

Additional Study of Water Droplet Median Volume Diameter (MVD) Effects on Ice Shapes

Jen-Ching Tsao and David N. Anderson Ohio Aerospace Institute, Brook Park, Ohio Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program Office plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program Office is operated by Langley Research Center, the Lead Center for NASA's scientific and technical information. The NASA STI Program Office provides access to the NASA STI Database, the largest collection of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. The Program Office is also NASA's institutional mechanism for disseminating the results of its research and development activities. These results are published by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA's counterpart of peerreviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION. Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.
- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. Englishlanguage translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services that complement the STI Program Office's diverse offerings include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results . . . even providing videos.

For more information about the NASA STI Program Office, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI Program Home Page at *http://www.sti.nasa.gov*
- E-mail your question via the Internet to help@sti.nasa.gov
- Fax your question to the NASA Access Help Desk at 301–621–0134
- Telephone the NASA Access Help Desk at 301–621–0390
- Write to: NASA Access Help Desk NASA Center for AeroSpace Information 7121 Standard Drive Hanover, MD 21076



Additional Study of Water Droplet Median Volume Diameter (MVD) Effects on Ice Shapes

Jen-Ching Tsao and David N. Anderson Ohio Aerospace Institute, Brook Park, Ohio

Prepared for the 42nd Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Reno, Nevada, January 5–8, 2004

Prepared under Cooperative Agreement NCC3-938

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Glenn Research Center

Acknowledgments

The NASA Glenn Research Center Icing Branch contributed to the work reported here. The IRT studies were supported under a grant from NASA Aircraft Icing Research Project to the Ohio Aerospace Institute. The authors wish to thank Tom Bond of NASA for his support of these tests and the IRT personnel for their excellent and committed technical support.

This report contains preliminary findings, subject to revision as analysis proceeds.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information 7121 Standard Drive Hanover, MD 21076 National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22100

Available electronically at http://gltrs.grc.nasa.gov

Additional Study of Water Droplet Median Volume Diameter (MVD) Effects on Ice Shapes

Jen-Ching Tsao and David N. Anderson Ohio Aerospace Institute Brook Park, Ohio 44142

Abstract

This paper reports the result of an experimental study in the NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel (IRT) to evaluate how well the MVD-independent effect identified previously might apply to SLD conditions in rime icing situations. Models were NACA 0012 wing sections with chords of 53.3 and 91.4 cm. Tests were conducted with a nominal airspeed of 77 m/s (150 kt) and a number of MVD's ranging from 15 to 100 µm with LWC of 0.5 to 1 g/m³. In the present study, ice shapes recorded from past studies and recent results at SLD and Appendix-C conditions are reviewed to show that droplet diameter is not important to rime ice shape for MVD of 30 µm or larger, but for less than 30 µm drop sizes a rime ice shape transition from convex to wedge to spearhead type ice shape is observed. Discussion on what may cause such transition is given and some evidence presented in this study suggests that the shape transition could be governed either by the accumulation parameter A_c alone or by β_0 and A_c together.

Nomenclature

Accumulation parameter, dimensionless
Angle of attack, °
Relative heat factor, dimensionless
Airfoil chord, cm
Specific heat of air, cal/g K
Specific heat of water at the surface tempera-
ture, cal/g K
Cylinder diameter or twice the leading-edge
radius of airfoil, cm
Convective heat-transfer coefficient,
cal/sm^2K
Gas-phase mass-transfer coefficient, g/sm^2
Inertia parameter, dimensionless
Modified inertia parameter, dimensionless
Cloud liquid-water content, g/m ³

MVD Water droplet median volume diameter, µm

п	Freezing fraction, dimensionless
n_0	Stagnation freezing fraction, dimensionless
р	Pressure, Nt/m ²
p_w	Vapor pressure of water in atmosphere, Nt/m ²
p_{ww}	Vapor pressure of water at the icing surface,
	Nt/m ²
r	Recovery factor, dimensionless
Re	Reynolds number of model, dimensionless
Re_{δ}	Reynolds number of water drop, dimensionless
SLD	Super-cooled large droplet
S	Distance along airfoil surface measured from
	stagnation line, cm
t_f	Freezing temperature, °C
t_s	Surface temperature, °C
t	Air temperature, °C
Т	Absolute air temperature, K
V	Air velocity, m/s
We	Weber number based on droplet size and water
	properties, dimensionless
We_c	Weber number based on model size and air
	properties, dimensionless
We_L	Weber number based on model size and water
	properties, dimensionless
Bo	Collection efficiency at stagnation line, dimen-
P 0	sionless
ø	Droplet energy transfer parameter. °C
λ	Droplet range. m
λstokes	Droplet range if Stokes Law applies, m
Λ_{f}	Latent heat of freezing, cal/g
$\dot{A_{v}}$	Latent heat of condensation, cal/g
μ	Air viscosity, g/m s
θ	Air energy transfer parameter, °C
ρ	Air density, g/m^3
ρ_i	Ice density, g/m^3
$ ho_w$	Liquid water density, g/m ³
σ	Surface tension of water over air, dyne/cm
τ	Accretion time, min
G 1	• .

Subscripts

st static

tot total

Introduction

Recent SLD-to-Appendix C scaling studies in the IRT^{1,2} have provided some important information as to how effectively existing scaling methods can be applied to scale SLD drop sizes to Appendix-C conditions. The test results showed that, within the IRT current capability in the SLD regime, good scaling can be achieved by matching scale and reference values of the parameters n_0 and We_L and the product β_0A_c , provided model size ratios were limited to 3.4:1 and freezing fractions covered the range from 0.3 to 0.5. Some of the results are reproduced in Figure 1.

It was also found that main ice shapes appear to be independent of MVD. Figure 2 shows ice shapes obtained by Chen³ from a 61-cm-chord GLC 305 airfoil model test in the IRT in 1998 for MVD of 55 and 20 µm at freezing fractions of 0.3 and 0.5. At each freezing fraction, the values of A_c , n_0 , ϕ , θ and Re were maintained constant as drop size decreased. Although β_0 changed from about 92 to 74%, there was no measurable effect of reducing MVD on the main ice shape. Similar results were observed later by the authors for NACA 0012 airfoil model tests in the IRT. Figure 3(a) shows ice shapes obtained with 200 and 40 µm drop sizes at 51 m/s for the 53-cm model, and 3(b) compares a MVD of 200 μ m ice shape with that of 20 μ m at 77 m/s for the 91-cm model. For each of the comparisons, the main ice shape was little changed by the reduction in *MVD* from SLD conditions to an Appendix-C value. These results suggest that it is possible within the range of test conditions given here to simulate SLD conditions with Appendix-C drop sizes, if the main ice shape is of primary interest.

However, two distinct features of those SLD ice shapes should be noted. First, when drop sizes were larger than 100 µm, the feather structures aft of the main ice shape were significantly larger than those of the Appendix-C shapes. These large feathers were particularly prominent for a *MVD* of 200 µm. Second, the icing limit has changed with *MVD* (i.e. with β_0) because the SLD collection efficiency was so much larger than that for Appendix-C conditions. The SLD ice shapes featured small feathers well aft of the Appendix-C icing limits.

It is not easy to determine the exact conditions for large feather formation and the physics behind them in an icing tunnel environment. But this information is important if one wants to know whether they can be simulated in small-droplet accretions. At rime conditions (i.e. $n_0 = 1$) the ice growth rate is mainly controlled by



Date/Run	c, cm	t _{st} , °C	V, m/s	MVD, μm	<i>LWC</i> , g/m ³	τ, min	$egin{smallmatrix} eta_0, \ \% \end{split}$	A_c	n_0	b	<i>ф</i> , °С	<i>θ</i> , °С	<i>Re</i> , 10 ⁴	<i>We,</i> 10 ³	$We_{c},$ 10^{3}	$We_L,$ 10^6
(a) 1-25-02/1	91.4	-11	51	160	1.50	9.7	95.4	1.70	0.30	1.26	11.1	16.0	11.6	6.50	1.52	1.17
11-13-00/1	53.3	-7	67	38	1.00	7.3	84.9	1.88	0.28	0.58	6.6	9.0	8.6	2.61	1.47	1.15
(b) 2-8-02/7	91.4	-19	52	160	1.50	9.7	95.4	1.70	0.50	1.25	18.9	25.9	12.4	6.54	1.58	1.18
11-16-00/6	26.7	-14	110	21	0.91	2.4	85.8	1.88	0.52	0.49	12.2	14.2	7.0	3.96	1.95	1.57

Figure 1. Examples of Scaling from SLD Conditions to Appendix C. NACA 0012 Airfoils Tested in NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel at 0° AOA.²



Figure 2. Ice Shapes for *MVD*'s of 55 and 20 μ m. 61-cm-Chord GLC 305 Airfoil Tested in NASA Glenn IRT at 0° AOA.³

the droplet impingement rate. This rapid freezing feature at rime probably precludes splashing, and rime tests may therefore give some clues about the cause of the very large glaze SLD feathers. If we find that the large feather formations still form even in rime conditions, we would conclude either that splashing does occur in rime or that some other mechanism produces the large feathers. On the other hand, if the large feathers are not present in rime that would be strong evidence both that splashing is absent from rime and that splashing is probably the cause of the large feather features seen in glaze SLD accretions.

Consequently, a study was commenced to record ice shapes produced at rime conditions with drop MVD's ranging from a low of 15 µm to values well into the SLD regime. In the process of performing these tests, unexpected differences in the main ice shape became evident. In this paper, rime ice shapes obtained only for the MVD range of 15 to 100 µm will be presented to illustrate the differences in main ice shape observed. The results of tests with MVD's greater than 100 µm to explore large SLD feathers is beyond the scope of this paper, and plans are to present that information at another time. For the tests to be reported here, two

NACA 0012 airfoil models with chords of 91.4 and 53.3 cm were used at an airspeed of 77 m/s.

Similarity Parameters

The similarity parameters used in this study followed the Ruff⁴ method. To be brief, only the final equations for the similarity parameters will be presented here. Interested readers are referred to a recent comprehensive review on icing scaling methods by Anderson and Tsao⁵ for more details.

The modified inertia parameter, K_0 , was defined by Langmuir and Blodgett:⁶

$$K_0 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{Stokes}} \left(K - \frac{1}{8} \right)$$
(1)

In equation (1), *K* is the inertia parameter,

$$K = \frac{\rho_w M V D^2 V}{18d \mu} \tag{2}$$

For cylinders, the cylinder radius should be used in place of d in eq. (2). For airfoils, d is twice the leading-edge radius of curvature. For subsequent equations, d represents either the diameter for cylinders or twice the



Figure 3. Comparisons of Ice Shapes from Appendix-C and 200-µm Sprays. NACA 0012 Airfoils Tested in NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel at 0° AOA.²

leading-edge radius for airfoils. For the NACA 0012 airfoil model, a leading-edge radius of 0.0158c was used (see Abbott and von Doenhoff⁷), where *c* is the model chord. λ/λ_{Stokes} is the droplet range parameter, defined as the ratio of actual droplet range to that if Stokes drag law for solid-spheres applied. It is a function only of the droplet Reynolds number, Re_{δ} .

$$Re_{\delta} = \frac{V \, M V D \, \rho}{\mu} \tag{3}$$

Langmuir and Blodgett's tabulation of the range parameter was fit to the following expression for this study:

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda Stokes} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8388 + 0.001483 \ Re_{\delta} \\ +0.1847\sqrt{Re_{\delta}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$
(4)

Of more practical interest than K_0 is the collection efficiency at the stagnation point, β_0 , which was shown by Langmuir and Blodgett to be a function only of K_0 ,

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1.40\left(K_0 - \frac{1}{8}\right)^{.84}}{1 + 1.40\left(K_0 - \frac{1}{8}\right)^{.84}}$$
(5)

The accumulation parameter is:

$$A_c = \frac{LWCV\tau}{d\rho_i} \tag{6}$$

If all the water impinging on the leading edge freezes at that location and the leading-edge collection efficiency is 100%, A_c is a measure of the normalized thickness of ice that will accrete.

The freezing fraction is defined as the ratio of the mass of water that freezes at a given location on the surface to the total mass of water that impinges the surface at that location. From Messinger's⁸ steady-state surface energy balance formulation, the freezing fraction is

$$n = \frac{c_{p,ws}}{A_f} \left(\phi + \frac{\theta}{b} \right) \tag{7}$$

The individual terms in this expression are ϕ , the water energy transfer parameter,

$$\varphi = t_f - t_{st} - \frac{V^2}{2c_{p,ws}} \tag{8}$$

 θ , the air energy transfer parameter,

$$\theta = \left(t_s - t_{st} - r\frac{V^2}{2c_p}\right) + \frac{h_G}{h_c} \left(\frac{\frac{p_{ww}}{T_{st}} - \frac{p_{tot}}{T_{tot}} \frac{p_w}{p_{st}}}{\frac{1}{.622} \frac{p_{tot}}{T_{tot}} - \frac{p_{ww}}{T_{st}}}\right) \Lambda_v (9)$$

and b, the relative heat factor, introduced by Tribus, et. al.⁹

$$b = \frac{LWC \, V \, \beta_0 \, c_{p,ws}}{h_c} \tag{10}$$

Equation (9) given by Ruff includes compressibility effects. Simpler forms without compressibility have also been used by Charpin and Fasso¹⁰ and others, but the differences in values are not significant. For the present study the freezing fraction at stagnation, n_0 , will be used. At stagnation the recovery factor, r, in equation (9) is unity.

For completeness, the additional similarity parameters used in scaling studies will be given here. They are the Reynolds number for the model, Re, the droplet Weber number, We, the model Weber number using air density, We_c , and the Weber number based on model size and water density, We_L^2

$$Re = \frac{Vd\rho}{\mu} \tag{11}$$

$$We = \frac{V^2 M V D \rho_w}{\sigma} \tag{12}$$

$$We_c = \frac{V^2 d\rho}{\sigma} \tag{13}$$

$$We_L = \frac{V^2 d\rho_w}{\sigma} \tag{14}$$

Test Description

The icing tests were performed in the NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel (IRT). The IRT is a closed-loop, refrigerated, sea-level tunnel with a 1.8 m by 2.7 m rectangular test section. It uses 10 spray bars, a configuration in operation since 1998, to generate a cloud of super-cooled water droplets. The Appendix-C cloud calibration used for these tests was performed in the summer of 2000.¹¹

The SLD calibration used in this study was made in the summer of 2002 applying the same methods as the Appendix C. At the time of the tests reported here, only a few specific MVD-LWC conditions for speeds of 51, 77 and 103 m/s (i.e. 100, 150 and 200 kt) had been calibrated. Therefore SLD tests were limited to these particular conditions.

The models used were NACA 0012 airfoil sections with chords of 91.4, 53.3 and 26.7 cm. The 91.4-cm-chord airfoil is pictured in figure 4 (a). It was a full-span, fiberglass model. The 53.3-cm-chord model was of 61-cm span and made of aluminum. It was mounted vertically between splitter plates at the center of the IRT test section as shown in figure 4(b). Horizontal lines at the leading edge indicated tunnel center, ± 2.5 cm and ± 5 cm from the center as visual guides for locating ice tracings. All tests were run at 0° AOA although the mounting system allowed rotation of the model for any given angle of attack setting. Also because of the quick start capability of the current IRT spray system, the models were not shielded during the initiation of the spray.

In preparing for a test, the temperature and airspeed in the test section and the air and water pressures on the spray manifolds were set. When these conditions had stabilized, the spray nozzle valves were opened to initiate the spray. The spray was timed for the required duration, and then turned off. The fan was brought to a full stop and the researchers entered the test section to record the ice shape through hand tracings and photographs. A thin heated stainless plate with a cutout in the shape of the airfoil leading-edge region was inserted into the ice to melt a thin slice down to the model surface. A cardboard template was placed into this gap and an outline of the ice shape traced. Tracings were taken at the vertical center of the tunnel (91 cm from the floor) and at 2.5 cm above the center. The tracings were digitized and the x-y coordinates for each ice shape recorded. Subsequently the coordinates were normalized by the model chord for comparison of ice shapes.

Results from test entries in 2002 and 2003 will be presented. Since the shape differences between the two tracing locations were never significant, only centerline shapes will be reported here.

Uncertainty Analysis

Estimates of the uncertainty in the reported average conditions were made by considering inherent errors of instruments, temporal fluctuation and spatial variation of the instrument readings in the test section, and uncertainty in tunnel calibration of MVD and LWC. Total air temperature was believed to be good to $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C, and the uncertainty in air velocity was estimated to be ± 1 m/s. For Appendix-C conditions the net uncertainty in MVD was estimated at $\pm 12\%$. For SLD conditions it may have been as much as $\pm 20\%$. These uncertainties are not referenced to an absolute value of MVD, which is unknown. Repeatability and scatter in the LWC calibration data suggests the uncertainty is about $\pm 12\%$ for both Appendix-C and SLD conditions.



(a) 91.4-cm-Chord NACA 0012 Model Installed in IRT Test Section.



(b) 53.3-cm-Chord NACA 0012 Model Installed in IRT Test Section.

Figure 4. Model Description.

These uncertainties in the test parameters were used to estimate the following uncertainties in the similarity parameters for the Appendix-C tests: 9% in β_0 , 12% in A_c , 13% in n_0 , 3% in Re, 13% in We and 5% in We_L . For the SLD tests the uncertainties were: 3% in β_0 , 12% in A_c , 11% in n_0 , 3% in Re, 21% in We and 5% in We_L .

Results

IRT Repeatability

Rime icing tests were made over a number of MVD's, chord sizes and airspeeds while maintaining constant $\beta_0 A_c$. They provide a good check on tunnel LWC calibrations. Figure 5 compares ice shapes obtained at different entry dates for MVD's of 15 µm (5(a)) and 100 µm (5(b)). Average recorded test conditions and corresponding similarity parameters for each of the tests are given in a table accompanying the figure. Temperatures were calculated to provide just rime icing conditions (i.e. the warmest temperature permitted for rime). Because the recorded conditions may be slightly different from the planned values for each test, the similarity parameters intended to be maintained may not always have matched exactly. The differences observed in the ice shapes were well within the uncertainty in LWC; therefore, these results provide confidence that the IRT test conditions are repeatable for both SLD and Appendix-C conditions.

The Change of Rime Ice Shape

Rime ice shapes do not all have the same profile. The shapes shown in figure 5(a) for 15 µm sprays are noticeably different from those shown in figure 5(b) for 100 µm. Both were obtained with the 91-cm-chord NACA 0012 model at a velocity of 77 m/s. Spray conditions for all the test results shown produce rime ice $(n_0 = 1)$ with the same leading-edge ice thickness (i.e., $\beta_0 A_c$ the same). Because of the different drop sizes, the values of β_0 for the two sets of test are significantly different: about 51% for the 15-µm case and 93% for 100 µm. For a constant $\beta_0 A_c$, this change in β_0 requires that the 15-µm tests be run at an A_c nearly double that for the 100-µm.

The 15-µm *MVD* cloud produces a spearhead-like rime ice shape shown in Figure 5(a). It features a small ice ridge of relatively compact ice at the center with adjacent regions of long rime feathers growing into the flow direction to form relatively flat shoulders. Near the aft extent of those long feathers, a sudden transition to a region with much smaller and sparsely distributed feathers is observed extending back toward the icing limit. The shape and features were found to be repeatable for different values of *LWC*, as shown in figure 5(a). For the conditions of run 8 on 5-3-03, a temperature of -23° C would have been cold enough to produce



(a) 5-3-03/8	91.4	-26	77	15	1.00	20.3	51.1	1.82	1.0	0.50	25.5	32.4	18.9	1.37	3.55	2.65
8-9-03/3	91.4	-21	77	15	0.50	40.8	51.0	1.82	1.0	0.25	20.6	26.9	18.1	1.36	3.42	2.61
(b)10-10-02/6	91.4	-26	77	100	0.68	16.4	93.2	1.82	1.0	0.61	25.6	32.5	19.1	9.16	3.59	2.63
3-2-02/7	91.4	-26	77	100	0.68	16.4	93.2	1.81	1.0	0.61	25.7	32.6	19.1	9.16	3.59	2.63

Figure 5. Repeated Rime Ice Shapes for MVD's of 15 and 100 µm. 91-cm-Chord NACA 0012 Airfoil at 0° AOA.

rime, and other tests performed at this temperature gave results consistent with those of figure 5(a). The fact that the decrease in temperature to -26° C made no change in the appearance of the ice assures us that the shapes of figure 5(a) represent fully rime ice. Similar spearhead ice shapes have been observed and reported by Brunet and Guffond¹² on cylinders.

In contrast, figure 5(b) shows a convex type rime ice shape for the 100- μ m droplet *MVD*. The ice shape conforms roughly to the leading-edge contour of the model with some small rime feathers (pointing into the flow direction) on the ice surface near the icing limits.

Understanding the physics behind the different shapes produced for rime conditions is a necessary step toward insuring that scaling methods include the most significant factors affecting ice shape. Scaling methods developed without a complete understanding may work well for tested conditions but be deficient for other situations not evaluated during method development. One step to understanding is to identify ranges of conditions for which different shapes occur. Additional rime ice shapes obtained from the same model and velocity as for figure 5 with intermediate MVD of 20, 30 and 70 µm were examined and shown in figure 6. Two observations are noted. First, the convex type rime ice shape is maintained for MVD of 30 µm or larger. Second, there is a shape transition for MVD less than 30 μ m from (1) a convex type rime ice shape to (2) a wedge-like rime ice shape for a 20-µm MVD cloud,

then to (3) a spearhead-like rime ice shape for a smaller MVD of 15 µm. In the transition, the large feather structures seem to become more important and relevant in developing the final ice shape. Close-up pictures of a 15-µm (spearhead type) and a 30-µm (convex type) rime ice shapes are provided in figures 7 and 8 for visualization purpose, and they show some span-wise variations in features, possibly due to cloud non-uniformity effects that can not be fully captured by the 2D tracing.

Finally, another series of rime ice shapes were examined. These were obtained at the same velocity as for figures 5 – 8, but with a smaller model (53.3-cm chord). The results are given in figure 9. Due to the smaller model size used, the β_0 values for each drop size are higher than the corresponding values for figure 6. Now the 15-µm *MVD* (figure 9 (a)) no longer shows a spearhead shape, but rather a wedge shape very much like the 20-µm test of figures 6 (a) and 9 (a). Drop sizes of 30 and 70 µm (figure 9 (b)), produced the same convex shapes observed for the larger model in figures 5 (b) and 6 (b).

It is reasonable at first to speculate that the rime ice shape transition from the convex shapes of figures 5 (b), 6 (b) and 9 (b) to the wedge (figure 9 (a) and the 20-µm shape of 6 (a)), then to the spearheads (figure 5 (a) and the 15-µm shape of 6 (a)) is a function of the stagnation collection efficiency β_0 and the corresponding β distribution on the model surface. If the change



(a) Shapes for 15- and 20-µm MVD Spray.

(b) Shapes for 30- and 70-µm MVD Spray.

Date/Run	<i>c</i> , cm	$t_{st}, ^{\circ}C$	V, m/s	MVD, µm	<i>LWC</i> , g/m ³	τ, min	$egin{smallmatrix} eta_0, \ \% \end{split}$	$\beta_0 A_c$	n_0	b	<i>ф</i> , °С	<i>θ</i> , °С	<i>Re</i> , 10 ⁴	We, 10 ³	$We_c,$ 10^3	$We_L,$ 10^6
(a) 5-2-03/5	91.4	-22	77	15	1.00	20.3	51.0	1.81	1.0	0.50	21.8	28.2	18.3	1.36	3.44	2.62
5-2-03/7	91.4	-26	77	20	1.00	16.9	61.3	1.81	1.0	0.60	25.1	31.9	18.7	1.83	3.51	2.63
(b) 8-30-03/7	91.4	-21	77	30	0.65	21.7	73.2	1.80	1.0	0.46	20.6	26.8	18.3	2.72	3.48	2.63
3-2-02/6	91.4	-23	77	70	0.60	19.3	89.6	1.81	1.0	0.52	22.7	29.2	18.8	6.41	3.55	2.63

Figure 6. Rime Ice Shapes for MVD's of 15 to 70 µm. 91.4-cm NACA 0012 Airfoil at 0° AOA.

of rime ice shapes is correlated with the initial stagnation collection efficiency, β_0 , the present results would suggest that the rime ice shape is of convex type when $\beta_0 > 0.8$, wedge type when $0.6 < \beta_0 < 0.8$, and spearhead type when $\beta_0 < 0.6$.

To see how the β profile might differ for the 15- and 100-µm shapes of figure 5, some calculations were made using LEWICE 2.2¹³ for a clean 91.4-cm NACA 0012 airfoil model. A simple monodisperse cloud was utilized. The numerical results, see figure 10, show that as the *MVD* decreases from 100 µm to 15 µm, the β curve is reduced in both magnitude and extent (impingement limits) on the model surface. The β_0 value has dropped almost by half (from 0.93 to 0.51) and the impingement limits have been reduced by a factor of four.

The most striking difference in the two collection efficiency curves is the narrowness of the 15-µm plot compared with that for 100 µm. This characteristic suggests that, in the absence of feathers and assuming the shape of the β curve does not change as ice accretes, ice would tend to form in only a very narrow region on the leading edge of the model, thus producing a spearhead. However, as ice accretes on the model, the resulting ice-shape change will influence both the shape of the β profile and the value of β_{0} . Brunet and Guffond presented photographs to illustrate how the shape of ice formations on cylinders changes with time as ice continues to accrete. Brunet and Guffond's ice shapes evolved from a convex shape similar to those of figures 5 (b), 6 (b) and 9 (b), to a wedge-like shape like those in 9 (a) and the 20- μ m shape in 6 (a), then to a spearhead ice shape closely resembling those of the 15- μ m tests in figures 5 (a) and 6 (a). Although Brunet and Guffond did not provide conditions for these tests, the ice they show has the appearance of rime.

The Brunet and Guffond results demonstrate the importance of accretion time (or effectively the accumulation parameter A_c) on ice-shape characteristics. There is, of course, an interaction between the rime ice shape at any time and the collection efficiency. The changing nature of the ice shape creates a time-dependence in the collection efficiency, which in turn influences the evolving rime shape. As noted previously, the present tests were made with a constant value of the product $\beta_0 A_c$, to insure that the leading-edge accretions would be the same size. Thus, as β_0 decreased, A_c had to be increased to compensate. Consequently, it is difficult to separate the effects of collection efficiency and accumulation parameter on the developing ice shape. Additional testing is needed to record accretions with small droplets over a range of values of A_c and also to look at larger droplets with greater values of A_c than tested in this investigation. Such a study would help to determine how the shape transition process (i.e. convex-wedge-spearhead) correlates with A_c and β_0 .





(a) Side View.

(b) Front View with Profile Tracing.

Figure 7. Rime Ice on 91-cm-Chord NACA 0012 for $MVD = 15 \mu m$. Test of 5-2-03 Run 5. See Figure 6 (a) for Conditions.



(a) Side View.



the MVD by itself can not completely represent the cloud dynamics and its influence on accretions, the actual drop size distribution for different cloud MVD's should be examined. It was shown, for example in the IRT, that the 95% cumulative LWCdiameter droplet (which is a good estimate of the maximum droplet diameter in the cloud) is about 23 µm for a MVD of 15 µm, but is 90 µm for a MVD of 20 µm and 260 µm for a MVD of 100 µm. Thus, as MVD increases the droplet size distribution becomes broader. It is possible that these distribution differences for various cloud MVD's have an effect on the rime ice shapes. If so, the effect on shape can be expected to apply to glaze conditions, as well as to rime.

For glaze icing in SLD conditions, splashing may also have an important effect on ice shape. For conditions for which splashing is important, a new way of representing the cloud to better characterize the

(b) Front View with Profile Tracing.

Figure 8. Rime Ice on 91-cm-Chord NACA 0012 for $MVD = 30 \mu m$. Test of 8-30-03 Run 7. See Figure 6 (b) for Conditions.

Finally, there is another factor to be considered for the rime ice shape transition. That is the drop size distribution for different cloud *MVD*'s. Since it is known that

effects of the varying momenta of different drop sizes may be needed.



((a) 9-3-03/4	55.5	-12	//	15	0.50	17.4	02.7	1.02	1.0	0.23	11.0	15.7	10.0	1.50	1.90	1.55
	9-3-03/5	53.3	-14	77	20	0.50	16.9	71.6	1.82	1.0	0.27	13.0	17.6	10.1	1.84	1.96	1.54
((b) 9-3-03/8	53.3	-19	77	30	0.65	11.4	81.4	1.82	1.0	0.39	18.1	23.9	10.4	2.76	2.01	1.55
	10-11-02/7	53.3	-20	77	70	0.60	10.8	93.1	1.82	1.0	0.41	18.9	24.8	10.7	6.41	2.04	1.54

Figure 9. Rime Ice Shapes for MVD's of 15 to 70 µm. 53.3-cm NACA 0012 Airfoil at 0° AOA.

Concluding Remarks

Ice shape comparisons were made for NACA 0012 airfoil models with chords of 53.3 and 91.4 cm. Test conditions were set so that rime ice would be obtained



Figure 10. Effect of *MVD* on Collection Efficiency. β from LEWICE¹³ for Clean 91-cm-Chord NACA 0012 Airfoil at 0° AOA. t_{st} , -33°C; *V*, 77 m/s.

ditions were set so that rime ice would be obtained for a nominal airspeed of 77 m/s, *MVD*'s ranging from 15 to 100 µm and *LWC*'s of 0.5 to 1 g/m³. The product $\beta_0 A_c$ was maintained the same for all tests (thus, the leading-edge ice thickness was the same) to permit direct comparison of ice shapes obtained at different conditions. Important findings and suggestions are summarized as follows.

- For the conditions of this study, a rime ice shape transition from convex to wedge to spearhead type was observed as the *MVD* decreased from 30 to 20 to 15 μm.
- For the conditions of this study, the convex type rime ice shape was maintained for MVD of 30 µm or larger. This suggests that it is possible in various rime icing conditions tested here to simulate SLD conditions with Appendix-C drop sizes no less than 30 µm.
- For the conditions of this study, the rime ice shape was of convex type when $\beta_0 > 0.8$, wedge type when $0.6 < \beta_0 < 0.8$, and spearhead type when $\beta_0 < 0.6$.
- Evidence from reference 12 suggests that accretion time (or effectively the A_c) is important in determining the ice shape. Further study of the effect of A_c on the ice shape transition is needed.

• The actual drop size distribution for different cloud *MVD*'s may need to be considered to help explain the shape transition.

References

¹ Anderson, David N., "A Preliminary Study of Ice-Accretion Scaling for SLD Conditions," AIAA-2002-0521, January 2002.

² Anderson, David N. and Tsao, J.C., "Additional Results of Ice-Accretion Scaling at SLD Conditions," AIAA-2003-0390, January 2003.

³ Chen, Shu-Cheng, unpublished GLC 305 icing studies in NASA Glenn IRT, March, April, September and October 1998.

⁴ Ruff, G.A., "Analysis and Verification of the Icing Scaling Equations," AEDC-TR-85-30, vol 1 (rev), March 1986.

⁵ Anderson, David N. and Tsao, J.C., "Overview of Icing Physics Relevant to Scaling," FAA In-Flight Icing / Ground De-icing International Conference & Exhibition, SAE-2003-01-2130, June 16-20, 2003.

⁶ Langmuir, Irving and Blodgett, Katharine B. "A Mathematical Investigation of Water Droplet Trajectories," Army Air Forces Technical Report No. 5418, February 1946.

⁷ Abbott, Ira H. and von Doenhoff, Albert E., *Theory of Wing Sections*, Dover, New York, 1959, pp114 and 321.

⁸ Messinger, B.L., "Equilibrium Temperature of an Unheated Icing Surface as a Function of Airspeed," *J. Aeron. Sci.*, vol. 20 no. 1, January 1953, pp 29 – 42.

⁹ Tribus, Myron, Young, G.B.W. and Boelter, L.M.K., "Analysis of Heat Transfer Over a Small Cylinder in Icing Conditions on Mount Washington," *Trans. ASME*, vol. 70, November 1948, pp 971 – 976.

¹⁰ Charpin, Francois and Fasso, Guy, "Essais de givrage dans la grande soufflerie de Modane sur maquettes a echelle grandeur et echelle reduite," *L'Aeronautique et l'Astronautique*, no. 38, 1972, pp 23 – 31. English translation published as "Icing Testing in the Large Modane Wind-Tunnel on Full-Scale and Reduced Scale Models," NASA TM-75373, March 1979.

¹¹ Ide, Robert F. and Oldenburg, John R., "Icing Cloud Calibration of the NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel," AIAA-2001-0234, January 2001.

¹² Brunet, Luc and Guffond, Didier, "Interpretation of An Experimental Spearhead Shape Ice Formation by Using A Numerical Model," the 4th International Workshop on Atmospheric Icing of Structures at Paris, France, 1988.

¹³ Wright, W.B., "LEWICE 2.2 Capabilities and Thermal Validation," AIAA-2002-0383, January 2002.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of int gathering and maintaining the data needed, ar collection of information, including suggestions Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222	formation is estimated to average 1 hour per re d completing and reviewing the collection of in for reducing this burden, to Washington Head 202-4302, and to the Office of Management and	esponse, including the time for review formation. Send comments regardin quarters Services, Directorate for Info d Budget, Paperwork Reduction Proj	ving instructions, searching existing data sources, g this burden estimate or any other aspect of this ormation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson ect (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.							
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	DATES COVERED									
	August 2005	Fina	al Contractor Report							
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Additional Study of Water I on Ice Shapes	Droplet Median Volume Diamete	r (MVD) Effects	WDS 22 728 41 17							
6. AUTHOR(S)	WDS = 22 = 720 = 41 = 17 NCC3 038									
Jen-Ching Tsao and David	NCC5-750									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER									
Ohio Aerospace Institute			E 15000							
22800 Cedar Point Road			E-15223							
Brook Park, Onio 44142										
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	1	D. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER							
National Aeronautics and S Washington, DC 20546–00	NASA CR—2005–213853 AIAA–2004–0413									
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES										
Prepared for the 42nd Aero Astronautics, Reno, Nevada Division, NASA Glenn Res	space Sciences Meeting and Exh a, January 5–8, 2004. Project Ma search Center, organization code	ibit sponsored by the Am nager, Thomas H. Bond, T RI, 216–433–3900.	erican Institute of Aeronautics and Instrumentation and Controls							
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	1:	2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE							
Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category: 03										
Available electronically at http:/	/gltrs.grc.nasa.gov									
This publication is available from	m the NASA Center for AeroSpace Inf	Formation, 301–621–0390.								
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	ls)	· · ·								
This paper reports the result of an experimental study in the NASA Glenn Icing Research Tunnel (IRT) to evaluate how well the MVD-independent effect identified previously might apply to SLD conditions in rime icing situations. Models were NACA 0012 wing sections with chords of 53.3 and 91.4 cm. Tests were conducted with a nominal airspeed of 77 m/s (150 kt) and a number of MVD's ranging from 15 to 100 μ m with LWC of 0.5 to 1 g/m ³ . In the present study, ice shapes recorded from past studies and recent results at SLD and Appendix-C conditions are reviewed to show that droplet diameter is not important to rime ice shape for MVD of 30 μ m or larger, but for less than 30 μ m drop sizes a rime ice shape transition from convex to wedge to spearhead type ice shape is observed. Discussion on what may cause such transition is given and some evidence presented in this study suggests that the shape transition could be governed either by the accumulation parameter A _c alone or by β_0 and A _c together.										
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES							
Icing physics; Scaling; SLI	D; LWC		17 16. PRICE CODE							
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATI OF ABSTRACT	ON 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT							
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified								
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)							