#### 'Heat from Above' heat capacity measurements in liquid <sup>4</sup>He

R. A. M. Lee(1), A. Chatto(1), D. A. Sergatskov(2), A. V. Babkin(2), S. T. P. Boyd(2)

A. M. Churilov(2), T. D. McCarson(2), T. C. P. Chui(3), P. K. Day(3), R. V. Duncan(2)

and D. L. Goodstein(1)

(1) California Institute of Technology (Pasadena, USA), (2) University of New Mexico (Albuquerque, USA),
(3) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology (Pasadena, USA)

We have made heat capacity measurements of superfluid  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  at temperatures very close to the lambda point,  $T_{\lambda}$ , in a constant heat flux, Q, when the helium sample is heated from above. In this configuration the helium enters a self-organized (SOC) heat transport state [1] at a temperature  $T_{SOC}(Q)$ , which for  $Q \geq 100 \,\mathrm{nW/cm^2}$  lies below  $T_{\lambda}$ . At low Q we observe little or no deviation from the bulk Q = 0 heat capacity up to  $T_{SOC}(Q)$ ; beyond this temperature the heat capacity appears to be sharply depressed, deviating dramatically from its bulk behaviour. This marks the formation and propagation of a SOC/superfluid two phase state, which we confirm with a simple model. The excellent agreement between data and model serves as an independent confirmation of the existence of the SOC state. As Q is increased (up to  $6\,\mu W/cm^2$ ) we observe a Q dependent depression in the heat capacity that occurs just below  $T_{SOC}(Q)$ , when the entire sample is still superfluid. This is due to the emergence of a large thermal resistance in the sample, which we have measured and used to model the observed heat capacity depression. Our measurements of the superfluid thermal resistivity are a factor of ten larger than previous measurements by Baddar etal.[2].

[1] W. A. Moeur, P. K. Day, F-C. Liu, S. T. P. Boyd, M. J. Adriaans and R. V. Duncan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **28 28** 

[2] H. Baddar, G. Ahlers, K. Kuehn and H. Fu, J. Low Temp. Phys. 119, 1 (2000).





# 'Heat from Above' heat capacity measurements in liquid in <sup>4</sup>He



The University of New Mexico

Richard A. M. Lee (Caltech)

This work has resulted from development of: The CQ Experiment

David L. Goodstein (Caltech) – Principal Investigator Robert V. Duncan (U.N.M.) Talso C. P. Chui (J.P.L.) Peter K. Day (J.P.L.)

Andrew R. Chatto, Dmitri A. Sergatskov, Alex V. Babkin, Stephen T. P. Boyd, Alexander M. Churilov, 'T. D.' McCarson

2003 NASA/JPL Workshop for Fundamental Physics in Space, April 14-16.

#### The CQ Experiment:

Enhanced Heat Capacity of Superfluid Helium in a Heat Flux

- Guest experiment on DYNAMX (critical dynamics in μg).
- NASA flight experiment.
- 2008 flight on International Space Station (μg environment).

Purpose:

- Test predictions of the dynamic renormalization group theory.
- When one applies a heat flux, Q, to a sample of superfluid:
  - Transition temperature is <u>depressed</u>,  $T_c(Q) < T_{\lambda}$
  - Heat capacity is enhanced,  $\Delta C_Q = C_Q C_0$ , and diverges at  $T_c(Q)$
- Ground-based experiments (disagree with theory):

-  $T_{DAS}(Q) < T_c(Q)$ , **D**uncan, **A**hlers and **S**teinberg, *PRL*, **60**, 1522(1988).

-  $\Delta C_{Q\_Harter.}$  ≈ 10× $\Delta C_{Q\_theory}$ , Harter *et al.*, *PRL*, **84**, 2195 (2000).

# 'Heat from Below or Above' - ground based

'Heat from Below' configuration



g = gravity, Q = Heat flux, z = height

- 'Heat from Above' configuration



- 'Heat from Above' produces:
- <u>S</u>elf <u>O</u>rganized <u>C</u>ritical State: Mouer *et. al.*, *PRL*, **78**, 2421 (1997)
- At low Q the SOC state exists on the normal-fluid side of  $T_{\lambda}$ , where the diverging thermal conductivity causes the sample to 'self-organize' at a fixed reduced temperature from  $T_{\lambda}$ .

- For Q < 0.1 
$$\mu$$
W/cm<sup>2</sup>:  $T_{soc} > T_{\lambda}$ 

$$\kappa(\mathbf{Q}, t_{soc}) = \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|}{\nabla T_{\lambda}}$$

- For Q > 0.5 
$$\mu$$
W/cm<sup>2</sup>:  $T_{soc} \approx T_{DAS}$ 

$$t_{soc}(Q) = \frac{T_{\lambda} - T_{soc}}{T_{\lambda}} = \left(\frac{Q}{638 \text{ W/cm}^2}\right)^{0.813}$$

# Measurement technique



• Pulse sample, raising its temperature, until  $T = T_{soc}(Q)$ , and look for  $\Delta C_Q$ .



• Sample depth = 9 mm, so  $T_{\lambda}(\text{top}) - T_{\lambda}(\text{bottom}) = 1.2 \,\mu\text{K}$ 

• Severe gravity rounding (black line). Compare with  $\mu$ g (dashed line).



- Red circle points = 'Heat from Above' heat capacity data.
- Black line = calculated gravity rounded, Q = 0, heat capacity
- Dot-dashed line = measured  $T_{soc}(\vec{Q})$ .















• Our measured  $T_{soc}(Q)$  agrees with Moeur *et al.*, for  $Q > 0.5 \,\mu\text{W/cm}^2$ :  $t_{soc}(Q) = \left(\frac{Q}{Q_0}\right)^{0.813}$ ,  $Q_0 = 745 \pm 39 \,\text{W/cm}^2$ ,  $Q_0 = 638 \pm 178 \,\text{W/cm}^2_{(Moeur et al.)}_{14}$ 

## Explanation – the sharp depression



• This is due to an advancing SOC/superfluid interface. We can model this:

- Assume the sample's heat capacity is dominated by the shrinking superfluid phase, with zero heat capacity contribution from the SOC phase.
- Reasonable assumption: t<sub>soc</sub> is fixed because Q is fixed, therefore the SOC state does not absorb any of the heat pulse energy.
- The model (blue line) works very well for Q = 1 to 0.1  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>, however ... <sup>15</sup>

#### Simple model fails at low Q due to the 'healing length'



- For Q < 0.1  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> ( $T_{soc} > T_{\lambda}$ ) develop a 'healing length' between SOC/normal-fluid, due to the finite  $\kappa$ . Also observed by Moeur *et al*.
- We can model this:
  - Integrate the heat flow equation:  $\nabla T = -Q/\kappa (Q, t)$ , using  $\kappa(t) = \kappa_0 t^{-x}$ .
  - We generate a thermal profile  $\rightarrow$  increment  $T \rightarrow$  generate a new thermal profile  $\rightarrow$  integrate total energy  $\rightarrow_4$  compute heat capacity point  $\rightarrow$  repeat ...
  - Improved model = blue line.  $\kappa_0$  the only adjustable parameter.

# The Q dependant depression



- Remember CQ is looking for an <u>enhancement</u> and we see a depression, why?
- Well, the depression occurs in the <u>superfluid</u> phase for  $T < T_{soc}$ (bott)
  - Maybe it's due to a large superfluid thermal resistivity causing a <u>thermal gradient</u> in the sample and a <u>reduced bulk heat capacity</u>?

# Previous s/f thermal resistivity measurements

- Baddar et al., J. Low Temp. Phys., **119**, 1 (2000)
  - 'Heat from Below' experiment. For  $Q \ge 10 \ \mu W/cm^2$ , they observed a power law behaviour:



- However, these previous measurements proved to be too small to explain our observed depression.
- We made our own measurements, 44 sing the sidewall thermometers.

# s/f thermal resistivity measurements

• We fit our data to  $R = (t/t_0)^{-2.8}$  and extracted  $t_0$  at each value of Q.



- We observe a larger  $R \sim t_0^{2.8} \approx 10 \times R_{Baddar}$
- In addition, our high Q data show a clear change in thermal resistivity (insert: data at Q = 6  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>), giving two values of  $t_0$  at each value of Q.

# Explanation – the Q dependant depression

- So the depression is due to an anomalously large superfluid thermal resistivity. Again, we can model this:
  - As before we integrate the heat flow equation,  $\nabla T = -Q/\kappa (Q, t)$ , using our measured  $\kappa (Q, t)$ .
  - The model works very well for all of our data (blue line).



# Interesting implication

- In our model, when we integrate the total energy, we use the c<sub>Q=0</sub> (black line) and <u>not</u> the enhanced c<sub>Q\_Harter</sub> (green line) Harter *et al.*, *PRL*, **84**, 2195 (2000).
  - This <u>implies</u> that in 'Heat from Above' experiments there is no, or very little, heat capacity enhancement.
  - It does not rule out c<sub>Q\_theory</sub> that may still be there, but which would be too small to resolve due to gravity rounding.



We have made the <u>first</u> measurements of the heat capacity of liquid <sup>4</sup>He in a 'Heat from Above' configuration:

- We can explain all the features of our data.
- Our measurements provide <u>independent</u> confirmation of the existence of the Self Organized Critical state.
- We are in agreement with Mouer *et al.*, *PRL*, **78**, 2421 (1997).
  - We measure the same  $t_{soc}(Q)$  dependence,
  - and observe 'healing length' effects at low Q values.

Our 'Heat from Above' measurements <u>differ</u> with those made in 'Heat from Below' as follows:

- 1. Our modelling implies no large heat capacity enhancement
  - Harter *et al.*, *PRL*, **84**, 2195 (2000).
- 2. We observe a large superfluid thermal resistivity
  - 10x larger than Baddar et al., J. Low Temp. Phys., 119, 1 (2000).
- 3. We observe a sharp kink/change in *R*, seen clearly in our deeper samples and at large *Q* values.

This leads to the question:

 Why do such seemingly similar experimental configurations produce stich different behaviour ....?