

THE PETROLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY OF FELDSPATHIC GRANULITIC BRECCIA NWA 3163: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE LUNAR CRUST C. L. McLeod¹, A. D. Brandon¹, T. J. Lapen¹, J. T. Shafer¹, A. H. Peslier^{2,3}, A. J. Irvine⁴. ¹Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Science and Research Building 1, Houston, TX 77204, USA ²Jacobs Technology, ESCG, Mail Code JE23, Houston TX, 77058, USA, ³ARES, NASA-Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058, USA, ⁴University of Washington, Department of Earth & Space Sciences, Seattle WA, 98195, USA.

Introduction: Lunar meteorites are crucial to understand the Moon's geological history because, being samples of the lunar crust that have been ejected by random impact events, they potentially originate from areas outside the small regions of the lunar surface sampled by the Apollo and Luna missions. The Apollo and Luna sample sites are contained within the Procellarum KREEP Terrain (PKT, Jolliff et al., 2000), where KREEP refers to potassium, rare earth element, and phosphorus-rich lithologies. The KREEP-rich rocks in the PKT are thought to be derived from late-stage residual liquids after ~95-99% crystallization of a lunar magma ocean (LMO). These are understood to represent late-stage liquids which were enriched in incompatible trace elements (ITE) relative to older rocks (Snyder et al., 1992). As a consequence, the PKT is a significant reservoir for Th and KREEP. However, the majority of the lunar surface is likely to be significantly more depleted in ITE (84%, Jolliff et al., 2000). Lunar meteorites that are low in KREEP and Th may thus sample regions distinct from the PKT and are therefore a valuable source of information regarding the composition of KREEP-poor lunar crust.

Northwest Africa (NWA) 3163 is a thermally metamorphosed ferroan, feldspathic, granulitic breccia composed of igneous clasts with a bulk anorthositic, noritic bulk composition. It is relatively mafic (~5.8 wt.% FeO; ~5 wt.% MgO) and has some of the lowest concentrations of ITEs (17ppm Ba) compared to the feldspathic lunar meteorite (FLM) and Apollo sample suites (Hudgins et al., 2011). Localized plagioclase melting and incipient melting of mafic minerals require localized peak shock pressures in excess of 45 GPa (Chen and El Goresy, 2000; Hiesinger and Head, 2006). NWA 3163, and paired samples NWA 4481 and 4883, have previously been interpreted to represent an annealed microbreccia which was produced by burial metamorphism at depth in the ancient lunar crust (Fernandes et al., 2009). This is in contrast to the interpretation of Hudgins et al. (2009) where NWA 3163 was interpreted to have formed through contact metamorphism. To further constrain its origin, we examine the petrogenesis of NWA 3163 with a particular emphasis on *in-situ* measurement of trace elements within constituent minerals, Sm-Nd and

Rb-Sr isotopic systematics on separated mineral fractions and petrogenetic modeling.

Considerations from new *in-situ* geochemical information on mineral separates (determined by laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry: LA-ICP-MS) from NWA 3163 imply an origin that is inconsistent with a LREE-depleted source which would be expected if the protolith was primordial lunar crust which formed during crystallization of the LMO. Instead, NWA 3163 may represent the product of metamorphism of impact-related partial melting of KREEP-poor crustal rocks and is therefore, potentially, one of the best examples of lunar lower crust in the lunar meteorite collection.

Methods: Major elements and trace elements were determined *in-situ* on the major mineral phases (maskelynite, pyroxene, olivine, Ti-oxides) via electron microprobe (EMP) at NASA-JSC and LA-ICP-MS at University of Houston respectively on a polished slab of NWA 3163. For each analyzed laser spot, a 10-15 second gas blank was collected prior to ~30 seconds of sample ablation. During analysis for major elements, sodium was measured first in each sequence of crystal switching to minimize loss by volatilization. Laser ablation spots were chosen to be coincident with previous EMP analyses. However, due to the generally homogeneous composition of the major mineral phases, especially maskelynite, several laser ablation spots were in areas not previously analyzed by EMP.

About 60 mg of coarsely crushed NWA3163 minerals were separated into dark, intermediate and light fractions. Each fraction was leached in 2.5N HCl and the leachates from each fraction combined. This was repeated 3 times. Each fraction (plus leachate) was dried down, spiked with a mixed Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd spike, and dissolved in a concentrated HF-HNO₃ in Parr bomb digestion vials. Each sample was subsequently dried down, dissolved in dilute HNO₃ (3 times) and dilute HCl (3 times) prior to purification on cation, Ln-spec and Sr-spec columns. Samples will be (were?) run on a 9 faraday cup TIMS (Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometer) Triton Plus at the University of Houston. [Why describe the chemistry for analyses you have not done yet? I would delete this paragraph.]

Results: There is little variation in the anorthite content of maskelynite throughout our section of NWA 3163. Overall, the mean An%

(percent anorthite content) is 96.9 ± 1.6 (2σ , all further errors 2σ unless specified). Therefore, plagioclase in NWA 3163 was either initially homogeneous or homogenized during thermal metamorphism and/or maskelynitization. Olivine is relatively ferroan and exhibits very little variation in forsterite content (Fo%) with mean Fo% in NWA 3163 at 57.7 ± 2.0 (2σ). The majority of pyroxene is low-Ca pigeonite (En% 57.2 ± 3.4 , Fs% 32.5 ± 3.6 , Wo% 10.3 ± 3.1) Augite (En% 45.7 ± 5.6 , Fs% 21.7 ± 4.8 , Wo% 32.6 ± 10) is less common, comprising approximately 10% of analyzed pyroxene. Orthopyroxene is commonly found as extremely thin lamellae within discrete augite crystals. Individual pyroxene crystals are unzoned and have, within error, homogeneous core to rim major element compositions. Spinel crystals exhibit a 53% chromite composition along the chromite-ulvöspinel solid solution series $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_4 - \text{FeCr}_2\text{O}_4$.

Chondrite-normalized incompatible trace element (ITE) profiles are shown in Fig. 1. The ITE concentrations of olivine are characteristically low with LREE depleted chondrite-normalized profiles ($\text{La}/\text{Yb}_{\text{CI}} \sim 0.14$). Profiles for pyroxene have negative Eu anomalies ($\text{Eu}/\text{Eu}^* < 0.1$) and are LREE depleted ($\text{La}/\text{Yb}_{\text{CI}} = 0.08$) relative to chondrites (Fig. 1). Incompatible trace element concentrations and La/Yb ratios (0.06-0.35) increase with increasing CaO content, the latter being due to lower HREE concentrations in augite relative to low-Ca pigeonite. These observations are consistent with progressive crystallization of clinopyroxene in an evolving magma. Plagioclase exhibits the characteristic positive Eu anomaly ($\text{Eu}/\text{Eu}^* \sim 4$) typical of lunar plagioclase and is HREE depleted ($\text{La}/\text{Yb}_{\text{CI}} = 12.5$).

[how does all this compare with other ferroan anorthosites?]




Fig. 1: Chondrite normalized ITE patterns for NWA 3163 minerals

Discussion: The concept of the lunar magma ocean (LMO) has advanced due to multiple lines of evidence indicating that the ferroan anorthosite crust and mafic mantle source lithologies for mare basalts can be explained by a planetary scale melting event (Smith et al., 1970; Wood et al., 1970). Ferroan anorthosites, like the NWA 3163 protolith, have been interpreted to represent direct LMO crystallization products. If this is the case, trace element concentrations in NWA 3163 primary mineral phases should be in equilibrium with residual LMO liquids present during crystallization of those phases. In summary, a LREE-depleted bulk Moon as indicated by coupled ^{142}Nd - ^{143}Nd isotopic data [on what?] provides constraints on how NWA 3163 minerals could have had equilibrated with a HREE-depleted parent magma [not clear, rephrase]. Our initial petrogenetic modeling suggests that the NWA 3163 protolith could not have been formed from crystallization of an initially LREE depleted LMO (as suggested by Boyet and Carlson, 2007 and Brandon et al., 2009), but rather required an initially chondritic LMO with substantial early garnet crystallization or a LREE enriched LMO.

Hudgins et al. (2011) report an Ar-Ar age of 3327 ± 29 Ma for NWA 3163, which they consider to be the age of the thermal metamorphism that resulted in the granulitic texture. Paired sample NWA 4881 indicates a young age of 1335 ± 32 Ma (Fernandes et al., 2009). These ages are younger than the purported time of the LHB [what's LHB? In general avoid having too many acronyms. LMO, KREEP, PKT, EMP, ICPMS and ITE are enough] ($\sim 3.8 - 4.0$ Ga). If a giant impact did provide the latent heat for the thermal metamorphism for their [who? What?] protoliths, it occurred outside of the LHB. Alternatively, Ar is largely hosted in plagioclase and the resetting event at ~ 3.3 Ga may have been the age of the impact event that caused melting of plagioclase and formation of maskelynite. Maskelynite is not found in the Apollo granulitic breccias and these samples all have Ar-Ar ages that are within the LHB period, which suggests that the younger age of NWA 3163 and paired sample NWA 4881 may be related to the maskelynitization after the granulitic metamorphic event. It is hoped that our Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd chronology study will provide additional constraints to the evolution of NWA 3163.

References: Use the brief numbered style common in many abstracts, e.g., [1], [2], etc. References should then appear in numerical order in the reference list, and should use the following abbreviated style:

[1] Author A. B. and Author C. D. (1997) *JGR*, 90, 1151-1154. [2] Author E. F. et al. (1997) *Meteoritics & Planet. Sci.*, 32, A74. [3] Author G. H. (1996) *LPS*

XXVII, 1344–1345. [4] Author I. J. (2002) *LPS XXXIII*, Abstract #1402.

Please DO NOT submit duplicates of your abstract; should you find it necessary to replace or repair your abstract PRIOR TO the submission deadline, use the tools provided in the USRA meeting portal. If the deadline has already passed, contact the numbers provided on the meeting website.