indicates that the position of the aerodynamic center should move rearward with increasing airfoil thickness and the experimental results for the NACA 64-series airfoil sections follow this trend. The data of reference 5 show important forward movements of the aerodynamic center with increasing trailingedge angle for a given airfoil thickness ratio. The results obtained for the NACA 24-, 44-, and 230-series airfoil sections (reference 1) reveal that the effect of increasing trailing-edge angle predominates over the effect of increasing thickness because the position of the aerodynamic center moves forward with increasing thickness ratio for these airfoil sections. For the NACA 64A-series airfoils (fig. 31) the aerodynamic center is slightly behind the quarterchord point and does not appear to vary with increasing thickness. These results suggest that the opposite effects of increasing thickness and trailing-edge angle counterbalance each other for these airfoil sections.

CONCLUSIONS

From a two-dimensional wind-tunnel investigation of the aerodynamic characteristics of five NACA 64A-series and two NACA 63A-series airfoil sections the following conclusions based

upon data obtained at Reynolds numbers of 3×10^6 , 6×10^6 , and 9×10^6 may be drawn:

1. The section minimum drag and maximum lift coefficients of corresponding NACA 6-series and 6A-series airfoil sections are essentially the same.

2. The lift-curve slopes of smooth NACA 6A-series airfoil sections appear to be essentially independent of airfoil thickness ratio, in contrast to the trends shown by NACA 6-series airfoil sections. The addition of standard leading-edge roughness causes the lift-curve slope to decrease with increasing airfoil thickness ratio for NACA 6A-series airfoil sections.

3. The section angles of zero lift of NACA 6A-series airfoil sections are slightly more negative than those of comparable NACA 6-series airfoil sections.

4. The section quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients of NACA 6A-series airfoil sections are slightly more negative than those of comparable NACA 6-series airfoil sections. The position of the aerodynamic center is essentially independent of airfoil thickness ratio for NACA 6A-series airfoil sections.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Langley Field, Va.,

Laurence K Kopting;

Laurence K. Loftin, Jr. Aeronautical Engineer

Approved:

Clinton H. Dearborn Chief of Full-Scale Research Division

bw

3

REFERENCES

- 1. Abbott, Ira H., von Doenhoff, Albert E., end Stivers, Louis S., Jr.: Summery of Airfoil Data. NACA ACR No. L5C05, 1945.
- Jacobs, Eastman N., Ward, Kenneth E., and Pinkerton, Robert M.: The Characteristics of 78 Related Airfoil Sections from Tests in the Variable-Density Wind Tunnel. NACA Rep. No. 460, 1933.
- 3. Purser, Paul E., and McKee, John W.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a Plain Aileron with Thickened and Beveled Trailing Edges on a Tapered Low-Drag Wing. NACA ACR, Jan. 1943.
- 4. Jones, Robert T., and Ames, Milton B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. V - The Use of a Beveled Trailing Edge to Reduce the Hinge Moment of a Control Surface. NACA ARR, March 1942.
- Purser, Paul E., and Johnson, Harold S.: Effects of Trailing-Edge Modifications on Pitching-Moment Characteristics of Airfoils. NACA CB No. 14730, 1944.

TABLE I ORDINATES OF NACA 6A-SERIES AIRFOIL SECTION

NACA 63A010

[Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord]

NACA 64A010

Upper Surface		Lower Surface		
Station	Ordinate	Station	Ordinate	
0 5755 1257 1505 1257 1505 150	0 .804 .225 1.225 1.2327 2.3275 3.1993 3.41993 4.985 4.985 4.985 4.985 4.985 4.985 4.985 4.985 1.225 3.122 4.884 4.884 1.582 1.062 1.021	0 125505 12505 1502505050505050505050 150250505050505050 1050505050505050505050505	0 8049 9669 -1.2688 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.8027 -2.4007 -2.402	

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

NACA 63A210

[Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord]

Upper Surface		Lower Surface		
Station	Ordinate	Station	Ordinate	
0 .423 .664 1.151 2.384 9.4389 9.14.8894 7.3865 9.14.8894 7.3865 8.916 3.4975 5.5028 8.916 3.9355 5.9994 2.4.9955 5.5028 6.0028 6.0028 6.0028 7.00262 9.0026 8.5072 9.0056 100.000	0 .868 1.367 1.397 1.597 1.397 1.597	0 .577 .836 1.349 2.616 10.137 15.131 20.084 35.065 5.1084 35.065 5.4.987 2.60 10.271 15.138 20.084 35.065 5.4.987 2.694 9.9598 35.9574 100.000	0 - 756 - 900 - 1.1252 - 2.0478 - 2.24728 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 3.346767 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 3.346764 - 4.1012 - 3.34964 - 4.1012 - 3.34964 - 4.1012 - 3.34964 - 4.1012 - 5.3478 - 5.3478 - 3.346764 - 4.1012 - 5.3478 - 3.346764 - 4.1012 - 5.3478 - 3.346764 - 4.1012 - 5.3478 - 3.346764 - 4.1012 - 5.3478 - 3.3467778 - 3.34677778 - 3.346777778 - 3.34677777777777777777777777777777777777	

NACA 64A210

Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord

Upper	Surface	Lower Surface		
Station	Station Ordinate		Ordinate	
0 124 1665 1.153 2.387 4.3874 9.4884 9.4885 14.8855 24.9955 24.9955 24.9955 24.9955 24.9955 24.9954 25.55 24.9954 25.55 24.9954 25.55 25.0028 85.0074 29.00074 29.0000 20.00000 20.00000 20.00000 20.00000000 20.0000000000	0 .856 1.014 1.3495 2.685 3.2888 3.7922 5.5584 4.5206 5.5584 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7425 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.5484 5.7245 5.6584 5.7245 5.5684 5.7245 5.2564 5.7245 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7245 5.7257 5.5684 5.7257 5.5684 5.7257 5.5684 5.5684 5.7257 5.56844 5.56844 5.5684 5.5684 5.5684 5.5684 5.5684 5.5684 5.568	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\$	0 -744 -7886 -1.1473 -1.19556 -2.25600 -2.55854 -2.55854 -3.55754 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.559544 -3.5595454544 -3.55	
L.E. radius: 0.687 T.E. radius: 0.023 Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095				

.....

:::

.

TABLE I .- Concluded.

NACA 64A410

.....

....

[Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord]

Upper	Surface	Lower	Surface	
Station	Station Ordinate		Ordinate	
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ .582\\ 1.059\\ 2.276\\ 4.749\\ 7.230\\ 9.757\\ 14.748\\ 19.770\\ 24.8834\\ 19.950\\ 24.871\\ 39.910\\ 49.989\\ 55.0575\\ 66.085\\ 75.126\\ 65.085\\ 75.126\\ 85.148\\ 90.104\\ 85.148\\ 90.1053\\ 100.000\\ \end{array}$	0 902 1.12 1.4515 3.865 4.3860 4.3860 4.3860 4.3860 4.3860 4.3860 6.1314 7.5522 7.3140 6.1050 7.1314 7.5522 7.3140 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 5.47051 7.6624 6.1050 7.1514 7.6624 6.1050 7.1514 7.6624 6.1050 7.0051 7.0052 7.0051 7.0052 7.0051 7.0052 7.0051 7.0052 7.0051 7.0052 7.0052 7.0051 7.0052 7.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ .650\\ .918\\ 1.441\\ 2.724\\ 1.5251\\ 7.770\\ 10.283\\ 15.252\\ 20.230\\ 30.166\\ 30.166\\ 30.166\\ 30.916\\ 30.916\\ 30.911\\ 54.915\\ 40.992\\ 74.874\\ 97.943\\ 89.92\\ 74.874\\ 89.849\\ 89.849\\ 89.892\\ 89.896\\ 89.8$	0 678 796 969 -1.251 -1.592 -1.919 -2.2446 -2.499 -2.2456 -2.436 -2.436 -2.436 -2.436 -2.2436 -2.2436 -2.2436 -2.26	
L.E. radius: 0.687 T.E. radius: 0.023 Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.190				

NACA 641A212

Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord

Upper Surface		Lower	Surface		
Station	Station Ordinate		Ordinate		
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ .409\\ .648\\ 1.1355\\ 2.3649\\ 7.343\\ .4.849\\ .9.922\\ .4.849\\ .9.922\\ .4.849\\ .9.922\\ .4.999\\ .9.935\\ .0.564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.9993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ .4.993\\ .5.00564\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1.25825580 \\ 2.2445280 \\ 4.3582580 \\ 4.3586556 \\ 4.3586580 \\ 4.35866 \\ 4.35$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ .591\\ .862\\ .576\\ .576\\ .5.151\\ .7.657\\ .15.151\\ .20.138\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .150\\ .120\\ .500\\ .085\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .950\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .000\\ .540\\ .000$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -901 \\ -1075 \\ -1.338 \\ -1.842 \\ -2.8740 \\ -2.48740 \\ -3.7960 \\ -4.4564 \\ -4.7149 \\ -4.45278 \\ -3.45664 \\ -4.45278 \\ -3.45278 \\ -3.4537 \\ -3.4537 \\ -3.4537 \\ -1.557 \\ -3.4557 \\ -1.1571 \\ -7.598 \\ -0.025 \\ -0.$		
L.E. radius: 0.994 T.E. radius: 0.028 Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095					

NACA 642A215

Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord

Upper Surface	Lower	Lower Surface		
Station Ordinate	Station	Ordinate		
$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 \\388 & 1.243 \\243 & 1.509 \\ 1.107 & 1.950 \\ 2.333 & 2.713 \\373 & 2.713 \\373 & 2.713 \\4811 & 3.833 \\4683 \\463$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ .612\\ .876\\ 1.393\\ 2.667\\ 10.193\\ 15.189\\ 20.173\\ 25.151\\ 30.1097\\ 40.067\\ 40.067\\ 54.982\\ 59.988\\ 64.937\\ 74.907\\ 74.907\\ 89.889\\ 94.991\\ 89.921\\ 74.907\\ 89.889\\ 94.991\\ 100.000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1.31 \\ -1.351 \\ -1.6291 \\ -2.2111 \\ -3.2111 \\ -3.2111 \\ -3.2111 \\ -3.2111 \\ -4.19491 \\ -5.2128 \\ -4.2399 \\ -5.2399$		

.... ...

NACA RM

No.

L6J01





•..

•••

:

Figure 2.- NACA 63A006 basic thickness form.



• • •

•

Figure 3.- NACA 63A008 basic thickness form.



....

.

Figure 4 .- NACA 63A010 basic thickness form.

::::

::

Figure 5.- NACA 631A012 basic thickness form.

::::

Figure 6.- NACA 632A015 basic thickness form.

Figure 7.- NACA 64A006 basic thickness form.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

• • • •

:::

Po

 $\left(\frac{v}{v}\right)^2$

.6.						
	0	-02	= .046	Upper su	rface	
2						-
		46 Lower sur	face			-
.8						7
•4						
						-
0						
C	.2	•4 x	/c .6	.8		1.0
	(percent c)	(percent c)	$(v/v)^2$	v/v	$\Delta v_{a}/V$	
	•5	.646	.947	·973	3.546	
	1.25	.983	1.068	1.033	1.352	
	5.0	1.863	1.151	1.073	.692	
	15	3.047	1.191	1.091	.401	
	25	3.681 3.866	1.209 1.217	1.100 1.103	.279 .247	
	35 40	3.972 3.998	1.221	1.105	.221	
	42 50 55	3.757	1.191	1.091	.158	
	60 65	3.234 2.897	1.141	1.068	.125	
	70 75	2.521 2.117	1.084	1.041	.098	
	85 90	1.278	.987	·993	.059	
	95 100	.438 .018	.914	.956	0.032	
	L.E. radius: 0.439 percent c					

Figure 8.- NACA 64A008 basic thickness form.

::::

.

Figure 9.- NACA 64A010 basic thickness form.

.

.

.....

Figure 10.- NACA 64, A012 basic thickness form.

(percent c)	Thereaue cl			
0 •5 •75 1.25 5.0 15 25 •5 10 15 25 25 25 55 65 70 55 65 75 80 85 90 50 100	0 1.193 1.436 1.435 2.542 3.427 5.555 1.487 5.555 1.488 884 1.558 5.775 1.555 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.5755 1.57555 1.5755 1.5755 1.575555 1.575555 1.5755555 1.5755555 1.5755555 1.57555555 1.575555555 1.5755555555555555555555555555555555555	0 .678 .789 .936 1.110 1.226 1.314 1.360 1.390 1.413 1.430 1.445 1.458 1.414 1.364 1.311 1.255 1.139 1.079 1.020 .901 .843 0	0 .823 .888 .967 1.054 1.107 1.131 1.146 1.166 1.179 1.189 1.196 1.202 1.207 1.189 1.168 1.145 1.120 1.095 1.067 1.039 1.010 .980 .949 .918 0	1.956 1.552 1.404 1.189 .912 .671 .552 .478 .384 .384 .3283 .249 .2201 .177 .1206 .091 .078 .065 .053 .041 .027 0
T.E. radius: 0.037 percent c				

Figure 11.- NACA 642A015 basic thickness form.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

•••

.

Figure 12.- NACA 65A006 basic thickness form.

•

.....

Figure 13.- NACA 65A008 basic thickness form.

Figure 14.- NACA 65A010 basic thickness form.

Figure 15.- NACA 651A012 basic thickness form.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

: :

::

.....

Figure 16 .- NACA 652A015 basic thickness form.

PR

Уc

2.0						1
						L. Strates
1.0						
	1000					
0	Contraction of the second					
Ŭ						
.2						1
						-
0			F		8 1]
	•	- •4	x/c			
	c _{li} = 1.	.0 ai :	= 1.40°	cmc/l	= 0.219	
	x (percent c	y _c (percent c)	dy _c /dx	PR	$\Delta v/V = P_R/l_4$	
	0	0				
	.75	.201	.44004			
	1.25	.603	·39531	1.092	0.273	
	5.0	1.803	.27149			
	10	2.981	.20618	Į		Carlos and
	20	3.903	.16546			
	25 30	5.257	.10873	1.096	.274	
	35	6.120	.06498	Б		
	40	6.394	.04507	1.100	.275	
	50	6.651	.00607	6 10	27/	
	60	6.508	03537	31.104	•2(0	
	65 70	6.274	05887 08610	1.108	.277	
	75	5.401	12058	1.112	.278	
	85	3.607	23430	.840	.210	
	90	2.452	24521	•588 •368	.147	
	100	0	24521	0	0 NATION	AL ADVISORY
1 1					COMMITTEE	FOR AERONAUTICS

Figure 17.- Data for NACA mean line a = 0.8 (modified).

RM No. L6J

0

F

NAC

A

Figure 19.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 63A210 airfoil section, 24-inch chord; TDT test 970.

NACA

RM

Z

0

•

-

0

4

01

Figure 20.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 64A010 airfoil section, 24-inch chord; TDT test 956.

... ..

NAC

A

RM

N

0

.

16

4

01

Figure 21.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 64A210 airfoil section; 24-inch chord, TDT test 969.

Figure 22.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 64A410 airfoil section, 24-inch chord; TDT test 968.

Figure 23.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 64, A212 airfoil section, 24-inch chord, TDT test 953.

.... ... õ ..

N

0

-

5

.

Figure 24.- Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 640A215 airfoil section, 24-inch chord; TDT test 959.

Figure 25.- Variation of section minimum drag coefficient with airfoil thickness for some NACA 64-series and NACA 64A-series airfoil sections of various cambers in the smooth condition and with standard leading-edge roughness. R, 6 × 10⁶.

Figure 27.- Variation of lift-curve slope with airfoil thickness ratio for some NACA 64-series and NACA 64-series airfoil sections of various cambers both in the smooth condition and with standard leading-edge roughness. R, 6×10^6 .

Figure 30.- Comparison of theoretical and measured pitching-moment coefficients for some NACA 64-series and 64A-series airfoil sections. R, 6×10^6 .

L6J01