Impact of AIRS Thermodynamic Profile on Regional Weather Forecast

Shih-Hung Chou, Brad Zavodsky, Gary Jedlovec NASA/MSFC, Huntsville, AL

17th Conference on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography Annapolis, Maryland, 28 September, 2010





Outline

- AIRS and assimilation technique
- WRF forecast/assimilation system
- Overall forecast impact on 6-h precipation
- Case study of severe weather outbreak
 - Impact of AIRS on WRF-Var analysis
 - Improvements in precipitation forecast
- Summary





Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS)

- High quality and global coverage
- L2 Version 5 temperature and moisture profiles
- Land and water soundings w/ separate errors
- Quality control using P_{best} value in each profile
- Sensitivity study assimilating only AIRS profiles

AIRS QI's for 17 Jan 2007







WRF Forecast/Assimilation System

- 12-km, 450x360 horizontal grid
- 50 vertical levels topped at 50 hPa
- WRF ARW initialized at 0000 UTC using NAM analysis
- AIRS profiles assimilated at observation time
- 7-9 h forecast used as background
- B matrix generated using 37 control forecasts
- Two 48-h forecast runs: Control and AIRS



Nasa

Overall Impact on 6-h Precipitation

Combined forecast results for 12-48 h forecasts of precipitation for 37-days from winter 2007: verified against Stage IV precipitation



- Bias score shows F/O
 - Control runs over-forecast light rain and under-forecast moderate to heavy rain
 - Improved (closer to 1) for all thresholds with AIRS
- Equitable Threat Score shows forecasted and observed precipitation matches
 - Improvement at all thresholds except lightest
 - Best results for moderate thresholds (12.7 - 25.40 mm/6h)







Impact of AIRS profile on WRF-Var Analysis





900

600

Control

- AIRS is warmer and moister than background
- Produces a warmer and moister analysis
- Results in a higher CAPE

Case Study: 12-13 February, 2007 24-h Forecast valid 00 UTC 2/13/2007



- Convective precipitation in Eastern Texas
 - Control produces some rain but does not capture significant precipitation
 - AIRS reproduces convective precipitation line
- Better representation of lower level moisture
- CAPE values above 1800 J kg⁻¹ in NAM analysis
 - AIRS nicely represents
 CAPE
 - Control run gives no hint of higher CAPE values



AIRS impact on WRF forecasts

- stronger updraft and higher low-level moisture
- more unstable θ_e profile and near saturation in convective core
- more conducible for convective activity than the control forecast





Summary

• Prudent assimilation of AIRS thermodynamic profiles and quality indicators can improve initial conditions for regional weather models.

- AIRS-enhanced analysis has warmer and moister PBL
- Forecasts with AIRS profiles are generally closer to NAM analyses than CNTL.
- Assimilation of AIRS leads to an overall QPF improvement in
 6-h accumulated precipitation forecasts.

 Including AIRS profiles in assimilation process enhances the moist instability and produces stronger updrafts and a better precipitation forecast than the CNTL run



