

Alternative Strategies for Exploring Mars and the Moons of Mars

Bret G. Drake / NASA Johnson Space Center, USA
John D. Baker / Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, USA
Stephen J. Hoffman, PhD. / Science Applications International Corporation, USA
Damon Landau, PhD. / Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, USA
Stephen A. Voels, PhD. / Science Applications International Corporation, USA





"Set far-reaching exploration milestones. By 2025, begin crewed missions beyond the moon, including sending humans to an asteroid. By the mid-2030s, send humans to orbit Mars and return them safely to Earth"

- Recent discussions have focused on the prospect of conducting a human mission to Mars orbit as a validation test prior to the surface mission
- These strategies are drawn from the historical precedence of the Apollo test missions
- Apollo 8 and 10 flew very similar mission profiles to the eventual surface missions
- But this Apollo analogy may not apply for much harder and longer Mars orbital missions
- Careful examination of the required capabilities and knowledge needed is necessary to fully understand the key issues and applicability of a human mission to Mars orbit prior to a surface mission

Short Stay Mars Vicinity Operations





Mars Ballistic Trajectory Classes



Short-Stay Missions (Opposition)

- Variations of missions with short Mars surface stays (20-60 days) and may include Venus swing-by
- Total mission duration typically 540-840 days

- Long-Stay Missions (Conjunction)
 - Variations about the minimum energy mission
 - Long-stays at Mars (~500 days) and long overall duration (900-1000 days)



Example Delta-v versus Mission Duration





GLEX-2012.08.2.2x12575-Presentation Drake, Baker, Hoffman, Landau, Voels

Total Crew Mission ΔV Sensitivity





Mission Characteristics

- LEO: 400 km x 400 km
- HMO: 250 km x 33,813 km
- Direct Earth Entry: 13 km/s

(Earth departure date) occur approximately every 26 months Due to the difference in orbits of both the Earth and

Mission opportunities

- Mars, the required trajectories vary for each Earth departure date
- Short-stay (opposition) missions demonstrate significantly variation
- Less sensitivity occurs for long-stay (conjunction) missions



TRANSLATING ΔV TO MASS

Transportation and Exploration Systems Assumptions





Opposition Class Missions Crew Vehicle Mass

With 60-Days at Mars





GLEX-2012.08.2.2x12575-Presentation Drake, Baker, Hoffman, Landau, Voels

Conjunction Class Missions Crew Vehicle Mass

Total Mission Durations Approximately 1,100 Days









GLEX-2012.08.2.2x12575-Presentation Drake, Baker, Hoffman, Landau, Voels

Conjunction Class Missions Crew Vehicle Mass Shortening the One-Way Transit Times – Nuclear Thermal Propulsion







ADDITIONAL MISSION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Short Stay Orbital Operations Concept

High-Thrust Missions





MTV Remains in Parking Orbit 1 sol period



Mars Orbital Missions

- Mars orbital missions, including exploration of the moons of Mars, are conducted entirely in deep-space
- Reducing the exposure of the mission crew to the hazards of deep-space is of prime concern for these missions
- Practical considerations (transportation technology and number of launches) will limit mission durations to not much less than 600 days. Thus, human health issues cannot be obviated by propulsion technology alone
- If there is no true difference between 600 and 900 days from a human health perspective, then long-stay (conjunction class missions) should be used

Mars Surface Missions

- Application of short-stay opposition class missions is not so clear
- Short-surface stay alone is insufficient to ameliorate the human health concerns (zero-g and radiation)
- It is anticipated, though yet to be confirmed, that the surface environment of Mars (partial gravity and radiation) may provide sufficient human health mitigation for long-stay missions
- Landing large payloads remains a key challenge for Mars surface missions, both short and long stay



