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Abstract

Performance of a multipoint, lean direct injection (MP–LDI) strategy for low emission aero-propulsion systems has been tested in a Jet-A fueled, lean flame tube combustion rig. Operating conditions for the series of tests included inlet air temperatures between 672 and 828 K, pressures between 1034 and 1379 kPa and total equivalence ratios between 0.41 and 0.45, resulting in equilibrium flame temperatures approaching 1800 K. Ranges of operation were selected to represent the spectrum of subsonic and supersonic flight conditions projected for the next-generation of commercial aircraft.

This document reports laser-based measurements of in situ fuel velocities and fuel drop sizes for the NASA 9-point LDI hardware arranged in a 3×3 square grid configuration. Data obtained represent a region of the flame tube combustor with optical access that extends 38.1-mm downstream of the fuel injection site. All data were obtained within reacting flows, without particle seeding. Two diagnostic methods were employed to evaluate the resulting flow path. Three-component velocity fields have been captured using phase Doppler interferometry (PDI), and two-component velocity distributions using planar particle image velocimetry (PIV). Data from these techniques have also offered insight into fuel drop size and distribution, fuel injector spray angle and pattern, turbulence intensity, degree of vaporization, and extent of reaction.

This research serves to characterize operation of the baseline NASA 9-point LDI strategy for potential use in future gas-turbine combustor applications. An additional motive is the compilation of a comprehensive database to facilitate understanding of combustor fuel injector aerodynamics and fuel vaporization processes, which in turn may be used to validate computational fluid dynamics codes, such as the National Combustor Code (NCC), among others.

Nomenclature

MP–LDI Multi-point Lean Direct Injection
LDI Lean Direct Injection
CAEP Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection
ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization
NCC National Combustion Code
PDI Phase Doppler Interferometry
PIV Particle Image Velocimetry
LTO Landing-Take-Off Cycle
ICCD Intensified Charge-Coupled Device
Nd:YAG Neodymium-Doped Yttrium Aluminum Garnet
UHC Unburned Hydrocarbon
HC Hydrocarbon
FWHM Fixed Width at Half Maximum
CO Carbon Monoxide
NOx Oxides of Nitrogen
RMS Root mean square
Φ [-] Equivalence Ratio, fuel-to-air basis
X [mm] Axial flow direction
Y [mm] Horizontal flow direction
Z [mm] Vertical flow direction
U [m/s] Axial velocity component
V [m/s] Horizontal velocity component
W [m/s] Vertical velocity component
D10 [µm] Linear drop diameter

Subscripts:
in inlet
T total
Introduction

Aviation emissions are becoming an internationally recognized environmental concern as air traffic continues to rise in an effort to keep pace with global economic, military, and private demands. In response, laws regulating pollutant levels are growing progressively stringent as governing organizations continue to tighten existing emission certification standards and adopt new policies for managing the next-generation of aero-propulsion systems. The Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP), a subset of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), is responsible for reviewing engine performance trends and recommending operating practices and standards to further mitigate the impact of civil aviation on global atmosphere and climate. Current ICAO regulations limit ground level emissions of hydrocarbons (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), and oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) based on landing and take-off cycle (LTO) operation. Future laws are expected to more closely regulate exhaust products at altitude or impose emission related levies in the form of landing taxes, with collected funds intended for financing research to reduce the environmental impact of civil air transport (Refs. 1 and 2).

Advanced combustion and fuel injection methods will be necessary to overcome the challenges posed by impending emission laws. Aircraft will need to operate within the constraints of increasing regulations while satisfying the potentially complex mission objectives expected of next-generation aircraft. Multi-point lean direct injection (MP–LDI) is a NASA developed low-emission fuel injection technology that appears to assist in meeting the dual requirements of low-NOₓ and the National Combustion Code (NCC) because the concept was chosen as our fuel injector/mixer test case for validating the reduced scale promotes rapid mixing of fuel and oxidizer, and the conditions at the flame front approach those in a pre-mixed, prevaporized flame. Multiple injectors can be integrated into modules to allow for a variety of fuel staging possibilities appropriate for an LTO engine cycle. MP–LDI was chosen as our fuel injector/mixer test case for validating the National Combustion Code (NCC) because the concept provides low emissions, allows for different fuel staging strategies, and has realistic, complex fluid interactions.

A large number of variables play a role in developing and optimizing low emission fuel injection strategies. Design choices include the number and size of injector elements, whether to use radial or axial air swirlers, swirler angle and direction, and type of fuel nozzle. Tacina et al. (Ref. 4) considered these factors in comparing combustion performance, based on NOₓ and CO emissions. 3×3, 5×5, 6×6, and 7×7 element arrays were studied. NOₓ emissions were low in all cases and found to decrease with swirl number and combustor operability range.

The MP–LDI concept is currently under evaluation and testing to support future gas-turbine combustor applications. In this study, the multipoint injection strategy has been tested in a square array configuration comprised of nine LDI elements arranged in a 3×3 grid. This configuration has been designated as the baseline configuration.

Several useful parameters for defining fuel injection operation and emission levels include liquid and gas phase velocities, turbulence intensity, and fuel vaporization. Optical and laser-based diagnostics have been employed to capture this information for the 9-point hardware at test points simulating subsonic and supersonic flight conditions. This document focuses on reporting and comparing results from two diagnostic techniques with emphasis on (1) developing a database for verifying computational combustion flow models and (2) assessing and refining diagnostic techniques specifically for application to our facility which operates at realistic aircraft combustor conditions.

We describe here our efforts to use two complementary, laser-based optical techniques to acquire and compare velocity distributions and RMS measurements. Phase Doppler interferometry (PDI) provided the means to capture three-component velocity fields, turbulence intensity and injector full cone spray angle. Using planar particle image velocimetry (PIV) we were able to acquire two-component fuel spray velocity distributions as well as injector spray angle. The results from both methods were very similar in all cases where direct comparison was possible.

In this work all experimental data were acquired using non-preheated Jet-A fuel supplied to the combustor through the 9-point lean direct injection (LDI) hardware.

Facility

The baseline hardware was tested in a high temperature and high pressure flametube combustor rig with partial optical access directly downstream of the fuel injection site. The experimental facility and diagnostic instrumentation offered the combined unique ability to perform non-intrusive optical and laser based techniques demonstrating fluid and chemical processes during combustion. The test cell in this study was capable of supplying non-vitiated inlet air to the combustor at temperatures between 450 and 1100 °F (505 to 866 K), pressures between 150 and 250 psia (1034 to 1724 kPa) with flow rates up to 10-lbm/s (4.54 kg/s). Total equivalence ratios supporting stable lean operation of the flametube were generally between 0.33 and 0.55 depending on the simulated engine conditions. The entire rig was operated remotely and sustained by an adjacent control room and laser facility.
An isolated full-section view of the window assembly and aft flamelube are displayed in Figure 1. The combustor housing measures 74-cm in length and is lined with an aluminum oxide ceramic to withstand average temperatures reaching 3200 °F (2033 K). UV-grade fused silica windows (38.1 by 50.8-mm) are mounted just downstream of the fuel injection site and provide optical access from three sides of the square combustion chamber. The combustion chamber has a cross section measuring 76.2 by 76.2-mm. The bottom of the chamber is reserved for a spark igniter system and provides no physical or optical access. The grade of quartz used in the windows offers a minimum light transmission of 80 percent within a spectral range from 180 to 3300-nm, making it ideal for supporting optical measurements (Ref. 5). Nitrogen film cooling is used internally to regulate window temperature and accounts for no more than 10 percent by mass of the total inlet air flow. The external housing and downstream flange section are water cooled to reduce thermal and material stresses at high temperature and pressure conditions.

**Injector Architecture**

The baseline 9-point test hardware is described in Reference 4 and consists of a 3×3 injector array measuring 76.2 by 76.2-mm with injectors spaced 25.4-mm (center-to-center). Each injector element is comprised of a set of six helical, axial vanes angled at 60° surrounding a simplex-type nozzle that atomizes and injects liquid fuel (Jet-A) at the throat of a converging-diverging venturi. The calculated swirl number is 1.0. A schematic of a single injector element is displayed in the full section view of Figure 2. The array is shown in Figure 3.

**Diagnostic Setup and Procedures**

Two optical diagnostic methods were used to interrogate the 9-point LDI combusting flow and acquire a number of critical flowfield parameters. Phase Doppler interferometry (PDI) was used to characterize the vaporization and mixing of the 9-point LDI by providing mean diameter statistics, fuel injector spray angle and pattern, three-dimensional liquid velocity field characteristics, and turbulence levels. Particle image velocimetry (PIV) was used to obtain planar two-component fuel velocity distributions further demonstrating directional flow properties and emphasizing interaction zones between injectors. Both PDI and PIV are well-established techniques that have commercially-available systems.

For both techniques, the optical instrumentation was fixed to a set of orthogonal stages to provide traversing ability in either one or three-dimensions (depending on technique) in order to map out the accessible region-of-interest within the combustor.
Figure 2.—Schematic drawing that shows the relative spatial positioning of the air swirler, fuel nozzle, and venturi for each injector element.

Figure 3.—End view of the 9-point LDI test hardware.

Figure 4.—Illustration that shows a typical planar imaging arrangement such as for two-dimensional PIV.

In this work X- is defined to be the stream-wise direction, with X = 0-mm being the exit (dump) plane of the 9-point LDI hardware. Y- is the horizontal direction and Z- is the vertical direction, with (Y = 0-mm, Z = 0-mm) at the center-line of the center injector. Positive directions follow from the right-hand-rule, with positive X downstream and Z up.

Particle Image Velocimetry

The experimental setup for PIV was comparable to the Figure 4 illustration. PIV data were obtained using a dual head, frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser operating at 15 Hz. The laser beams were expanded into sheets using a cylindrical lens and transmitted vertically into the combustor rig, with the sheet axis aligned with the flow direction. Images were acquired through a side window using the light scattered from fuel droplets to capture liquid phase velocity. We used an f = 200-mm, f/4 lens to collect the light. This collection scheme imaged a region that spanned roughly 17-mm axially by 23-mm vertically, to isolate the center row of injectors. An interline transfer CCD camera captured the scattered light from adjacent laser pulses onto two individual frames. Because the second frame of standard interline transfer cameras has relatively long exposure times, the camera lens was...
outfitted with a mechanical leaf shutter capable of operating at 15-Hz. All synchronization was handled using a LaVision, Inc. programmable timing unit, PTU-8. Cross-correlation methods were then applied to create a displacement vector field for each image pair. LaVision’s DaVis software version 7.2 was used to collect and process the images and produce axial-vertical 2-D velocity fields. To map the optically-accessible volume, we traversed across the flow in the Y-direction in 1-mm increments.

**Phase Doppler Interferometry**

PDI was performed using a setup similar to that displayed in Figure 5 which employed transceiver and receiver optics in a 30° off-axis forward scatter arrangement. Each transceiver beam was separately fine-adjusted to compensate for refraction through the combustor window and ensure that all beams overlapped within the rig.

PDI measurements were obtained using a three-component fiber-based system consisting of a 112-mm diameter Fiber-Flow probe (Model 5402–01), BSAFlow software and processing unit developed by Dantec Dynamics. An argon ion laser was used to generate the green (514.5-nm), blue (488-nm) and violet (476.5-nm) beam pairs for the transceiver. Due to limited optical access, the 3-color PDI system used a single optical head to transmit three beam pairs that intersected at the measurement volume. As shown in Figure 6(a), the beams did not provide orthogonal measurements, so a combined optical and geometric transform of the three measured velocity channels into the rectilinear coordinate system was required. Also, since this transformation was manually calculated and applied, we do not present RMS measurements for the channels; rather we calculate and report the local turbulence intensities, which can be obtained from the raw mean and RMS values.

Data were obtained in a point-wise manner using two different optical configurations to more fully access the flowfield. These arrangements and the combustor regions accessed are shown in Figure 6(b). Focal lenses for the transceiver and receiver were 310-mm or 500-mm depending on optical access and probe orientation. The 310-mm focal length lens produced a measurement volume of 0.047-mm in diameter by 0.4-mm in length while the dimensions increased to 0.076-mm in diameter by 1.03-mm in length for the 500-mm focal length lens.

For the upstream setup, the transmitter and receiver were angled upstream with their optic axes in a horizontal plane (Z = constant) (see Fig. 5). This allowed us to probe the region immediately downstream of the center injector element, from the dump plane to approximately X = 10-mm.

The downstream setup was similar to Figure 5, but with the transmitter and receiver angled downward. Their optical axes were in a vertical plane (X = constant). This permitted access to the lower central region of the combustor, from approximately X = 9-mm to 15-mm.

![Figure 5.—Illustration that shows forward scatter arrangement for phase doppler interferometry in the upstream configuration.](Image)
Results and Discussion

All data were collected during combustion, with calculated adiabatic flame temperatures between 1700 to 1833 K. Five inlet conditions were considered, including high (H), medium (M) and low (L) inlet temperatures and two pressures, designated (1) and (2). Table 1 provides the combustor test matrix including air flow rates and equivalence ratios. For all points, the air inlet pressure drop was 4 percent. The last three columns indicate the diagnostic techniques that were used to characterize operation at each condition. For the work presented, each diagnostic technique was used on a different test day and no techniques were performed simultaneously.

All experimental data have been processed and are presented in formats that attempt to thoroughly describe the fluid behavior of each test point in a clear, visual manner. Data are presented as either scatter plots, 1-D line plots or 2-D field plots. Two-dimensional plots are either side view plots at the Y = 0 plane with the downstream flow direction left to right, or end view plots (X = constant) with downstream flow out of the page. The results presented reflect an analysis of the liquid fuel spray without regard for the atomizing air. To provide some insight into the air flow structure in the absence of fuel, Figure 7 depicts a computational result that shows the predicted air flow features present downstream of the 9-point LDI (Ref. 6). The key feature to point out is the air-swirl-induced recirculation zone which forms immediately downstream of each injector element. Recirculation zones are necessary to support and stabilize the flame(s) in aircraft combustors. Figure 7 also shows the zero-velocity contours within which these recirculation zones exist. Given this inherent structure, we will expect to see reverse flow of the fuel droplets within this region. The expected reverse flow was confirmed with our PIV measurements (Ref. 7).

In other related work with a single LDI, Cai et al. (Ref. 8) studied both reacting and non-reacting cases at ambient temperature (~298 K) and pressure. Using PDI, they found that strong swirl affects mean diameter profiles, providing more uniform sizes and that heat released during combustion increases the mean and turbulent velocities relative to the non-reacting case. They concluded that the interaction between liquid and gas phases caused a decrease in the recirculation zone during combustion. The single LDI used in the Cai work has the same physical geometry as each element in the 9-point configuration used in this work, so Cai provides a useful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test point</th>
<th>T_{in} (K)</th>
<th>P_{in} (kPa)</th>
<th>W_{air} (kg/sec)</th>
<th>(\Phi_t)</th>
<th>PIV</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
comparison. However, the work by Cai used a square combustion chamber measuring 38-mm per side. To be exactly comparable with our work, the chamber should be 25-mm per side.

To date, there is no study that compares the single element with this baseline 9-point LDI that duplicates both inlet and boundary conditions.

Gross Features (PDI)

Figure 8 contains side views within the vertical center plane of the mean axial and vertical velocities (vectors) and the local turbulence intensity contours immediately downstream of the center injector for the 728 and 828 K inlet conditions. Local turbulence intensity was calculated using the ratio of the RMS of the turbulent velocity fluctuations to the local Reynolds averaged mean velocity. Streamlines were added to the plots at ±½ of the diffuser exit radius to represent the outer boundaries of the hollow cone spray. We assumed and verified by measurement count number that the average bulk mass of fuel spray traveled through the paths swept out by the streamlines. The streamlines were then used to estimate spray angle for the center injector at each test condition. More accurate spray angle measurements could be made if the average radial distance to the centroid of the injected cone spray was precisely known. All images in Figure 8 show similar trends: (1) a spray angle roughly 80° full cone with greater tangential velocity near the dump plane, which suggests the main flow from the nozzle is a hollow cone spray; (2) the region near the injector centerline (within the cone) has lower velocity; (3) the highest axial velocities occur at or near the region where the flow from the upper and lower injectors mix with that of the center injector. The region where the jets mix is also the area of highest turbulence intensity. The second highest levels of turbulence occur near the injector centerline, within the first few millimeters from the dump plane. The lowest levels of turbulence occur around the boundaries of the spray cone.

Figure 9 shows PDI results obtained at point M1, which plots in the top row the V–W vectors and U-contours for X = 0-mm (left), 3-mm (center), and 6-mm (right column). The bottom row shows the turbulence intensity contours along with the droplet count (scatter plot) that corresponds with each axial position. The circles represent the projected diffuser exit diameters at the dump plane.

From the axial velocity contours, one can visualize the region of core recirculation, whose average fuel drop velocity is positive. The V–W vectors reflect the tangential swirl imparted to the fuel from both the fuel nozzle and the swirling air. The turbulence contours from these perspective views highlight the higher turbulence intensity along the centerline at the injector exit plane and show that levels dissipate from that central core as the flow progresses downstream. The scatter plot represents the number of drops measured at each location.

Figure 10(a) shows a representative contour of the mean V velocity. Figure 10(b) shows a representative contour of the mean W velocity. The Z-Y plots show results from inlet condition M1, the Z-X plots show M2 results. Given our limited optical access, these plots are useful to highlight the symmetrical flow patterns from the 9-point LDI hardware.
Figure 9.—Results from inlet condition M1 at X = 0, 3, and 6-mm from injector dump plane. Top row: 3-D velocity field with axial velocities represented by contour. Bottom row: Turbulence intensity contours and scatter plot representing number of drops measured. Positive X flow direction is out of the page.

Figure 10.—Examples that highlight symmetry. (a) Contour plot of mean V components from PDI measurements. (b) Contour plot of mean W components from PDI measurements. The Z-Y plots show end views (flow out of page) at the X = 3-mm plane at inlet condition M1. The Z-X plots show the side view at Y = 0, with flow from left to right, at inlet condition M2.
Drop Size Differentiated Flows (PDI)

Figures 11 through 15 show results when considering fuel drop size. Because the average drop size range was small, we have three size bins. These three $D_{10}$ size ranges are: $D_{10}$ smaller than 10-µm, between 10- to 20-µm and greater than 20-µm.

Figure 11 shows the mean axial velocity at inlet condition M1 for the three drop size ranges along a vertical rake within the $Y = 0$-mm plane immediately downstream of the center injector. As expected, the largest drops are typically the slowest, and the smallest drops move fastest. Only the drops larger than 10-µm have negative average axial velocity, observed at the 3-mm downstream location, though all drops immediately downstream have relatively low axial velocity. The highest velocities occur in the region between adjacent injectors, around $Z \pm 12$-mm. By $X = 9$-mm, only small drops remain.

Figure 12 presents $V$–$W$ vectors resultant from the H2 inlet condition at $X = 3$-mm. From this perspective, one can see that the center of rotation is near the centerline, which has little to no $V$–$W$ velocity. The $V$–$W$ components increase with greater distance from the centerline, predominately radially outward. The tangential influence of the incoming swirling air is evident in that we begin to see separation in the direction the drops move, depending on their size. Although the different directions the drops take become distinguishable, there is no obvious pattern that would allow us to say that any one size class moves in a particular manner.

Distributions of the axial velocity component versus linear droplet diameter, $D_{10}$, for the 728 and 828 K cases are displayed in Figure 13. Data contained in these images have been processed to remove outlier drops with unusually high velocity components well beyond average. In all cases, the region probed was within the vertical $Y = 0$-mm plane immediately downstream of the center injector, from the $Z = 0$-mm position to below the centerline at $Z = -15$-mm. Low counts at the $X = 0$-mm plane are attributed to high optical thickness at the direct exit of the injection system.

The fuel injector pressure drop for the low combustor pressure cases (left column) is approximately 172 kPa (25 psid) below that of the higher pressure cases (right column). For each set, a similar trend may be noted. Plots for all test conditions show a general decrease in fuel drop size and count with downstream progression as fuel particles are being consumed by chemical reaction and vaporization processes.

The high inlet temperature conditions present smaller fuel drops on average, compared to the lower inlet temperature cases. These drops also vaporize more rapidly, as no drops are observed at the $X = 9$-mm location. Also, the higher temperature condition shows that a greater percentage of the drops measured have positive axial velocity. As inlet temperature increases, the fraction of drops that have negative axial velocity decreases. This suggests the strength of the recirculation zone decreases with higher inlet temperature.

A greater degree of atomization is expected with increased fuel injector pressure drop, and the bottom row results serve to demonstrate this behavior. Despite the higher pressure case flowing 0.0057-kg/s (0.0128-lbₐ/s) more fuel, the number of drops measured is lower by more than an order of magnitude, and at each axial position, the average drop diameter decreases. The reason this effect is not apparent for the high inlet temperature cases is because the fuel-to-air ratios are not equivalent. Condition H1 had $\phi = 0.41$ instead of 0.45, and disproportionately less fuel than its high pressure counterpart, for a difference of 0.0053 rather than 0.0049 kg/s. Another factor is that the fuel more readily vaporizes at this higher inlet.
temperature. Despite these factors, the number of drops measured at conditions H1 and H2 is comparable, and supports the expectation that the larger fuel pressure drop enhances atomization.

The drop size-velocity distribution for the lowest inlet temperature case, L1, is shown in Figure 14 for the upstream (left) and downstream configurations. We would expect to see both a higher number of droplets measured and larger drops at the upstream location, but instead record many fewer drops than at condition M1. The drops also are smaller. These discrepancies from expectation we attribute to the optical thickness and/or large density gradients of the flow field at this inlet condition that helped to obscure the signal.
At the downstream location, the drops measured are primarily from the upper half of the lower injector, though there is probably contribution from the lower half of the middle injector, as well. This location should correspond with an area downstream of the recirculation zone, where there may be interaction between adjacent injectors. Many more droplets were measured at this downstream location, all with positive velocity.

The contour plots in Figure 15 display the influence of drop diameter on axial velocities at the downstream locations of X = 9-, 12-, and 15-mm. Data represent the L1 case. For these positions, only two size bins are used. The top row contains the average axial velocities for drops with linear diameters between 0- and 10-µm, non-inclusive. The bottom row portrays the same information for drop sizes in the range of 10- to 20-µm, inclusive. For each size range, the span of axial velocities decreases with downstream progression, indicating the flow is approaching a steady, uniform distribution. By comparing velocity spans of the top and bottom rows, it appears evident that the small drops more closely track the actual airflow characteristics during combustion.

PIV Results

Figures 16 and 17 present PIV results. The field of view used for PIV isolates the center row of injectors. The presented fields are the average of only 250 image pairs, and are not necessarily a good statistical sampling. However, limiting the number of pairs was convenient for this first attempt at PIV in a combusting case, allowing us to consider issues of timing, using a shutter, and data storage. Figure 16 shows the resultant average droplet velocity and RMS fields from PIV for inlet condition M1 at the Y = 0-mm position. For comparison, the PDI average velocity data from the same region is plotted on the same scale. The range in RMS for PIV is similar to what we encountered for the individual PDI channels. Using the PIV vector plot (center image) we measured a full spray angle of approximately 80°, which is consistent with the PDI results.

Taking into account the relatively small PIV sample size, the PIV and PDI results compare well and show similar trends. One key difference between the PIV and PDI vector fields is in the core central region, where the PIV appears
sparse. One key advantage of PDI for droplet velocity measurement is that the 30° off-axis forward scattering angle is optimal for Mie scattering, whereas the 90° scattering angle used for PIV and other planar imaging techniques is less efficient. On the other hand, because PIV is a whole-field technique, we obtain 2-D snapshots of droplet and velocity that would require much more time—and multiple optical arrangements—to acquire using PDI.

Another issue this comparison serves to highlight is the optical density problem, mentioned earlier with respect to the point L1 PDI data. Figure 17 shows a series of X-plane slices from the data block we derived by combining results of all 47 U–W vector fields. In looking at these end views we see an obvious difference between the spray pattern on the camera’s near-side (Y ≥ 0) and the opposite side of the flow field. Although the distance from the camera to the probe region was fixed, the light scattered had to travel through more fluid as the distance from the camera increased. Additionally, Mie scatter intensity goes as the square of drop size surface area, so the signal from the smallest drops may be more obscured than from larger drops. Field thickness, obscuration, and multiple scattering effects contribute to degrade the initial images and the final processed result.

Nevertheless, this whole field approach of PIV allows us to conclude the presence of symmetry in the flow field structure, as well as highlight the injector spray pattern using a greater field of view than that attainable through PDI. The images in Figure 17 tell us that the adjacent fuel sprays begin mixing by X = 3-mm and are fully involved by 5-mm. The farthest extent of the spray (most drops have vaporized) we found to be around 11-mm at this inlet condition, which is consistent with the range we found using PDI.

**Summary**

To aid in the process of building the next generation of aircraft powerplants that will meet the increasingly stringent restrictions on emissions, researchers at the NASA Glenn Research Center have been investigating a novel 9-point lean direct injector. In order to meet the challenges involved in taking this injector from test lab to commercial aircraft engine, a more thorough understanding of the chemical as well as physical processes occurring within the flowfield of...
this injector is required. Recent advances in optical diagnostic methods offer the greatest opportunity to provide this information. Given our facility and its available optical access, PDI and PIV were chosen as complementary candidate techniques to fully describe parametrically the flowfield of this injector.

Our results demonstrate that PDI was successful at locating the core recirculation zones and at determining the injector full cone spray angle which was found to be roughly 80º in each case. PDI was also particularly useful in ascertaining droplet size, local turbulence levels and velocity vector components. Average droplet diameters, D_{10}, were typically less than 20-µm. Mapping the droplet horizontal and vertical velocity vectors showed the clock-wise circulation (in the stream-wise sense) that the 60º air swirlers imparted to the flow. The droplet diameter data was also significant in showing that the 9-point LDI hardware is highly effective at atomizing the Jet-A fuel and showing that all but the largest droplets were completely vaporized within the first 15-mm from the injector exit. PDI measurements revealed that the highest velocities occurred where the jets from adjacent injectors mix, while the lowest velocities were found within each injector’s hollow fuel cone. Local turbulence levels were also shown to be at a maximum where adjacent fuel jets mixed and at a minimum in the boundary region of the spray cones. PIV results, like those of PDI, also revealed an 80º full cone spray angle and yielded similar results for average droplet velocity and RMS fields. Both techniques were also found to be similarly affected by the optical thickness of the region being examined with the amount of data being reduced as the optical thickness at the measurement location increased.

Future work will include testing to better assess interactions between LDI elements. Plans include testing both seeded cold (unfueled) and combusting cases at the same air inlet conditions. Plans also include testing with fuel to the center element only without fuel to neighbors, which will enable us to more readily control boundary conditions so that we may directly compare single and multiple injector configurations.
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Optical Characterization of a Multipoint Lean Direct Injector for Gas Turbine Combustors: Velocity and Fuel Drop Size Measurements

Performance of a multipoint, lean direct injection (MP-LDI) strategy for low emission aero-propulsion systems has been tested in a Jet-A fueled, lean flame tube combustion rig. Operating conditions for the series of tests included inlet air temperatures between 672 and 828 K, pressures between 1034 and 1379 kPa and total equivalence ratios between 0.41 and 0.45, resulting in equilibrium flame temperatures approaching 1800 K. Ranges of operation were selected to represent the spectrum of subsonic and supersonic flight conditions projected for the next-generation of commercial aircraft. This document reports laser-based measurements of in situ fuel velocities and fuel drop sizes for the NASA 9-point LDI hardware arranged in a 3×3 square grid configuration. Data obtained represent a region of the flame tube combustor with optical access that extends 38.1-mm downstream of the fuel injection site. All data were obtained within reacting flows, without particle seeding. Two diagnostic methods were employed to evaluate the resulting flow path. Three-component velocity fields have been captured using phase Doppler interferometry (PDI), and two-component velocity distributions using planar particle image velocimetry (PIV). Data from these techniques have also offered insight into fuel drop size and distribution, fuel injector spray angle and pattern, turbulence intensity, degree of vaporization and extent of reaction. This research serves to characterize operation of the baseline NASA 9-point LDI strategy for potential use in future gas-turbine combustor applications. An additional motive is the compilation of a comprehensive database to facilitate understanding of combustor fuel injector aerodynamics and fuel vaporization processes, which in turn may be used to validate computational fluid dynamics codes, such as the National Combustor Code (NCC), among others.
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