

The Significance of Breakdown Voltages for Quality Assurance of Low-Voltage BME Ceramic Capacitors

Alexander Teverovsky

AS&D Inc.

(work performed for GSFC Parts, Packaging, and Assembly Technologies Office, Code 562)

Alexander.A.Teverovsky@nasa.gov

Base-Metal-Electrode (BME)

List of Acronyms and Symbols

AF	acceleration factor	R _d	resistance associated with defects
BME	base metal electrode	R _i	intrinsic resistance
С	capacitance	RSH	resistance to soldering heat
CVR	constant voltage ramp	S&Q	screening and qualification
DCL	direct current leakage	Т	temperature
DF	dissipation factor	Тс	Curie temperature
DWV	dielectric withstanding voltage	TS	thermal shock
HALT	highly accelerated life testing	TSD	terminal solder dip
HV	high voltage	TTF	time to failure
IR	insulation resistance	VBR	breakdown voltage
LV	low voltage	VBR ₇₅	third quartile of VBR distribution
MF	mechanical fracture	V ₀ ++	charged oxygen vacancy
MLCC	multilayer ceramic capacitor	VR	rated voltage
PME	precious metal electrode	X-sect	cross-section
r	charge absorption resistance	PDA	percent defective allowable

Outline

- What limits application of BME MLCCs in hi-rel electronics?
- Drawbacks of the existing system of quality assurance for MLCCs.
- Factors affecting VBR.
- Distributions of VBR and their use for quality assurance.
- Modeling of life testing.
- Flash testing for screening.
- Recommendations.
- Summary.





What Impedes Application of BME Capacitors in Hi-Rel Systems?

- It is assumed that reliability is limited by high concentration of V₀⁺⁺.
- The wear-out problem has been resolved by using new materials and processes. (Life testing (1000 hr, 2VR, 125 °C) is equivalent to thousands of years at 65 °C and 0.5 VR).
- Intrinsic wear-out failures caused by V₀⁺⁺ do not limit applications.
- Failures are caused by defects.



Factors impeding applications: (1) lead-free termination finishing;

(2) insufficient data on long-term reliability;

(3) the presence of defects introduced either during manufacturing or assembly and handling processes.

How effective the existing S&Q system for revealing defects in MLCCs?

What is in the Toolbox for S&Q?

□ Specified characteristics: C, DF, and IR.

- DF and IR have only max limit (unknown margin).
- VR is assigned by manufacturers so that the parts meet their performance and reliability requirements.
- DWV test assures that VBR exceeds 2.5VR.
- Environmental stresses during S&Q testing:
 - HT/HV testing (voltage conditioning and life):
 - Thermal testing (TS, RSH).
 - Humidity testing;
- No measurements are required during the environmental testing, and PDA has no limits.



C, DF, IR, DWV

Improvements in the S&Q system can be achieved by:

- increasing the level of stress as necessary;
- ✓ setting PDA limits;
- monitoring DCL during environmental testing;
- ✓ using new, defect-sensitive, characteristics and tests.

The Effectiveness of IR Measurements

IR is presented by three resistors connected in parallel: absorption (*r*), intrinsic (R_i), and defects (R_d). Limits for military MLCCs: $IR > 10^{11} \Omega$ or $10^3 M\Omega - \mu F$ at +25°C(×0.1 at +125°C). $IR^{-1} = [r(t,C)]^{-1} + [R_i(T,V)]^{-1} + R_d^{-1}$



High absorption currents at RT, and large intrinsic leakage currents at HT mask the presence of defects.
 The probability of revealing a defect decreases for capacitors with larger C and smaller VR.

The Effectiveness of DWV Testing

27 lots of low-voltage MLCCs were tested in virgin condition, after mechanical fracture (MF) at the corner, after cross-sectioning (X-sect), and after thermal shock (TS).





 The probability of failure during DWV testing for capacitors even with rough mechanical fractures was low.
 The existing screening techniques (C, DF, IR, and DWV) are capable to determine only gross defects in the parts.



(Designers' and QA approaches)

High values of VBR (similar to IR) provide assurance that the parts have no gross defects that might cause failures.
 Contrary to IR, VBR can be measured accurately.

The consistency of the distributions indicates stability of the manufacturing process and quality of the product.

Constant Voltage Ramp Technique

- Factors affecting VBR:
 - Ramp rate.
 - Maximum current.
 - Medium.
- No significant variations in VBR at ramp rates from 25 V/s to 100 V/s.
- Current limit in the range from 1 mA to 10 mA will not result in substantial variations of VBR.
- CVR failures are due to thermal breakdown.
- ✓ Testing in oil increases
 VBR by 10% to 50%.







Distributions of VBR

In most cases distributions of VBR for BME capacitors are bimodal.

- The HV mode has tight distributions (STD/Mean ~4%) indicating intrinsic breakdown.
- The presence of LV subgroup is due to defects.



The point of interception indicates proportion of defects in the lot.
 The spread of VBR towards low voltages indicates the significance of these defects.

Distributions of VBR, Cont'd

Comparison of distributions for the same type of BME capacitors.



 Analysis of distributions allows for assessment of the quality of capacitors and revealing improvements.

 Automotive industry and general purpose parts might be from the same population and have similar defects.

Analysis of VBR Distributions for QA

- Out of 27 types of BME capacitors 67% had bimodal distributions.
- ❑ The proportion of capacitors with defects was from 2.6% to 80%.
- The significance of the defects (the ratio of VBR_{min} and VBR₇₅) varied from 0.3 to 0.95.
- Assuming that acceptable defects would reduce breakdown voltage less than 2 times, 5 out of 27 lots should be rejected.
 - Analysis of distributions of VBR allows for the assessment of the quality of the lot.
 - The lot is acceptable for space applications if VBR/VBR₇₅ > 0.5.

Rated and Breakdown Voltages

- VBR exceeds VR more than 15 times.
- Breakdown voltages on average increased with the rated voltage.
- The spread of the data was large: at VR = 50 V, VBR varied from 17VR to 45VR.



- Breakdown voltage is not a limiting factor in assigning VR to lowvoltage capacitors.
- In class II dielectrics VR is limited by the non-linearity of polarization that results in decrease of capacitance with voltage.

Effect of Soldering Stresses

3 cycles of TSD testing at T_{solder} = 350 °C.
 Case size 1825, 0.47 μF, 50 V capacitors were tested after manual soldering with a soldering iron set to 350 °C.



 ✓ Stresses related to manual soldering can degrade VBR.
 ✓ VBR should be used to assess the possibility of manual soldering.



Simulation of Life Testing (2VR, 125°C)

<u>Assumptions</u>: intrinsic degradation for a defect-free part results in failures at TTF_0 and the voltage AF follows Prokopowicz-Vaskas equation with $n \sim 3$ for PME and n from 4 to 9 for BME capacitors.



- Similar defects are more likely to cause life test failures in BME compared to PME capacitors.
- The greater the voltage acceleration constant n and the lower VBR/VBR₇₅, the more probable infant mortality failures are.

Effect of Defects in MLCCs on Reliability

BME and PME MLCCs with introduced defects were stressed by HALT.





 Defects resulting in DCL degradation of BME capacitors might not affect PME capacitors.
 VBR for BME capacitors degrades after HALT.
 The effect is more significant for the LV subgroup of MLCCs.

Flash Testing

- DWV testing can reveal only gross defects in low-voltage BME capacitors.
- Testing at higher voltages would be more effective.
- Time of exposure to HV should be reduced.
- The third quartile is close to the mean value of VBR for the HV subgroup of capacitors.



✓ Flash testing should be carried out at the same conditions as VBR measurements, except for it does not require oil as the medium and maximum applied voltage is limited to 0.5×VBR₇₅_air.

Can Exposure to HV Cause Damage?

Different types of BME MLCCs were characterized before and after stressing with 1 to 5 sec pulses at V ~ 0.7VBR



- ✓ No effect on leakage current and VBR.
- Remnant polarization can decrease C; however, this effect decreases with time of storage and disappears after annealing at T > Tc (~ 120 °C).
- No risks associated with HV exposure.

Recommendations

- A lot should be characterized by a distribution of VBR based on 50 samples tested in oil (and in air for gr. C qualification testing) at maximum current of 10 mA and voltage ramp of 25 V/sec for C ≥ 1 μ F and 100 V/sec for C < 1 μ F. The values of VBR₇₅_oil, VBR₇₅_air, and VBR_{min} allow for the assessment of the consistency in quality, as a baseline to determine conditions for the flash testing, and to evaluate results of the RSH test.
- Lots with VBR_{min} < 0.5×VBR₇₅_oil have a higher risk of failures and should not be accepted for space applications.
- Flash testing should be used as a screening procedure at the same conditions as VBR measurements, except for it does not require oil as the medium and maximum applied voltage is limited to 0.5×VBR₇₅_air.
- VBR measurements should be used as a lot acceptance procedure after RSH testing using 30 samples minimum. The acceptance criterion: VBR_{min} > 0.5×VBR₇₅_oil or VBR_{min} > 0.5×VBR₇₅_air for the parts soldered onto a test board.



- Reliability of BME MLCCs is limited by defects.
- The existing S&Q system can reveal only gross defects.
- Analysis of VBR distributions allows for estimation of the proportion and severity of the defects.
- Recommendations for using VBR measurements for S&Q are suggested.