

# N

1

## 2 **NORMALIZED-DIFFERENCE SNOW INDEX (NDSI)**

3 Dorothy K. Hall<sup>1</sup> and George A. Riggs<sup>2</sup>  
4 <sup>1</sup>Cryospheric Sciences Branch, Code 614.1,  
5 NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt,  
6 MD, USA  
7 <sup>2</sup>SSAI  
8 Lanham, Maryland, USA

### 9 **Definition**

10 *Normalized-Difference Snow Index (NDSI)* – normalized  
11 difference of two bands (one in the visible and one in the  
12 near-infrared or short-wave infrared parts of the spectrum)  
13 is used to map snow. Snow is highly reflective in the visi-  
14 ble part of the EM spectrum and highly absorptive in the  
15 near-infrared or short-wave infrared part of the spectrum,  
16 whereas the reflectance of most clouds remains high in  
17 those same parts of the spectrum, allowing good separa-  
18 tion of most clouds and snow.

### 19 **Introduction**

20 The NDSI has a long history. The use of ratioing visible  
21 (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) or short-wave infrared  
22 (SWIR) channels to separate snow and clouds was  
23 documented in the literature beginning in the mid-1970s  
24 by Valovcin (1976, 1978) and also by Kyle et al. (1978).  
25 A considerable amount of work on this subject was  
26 conducted at, and published by, the Air Force Geophysics  
27 Laboratory (AFGL) (e.g., see Bunting and d'Entremont,  
28 1982). The objective of the AFGL work was to discrimi-  
29 nate snow cover from cloud cover using an automated  
30 algorithm to improve global cloud analyses. Later, auto-  
31 mated methods that relied on the VIS/NIR ratio were  
32 refined substantially using satellite data, by Crane and  
33 Anderson (1984), Dozier (1989), and Rosenthal and  
34 Dozier (1996) for regional scales, and by Riggs et al.

(1993), Hall et al. (1995, 2002), and Hall and Riggs 35  
(2007) for global snow-cover mapping. In this section, 36  
we provide a brief history of the use of the NDSI for map- 37  
ping snow cover. 38

### **Band ratios used to discriminate snow and clouds** 39

Results of an investigation of snow reflectance character- 40  
istics using data from Skylab Earth Resources Experiment 41  
Package (EREP) S192 multispectral scanner are presented 42  
by Barnes and Smallwood (1975). For the first time, satel- 43  
lite study of snow from the spectral range extending from 44  
the VIS to the IR (0.41–12.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was possible, and this 45  
paved the way for automated snow-cover mapping. 46  
Shortly thereafter, Valovcin (1976) at AFGL introduced 47  
the idea of using the ratio of radiance values in the VNIR 48  
(0.68–0.76  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and NIR or SWIR (1.55–1.75  $\mu\text{m}$ ) to pro- 49  
vide a method to discriminate between snow cover and 50  
clouds. Kyle et al. (1978) used the ratio of the 1.6– 51  
0.754  $\mu\text{m}$  channels to distinguish snow and clouds using 52  
a cloud physics radiometer with 0.754–1.64  $\mu\text{m}$  channels. 53  
They also used an IR band to test for surface temperature 54  
further distinguished snow and clouds. 55

Additional work done at AFGL by Bunting and 56  
d'Entremont (1982) employed a 1.6  $\mu\text{m}$  sensor flown on 57  
the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) 58  
Special Sensor C (SSC) to separate snow and clouds. They 59  
also used 11% reflectance to define the lower bound of 60  
reflectance for snow cover. Crane and Anderson (1984) 61  
reviewed the previous work, mainly conducted at AFGL, 62  
and employed the DMSP Operational Linescan System 63  
(OLS), which operated in the 0.4–1.0  $\mu\text{m}$  and 8–13  $\mu\text{m}$  64  
range, along with SSC data (1.51–1.63  $\mu\text{m}$ ). They 65  
employed reflectances derived from the various sensors 66  
to map snow using a threshold technique. 67

More-sophisticated use of band ratios as applied with 68  
Landsat Thematic Mapper TM data was developed by 69  
Dozier (1987, 1989). The normalized difference of TM 70

71 bands 2 (0.52–0.60  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and 5 (1.55–1.75  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was intro-  
72 duced in Dozier (1989). Dozier and Marks (1987) discuss  
73 automated snow mapping and threshold tests for  
74 shadowed snow, cloud, vegetation, and soil in sunlit areas.

75 With the anticipated launch of the Moderate Resolution  
76 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) at the end of the  
77 1990s, a global snow-mapping algorithm needed to be  
78 developed that would perform automatically and not be  
79 computationally intensive. Using the heritage algorithms  
80 discussed above, Hall et al. (1995) coined the term  
81 normalized-difference snow index and outlined a snow-  
82 mapping algorithm that would be the basis of the MODIS  
83 standard snow-mapping product. The prototype algo-  
84 rithm, called Snowmap, used a normalized difference  
85 between MODIS band 4 (5.45–5.65  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and 6 (1.628–  
86 1.652  $\mu\text{m}$ ), as was done in Bunting and d’Entremont  
87 (1982), Crane and Anderson (1984), and Dozier (1989)  
88 using TM bands 2 and 5. The prototype MODIS algorithm  
89 also employed several spectral tests. A planetary reflec-  
90 tance  $\leq 11\%$  was a threshold test in which values  $< 11\%$   
91 were mapped as “not snow,” determined not to be snow.

92 The prototype MODIS snow-mapping algorithm was  
93 improved with additional spectral tests. One key modifica-  
94 tion is that the NDSI threshold was changed in forested  
95 areas based on results of a canopy reflectance model  
96 (Klein et al., 1998), using both the Normalized Difference  
97 Vegetation Index (NDVI) and NDSI in densely forested  
98 areas as determined from the NDVI test. A thermal mask  
99 was also included to remove erroneous “snow” in loca-  
100 tions where snow is considered to be impossible. Small  
101 specks of erroneous snow that show up on an image may  
102 be due to sand. If the band 31 (10.780–11.280  $\mu\text{m}$ ) tem-  
103 perature is  $> 283$  K, then a pixel is considered “not snow.”  
104 This type of thermal test of surface temperature had previ-  
105 ously been used by Kyle et al. (1978) and Romanov and  
106 Gutman (2000). The standard MODIS cloud mask is also  
107 employed as an input to the snow algorithm.

108 Following the 1999 launch of the MODIS on the Terra  
109 spacecraft, the snow algorithm was modified several  
110 times, but the NDSI has remained the basis of the algo-  
111 rithm. The current algorithm is Version 005 (see Riggs  
112 et al., 2006).

### 113 Summary

114 The term normalized-difference snow index (NDSI) was  
115 coined by Hall et al. (1995), but the NDSI technique  
116 already had nearly a 20-year heritage as similar methods  
117 using various visible and near-infrared bands had been  
118 used since the mid-1970s to map snow and separate snow  
119 from most clouds. Following the launch of the MODIS in  
120 1999, the NDSI approach to mapping snow cover became  
121 automated using an algorithm that utilizes the NDSI along  
122 with a variety of threshold tests.

### Bibliography

- Barnes, J. C., and Smallwood, M. D., 1975. Synopsis of current sat-  
ellite snow mapping techniques with emphasis on the application  
of near-infrared data. In Rango, A. (ed.), *Operational Applica-  
tions of Satellite Snowcover Observations*. NASA Special Publi-  
cation SP-391, pp. 199–213.
- Bunting, J. T., and d’Entremont, R. P., 1982. Improved cloud detec-  
tion utilizing Defense Meteorological Satellite Program near  
infrared measurements, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Envi-  
ronmental Research Papers, No. 765, AFGL-TR-82-0027, 27  
January 1982, 91 pp.
- Crane, R. G., and Anderson, M. R., 1984. Satellite discrimination of  
snow/cloud surfaces. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*,  
5(1), 213–223.
- Dozier, J., 1987. Remote sensing of snow characteristics in the  
southern Sierra Nevada, “Large Scale Effects of Seasonal Snow  
Cover,” *Proceedings of the Vancouver Symposium*, August  
1987, IAHS, 166, pp. 305–314.
- Dozier, J., 1989. Spectral signature of alpine snow cover from the  
Landsat Thematic Mapper. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,  
28, 9–22.
- Dozier, J., and Marks, D., 1987. Snow mapping and classification  
from Landsat Thematic Mapper data. *Annals of Glaciology*, 9,  
1–7.
- Hall, D. K., and Riggs, G. A., 2007. Accuracy assessment of the  
MODIS snow-cover products. *Hydrological Processes*, 21,  
1534–1547.
- Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V., 1995. Develop-  
ment of methods for mapping global snow cover using Moderate  
Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. *Remote  
Sensing Environment*, 54, 127–140.
- Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., Salomonson, V. V., DiGirolamo, N. E.,  
and Bayr, K. J., 2002. MODIS snow-cover products. *Remote  
Sensing of Environment*, 83, 181–194.
- Klein, A. G., Hall, D. K., and Riggs, G. A., 1998. Improving snow-  
cover mapping in forests through the use of a canopy reflectance  
model. *Hydrological Processes*, 12, 1723–1744.
- Kyle, H. L., Curran, R. J., Barnes, W. L., and Escoe, D., 1978.  
A cloud physics radiometer, Third Conference on Atmospheric  
Radiation, American Meteorological Society, 28–30 June  
1978, Davis, Calif., p. 107.
- Riggs, G. A., Hall, D. K., Barker, J. L., and Salomonson, V. V.,  
1993. *The Developing Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradi-  
ometer (MODIS) Snow Cover Algorithm*, *Proceedings of the  
50th Annual Eastern Snow Conference*. 8–10 June. Quebec,  
Canada: Quebec City, pp. 51–58.
- Riggs, G. A., Hall, D. K., and Salomonson, V. V., 2006. *MODIS  
Snow Products User Guide*. <http://modis-snow-ice.gsfc.nasa.gov/sugkc2.html>.
- Romanov, P., and Gutman, G., 2000. Automated monitoring of  
snow cover over North America with multispectral satellite data.  
*Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 39, 1866–1880.
- Rosenthal, W., and Dozier, J., 1996. Automated mapping of moun-  
tane snow cover at subpixel resolution from the Landsat The-  
matic Mapper. *Water Resources Research*, 32(1), 115–130.
- Valovcin, F. R., 1976. Snow/cloud discrimination, AFGL-TR-76-  
0174, ADA 032385.
- Valovcin, F. R., 1978. Spectral radiance of snow and clouds in the  
near infrared spectral region, AFGL-TR-78-0289, ADA 063761.