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Tests were conducted on the International Space Station (ISS) to evaluate the smoke particulate size from materials and conditions that are typical of those expected in spacecraft fires. Five different materials representative of those found in spacecraft (Teflon, Kapton, cotton, silicone rubber and Pyrell) were heated to temperatures below the ignition point with conditions controlled to provide repeatable sample surface temperatures and air flow. The air flow past the sample during the heating period ranged from quiescent to 8 cm/s. The effective transport time to the measurement instruments was varied from 11 to 800 seconds to simulate different smoke transport conditions in spacecraft. The resultant aerosol was evaluated by three instruments which measured different moments of the particle size distribution. These moment diagnostics were used to determine the particle number concentration (zeroth moment), the diameter concentration (first moment), and the mass concentration (third moment). These statistics were combined to determine the diameter of average mass and the count mean diameter and by assuming a log-normal distribution, the geometric mean diameter and the geometric standard deviations were also calculated. Smoke particle samples were collected on TEM grids using a thermal precipitator for post flight analysis. The TEM grids were analyzed to determine the particle morphology and shape parameters. The different materials produced particles with significantly different morphologies. Overall the majority of the average smoke particle sizes were found to be in the 200 to 400 nanometer range with the quiescent cases and the cases with increased transport time typically producing with substantially larger particles. The results varied between materials but the smoke particles produced in low gravity were approximately the same size as particles produced in normal gravity. These results can be used to establish design requirements for future spacecraft smoke detectors.

1. Introduction

Owing to the absence of low-gravity test data, spacecraft smoke detector systems for the ISS and the Space Shuttle were designed based the properties of normal gravity smoke particulate and available technology at the time. To improve the reliability of future spacecraft smoke detectors, it is necessary to obtain knowledge of both the expected signature of the events to be detected and the background levels of the measured parameters. Terrestrial fire detection systems have been developed based on extensive study of terrestrial fires [Bukowski, 1978 and 2003]. There are a number of factors that can be expected to affect the particle size distribution of the smoke from spacecraft fires. The absence of buoyant flow in low-gravity increases the residence time in microgravity fires and increases the transit time from the reaction zone to the detector [Brooker et al., 2007]. Microgravity fires have been found to have radically different structure from their normal-gravity (a.k.a. 1-g) counterparts. The limited options available to respond to a spacecraft fire increase the importance of early detection. Finally the materials used in spacecraft are different from typical terrestrial applications where smoke properties were previously evaluated. All of these effects could be expected to change the smoke particle size distribution. The objective of this work was to make sufficient measurements of smoke from spacecraft fires to enable improved design of future detectors.

Smoke Background

Prior spacecraft fire detection systems have been discussed in detail in papers by Friedman and Urban [Friedman, 1992, Urban et al., 2005]. In the Mercury, Gemini and Apollo missions, the crew quarters were limited and mission durations were short, consequently the mission design depended upon the crew to detect fires. The Skylab module, however, included approximately 30 UV-sensing fire detectors [Friedman, 1992]. These devices were limited to line-of-
sight and were reported to have difficulties with false alarms. The Space Shuttle Detectors were based upon ionization fire detector technology, the most advanced technology available at the time and used an inertial separator designed to eliminate particles larger than 1-2 micrometers. The International Space Station (ISS) smoke detectors use near-IR forward scattering, rendering them most sensitive to particles larger than a micrometer, outside of the range of sensitivity of the shuttle detector. As described by Friedman [1992] there were six overheat and failed component events in the NASA Orbiter fleet during its operational lifetime in addition to several similar incidents that have occurred on the ISS. None of these events spread into a real fire but as mission durations increase, the likelihood of failures increases. The experience on Mir in 1997 has shown that failure of oxygen generation systems can have significant consequences. As a result, improved understanding of spacecraft fire detection is critically needed [Ruff, Urban and King, 2005]. Smoke is a general term that encompasses aerosol materials produced by a number of processes. In particular it can include unburned, recondensed, original polymer or pyrolysis products that can be either liquid or solid, hydrocarbon soot, condensed water vapor, and ash particles. Soot particles dominate the smoke particulate in established flaming fires while unburned pyrolysis products and recondensed polymer fragments are produced by smoldering and pyrolysis in the early stage of fire growth. Given the constrained space on any spacecraft, the target for the fire detection system is necessarily the early phase and not established flaming fires; consequently, the primary target for detection is the pyrolysis products and not the soot.

Previous work on smoke particles from low-gravity sources by Urban et al. [2005] and Ku et al. [1995] found that the soot particulate produced by low-gravity flames tend to have larger particles than in normal gravity. Preliminary tests in the 2.2 second drop tower [Srivastava et al., 1998] suggest that particulate generated by overheated wire insulation may be larger in low-g than in 1-g. Particles collected on Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) grids place downstream of the polyethylene flame [Greenberg, Sacksteder and Kashiwagi, 1995] as well as visual observation of long string-like aggregates, further support this suggestion. Results from the CSD (Comparative Soot Diagnostics) Experiment [Urban et al., 2005], which studied smoke properties in low-gravity from several spacecraft materials suggested that liquid smoke particles could achieve sizes larger than 1 µm while solid particulate remained in the sub-micrometer range. However, the CSD experiment did not produce sufficient data concerning the size of the liquid smoke particles to guide detector design. The combined impact of these limited results and theoretical predictions is that, as opposed to extrapolation from 1-g data, direct knowledge of low-g combustion particulate is needed for more confident design of smoke detectors for spacecraft.

2. Methods

To address the limited data from the prior experiment concerning the likely size of spacecraft smoke particulate, another experiment was developed: the Smoke Aerosol Measurement Experiment (SAME). The SAME experiment sought to avoid the problems experienced by the CSD experiment by obtaining the particulate size statistics on-orbit with a reduced dependence upon sample return to Earth. This is challenging because existing aerosol instrumentation is typically large, incompatible with spacecraft experiment constraints, and some systems require substantial sample return to Earth. As will be described below, an alternative approach was employed that used three discrete instruments to measure separate moments of the size distribution. When combined, these moments provide useful aggregate statistics of the size distribution. The measurements were made using smoke generated by overheated spacecraft materials in much the same manner as the CSD experiment however the sample temperature, flow field, and particle aging time were more rigorously controlled in the SAME experiment. The experiment flew twice the first time in 2007 (SAME-1) and the second (SAME-2) in 2010. When discussion applies to both flights, this paper will refer to “SAME.” If the discussion is specific to a particular flight, the flight number will be identified e.g. “SAME-2.”

**Moment Method**

The approach used by the SAME experiment is termed the ‘moment method’ for convenience [Cleary, Weinert and Mulholland, 2003]. As will be described below, the approach consists of measuring three moments of the size distribution (zeroth, first and third) and using the properties of the log-normal distribution to estimate the geometric mean diameter and the standard deviation.

The average particle size and an estimate of the width of the size distribution will be estimated from various moments of the size distribution. The number distribution, \( f_N(D) \), is defined as

\[
 f_N(D) = \frac{dN}{dD} \tag{1} 
\]

where dN is the number of particles per cm\(^3\) with diameter between D and D + dD. The moments of interest consist of the number concentration, \( M_0 \), the first moment \( M_1 \), and the volume or mass concentration moment, \( M_3 \) and are defined as
When \(i=0\), the zeroth moment of the distribution, \(M_0\), equation (2) is simply the number of particles per unit volume. In the SAME experiment, this was measured using a condensation nuclei counter. The first moment, \(i=1\), can also be thought of as the “diameter concentration” or integrated diameter per unit volume and is approximately proportional to the ionization detector moment (signal). For particles in the Mie scattering regime, particles sizes from 0.3\(\lambda\) to about 3\(\lambda\) (~0.2 \(\mu\)m to 2.0 \(\mu\)m for a red laser), the light scattering signal is approximately proportional to the third moment, \(i=3\). From these moments, and a measurement of \(M_0\) using a condensation nuclei counter, two mean diameters can be computed: the count (arithmetic) mean diameter \(D_{0.5}\) or \(\bar{D}\), which is equal to \(M_1/M_0\) and the diameter of average mass \(D_{1.5}\) or \(D_{\sigma}\), which is equal to \((M_3/M_0)^{1/3}\). These calculations do not depend on the assumption of log-normal behavior. (The basis for the subscript naming convention for \(D_{0.5}\) and \(D_{1.5}\) will be discussed later). The log-normal size distribution is widely used for describing the size distribution of aerosols including non-flaming smoke because for most aerosols; the bulk of the number concentration is associated with smaller particles [Raabe, 1971, Reist, 1984]. The number distribution \(f_N(D)\) for the lognormal distribution is expressed as follows:

\[
f_N(D) = \frac{N_t}{(2\pi)^{1/2} D \ln \sigma_g} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln D - \ln D_g)^2}{2 \ln^2 \sigma_g}\right)
\]  

where \(N_t\) is the total number concentration of the aerosol (=\(M_0\)), and \(D_g\) and \(\sigma_g\) are the geometric mean diameter and geometric standard deviation defined by

\[
\ln D_g = \int_0^\infty \ln D f_N(D) dD / \int_0^\infty f_N dD \quad (4)
\]

\[
\ln \sigma_g = \left[\int_0^\infty (\ln D - \ln D_g)^2 f_N(D) dD / \int_0^\infty f_N(D) dD \right]^{1/2} \quad (5)
\]

For the log-normal distribution, one finds that the various diameter definitions given above are related to the geometric mean diameter, \(D_g\), via the equation [Raabe, 1971, Reist, 1984].

\[
D_p = D_g \exp(p \ln^2 \sigma_g) \quad (6)
\]

For the count mean diameter, \(D_{0.5}\), and the diameter of average mass, \(D_{1.5}\), the corresponding values of \(p\) are 0.5 and 1.5. Fig. 1a shows a typical log-normal distribution for a \(D_g=1.0\) and \(\sigma_g=1.6\) and Figure 1b shows the influence of \(\sigma_g\). For this distribution, the corresponding values of \(D_{0.5}\) and \(D_{1.5}\) are 1.17 \(\mu\)m and 1.39 \(\mu\)m, respectively. Using equation (6), one can relate \(\sigma_g\) to the ratio of \(D_{1.5}\) and \(D_{0.5}\) via the equation:

\[
\sigma_g = \exp\left(\ln(D_{1.5}/D_{0.5})\right)^{1/2} \quad (7)
\]
By combining these three moments it is possible to compute three mean diameters of the size distribution and the geometric standard deviation. Validation of this approach is discussed in Cleary, Weinert and Mulholland [2003] and in Meyer et al. [2013 to appear]. These statistics provide a strong basis for design of spacecraft smoke detectors.

**Instruments**

These measurements were made using an assembly of three separate instruments. Two are industrial hygiene instruments manufactured by TSI™ and one is a modified residential smoke detector. More complete discussion of the SAME hardware is available in an earlier paper [Urban et al., 2008]. The zeroth-moment instrument is a condensation nuclei counter P-Trak™ (TSI Inc.). This device operates by passing the aerosol-laden particle stream through a region saturated with isopropanol vapor and then into a cooler region where the vapor condenses onto the particles increasing their diameter such that they can be readily counted by a light scattering device. This instrument is very robust and operates over a range of 0 to 10³ particles/cm³ and 20 nm to 1 μm diameter. Some dilution is required, since the smoke concentration ranges from about 0.5 × 10⁵ to 5 × 10⁶ particles / cm³. The dilution was accomplished by controlled addition of filtered nitrogen to the aerosol sample being directed to the P-Trak. There was also a concern that the isopropanol condensate would not return to the wick in low-gravity [Urban et al., 2005]. To mitigate this issue, the condensing section of the device was modified with very small grooves to improve conductance of the condensate back to the wick. These changes were tested in a separate space experiment with good results indicating the modified device could be used successfully in low gravity [Urban et al., 2005].

The first-moment instrument is the ionization chamber from a residential smoke detector. This device uses an alpha-particle emitter to generate ions in a region within a DC electric field. The drift of the ions in the electric field results in a current. The presence of aerosol particulate reduces the current as a result of the attachment of the ions to the particulate. The mobility of the charged aerosol is too small for it to be collected on the ionization chamber electrode. The required particle concentrations are on the order of 10³ particles/cm³ and no sample dilution was required.

The third-moment instrument is a light scattering device DustTrak™ (TSI Inc.). The device uses a 90 degree light scattering signal to quantify the aerosol mass density. For terrestrial dust particulate this signal correlates well with the mass concentration, however, as described in the calibration discussion below, additional compensation was employed to account for the range of materials and particle sizes that were seen in the SAME experiment. The device’s operating range is from 0.001 mg/m³ to 100 mg /m³. These devices are equipped with an aerodynamic impactor at the inlet which captures particles larger than the selected size. The SAME-1 experiment included 2 DustTraks™ one with a 1 μm impactor and one with a 10 μm impactor. The difference in the signal from these two devices provided a measure of the fraction of the particulate that was larger than 1 μm. In some cases dilution was required owing to the high smoke concentration levels.

A schematic of the assembled hardware appears in Fig. 2a. The system was installed in the Microgravity Science Glovebox (MSG), (Figure 2b) an ISS facility that provides many resources including: containment, power, data, video and uplink commanding. Smoke was generated by overheating a small sample of material in the smoke generation duct for approximately 60 seconds. This heating process was controlled by repeatedly and tightly winding a stainless steel heating wire around each sample. As current was applied to the wire, its resistivity was measured and used in a feedback control system to maintain the average wire temperature at a chosen set point within a few degrees Celsius of the target value. During this interval, controlled flow was induced by a moving piston in the aging chamber which drew the smoke into the chamber. The smoke was held in the chamber for a predetermined time, allowing the particles to coagulate. After a specified aging time, the smoke was then pushed by the piston into the diagnostics duct where the moment

---

**Figure 1a:** Diameters for a log-normal distribution with Dₜ=1.0 and σₜ= 1.6.

**Figure 1b:** Number distributions for log-normal distributions with Dₜ=1.0 and different values of σₜ.
instruments made their measurements. Also installed in the diagnostics duct were space shuttle and ISS smoke detectors. As the smoke was monitored by the moment instruments, a sample of the smoke particles was deposited on a Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) grid via a Thermal Precipitator (Fig 3) which uses thermophoresis to deposit the particles on the grids. Inside the precipitator, the aerosol passed between a Transmission Electron Microscope grid and a heated wire. After the mission the grids were removed from the assembly and examined in a TEM to obtain an independent determination of the particle size and morphology.

Calibration of the moment instruments was essential to properly interpret the flight data and was performed on the ground before the flight. Calibration was accomplished using two different aerosol generators: one using mono-disperse particle generation using dioctyl phthalate (DOP) according to the approach by Mulholland and Liu [1980] and the other using polystyrene spheres. The monodisperse droplet generator functioned by producing a spray of DOP diluted with isopropanol which is then evaporated and recondensed producing monodisperse droplets. The droplet size is controlled by the DOP dilution level. The generator will operate stably for tens of minutes. The aerosol from the generator was sampled simultaneously by the SAME instrument under test and a reference instrument. For the number count, the reference instrument was a TSI 3022A particle counter, for the mass concentration, a Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance and for the first moment a TSI Electrical Aerosol Detector was used. The results for the P-Trak are shown in Fig. 4. As the number concentration increased, the effect of the particle diameter became more evident. Separate correlations were developed for each particle size and the closest correlation was used to analyze the flight data based on the initial estimates of the average particle size.

The first moment device, the ion chamber showed little effect of particle size as seen in Fig 5. Consequently a single correlation was used for all particle sizes. The third moment device (TSI- DustTrak) is theoretically predicted to show non-monotonic behavior as particle size is increased and the response varies with the particle refractive index. This issue was addressed by calibrating the DustTrak for the smoke particulate from each material. Although the average particulate size is expected to change, the refractive properties are expected to remain the same. In the results reported here, the DustTrak response was directly calibrated, for each smoke source, against mass concentration measurements using a tapered element oscillating microbalance. Using estimated material densities, the diameter of average mass was computed for each sample.
For SAME-2, one of the DustTrak devices was replaced by a new device, the MPASS (Multi-Parameter Aerosol Scattering Sensor), which is an optical scattering sensor that employs two detectors placed at fixed angles. The underlying design and performance have been reported previously [Greenberg and Fischer, 2010]. The basic configuration is similar to the DustTrak, however, the MPASS has two angles of detection and it can measure two approximate moment quantities specifically the 3rd and 2nd moments. Further, the optical geometry is optimized using a Mie-scattering model for aerosols with light scattering properties typical of smoke: i) geometric mean diameters from 0.1 – 1.0 microns; ii) geometric standard deviations of 1.6 – 1.9; iii) the aerosols have lognormal size distributions and vi) a fixed, real value for refractive index of 1.6. This device was included as a technology demonstration of an aerosol sensor that measures two moments simultaneously. The underlying assumption is that by measuring two moments of the aerosol it is possible to correct the smoke detector signal for the particle size, unlike previous spacecraft smoke detectors which are limited to a single moment measurement [Urban et al., 2008]. This will enable the alarm to be less sensitive to larger particles that are typical of dust. The relative advantage of this approach was discussed in more detail in a prior paper [Urban et al., 2009].

3. Results and Discussion

Over 100 sample materials were tested. These were comprised of samples of 6 materials: Teflon™, Kapton™, Pyrell™, silicone rubber, cellulose (lampwick), and dibutyl-phthalate deposited on a porous wick. The test conditions included multiple sample temperatures and air flow rates. The baseline air flow rate was 8 cm/s with runs conducted at rates down to 2 cm/s with a limited set conducted with no airflow while the sample was heated. The sample temperatures were based on the pyrolysis properties of the material and were thus material specific. The baseline
temperature was selected to produce 1 to 2 mg of weight loss in 60 seconds and have reasonable signal on each of the moment instruments. To examine the effect of sample temperature, additional higher temperature conditions were also selected that would not saturate the moment instruments. The TEM grids recovered from the thermal precipitators on SAME-1 were unfortunately contaminated with extraneous which rendered the grids unusable. For SAME-2 more rigorous assembly controls were followed and numerous good particle images were obtained. For typical runs, the particle concentrations were a few million particles / cc and the mass concentrations were 2 to 15 mg/m³; however there were runs with fewer particles. For these conditions, the typical numbers of particles sampled by the instruments were in the billions although for the test with the lowest particle concentrations the sample sizes were of the order of several million particles.

**Moment Instrument Results**

Geometric mean, count mean, and average mass diameter results from the moment instruments for baseline runs are presented in Table 1 [Urban et al., 2012]. As described above, calculation of the count mean diameter and the diameter of average mass is independent of the nature of the size distribution; whereas the assumption of a log-normal distribution is implicit in the calculation of the geometric mean diameter and the geometric standard deviation (σ_g). This assumption cannot be directly tested with the available data since this would require a device that can separate the particles into size bins. This assumption has been examined for normal-gravity smoke produced from these materials using the same heating process as the space flight experiment [Meyer et al., 2103] with good results. Furthermore, there are two indications that the distribution can be approximated by a log-normal distribution. In the cases listed below, the count mean diameter is less than the diameter of average mass which is a necessary condition for a log-normal distribution (See Fig. 1a). The second indication is that the geometric standard deviations are all physically reasonable (i.e. greater than 1 (see Eq. 3) and less than approximately 3.5 which is the practical limit on the magnitude of aerosol geometric standard deviation). The aging results show a substantial increase in the observed diameters. As expected the increase in the count mean diameter is greater than the corresponding increase in the diameter of average mass. This can be understood since coagulation consists of particle collisions joining two particles. For a polydisperse aerosol, these collisions usually involve the collision of one of the smallest (high mobility) particles with the largest (high cross-section) particles. This collision has little effect on the size of the large particle but it removes the smaller particles from the size distribution. This has a larger effect on the count mean diameter since it is linear in diameter while the diameter of average mass varies with the cube root of the diameter. Theoretical predictions suggest that this process will produce a near lognormal distribution and that the standard deviation will tend toward a self-preserving distribution with a value of 1.32 [Hinds, 1999].

Overall, the Teflon and Kapton particles were very small. The lampwick, Pyrell, and silicone results exhibited substantially larger diameters of average mass, particularly for the aged cases. This is further supported by figure 6 which presents the ratio of the signals between the DustTraks with the 10 μm and the 1μm impactors. As shown in figure 6, lampwick and silicone exhibit larger portions of the particle distribution (~ 40% of the mass) larger than 1 micrometer compared to 20% of the mass for Teflon and Kapton. The comparatively smaller diameters for the Kapton and Teflon will make detection of this smoke challenging for light scattering devices, on the other hand the large sizes seen with the lampwick and silicone would generate very large signals on a light scattering system. As discussed by Urban et al. [2009], some level of particle size discrimination is generally required to have a system that is sensitive enough to trigger an alarm for the low signal from small particles such as Teflon and Kapton without excessive nuisance alarms from large dust particles. This suggests that detection of these particles against the background environment will be facilitated by a detection system capable of measuring more than one moment of the particle size distribution.
The increased residence time afforded in the higher concentration zone at lower air speeds is expected to increase the particle size. This is supported by figure 7 which presents the diameter of average mass for all materials versus duct velocity. This figure shows a strong trend of increased particle size at low flow rates with the greatest increase for the Kapton smoke. This indicates that smoke trapped in an avionics enclosure or in poorly ventilated region can be expected to be substantially larger than smoke released in well ventilated areas. In the most poorly ventilated areas (e.g. avionics enclosures with no cooling air) the smoke can be retained at a relatively high concentration until it escapes. This effect was simulated by varying the aging time over 30 to 2000 seconds in the SAME apparatus. The diameter of average mass is plotted against the aging time in figure 8. The diameter is seen to consistently increase with aging time. This effect can be substantial, producing an increase in the diameter from 55 to 100%. More discussion of this effect is presented below with the TEM images.

**Table 1**: Diameter results for baseline runs for each material (Diameters are in micrometers).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Geometric Mean Diameter (Dg) (µm)</th>
<th>Count Mean Diameter (M1/M0) (µm)</th>
<th>Diameter of Average Mass (M3/M0) (µm)</th>
<th>σg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kapton</td>
<td>Unaged 0.042</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>2.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 720 s 0.089</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>1.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampwick</td>
<td>Unaged 0.090</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>2.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 720 s 0.229</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>1.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone</td>
<td>Unaged 0.128</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>2.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 720 s 0.269</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>2.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>Unaged 0.081</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>2.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 720 s 0.070</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>2.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrell</td>
<td>Unaged 0.149</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>2.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 720 s 0.293</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>1.892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6**: Plot of the ratio of the mass concentration from the DustTrak with a 10 micron impactor to the Dust Trak with a 1 micron impactor for different materials, flow rates and sample temperatures and unaged conditions.
A series of tests were conducted in normal gravity to duplicate the baseline flight conditions (8 cm/s air speed and the fuel temperatures needed to release 1 to 2 mg of smoke in 60 seconds). The aging duration was 720 seconds. The diameter of average mass from the low-gravity is plotted versus the results from the normal gravity testing and shown in figure 9. Overall the results correlate strongly with a slope of 1. This suggests that at air flow rates of 8 cm/s or higher, the size of smoke particulate in microgravity is well predicted by normal gravity testing. Since much of the habitable volumes of current spacecraft have ventilation flows in that range, normal gravity testing may indeed be an adequate predictor of microgravity smoke. The potential error can be estimated using figure 7 which suggests that lower flow rates could increase the diameter of average mass by as much as a factor of 2.5. Nevertheless, these results suggest that normal-gravity testing may be an adequate engineering solution.
TEM Results

Overall, 72 particle samples were taken on Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) grids using the thermal precipitator. These samples were imaged with a TEM to evaluate the particle morphology as a function of flow conditions, material and heating level. Silicone rubber and DBP presented no particles that were visible via TEM, presumably because the particles were condensed liquid that evaporated before they could be imaged in the TEM. Figure 10 presents typical images for the major materials.

As seen in Table 1, aging did increase the average particle size, however this level of change is not readily visible in the TEM images, instead the most visible change is the disappearance of the smallest particles. (Fig 11) As described in the discussion of Table 1, this is consistent with expectations since the smaller particles have the highest mobility and consequently are readily incorporated into the larger particles. Particularly for the semi-liquid particles, this absorption of the smallest particles into larger particles is expected to have little or no apparent effect on the size of the larger particles because the diameter is the cube root of the volume. In the case of non-liquid particles, the smallest particles will nevertheless be unlikely to be visible via TEM when they are attached to larger particles.
As the samples are heated by the wire, chemical bonds are broken and gaseous products are released via pyrolysis. These products may include partially oxidized species resulting from the reaction with oxygen. As the gases move from the wire, the temperature and species concentration will decrease as a result of conduction/diffusion and convection. With the decrease in temperature, the supersaturation ratio of the pyrolysis gas will pass a critical stage and nucleation of smoke particles will occur. These smoke nuclei grow rapidly from the condensation of the pyrolysis gases. The time scale for the nucleation and condensation is on the order of ms or less. Over a longer time scale, the growing smoke particles undergoing Brownian motion collide and stick together in a process known as coagulation. This process may continue for time scales of up to $10^3$ s. If the particles are liquid, the colliding particles will coalesce and form larger droplets. This is the case for the lampwick, silicone rubber, and Kapton smokes (Fig. 11). If the particles are solid, they will retain their structure as they stick resulting in an agglomerate structure composed of many primary particles connected in a low density fractal-like structure. This is the case for the Teflon and Pyrell smokes (Fig. 11).

Key parameters affecting the smoke properties of the smoke produced here are the low-gravity condition, the convective flow past the heated wire, the wire temperature, and the aging time. As the wire temperature increases, more of the sample will be pyrolyzed and these gases will subsequently condense on the nuclei leading to a larger mass concentration of the aerosol and a larger diameter of average mass.

A case of special interest is the case of zero external flow, referred to as zero-flow in this paper. This condition is of special interest to low-gravity because the lack of buoyancy and absence of a convective flow would limit smoke transport to molecular diffusion and thermal expansion. Unfortunately, the zero flow condition was not in the original design of the SAME hardware but was examined for 11 tests by modifying the valve operation in the SAME hardware. This produced the desired result of a very high concentration of particulate where the aging process was accelerated compared to that in the aging chamber at dilute conditions. A side effect was that approximately 2/3rds of the smoke was lost from the system because it flowed out of the inlet into the MSG volume as a result of diffusion and thermal expansion. As a result, the total particle counts were lower than normal and the TEM grids had few particles.

The thermal expansion induced smoke-flow observed with a video camera ranged from 0.5 cm/s to 1.5 cm/s with the higher flow corresponding to Kapton and Teflon with the highest pyrolysis temperatures. The vapor concentration is inversely proportional to the flow so that the nominal vapor concentration for the no flow case is about eight times higher than for the standard 8.1 cm/s flow condition. The increased vapor concentration results in increased growth of nucleating particles from condensation and coagulation as the vapor cools. The diameter of average mass is a factor of 1.7 larger for the zero flow compared to the standard flow conditions. This is an average for all the materials which vary from as low as 1.3 for Teflon to 1.9 for Pyrell and silicone.

For the zero-flow case, the heat is transported primarily by conduction rather than convection. This is likely to result in a significant increase in the gas temperature relative to the case with 8 cm/s air flow. A striking effect of this temperature change is the change in the morphology of the Pyrell smoke from fractal-like clusters with up to 30 primary spheres for the case with a flow of 8 cm/s to spherical particles for the zero-flow condition (Fig. 12). It is important to recognize that this zero-flow condition is unique to low-gravity scenarios. If one performed the same experiment at 1-g, the buoyancy of the rising gases would greatly increase the mixing zone. So the larger particle diameters observed in these zero-flow conditions are important to consider in the development of improved fire detection equipment for space vehicles.

Figure 11: TEM images showing the effect of aging for Kapton (Run 62) and Pyrell (Run 63). From Left to right: unaged Kapton, aged Kapton, unaged Pyrell, and aged Pyrell. The notable change is the elimination of the smallest particles.
4. Conclusions

The SAME experiment produced repeatable measurements of smoke particulate from 5 materials typical of those found in spacecraft. From these results the following observations can be made:

1. For the conditions of the SAME experiment, all samples produced significant numbers of sub-micron particulate that are readily detected using an ionization smoke detector.
2. Particle sizes ranged from 100 to 600 nm and the measured parameters were consistent with a log-normal distribution.
3. Consistent with expectation, particle sizes increase substantially with aging. These results suggest that some materials such as silicone rubber can produce smoke that can reach sizes better detected by light scattering techniques.
4. Particle dimensions increase substantially as air flow was decreased. The zero flow conditions produced smoke particles that were as much as 2 to 3 times larger than those produced at the nominal 8 cm/s flow rate.
5. TEM samples demonstrated a significant range of particle morphologies with materials typically having distinct morphologies. The exception being Pyrell particles collected under zero airflow conditions where the particles coalesce rather than aggregating as they did at higher air flows.
6. For lampwick and silicone approximately 40% of the aerosol mass had aerodynamic diameters greater than 1 μm
7. Ground based testing at 8 cm/s showed particle dimensions very close to the flight results. This suggests that low-gravity smoke produced in flow conditions of at least 8 cm/s are well predicted by ground-based testing.

Since spacecraft fire conditions include an even wider array of materials than those tested here and a broader range of flow and temperature conditions, broad smoke aerosol size distributions can be expected from credible pre-fire overheat events. These results suggest that detection methods that can measure more than one moment of the size distribution may show more successful detection and false alarm rejection than single moment detectors.
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