# Libration Point Mission Design Considerations

#### **Contributors:**

Jerry Condon – JSC/EG5
Jacob Williams – JSC/EG5/ESCG
Juan Senent – JSC/EG5/OSR
Steve Labbe – JSC/EG
Chris Cerimele – JSC/EG5
Frank Monahan – JSC/EG5

EG/Aeroscience and Flight Mechanics Division Presented by Jerry Condon

NASA



# Outline

- Earth-Moon Libration Point System
- Halo Orbit Families & Geometries
- Libration Point Transfer Options
- Transfer Option Performance Example ( $\Delta V$ , time)
  - o Direct
  - o Lunar Flyby
  - Low Energy (Manifold)
- L2 Halo Orbit Special Considerations
  - o Orbit Maintenance
  - o Communication
  - o Rendezvous
  - o Launch Opportunities
  - o Aborts



# **Earth-Moon Libration Points**





# **Halo Orbit Families and Geometry**





# Earth/Moon-L2 Halo South Family

- Halo amplitudes range from approximately 0 to 30,000 km (north) and up to 80,000 km (south)
- As the Z-amplitude (Az) of the halo is increased, there is a transition to a near rectilinear halo with a 6-7 day period.
- Near rectilinear halos are less unstable and require less orbit maintenance\*
- Lower Az halos are more unstable\*, but more amenable to transition to and from weak stability boundary manifold trajectories

\* Multibody Orbit Architectures for Lunar South Pole Coverage. D. Grebow, M. Ozimek, and K. Howell









- L2 or L2 Halo Orbit selection dependent on various parameters besides transportation
  - Environmental considerations
    - in L2 halo orbits
      - Thermal, Radiation, MMOD
  - Orbit maintenance costs
  - Best halo for Earth communication and visibility
  - Best halo for Lunar South
     Pole visibility
  - Science considerations in L2 Halo Orbits
  - Excursions to LLO or alternate Halo Orbits





### LEO to Earth-Moon L2 Direct and Flyby – Example Cases





### LEO to Earth-Moon L2 Direct and Flyby – Example Cases





### Earth Orbit to EM-L2 – Minimized Arrival ∆V



#### **Comments**

٠

Other low energy trajectory types (lunar flyby, lunar/earth flyby)



Earth

Lunar Orbit

Earth Departure

 $\Delta V = 3195 \, m/s$ 



### Low Energy Trajectory Design Example

### Low Energy Transfer Option

- Invariant manifolds (stable and unstable) lead into and out of L1 & L2
- Connect manifolds to construct low energy transfers to halo or other periodic orbits
- Can use this technique to generate trajectories from Earth to halo orbits in the Earth-Moon (as well as Sun-Earth) system with an extremely small arrival ΔV requirement
- Earth departure ∆V can be slightly higher than crewed direct or lunar flyby trajectory
- Opens selection to low ΔV capability buses
- Longer trip time uncrewed flights only
- May be limited opportunities



### **Orbit Maintenance for Halo Orbit Families**

- Station keeping at L2 for 1 year can be as low as <5-10 m/s/year -Near-rectilinear halo orbit
- Type of Halo Orbit impacts costs can increase to <25-30 m/s/year



Station Keeping for 1 year <sup>*</sup>						
Orbit Type	Libration	Period	No. of	Avg. time between	Avg. DV	Total DV
	Point	(days)	Maneuvers	maneuvers (days)	(m/s)	(m/s)
Near-rectilinear halo	L2	7	86	4.2	0.057	4.82
Near-rectilinear halo	L2	8	55	6.4	0.086	4.69
Near-rectilinear halo	L2	8	55	6.4	0.101	5.54

No. of

Maneuvers

156

60

Values are representative

Libration

Point

L2

L1

Period

(days)

14

12



\*Multibody Orbit Architectures for Lunar South Pole Coverage. D. Grebow, M. Ozimek and K. Howell

**Orbit Type** 

Halo

Halo

#### JSC/EG5/Flight Mechanics and Trajectory Design Branch



Courtesy: Jeff Parker, JPL

# ❑ Station keep ∆V depends upon:

- Control law
- Maneuver execution error
- Navigation Orbit Determination (OD)

With a good control law, navigation accuracy and execution precision will dominate the station keeping ∆V cost

### □ Assumptions

- 24 maneuvers over 12 revs (~2 maneuvers / rev)
- □ Reference EM-L2 halo z-amplitude = 10 km





### Earth-Moon L2 Halo Orbit Rendezvous

- The EM-L2 Halo rendezvous mission begins at Earth launch.
- The MPCV can be launched daily to target the EM-L2 halo itself
- There exists an optimal LEO to EM-L2 halo insertion location that occurs once during the halo period (around 14 days).
- □ Launching at a time designed to insert the MPCV onto the halo at this time will provide a minimum  $\Delta V$  requirement.
- ❑ Launching at a time away from this optimal time will incur additional MPCV ∆V cost.
- MPCV inserts onto EM-L2 halo at a selected offset distance.
- MPCV later performs maneuver(s) to close this distance, ultimately docking with the Waypoint Spacecraft (WSC)





#### Assumptions

- Target s/c in halo orbit about L2
- Chaser s/c inserts onto (target) halo, trailing by 10 km
- Chaser executes a 2-manuever sequence to close the distance
- Chaser burn 1 maneuver closes distance between Chaser and target over a selected duration





- Vary the departure epoch (Earth departure maneuver is delayed) from the nominal (minimum Δv) mission.
- □ For the nominal mission, the WSC is at the optimal insertion offset point at the optimal (minimum DV) MPCV insertion time.
  - The nominal mission assumes zero launch delay.
- Rendezvous occurs at a new location to minimize Δv and limit the flight time to 9 days.

The x-axis in the plots are days past the nominal TLI.



# Effect of Launch Delays on MPCV $\Delta V$ Requirement Results





# Effect of Launch Delays on MPCV $\Delta V$ Requirement Results



### Departure



# Effect of Launch Delays on MPCV $\Delta V$ Requirement Results

- □ In this plot,  $\tau$  is really (180 α), since we are using real (not osculating) halo orbits.
- α is the insertion right ascension (in the Earth-Moon rotating frame, centered at the L2 point).



Х

L2



# Effect of Launch Delays on MPCV $\triangle V$ Requirement Summary

- It turns out that freeing the Moon flyby altitude and flyby maneuver true anomaly constraints result in significant savings for the worst off-nominal cases.
  - About 75 m/s for the +4 day case
  - The worst-case additional  $\Delta V$  cost is 165 m/s (for a 6-day delay)
- This analysis does not account for delays in LEO after launch (it is assumed that TLI is always performed in the optimal LEO for the departure epoch).
- □ There may be cases where a multiple-maneuver insertion sequence may reduce the cost [haven't looked at this yet].
- This analysis also assumes that the WSC is a totally passive vehicle. A further study could assume that it is capable of also performing maneuvers to produce a more favorable alignment.









## **Aborts**

□ For most cases, the flyby departure will be cheaper (but there are cases where a direct return is cheaper).





### Maneuver between L2 and L1 Halo orbits – ARTEMIS Mission Example –

#### **ARTEMIS Mission**

- Spacecraft "P1" reached vicinity of L2 Aug. 2010, "P2" reached L1 Oct. 2010
- Frequent orbit maintenance (every week) required, but maneuvers were small equivalent to <100 m/s/year</li>
- P1 maneuvered from L2 to L1 Jan 2011 – ΔV negligible, 10-day transfer
- P1 maneuvered (90 m/s) from L1 "lissajous" to lunar orbit June 2011, P2 joined it in July (120 m/s)



	Time at L2	L2-L1 Transfer	Time at L1
P1	131 days	10 days	154 days
P2	-	-	255 days



# **END PRESENTATION**



# BACKUP



### **Earth-Moon Libration Point Mission Design and Performance**

# L2 TO MARS







### Compare to direct transfer from L2



Earth\_to\_Mars\_with\_Lunar\_flyby\_v6+direct.ideck



### Natural Environments at Earth-Moon L2 Ionizing Radiation

- Major sources of radiation
  - o Galactic Cosmic Rays
  - o Solar Particle Events
  - o Magnetosphere
- Shielding Strategy required to protect crew.
  - Mission duration and shielding strategy determine risk
  - Short Duration free space missions (<30 days) can be conducted within current NASA Standards and risk models
- Spacecraft hardware assessment required to ensure surface charging and ionizing radiation levels at L2 are within existing hardware certification levels.





### Natural Environments at Earth-Moon L2 Thermal & Micro-Meteoroid

### • Thermal

- Thermal environment ranges from 70 230 Kelvin depending on exposure to the sun
- o Thermal environment not considered an architectural driver for L2 missions



#### • MMOD

- Man made orbital debris not a major factor at L2 / L2 Halos, tends to "wash out" of location / orbit
- Meteoroid risk is influenced by Earth focusing (gravitational) factor and Earth shadowing while in Earth orbit
  - Meteoroid risk far from Earth is typically less compared to meteoroid risk in LEO
- o MMOD environment not considered an architectural driver for L2 missions.



### Earth-Moon L2 Halo Orbit Rendezvous – Example Methodology –





### Earth Visibility from Halo Orbit – Communications –

#### Assumptions

- Visibility based on ability to view at least one of three DSN sites from the spacecraft on the halo
- There are North and South halo orbit families

### Observations

- Visibility to Earth is affected by halo orbit amplitude (94%+)
- Visibility to Earth is essentially independent of distance from the Earth





### Earth-Moon L2 Halo Orbit Rendezvous – Example Methodology –







## **Abort Assessments**





□ For most cases, the flyby abort will be cheaper (but there are cases where a direct return is cheaper).





### LEO to Earth-Moon L1 & L2 Direct and Flyby – Example Cases




# ASTEROID UTILIZATION MISSION

Status 1



Jacob Williams / ERC/ESCG / jacob.williams@escg.jacobs.com Jerry Condon / JSC/EG5 / gerald.l.condon@nasa.gov

## Contributors



- Jerry Condon JS
- Jacob Williams
- David Lee

JSC/EG5 JSC/ESCG JSC/EG5 Integrated Performance Lead Trajectory/Performance Asteroid Capture - Consult



## 1. DRO Tutorial

### 2. MPCV Transfer Example Cases

3. Overview of Trade Studies to Assess MPCV Accessibility to the Asteroid Targets



# **DRO** Tutorial





### Background

- A <u>Distant Retrograde Orbit</u> (DRO) is another family of stable orbits found in the circular restricted three-body problem (CRTBP).
- In the Earth-Moon rotating frame, they look like circular to elliptical orbits around the Moon (with the Moon at the center of the orbit).
- DROs orbit the moon in a retrograde direction from moon's orbit/rotation.
- Some DROs are very stable over long periods of time (>100 years) with no orbit maintenance, even with a "real" force model.



# DROs In The CR3BP

- DRO shapes transition with increases in altitude
  - Nearly circular near the Moon
  - Transitioning to an elliptical shape
  - Then becomes more kidney-shaped
  - Then becomes more cardioid shaped
- In a "real" system, 50,000 to 70,000 km altitudes are stable for > 100 years
  - Additional work required to determine stability of higher altitudes



(Circular Restricted Three-Body Problem)



## Example DRO's (Real Force Model)





#### Example DRO's: Inertial Frame (Moon-Centered)





J2000 Inertial Frame (Moon-Centered)

#### Example DRO's: Inertial Frame (Earth-Centered)

- From an Earth perspective, a DRO will reside in the general vicinity of the moon and so a spacecraft in a DRO will orbit Earth about every 28 days
- A spacecraft in a DRO will have the same approximate position, rotational velocity, and inclination as that of the moon







- "Lunar" DROs cycle in the vicinity of the moon with a range of altitudes
- DROs are generally stable (particularly lower altitude DROs)
- They can propagate for many years (some cases > 100 years) without maintenance
- In an Earth-Moon rotating frame, DROs are a quasiperiodic orbit (circle, ellipse, kidney – shaped)
- From Earth perspective, DROs approximately follow the lunar orbit motion



# Round-Trip MPCV Mission TO DRO (Example Cases)





- This assessment contains a round-trip MPCV mission to a distant retrograde orbit (DRO) around the Moon.
  - In support of a rendezvous mission with a preemplaced asteroid
- This is a feasibility assessment (i.e. just a single case) and not a trade study.
  - It is not a best or worst case.
  - Mission performance requirements and opportunities would be better revealed by trade studies

#### Assumptions

NASA

- Impulsive (Δv) maneuvers (optimized)
- DRO size (x-axis crossing distance) = 62,000 km
- Outbound:
  - iCPS MECO state (see next slide)
  - iCPS Capability:
    - Total Δv: 2,900 m/s
    - 40.77 m/s used for the PRM (raises perigee from 40.7 to 185 km, with an 1806 km apogee)
    - Estimate about 80 m/s gravity for losses,
    - So, the impulsive limit for TLI is 2,779.23 m/s
  - iCPS Earth departure maneuver, followed 30 seconds later by an MPCV departure maneuver (if necessary to complete TLI)
  - Powered lunar flyby (minimum 100 km altitude)
- Inbound:
  - Direct return (no flyby)
  - El Altitude: 121.92 km
  - EI FPA: -5.86 deg
- Total mission duration ≤ 21 days (optimized)
- At least one day stay in the DRO (optimized)

### Assumptions: MECO State

# NASA

#### MECO State (EPM\_OF\_DATE Frame, Earth-centered)

- Radius magnitude (km): 6535.55695654
- Longitude (deg): -66.5737779
- Geocentric latitude (deg): 27.5046213
- Velocity magnitude (km/s): 8.20892236
- Geocentric azimuth (deg): 97.76124785
- Geocentric flight path angle (deg): 3.46249997



Source: 080212 Mass properties for the L2 Waypoint analysis\_Gutkowski.xlsx

#### Assumptions: Earth Departure





Example: MECO at 2017-Dec-12 08:14:14 TDB hybrid\_8x8\_20171122\_240030\_base.ideck

- Fixed flight time from MECO to apoapsis (44 min).
- Fixed maneuver to raise periapsis to 185 km altitude (40.8 m/s).
  - The 185 km periapsis is the actual propagated value (not osculating).
  - 8x8 GGM02C Earth gravity model
- Optimized coast to the Earth departure maneuver.
- Finite Burn Earth departure maneuver with optimized control law and burn duration:
  - VUW Frame
  - $\alpha, \dot{lpha}, eta, \dot{eta}$  SOC control law

## Results (Impulsive Only)





## Phasing

- NASA
- Assume the asteroid is at the location of the optimal insertion on previous slide (the 2021-Jul-19 departure epoch).
- Subsequent opportunity (next month) will have a higher cost due to the nonoptimal phasing (assuming the asteroid cannot be moved once placed in orbit)
- Trade studies will be necessary to assess asteroid accessibility over time for different sized DROs and asteroid insertion phase.

Departure Epoch	MPCV Cost (m/s)	Stay Time (days)	Total Mission Time (days)	Asteroid at arrival
2021-Jul-19 15:59:17	941.5	2.49	18.49	
2021-Aug-14 12:01:35	1,209.7	4.94	16.58	Asteroid at arrival

### Delayed DRO Departure

- NASA
- Using the nominal case (MECO Epoch: 2021-Jul-19), delay the departure from the asteroid and re-optimized the Earth return.
  - Direct return.
  - The EI constraint remains altitude and flight path angle only.



#### **Delayed DRO Departure**



# Orion Performance to Asteroid Rendezvous Targets

### Asteroid Storage Orbits



Currently monitoring JPL assessment of possible asteroid storage orbits



Current JPL primary ARM Earth return target:

- DRO
- TBD altitude
  > 60,000 km

Secondary JPL ARM Target:

Earth-Moon L2

Reference: Strange, N., "Lunar Storage Orbits", JPL Presentation, 1/25/13

## Trade Space – Performance

#### DRO Performance

- Parameters\*:
  - Epoch range: 2021 2025
  - DRO Altitude
    - 60,000, 70,000, 80,000 km
    - Based on orbit lifetime. Shorter lifetimes not considered, currently.
  - Mission time
    - 84 total crew days available
    - Assess mission times for crews of 2, 3, 4
  - Stay time at the asteroid
  - Direct vs LGA, Outbound/Inbound
    - Current study: LGA outbound with Direct inbound
  - Earth return targets: Altitude/FPA vs Entry Target-line

\* Parameter sets are similar for lunar orbit and libration point targets. For an EML2H target, the "altitude" variation can be substituted with an "amplitude" of the halo.



## Trade Space – Performance



#### DRO Abort Performance

- Assessment of Earth return performance cost along outbound (Earth to Asteroid orbit) trajectory
  - Possibility of inclusion of a free-return on outbound
- Assess aborts for Direct vs LGA (outbound and inbound) combinations
- Assess MPCV mission opportunities
  - Matching DRO orbit to resonate with lunar orbit
    - MPCV performance to DRO; Orbit lifetime

## Trade Space – DRO Performance Output



- Mission DV/propellant
- Frequency of opportunities
- Increased opportunities for reduced crew
- Launch windows
- Aborts
- Cargo missions?



### **Future Work**



#### Conduct MPCV Trades

- Performance cost for varying DRO characteristics
- Mission opportunities to DRO
- Mission duration vs. cost (for > 21 day max MPCV active life)
- Launch window for DRO mission
- Develop MPCV DRO rendezvous
  - Far-field (and proximity operations)
- Orbit lifetime
- Aborts
- Continue to assess MPCV performance to alternative asteroid storage orbits

#### Acronyms



- DRO Distant Retrograde Orbits
- FPA Flight Path Angle
- FPR Flight Performance Reserve
- iCPS interim Cryogenic Propulsion Stage
- LGA Lunar Gravity Assist
- MPCV Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle
- OM Orbit Maintenance
- PRM Perigee Raise Maneuver
- TCM Trajectory Correction Maneuver
- SLS Space Launch System



## Backup



# **Round-Trip MPCV** Mission To Libration Point (Example Cases)

#### 1. EM-L2 Halo $\rightarrow$ EM-L2 Halo



#### <u>MPCV</u>

- LEO Orbit: 185x1806 km, Incl. = 28.5 deg
- Earth Departure Δv: 2741 m/s
- Earth to L2 Halo transfer time: 8.6 days
- Flyby Δv: 228 m/s
- L2 Halo insertion Δv: 112 m/s
- L2 halo orbit A<sub>z</sub>: 10,000 km
- Rendezvous = 1.5 days

#### WSC+MPCV

- Stay in L2 Halo orbit: 2.3 days
- Transfer from L2 halo to L2 halo 4.7 days
- Transfer Δv: 16 m/s
- L2 halo orbit A<sub>z</sub>: 12,000 km
- Stay in L2 Halo orbit: 5.7 days <u>MPCV</u>
- Earth return Δv: 944 m/s
- Return time: 5.9 days (direct)





#### Halo orbit test cases with Copernicus

Jacob Williams, ESCG, 11/4/2011

	LEO to L2 Halo		LEO to L2			LEO to L1 Halo		LEO to L1
Maneuver	direct	flyby	direct	flyby		direct		direct
LEO DV	3.172	3.155	3.171	3.156		3.140		3.137
Lunar Periapsis DV	0.000	0.175	0.000	0.186		0.000		0.000
Capture DV	0.958	0.109	1.108	0.148		0.606		0.717
Total DV (km/s)	4.130	3.438	4.278	3.490		3.746		3.855
Transfer Time (days)	6.29	8.35	6.13	8.53		3.94		3.76



#### Assumptions

Circularized Moon Orbit at 2011-Jan-1 00:00:00 Objective function is sum of Delta-V's

LEO Departure Orbit	
SMA	6478 km
ECC	0
INC	28.5 deg

#### L1 Halo orbit

Max. Amplitude in x-axis	6537 km
Max. Amplitude in y-axis	23445 km
Max. Amplitude in z-axis	10000 km
Orbit Period	12 day

#### L2 Halo orbit

Max. Amplitude in x-axis	11904 km
Max. Amplitude in y-axis	34672 km
Max. Amplitude in z-axis	10000 km
Orbit Period	14 day

### **General Vehicle Assumptions**

#### MPCV

- Mass = 24092.6 kg
- Usable propellant (after removal of FPR, TCM, ACS, OMs, Sep. mnvrs) = 8086 kg
- Isp = 315.1 sec
- Thrust = 6,000 lb (26,689.3 newton)
- Delta-V capability = 1340 m/s (usable, translational)
- T/W<sub>initial</sub> = 0.113

#### iCPS (Current Configuration)

- Mass<sub>MECO</sub> = 55,773 kg
- Mass<sub>Earth\_Departure</sub> = 54,649.4 kg
- Usable propellant<sub>Earth\_Departure+PRM</sub> = 25,902.6 kg
- Isp = 460.296 sec
- Thrust<sub>Earth Departure</sub> = 110,897.4 N (24,930.7 lb.)
- Earth Departure delta-V = 2859 m/s
- $T/W_{initial} = 0.207$
- iCPS (18" Extension Stretched Configuration)
  - Mass<sub>MECO</sub> = 58,313.3 kg (includes 24,092.6 kg MPCV)
    Mass<sub>Earth Departure+PRM</sub> = 57,170.3 kg (includes 24,092.6 kg MPCV)
    - lsp = 462.746 sec
    - Thrust<sub>Earth\_Departure</sub> = 110,173.6 N (24,768 lb.)
    - Earth Departure delta-V =2890 m/s
    - T/W<sub>initial</sub> = 0.198

(includes 24,092.6 kg MPCV) (includes 24,092.6 kg MPCV)

(2900 iCPS DV – 41 m/s PRM)



# Two-Body Energy vs. DRO Size





### Example DRO's: Inertial Frame (Earth-Centered)





#### Example DRO's: Inertial Frame (Earth-Centered)



J2000 Inertial Frame (Earth-Centered)



#### Example Mission – Performance Summary



#### Example cases only – not for vehicle sizing

Departure epoch: August 15, 2021

LEO altitude = 185 x 185 km

- DRO altitude = 60,000 km
- Halo orbit amplitudes are optimized
- Flight times are optimized

All impulsive solutions

Mission Type	Approximate	LEO Departure	EML2	Lunar Flyby	DRO Arrival	DRO	LLO Arrival	LLO Departure	EML2 Arrival	Flight	Comments
wiission type	Departure Epoch	DV	Departure DV	DV	DV	Departure DV	DV	DV	DV	Time	conments
		(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(days)	
LEO to DRO Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021	3152			702					9.3	RAAN solution 1
LEO to DRO Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021	3161			801					11.8	RAAN solution 2
LEO to DRO Transfer (Flyby)	August 15, 2021	3134		182	167					8.1	
LEO to DRO Transfer (Flyby)	August 15, 2021	3134		188	171					8.0	
DRO to LLO Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021					108	653			5.5	
LLO to DRO Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021				107			653		5.5	
DRO to EML2 Halo Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021					19			358	5.3	Halo Az = 2,000 km. Opt. Halo alt., Opt. Flt. Time.
DRO to EML2 Halo Transfer (Flyby)	August 15, 2021			146		90			6.9	41.6	Halo Az = 2,000 km. Opt. Halo alt., Opt. Flt. Time.
EML2 Halo to DRO Transfer (Direct)	August 15, 2021		326		28					6.0	Halo Az = 2,000 km. Opt. Halo alt., Opt. Flt. Time.
EML2 Halo to DRO Transfer (Flyby)	August 15, 2021		14	41	112					21.5	Halo Az = 2,000 km. Opt. Halo alt., Opt. Flt. Time.
DRO to Earth El (Direct)	August 15, 2021					631				5.7	Free Az El
DRO to Earth El (Flyby)	August 15, 2021			171		94				32.0	Free Az El

## **DRO Mission Design and Performance**



- DROs are stable; No orbit maintenance required
- "Lunar" DROs cycle in the vicinity of the moon with a range of altitudes
- Visibility from Earth can be designed such that it doesn't cross disc of moon
- Possible short solar eclipsing
- DROs, being stable, do require a delta-V for insertion and departure (19 801 m/s in the examples provided). No manifold for insertion/departure.
- Current orbit maintenance delta-V budget for Gateway mission is 20 m/s/year
  - Note: Artemis robotic mission in a Lissajous orbit used ~7 m/s/year
- Performance (note: based on single cases, single epochs)
  - Cost from LEO to DRO appears similar to LEO to EML2H w/ flyby ~350 m/s range
  - Cost from LEO to DRO appears a bit cheaper than LEO to EML2H direct
    - DRO: 801 m/s (direct); EML2H: 957 m/s (direct)
- Higher cost to go to moon's orbit (parabolic approach vs. EM-L2)
  - ~761 m/s DRO (~60,000 km) vs ~640 m/s EML2
    - DRO DVs: 108 m/s departure, 653 m/s LLO arrival
    - Note: DROs are stable, so will always have departure  $\Delta v$ , unlike EML2H

## Discussion / Recommendations



- Possible use as a "holding pen"
  - For example: They could serve as a long term stable holding area for a returning Mars sample return (to address back contamination issues)
- Recommendation: With a reasonably small orbit maintenance delta-V, there appears to be no significant benefit to DRO for Gateway type missions
  - Further, the stability of the DROs can result in additional mission delta-V cost.


## **Example Trajectories**



- A few example transfers to and from a DRO are shown here.
- Selected a 60,000 km DRO (which passes near both the Earth-Moon L1 and L2 libration points).
- Epoch is in the vicinity of August 15, 2021.
- Not meant to be a comprehensive performance study.

#### Example LEO to DRO Transfer (Direct)





- b<sub>DRO</sub> = 60,000 km
- LEO Departure epoch: Aug-15-2021
- LEO Departure orbit: 185 km, circular, 28.5 deg inclination (optimized RAAN and TA)
- Optimized flight times and maneuvers
- LEO Departure: 3,152 m/s
- DRO Arrival: 702 m/s
- Flight Time: 9.25 days



J2000 Inertial Frame

#### Example LEO to DRO Transfer (Direct)





#### Example LEO to DRO Transfer (Flyby)





#### Example LEO to DRO Transfer (Flyby)





#### Example DRO to LLO Transfer





#### Example L2 Halo to DRO Transfer (Flyby)





#### Example DRO to L2 Halo Transfer (Direct)





#### Example DRO to L2 Halo Transfer (Flyby)



Total:

244

m/s



dro\_to\_l2halo\_flyby\_2.ideck

J2000 Inertial Frame

LDRO (60,000 km)

#### Example DRO to EI (Direct)





dro\_to\_ei\_free\_az.ideck

- b<sub>DRO</sub> = 60,000 km
- DRO departure epoch: ~ Aug-15-2021
- El Altitude: 121.9 km
- EI FPA: -5.86 deg
- DRO Departure: 631 m/s
- Flight Time: 5.7 days
- Entry velocity: 10.99 km/s



J2000 Inertial Frame

#### Example DRO to EI (Flyby)





#### **Families of Periodic Orbits**





#### Computing DRO's



- Only considering planar-DRO's here (in the Earth-Moon plane)
- Using Copernicus (latest development build)
  - Force Model: Earth, Moon, Sun
  - SNOPT optimizer
  - DDEABM (Adams) integration method (1e-11 tolerance)

#### Optimization Problem

- Optimization variables:  $\Delta t$  and  $v_v$
- r<sub>x</sub> coordinate specified ("semiminor axis" b)
- Target v<sub>x</sub>=0 at next x-axis crossing (r<sub>y</sub>=0) [repeat for a couple periods]



#### References



- R.A. Broucke, "Periodic Orbits in the Restricted Three-Body Problem with Earth-Moon Masses", JPL Technical Report 32-1168, 1968.
- M. Hénon, "Numerical Exploration of the Restricted Problem V. Hill's Case: Periodic Orbits and Their Stability" Astronomy & Astrophysics, Vol. 1, 223-238, 1969
- T. Lam, G.J. Whiffen, "Exploration of Distant Retrograde Orbits Around Europa", AAS 05-110, 2005.
- A.N. Hirani, R.P. Russell, "Approximations of Distant Retrograde Orbits for Mission Design", AAS 06-116, 2006.
- J. Demeyer, P. Gurfil, "Transfer to Distant Retrograde Orbits Using Manifold Theory", Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol 30., No. 5, Sept-Oct 2007.

# LUNAR MISSION TUTORIAL Part 1 – Lunar Orbit Mechanics



Developed by EG/Aeroscience and Flight Mechanics Division Johnson Space Center

#### **Participants**



Jerry Condon JSC/EG5 Tim Dawn JSC/EG5 Dick Ramsell JSC/EG5 Juan Senent Univ. of Texas at Austin Carlos Westhelle JSC/EG5 Sam Wilson JSC/EG5





On January 14, 2004, President Bush announced a new vision for NASA

- Extend human presence across the solar system, starting with a human return to the Moon by the year 2020, in preparation for human exploration of Mars and other destinations;
- □ Key Elements of New Space Policy
  - Begin robotic missions to the Moon by 2008, followed by a period of evaluating lunar resources and technologies for exploration.
  - Begin human expeditions to the Moon in the 2015 2020 timeframe.







This presentation provides a tutorial of lunar astrodynamic characteristics

It addresses orbital mechanics as it applies to a human lunar mission design





#### Earth-Moon System

- Lunar Inclination
- Lunar Libration
- Earth to Moon (Outbound)
  - Geocentric Characteristics
  - Selenocentric Characteristics
- Lunar Orbit
- Moon to Earth (Inbound)
- Libration Points
- Environment





#### **Moon and Earth Facts**





Comparison	Moon	Earth	% of Earth
Mass (kg)	7.3483x10 <sup>22</sup>	5.9742x10 <sup>24</sup>	1.23
Volume (km <sup>3</sup> )	2.1958x10 <sup>10</sup>	1.0832x10 <sup>12</sup>	2.03
Equatorial radius (km)	1738.1	6378.1	27.25
Polar radius (km)	1736.0	6356.8	27.31
Ellipticity (Flattening)	0.0012	0.00335	36.0
Mean density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	3350	5515	60.7
Surface gravity (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	1.62	9.80	16.5
Escape velocity (km/s)	2.38	11.2	21.3
Gravitational Parameter (km <sup>3</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> )	4.902x10 <sup>3</sup>	3.986x10⁵	1.23
J2 (effects of nonspherical/homogenous body)	202.7x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1082.63x10 <sup>-6</sup>	18.7









Parameter	Moon				
Semimajor axis (km)	384,400				
Perigee (km)	363,300				
Apogee (km)	405,500				
<b>Revolution Period (days)</b>	27.3217				
Synodic Period (days)	29.53				
Mean Orbital Velocity (km/s)	1.023				
Max. Orbital Velocity (km/s)	1.076				
Min. Orbital Velocity (km/s)	0.964				
Inclination to Ecliptic (deg)	5.145				
Inclination to Equator (deg)	18.28-28.58				
Orbit Eccentricity	0.0549				
Sidereal Rotation Period (days)	27.32				
Obliquity to orbit (deg)	6.68				
Recession rate from Earth (cm/yr)	3.8				
Mean Values					
Distance from Earth (km)	384,467				
Apparent diameter (seconds of arc)	1,864.2				
Apparent visual magnitude	-12.74				





#### **Lunar Mission History**



#### Moon

Spacecraft	Coun	Launch	Arrival	Landing Site	Comment
Luna 2	USSR	12 Sep 59	Sep 14 59	29.10N 0.0	Impact - Palus Putredinis
Ranger 4	USA	23 Apr 62	Apr 26 62	15.5S 130.7W	Impact - Far Side
Ranger 6	USA	30 Jan 64	Feb 2 64	M Tranquilit.	Impact - Mare Tranquilitatis
Ranger 7	USA	28 Jul 64	Jul 31 64	10.35S 21.58W	Impact - Mare Cognitum
Ranger 8	USA	17 Feb 65	Feb 20 65	2.67N 24.65E	Impact - Mare Tranquilitatis
Ranger 9	USA	21 Mar 65	Mar 24 65	12.83S 2.37W	Impact - Alphonsus crater
Luna 5	USSR	9 May 65	May 65	31S 8E	Crash (S/L attempt) - Mare Nubium
Luna 7	USSR	4 Oct 65	Oct 65	9N 40W	Crash (S/L attempt) - Oceanus Procellarum
Luna 8	USSR	3 Dec 65	Dec 65	9:08N 63:18W	Crash (S/L attempt) - Oceanus Procellarum
Luna 9	USSR	31 Jan 66	Feb 3 66	7:08N 64:33W	Softlanding - Oceanus Procellarum
Surveyor 1	USA	30 May 66	Jun 2 66	2:275 43:13W	Softlanding - Flamsteed P
Lunar O. 1	USA	10 Aug 66	Oct 29 66	7N 161E	Impact (Far side) after successful orbiter mission
Surveyor 2	USA	20 Sep 66	Sep 22 66	S Copernicus	Crash (S/L attempt)
Lunar O. 2	USA	6 Nov 66	Oct 11 67	3N 119.1E	Impact (Far side) after successful orbiter mission
Luna 13	USSR	21 Dec 66	Dec 24 66	18:52N 62:03W	Softlanding - Oceanus Procellarum
Lunar O. 3	USA	5 Feb 67	Oct 10 67	14.32N 92.7W	Impact (Far side) after successful orbiter mission
Surveyor 3	USA	17 Apr 67	Apr 20 67	2:56S 23:20W	S/L; Apollo 12 visit - Oceanus Procellarum
Lunar O. 4	USA	4 May 67	Oct 31 67	? 22-30W	Impact after successful orbiter mission
Surveyor 4	USA	14 Jul 67	Jul 17 67	0:26N 1:20W	Crash (S/L attempt)
Lunar O. 5	USA	1 Aug 67	Jan 31 68	2.795 83₩	Impact after successful orbiter mission
Surveyor 5	USA	8 Sep 67	Sep 11 67	1:25N 22:15E	Softlanding
Surveyor 6	USA	6 Nov 67	Nov 10 67	0:25N 1:20W	Softlanding
Surveyor 7	USA	7 Jan 68	Jan 10 68	40:53S 11:26W	S/L rim of Tycho
Luna 15	USSR	13 Jul 69	Jul 21 69	17N 60E	Crash (during Apollo 11) - Mare Crisium
Apollo 11	USA	16 Jul 69	Jul 20 69	0:40N 23:29E	Manned S/L - Mare Tranquilitatis
Apollo 12	USA	14 Nov 69	Nov 19 69	3:025 23:24W	Manned S/L, near Surveyor 3 - Oceanus Procellarum
Luna 16	USSR	12 Sep 70	Sep 20 70	0:41S 56:18E	S/L, sample return - Mare Fecunditatis
Luna 17	USSR	10 Nov 70	Nov 17 70	38:18N 35W	S/L, Lunochod 1 rover - Mare Imbrium
Apollo 14	USA	31 Jan 71	Feb 571	3:35S 17:22W	Manned S/L - Fra Mauro
Apollo 15	USA	26 Jul 71	Jul 30 71	26:05N 3:39E	Manned S/L, rover - Hadley Rille
Luna 18	USSR	2 Sep 71	Sep 71	3:34N 56:30E	Crash (sample return attempt) - Mare Fecunditatis
Luna 20	USSR	14 Feb 72	Feb 21 72	3:32N 56:33E	S/L, sample return - Mare Fecunditatis
Apollo 16	USA	16 Apr 72	Apr 20 72	8:59S 15:31E	Manned S/L, rover - Descartes
Apollo 17	USA	7 Dec 72	Dec 11 72	20:10N 30:45E	Manned S/L, rover - Taurus-Littrow
Luna 21	USSR	8 Jan 73	Jan 15 73	25:54N 30:30E	S/L, Lunochod 2 rover - LeMonnier Crater
Luna 23	USSR	28 Oct 74	Nov 74	12:41N 62:18E	S/L, sample return attempt failed - Mare Crisium
Luna 24	USSR	9 Aug 76	Aug 14 76	12:45N 62:12E	S/L, sample return - Mare Crisium
Prospector	USA	6 Jan 98	Jul 31 99	South Pole	Crash after successful orbiter mission



### **Tidal Locking**



The Moon pulls on Earth with a force that varies from point to point, thereby causing tidal bulges that follow the terrestrial sublunar point and its antipode. In the very long term, the friction caused by the attendant flow of ocean water slows the Earth's rotation rate.





Similarly, Earth pulling on the Moon over many millennia caused a tidal bulge that has become frozen in place while concurrently putting the Moon into a state of gravity-gradient stabilization. This keeps it "tidally locked" so that it rotates at the same rate at which it revolves, showing the Earth only one face.







- Lunar inclination (with respect to the Earth equator) varies from minimum of 18.3° to a maximum 28.6° over a period of about 18.6 years
- □ The next maximum inclination: June 2006
- □ The next minimum inclination: October 2015
- The lunar inclination affects the geocentric lunar transfer orbit inclination, hence propulsion costs
  - Dependent upon launch scenario
    - Ground launched to immediate departure phasing orbit
    - Fixed Earth orbit departure



#### **Lunar Inclination**



- $\Box$  The Earth's equator is tilted 23.4° from the ecliptic plane
- $\Box$  The Moon's orbit is tilted 5.1° from the ecliptic plane
- $\Box$  The Moon's orbit rotates about the ecliptic north 360°
  - about every 18.6 years
    - In 2006, this results in a 28.5° lunar inclination to the Earth equator
- In 2015, this results in an 18.3° lunar inclination to the Earth equator Ecliptic North



#### **18 Year Lunar Inclination Cycle** Lunar Inclination wrt Earth Equator vs Date









# **Lunar Libration**





Lunar libration causes a variation in the lunar surface that faces Earth

- □Up to 59% of the lunar surface is visible from Earth (about 50% without libration)
- □ Libration occurs in both longitude (±8°) and latitude (±6.7°)
- Note: Lunar libration can cause points on the lunar surface to rotate in and out of view from Earth

#### **Lunar Libration and Phases**





- □ The Moon 'faces the Earth' as it rotates about the Earth
- □ The Moon maintains a 5.1° inclination to the ecliptic
- □ The Moon's rotational axis is inclined:
  - 1.6° from the ecliptic north
  - 6.7° from the angular momentum vector of the lunar orbit plane
- □ This results in an apparent latitude movement of about 6.7° (up and down) as viewed from Earth













- The Moon 'turns the same face to the Earth', so that its rotation about its axis is equal in period to the time for one orbit around the Earth
- The Moon's speed of rotation about its axis remains essentially constant as a consequence of the conservation of angular momentum
- The Moon has an elliptical orbit about the Earth, so the Moon speeds up near perigee and slows down near apogee in accordance with Kepler's laws
- The differences between the lunar rotation rate and the rotation rate of the Moon's velocity vector create an apparent back and forth (east-west) nodding of the Moon.




The differences between the lunar rotation rate and the rotation rate of the Moon's velocity vector create an apparent back and forth (east-west) nodding of the Moon.





**Percentage Viewing Over One Lunar Rotation** 





### Minimum 0° Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011



### **Percentage Viewing Over One Lunar Rotation**





### Minimum 5° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011









### Minimum 10° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011









### Minimum 15° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011







### Minimum 0° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011



### Percentage Viewing Over One Lunar Rotation





### Minimum 5° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011



### Percentage Viewing Over One Lunar Rotation





#### Minimum 10° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011



### Percentage Viewing Over One Lunar Rotation





### Minimum 15° Elevation Mask Angle at Moon Mar-2011





- □ Up to 59% of the lunar surface is visible from Earth (about 50% without libration)
- $\Box$  Libration occurs in both longitude (±8°) and latitude (±6.7°)
- Landing sites near the limb of the Moon (e.g., north and south poles and east and west limbs) may nod in and out of Earth view periodically with lunar rotation about Earth
  - Surface crew out of Earth communication (without bent-pipe satellite aid)
- Lunar terrain may exacerbate the Earth-viewing problem
  - A polar landing site in a valley would have Earth viewing further reduced
  - A polar landing site on a high hill may have continuous Earth view
  - Better lunar terrain models are needed\*

<sup>\*</sup> Currently, proposals exist to provide high-resolution lunar gravity mapping and improved lunar terrain models.





## Geocentric Transfer Characteristics





□ High thrust Earth-Moon transfer consists of two primary maneuvers: Earth orbit departure (EOD) and Lunar **Orbit Insertion (LOI)**  $\Box$  The  $\Delta V$  cost for EOD and LOI is about 3100 m/s and 900 m/s, respectively







### Earth Parking Orbit to Lunar Parking Orbit ΔV Cost vs. Flight Time





## Earth-Moon Transfer



- Earth orbit departure (EOD)
  - Tangential EOD
    - Non-coplanar, non-tangential thrusting has severe performance penalties

### **Departure Options:**

- 1. EOD after ground launch to a low Earth orbit (LEO) phasing orbit
  - Selectable departure plane
  - Daily launch/EOD opportunities
- EOD from pre-established LEO parking orbit (e.g., ISS, 28.5° construction orbit)
  - Fixed departure plane
  - EOD opportunities average every 9-10 days\*

\*The combination of the Moon's orbital motion (~13°/day) plus ISS nodal regression of ~5°/day results in ~18°/day relative movement between orbit plane and Moon or a coplanar EOD opportunity averaging about every 10 days. For a 28.5° orbit, EOD opportunities occur on the average about every 9 days.



## **Earth-Moon Transfer**







### **18 Year Lunar Inclination Cycle** Example: Departure from Fixed (ISS) Parking Orbit





### **18 Year Lunar Inclination Cycle** Example: Departure from Fixed (28.5°) Parking Orbit









## Best and worst case geocentric wedge angle as a function of maximum and minimum lunar orbit inclination

	28.5 <sup>°</sup> Depa	rture Orbit	51.6 <sup>°</sup> (ISS) Departure Orbit		
Lunar Inclination (w.r.t. Earth Equator)	18.3 <sup>°</sup> (Minimum)	28.6 <sup>°</sup> (Maximum)	18.3 <sup>°</sup> (Minimum)	28.6 <sup>°</sup> (Maximum)	
<u>Worst-Case</u> Geocentric Wedge Angle between Earth-Moon Transfer Orbit and Lunar Orbit Plane	46.8 <sup>°</sup>	57.1°	69.9 <sup>°</sup>	80.2 <sup>°</sup>	
Best-Case Geocentric Wedge Angle between Earth-Moon Transfer Orbit and Lunar Orbit Plane	10.2 <sup>°</sup>	0.0 <sup>°</sup>	33.3°	23.0 <sup>°</sup>	



## **Ground Launch Delta-V Cost**





### Earth Launch

100% Earth gravity; largest drag velocity losses

### Mars Launch

38% Earth gravity; reduced drag velocity losses

### □ Moon Launch

17% Earth gravity; no drag velocity losses



### Delta-V vs Geocentric Inclination for Earth to Moon Transfer



### Earth Parking Orbit to Lunar Parking Orbit Transfer ΔV vs. Geocentric Inclination w.r.t. Moon's Orbit Plane







- Ground launched lunar missions provide daily opportunities
- Lunar missions departing from an existing fixed orbit provide opportunities only about every 9 days for a 28.5° parking orbit or every 10 days for 51.6°
- □ The general ∆V cost for lunar missions is about 3100 m/s for Earth Orbit Departure and about 900 for Lunar Orbit Insertion





# Selenocentric Characteristics





A *high thrust* orbital transfer between the Earth and the Moon with the least fuel requirement traverses a central angle of 180° and has a tangential departure and arrival. This is known as a **Hohmann Transfer**.













- □ In the geocentric reference frame, a delta-velocity maneuver (△V₁) in low Earth orbit establishes a Moon intercept transfer ellipse trajectory
- After coasting from perigee to apogee (at lunar altitude) on the transfer ellipse, the spacecraft (s/c) encounters the Moon (V<sub>apogee</sub>)
- ❑ Since the apogee velocity of the transfer ellipse is slower than the circular lunar orbit velocity (V<sub>moon</sub>), the Moon overtakes the s/c
- $\Box$  The difference between  $V_{moon}$  and  $V_{apogee}$  (of the transfer ellipse) is the lunar approach vector known as  $V_{\infty}$
- □ The V<sub>∞</sub> is a measure of the energy per unit mass of a lunar approach hyperbolic trajectory











The incoming hyperbola  $(V_{\infty})$  can be adjusted at Earth departure (for a negligible  $\Delta V \cos t$ ) to poise the arriving spacecraft to perform lunar orbit insertion (LOI) into a posigrade or retrograde lunar parking orbit.

Minimum  $\triangle V$  LOI occurs at the closest approach to the planet (the periapse radius of the incoming hyperbola,  $R_{per}$ )







- □ In general, the hyperbolic V<sub>∞</sub> approach vector is the vector difference between the geocentric velocity of the spacecraft and Moon's geocentric velocity at the lunar encounter
- □ The V<sub>∞</sub> vector can be adjusted at Earth orbit departure, for a negligible  $\Delta V \cos t$ , to allow a coplanar LOI to any inclination (greater than or equal to the declination of the incoming V<sub>∞</sub> vector asymptote)
  - Inclinations lower than the declination of the lunar approach  $V_\infty$  vector can also be achieved, but with a required out-of-plane maneuver



### **Earth to Moon Transfer**









# Lunar Parking Orbits





- The magnitude of the lunar parking orbit inclination establishes a band of landing site latitudes (equal to the magnitude of the inclination)
  - Within this latitude band, coplanar descent and ascent are possible, given that the rendezvous orbit contains the landing site
  - For landing site latitude magnitudes greater than that of the rendezvous orbit inclination, the descent and ascent are noncoplanar
- □ For a given landing site within the latitude band, there are two ascent opportunities every lunar rotation cycle (about 27.3 days)
  - Exception: There is only one opportunity about every 27.3 days when the magnitude of the latitude of the landing site equals that of the rendezvous orbit inclination











- For a given lunar orbit inclination, a coplanar descent/ascent can be achieved to/from any landing site latitude magnitude that is equal to or less than that of the rendezvous orbit inclination
  - Landing can be achieved outside this range, but will be subject to a plane change penalty (i.e., non-coplanar descent or ascent)
- In general, nominal lunar descent and ascent are coplanar maneuvers
- Upon nominal landing, the spacecraft moves at a rate equal to the lunar rotation rate (about 360°/27.3 days)
- □ An unplanned ascent would require a plane change
  - The magnitude of the plane change will be dependent upon the time of the ascent







Lunar Rendezvous Altitude = 100x100 km



For any lunar orbit inclination, global lunar access dictates that there is a lunar landing site where a 90° plane change could be required

	ent/Ascent Plane Change for Global Lunar Surface Access							Worst-Ca
		Lunar Parking Orbit Inclination (deg)						
Reference	90	75	60	45	30	15	0	(deg)
Coplanar Lunar	90	75	60	45	30	15	0	0
Ascent Cost $\Delta V = 1850 \text{ m/s}$	75	90	75	60	45	30	15	15
	60	75	90	75	60	45	30	30
	45	60	75	90	75	60	45	45
	30	45	60	75	90	75	60	60
	15	30	45	60	75	90	75	75
Townst	0	15	30	45	60	75	90	90
100x100 km	SS	rface Acce	Lunar Su	for Global	nt ∆V Cost	cent/Asce	t-Case Des	Wors
Low Lunar Orbit	Plane Change $\Delta V$ (m/s)							Landing Site Latitude
	90	75	60	45	30	15	0	(deg)
<u>Note</u> : The ∆V cost of a 90° plane change is greater than the cost	2309	1988	1633	1250	845	426	0	0
	1988	2309	1988	1633	1250	845	426	15
	1633	1988	2309	1988	1633	1250	845	30
greater than the cost	1033					1000	4050	45
greater than the cost of a coplanar transfer from the lunar surface	1250	1633	1988	2309	1988	1633	1250	10
greater than the cost of a coplanar transfer from the lunar surface to a 100x100 km	1250 845	1633 1250	1988 1633	<b>2309</b> 1988	1988 2309	1633 1988	1250	60
greater than the cost of a coplanar transfer from the lunar surface to a 100x100 km parking orbit.	1250 845 426	1633 1250 845	1988 1633 1250	<b>2309</b> 1988 1633	1988 <b>2309</b> 1988	1633 1988 <b>2309</b>	1250 1633 1988	60 75


## **Lunar Orbit Stability**



- Low (circular) lunar orbit altitudes (<~1000 km) are less stable
  - Perturbations to orbit due to non-uniform seleno-potential
- Higher (circular) lunar orbit altitudes (>~5000 km) are less stable
  - Perturbations to orbit due to Earth and Sun gravity
- ❑ Lunar orbit altitudes in the ~1000 – 5000 km altitude range are more stable



# Earth Return





- □ Major influences on the Moon to Earth trajectory design
  - Lunar departure date
  - Moon to Earth flight time
  - Inclination of the transfer orbit from the Moon to Earth
- Earth Return Options
  - Direct Entry
    - Lighting conditions at landing depends on the Lunar departure date
    - Limited range of accessible latitudes varies with the departure date
    - Range of accessible longitudes varies with the Moon to Earth flight time
  - Intermediate Low Earth Orbit
    - Insert into orbit using either propulsion or aerobrake
    - Plane change may be required to insert into a specific orbit
    - Orbit inclination is greater than or equal to the landing site latitude
    - Loitering in orbit may be required to target a landing site
- □ Landing Site Location
  - Land landing
    - Requires both primary and alternate sites
    - Sites at various latitudes will be required for the direct entry option
  - Water landing
    - Requires ship fleet(s)





A direct (to surface) return as well as a return to a selectable LEO parking orbit is always available given adequate lunar departure capability

Return to a *fixed* Earth parking orbit requires proper orientation of that orbit











### Earth Return: Fixed Orbit Arrival





#### Effect of Lunar Parking Orbit Inclination on Lunar Transfer Opportunities -> Moon to Earth Transfer









For a given inbound (Earth return) trajectory, a variation in trip time provides some movement of the Earth arrival perigee location





## Earth Return: Earth Arrival







## Earth Return: Earth Arrival









Moon at Max. Inclination of 28.5°







- A return to a LEO parking orbit provides a landing latitude band equal to the magnitude of the arrival inclination
  - For example: Arrival into a 28.5° parking orbit provides a 57° latitude band (- 28.5° to + 28.5°)
- □ This latitude band covers 360° of longitude
- Any (land or water) landing site within the latitude band is accessible provided:
  - Adequate on-orbit loiter time (about 24 hours min.)
  - Adequate spacecraft cross-range capability



### Accessible Latitudes from Intermediate Low Earth Orbit



#### Intermediate Low Earth Orbit Inclination of 28.5°



#### Note: May require some loitering time for groundtrack to intersect with desired landing site





# **Libration Points**











# **Libration Points**



#### Possible staging point for robotic and human missions Lunar Gateway Mission for lunar sorties

Possible telescope (e.g. Webb Telescope, NGST) deploy/maintenance point

Minimal  $\Delta V$  transfer costs between Earth-Moon and Sun-Earth libration ė points





# Environment Considerations



## Radiation



Van Allen Radiation Belts

More ...

- Inner (proton) belt 1,000-12,000 km altitude
- Outer (electron) belt 19,000-57,000 km altitude
- □ Solar Flares
  - Can cause ionization damage and single-event effects in sensitive devices
  - Energetic protons reach Earth within 30 minutes
  - Other solar materials and magnetic fields reach Earth in 1 to 4 days
- □ Solar Flux
  - 9 to 13 year cycle
  - Proton energy range from 10 MeV to 1 GeV
- □ Galactic Cosmic Ray (GCR) Flux
  - Causes single-event effects in sensitive devices
  - Peaks around solar minimum
  - Particle energy up to and over 10 GeV











# End Part 1 Lunar Orbit Mechanics Tutorial





# Back Up Charts for: Part 1 Lunar Orbit Mechanics Tutorial



#### On-Orbit Plane Changes Earth and Moon









# Propellant to Initial Mass Ratio as a Function of $\Delta V$ and Specific Impulse





#### Declination of Moon At Arrival w.r.t. Fixed Departure Plane

Departure Planes: 51.6° ISS and 28.5° Construction Orbit Time = 0 at Jan 9, 2009, RAAN = 0°, Altitude = 407x407 km















Vis-Viva "Life Force" Equation is a statement of conservation of energy



Specific Mechanical Energy (total energy per 2 units of mass



Kinetic Energy

C3 determines the type of conic section describing the orbit.







From the general equation for a conic:



Typical form of Vis-Viva equation used by flight mechanics:

$$V^{2} = \frac{2\mu}{r} - \frac{\mu}{a} \quad (1) \qquad - \text{ or } - V^{2} = \frac{2\mu}{r} + C3 \quad (2)$$











<u>Circular orbit case</u> Using (1) for a circular orbit, a = r

$$V^{2} = \frac{2\mu}{r} - \frac{\mu}{r} = \frac{\mu}{r}$$
 (Circular orbit speed)

<u>Parabolic orbit case</u> Using (1) for a parabolic orbit,  $C3 = -\frac{\mu}{a} = 0$ 

$$V_{parabola}^2 = \frac{2\mu}{r}$$
 (Escape speed)











RODOTIC Mars M Mars S	<u>issions</u> ample Return			
<u>2011</u>	<u>C3 (km²/s²)</u> 9.8 12.5 17.7	<u>Type</u> II I IV	<u>Arrival Entry Speed (km/s)</u> 5.6 6.2 6.4	
<u>2013</u>	10.2 13.1 14.7	II I IV	5.9 6.7 5.9	
Human Mars Missions Mars Combo Lander 2014				
Lunar Missions	<u>&lt;</u> 10.0 0.9	na	<u>&lt;</u> 7.30 na	



#### Van Allen Radiation Belts - Trapped Proton Belt Dose Rate for Circular Orbits







#### Trapped Particle Trails Van Allen (Proton) Radiation Belt




## Estimate of 13-Month Smoothed Solar Flux for Cycle 23 and Cycle 24



## Solar and GCR



□ Solar Flares

- Can cause ionization damage and single-event effects in sensitive devices
- Energetic protons reach Earth within 30 minutes
- Other solar materials and magnetic fields reach Earth in 1 to 4 days
- Solar Flux
  - 9 to 13 year cycle
  - Proton energy range from 10 MeV to 1 GeV
- □ Galactic Cosmic Ray (GCR) Flux
  - Causes single-event effects in sensitive devices
  - Peaks around solar minimum
  - Particle energy range from 0 to over 10 GeV











## End of Part I Lunar Orbit Mechanics