

Technology Infusion of CodeSonar into the Space Network Ground Segment (Technical Briefing)

Markland J. Benson / NASA GSFC 2009 Software Assurance Symposium

Agenda







30

5

8

2

Agenda	 Space
Preliminaries (2)	suppo
Environment (5)	a higł
Goals (2)	confic
Approach (3)	
Applicability (2)	
Baseline (3)	SUSIA
Findings (7)	 Approx
Conclusions (5)	effort
Wrap-Up (2)	off an
	capat
	·

- Space network software must support 24x7x365 operations with a high level of integrity, confidentiality, and availability
- Current staff consists of 50 FTE to sustain and enhance software
 Programmer
- Approximately one-third of softwarer
 effort goes into discrepancy work Management
 off and two-thirds to enhanced
 Management



Agenda

Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

- Scope: ground software systems that control a satellite fleet and provide near earth communication services
- Prioritized Responsibilities
 - Investigate operational issues
 - Resolve urgent operational issues
 - Provide enhanced capabilities for customers and operations
 - Resolve routine operational issues



Agenda

Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

Capability **Maturity Model** Integrated, Six Sigma, and NASA standards and requirements are applied in software sustaining engineering







- Hours of loss due to software is 7% of overall
- The 7% slice of overall loss equates to 27% of the loss *internal* to the Space Network [that which we directly control]





Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

• Overall trend of software loss is down



Percent internal loss due to software

• Improvements in 2006 attributed to introduction of formal inspections

Goals-1



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

 High availability and proficiency of service requirements drive the need to reduce system defects

Goal: Eliminate defects existing in the current baseline software

 High demand for discrepancy resolution and new capabilities drive the need to produce software more quickly

Goal: Eliminate defects earlier in the software development lifecycle (reduce rework)

Goals-2



Agenda **Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)** Approach (3) **Applicability (2) Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- Infuse automated source code analysis technology
- Provide for a uniform analysis toolset across languages and platforms
- Apply technology to systems that have higher than average contribution to service loss
- Minimize engineer time required to apply technology

Approach-1



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

 GrammaTech's CodeSonar product provides mature analysis toolset for C and C++ (~50% of current code base) with new capability to cover Ada (~30% of current code base)



 Software engineers use CodeSonar results as an input to the existing source code inspection process

Approach-2



Agenda	•
Preliminaries (2)	
Environment (5)	
Goals (2)	•
Approach (3)	
Applicability (2)	•
Baseline (3)	
Findings (7)	
Conclusions (5)	
Wrap-Up (2)	

- Collect baseline information from the sustaining engineering processes
- Apply static analysis tool to a subset of the software baseline
- Review findings from the tool to eliminate false positives and estimate future review time to be added to inspection process
- Assess costs and benefits of larger deployment of analysis tools

Approach-3



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

Apply study resources to Computer Software Components (CSCs) known to be more troublesome than others

SW CSCI	Hours Lost	% Loss	DR count	% DRs	KSLOC	%KSLOC
CSCI A	6.126	37%	28	6%	200	2%
CSCI B	0.910	5%	33	7%	64	1%
Others	9.748	58%	398	87%	7865	97%
Total	16.784	100%	459	100%	8129	100%

Applicability-1



Agenda Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

- The study is focused on large scale software developed using formal processes
- The systems studied are mission critical in nature but some use commodity computer systems
- Linux, Windows, and VxWorks operating systems are represented
- The application domain of the software is communications and spacecraft control systems

Applicabilty-2





If you have...

- in-house maintained software...
- using a general purpose language...
- with formal development process...
- where failures lead to injury or significant financial loss...
- ...this study has results that are directly meaningful to you.

(even if #4 is not true for you, lower cost analyzers likely would be of benefit)

Baseline -1 (What is SLOC anyway?)



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

SLOC definitions are inconsistent...

David A. Wheeler's *SLOCCount* tool and definition is used here:

Physical SLOC is defined as follows: ``a physical source line of code (SLOC) is a line ending in a newline or end-of-file marker, and which contains at least one non-whitespace noncomment character." Comment delimiters (characters other than newlines starting and ending a comment) are considered comment characters. Data lines only including whitespace (e.g., lines with only tabs and spaces in multiline strings) are not included.

Non-Comment Source Lines (NCSL) == Physical SLOC (Total) SLOC includes comments, blanks, and NCSL

Baseline-2



Agenda **Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) **Applicability (2)** Baseline (3) Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- Productivity of software engineers is 0.16 source lines of code (SLOC) per hour to perform requirements elicitation, design, coding, inspections, unit test, and Level 2 test
- Comparative industry productivity value is 0.6 SLOC per hour by the German Aerospace Center
- Difference can be attributed somewhat to evolving a product as opposed to new product development but productivity improvement is one of the goals

Baseline-3



Agenda **Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) **Applicability (2) Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- In 2008, 204 software change requests (CRs) were completed
- Each CR produced an average of 209 new or modified lines of code

(changes to database values not counted as SLOC modifications)

 Formal inspections caused the removal of 1,255 defects from the code; 374 of the defects were classified as major (~30%)



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

- For the 439 KSLOC (310 KNCSL) of C/C++ code analyzed in 1,245 files, 1,011 findings were produced
- All of 1,011 findings were reviewed with an average review time of ~7 minutes each





Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

- Requires Research findings were classified as True or False Positive based on finding category
- Vendor Software findings were classified as False Positive





Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

Definition: An Urgent (a.k.a. major) defect is one assessed as directly impacting availability or proficiency

Note: The large number of findings and corresponding review time is not expected to be repeated. Previously reviewed findings are filtered and only displayed if specifically requested



Agenda

Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

Findings were not uniformly distributed as a whole or proportionally among CSCs

Component	K-NCSL	Defects / K-NCSL		
CSCI B	121	1.8634		
CSCI A - CSC B	139	1.1571		
CSCI A - CSC C	22	3.7156		
CSCI A - CSC D	29	4.1332		

NCSL = non-comment source lines





y-axis is the number of true positive findings; x-axis is finding categories





x-axis are the findings categories; y-axis is findings per KNCSL



Agenda		CSCI B	CSCI A	CSCI A	CSCI A
Preliminaries (2)			CSC B	CSC C	CSC D
Environment (5)	Routine	200	130	78	118
Goals (2)	Urgent	25	31	3	0
Approach (3)	KSLOC	222	204	29	39
Applicability (2)	Defects/KSLOC	1.01	0.79	2.80	3.01
Baseline (3)	Urgent/KSLOC	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.00
Findings (7)	Urgent Loss	8.75	10.85	1.05	0.00

Overall density and severity of findings vary significantly across CSCs

Urgent density is *somewhat* uniform

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

Urgent Loss in this table is a prediction of the loss expected from these defects based on the average loss per defect of 0.35



Agenda **Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) **Applicability (2) Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- For seven million non-comment, nonblank lines of code...
 - ...the initial cost for CodeSonar is equivalent to the fully loaded cost of a senior software engineer for one year
 - ...annual maintenance cost for CodeSonar is equivalent to about nine weeks of a senior software engineer's time



Agenda Preliminaries (2)

Environment (5)

Goals (2)

Approach (3)

Applicability (2)

Baseline (3)

Findings (7)

Conclusions (5)

Wrap-Up (2)

- Using baseline data combined with finding results and...
- ...very conservative cost numbers for staff time to do rework and...
- ...10% phase leakage from implementation to test and...
- ...10% phase leakage from test to operations and...
- ...and considering that one leaked defect triggers a non-trivial investigation...



Agenda Preliminaries (2) **Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) **Applicability (2) Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- ...then the amount saved in rework and investigation is slightly more than the annual maintenance cost of CodeSonar
- Changing only the assumption on hourly cost to a more nominal rate gives us a payback of less than five years for the license costs
- Being less conservative on other assumptions yields greater benefits



Agenda Preliminaries (2) **Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) Applicability (2) **Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)

- Static source code analysis is mature, cost-effective technology
- Training and tuning of the software to the particular environment is important
- Beyond cost comparisons, CodeSonar provides a good value to the Space Network because a single critical error latent in operations puts at risk human life or once-in-a-lifetime scientific discovery



- Agenda **Preliminaries (2) Environment (5)** Goals (2) Approach (3) **Applicability (2) Baseline (3)** Findings (7) **Conclusions (5)** Wrap-Up (2)
 - Plan forward:
 - Incorporate automated static code analysis into sustaining engineering process for the Space Network
 - Include Ada, C, and C++ for the entire code base (one uniform tool and process)
 - Fix defects incrementally (by priority) as part of normal discrepancy work off process rather than as a large special project

Contact Information





Markland J. Benson Computer Systems Manager White Sands Complex PO Box 9000, Las Cruces, NM 88004 (575) 527-7034 Markland.J.Benson@nasa.gov

Questions?





SAS_09_Executive_Benson_CodeSonar