BRUSH SEALS FOR IMPROVED STEAM TURBINE PERFORMANCE

Norman Turnquist and Ray Chupp GE Global Research Niskayuna, New York

Fred Baily, Mark Burnett, and Flor Rivas GE Energy Schenectady, New York

Aaron Bowsher and Peter Crudgington Cross Manufacturing Devizes, United Kingdom

Brush Seals for Improved Steam Turbine Performance

NASA Seals Workshop November 08-09, 2005

Norman Turnquist, Ray Chupp – GE Global Research, Niskayuna, NY Fred Baily, Mark Burnett, Flor Rivas – GE Energy, Schenectady, NY Aaron Bowsher, Peter Crudgington – Cross Manufacturing, Devizes, UK



Copyright General Electric Company. NASA has been granted permission to publish and disseminate this work as a part of the NASA Seal/Secondary Air System Workshop proceedings. All other rights retained by the copyright owner.

GE Energy has retrofitted brush seals into more than 19 operating steam turbines. Brush seals offer superior leakage control compared to labyrinth seals, owing to their compliant nature and ability to maintain very tight clearances to the rotating shaft. Seal designs have been established for steam turbines ranging in size from 12 MW to over 1200 MW, including fossil, nuclear, combined-cycle and industrial applications.

Steam turbines present unique design challenges that must be addressed to ensure that the potential performance benefits of brush seals are realized. Brush seals can have important effects on the overall turbine system that must be taken into account to assure reliable operation. Subscale rig tests are instrumental to understanding seal behavior under simulated steam-turbine operating conditions, prior to installing brush seals in the field. This presentation discusses the technical challenges of designing brush seals for steam turbines; subscale testing; performance benefits of brush seals; overall system effects; and field applications.

Brush Seals for Improved Steam Turbine Performance

- Steam Turbine Applications
- Performance Benefits
- Seal Design Parameters
- System Considerations
- Laboratory Testing
- Field Experience
- Summary

Copyright General Electric Company. NASA has been granted permission to publish and disseminate this work as a part of the NASA Seal/Secondary Air System Workshop proceedings. All other rights retained by the copyright owner.

Outline of presentation



Brush seals applied to maximize performance benefit.

-Typically interstage locations of High Pressure Section

-Low Pressure section interstage as well

-Also end packings to prevent end leakage (some steam leakage from HP end seals is used to seal LP ends, so LP ends must be sealed as well

-Total permissible number of seals per rotor is evaluated from standpoint of rotordynamics



Sealing accounts for roughly 1/3 of total stage efficiency loss in a steam turbine.



Typical pressure drop across interstage seal is 100-400 psid.

End packing seals typically up to 600 psid.

Can be up to 2000 psid at inlet end of ST; GE is developing compliant seals to handle this.



Subscale testing has been conducted jointly between GE and Cross Mfg. in the UK.

Cross' two subscale rigs are used to perform screening tests on new seal designs, evaluating leakage behavior, wear, and robustness.



GE GRC Rig capable of testing in 1200 psi, 750 F Steam or 450 psi, 1000 F Air

Capable of 800 ft/s surface speed (36000 RPM).

5.1" shaft supported on tilting pad journal bearings; can be run above 1st and 2nd critical speeds to evaluate rotordynamics.

Rig is used for leakage and wear testing of ST, GT, and AE brush and labyrinth seals.



Various brush seal arrangements tested over pressure ranges typical of steam turbine interstage seals.

Even with assembly clearance, brush seal leakage is typically <1/3 that of a conventional labyrinth seal.



Steam Turbine solid, flexible shaft is sensitive to rub-induced heating and possibility of resultant rotor 'bow'', which results in rotor vibrations.

Seals concentrated near rotor midspan affect rotor during startup (passing through critical speed). Seals near rotor ends tend to affect rotor at speeds just below 2nd critical speed. (ST's typically run between the 1st and 2nd critical speeds.

Impact on rotordynamic response is reduced with increasing assembly clearance.

Brush seals assembled with clearance; blowdown results in minimal contact of bristles to rotor, but significant performance improvement.



Rotordynamics is a very important consideration in how many seals are applied, at which locations, and with what level of assembly clearance/interference.

GE has developed a tool to assess rotordynamic impact; validated through lab testing and field experience.

Brush seals are applied in GE steam turbines with no added constraints on turbine operability.



Haynes 25 is standard bristle material for ST applications >500°F

Hastelloy C276 is standard bristle material for ST applications <500°F

Temperatures typically range from 500-1050 F in high pressure turbine section, $<500^{\circ}$ F in low pressure section.

Used on uncoated CrMoV rotor.



Bristle aerodynamic stability is an important design consideration.



Fully Instrumented Test Vehicle Allows for Pressures, Temperatures to be Measured at Discrete Radial Positions at each stage.

Velocity profiles at specified locations measured.

Back to back performance testing with brush seals in a STEAM ENVIRONMENT validates predictive methods.



Approx. 20 machines in the field with approximately 200 brush seals.

Fleet leader has eight years of service.



End packing seals (3 brushes shown).

Polishing of rotor surface.

Minimal brush wear observed.



Note the polished rotor. Not only in this view, but throughout the circumference, the bristles survived. Bristle density is good and clearance (no wear) maintained. These were reinstalled after the outage.



Most recent inspection results.

85 MW power generation turbine; 8 interstage seals in high pressure steam interstage locations.

8 years of service; approximately 30 startups.

Polished rotor at all seal locations.

Polished bristle tips around seal circumference at all seal locations.

Seals performing as intended after 8 years of service.

Bucket Tip Seals / Integral Cover Buckets

- 250 MW unit Seal installed adjacent to integral cover buckets of second IP stage
- Overall seal integrity is good after 17 months; seal returned to service
- Some stray bristles at seal segment ends; segment end design improved
- New bucket cover designs eliminate radial inflow/outflow, allowing wider use of brush seals



Copyright General Electric Company. NASA has been granted permission to publish and disseminate this work as a part of the NASA Seal/Secondary Air System Workshop proceedings. All other rights retained by the copyright owner.

Tip seal in this unit looked very good. This end segment is slightly gnarled at the end, but along the circumference, the bristles survived. Note that over bucket tips, gaps between cover sections or radial steps at the junction of adjacent cover sections are important design considerations.

Further work underway to improve robustness of bucket tip brush seals.



Brush seal quality is crucial to achieving intended seal stiffness and leakage performance, and for ensuring that the seals do not adversely affect turbine operation (rotor heating, rotordynamics, rotor thrust, leakage, etc.).



Cross (the seal vendor), and GE (the turbine OEM) have several patents on brush seals and their application to steam turbines.



Conclusions