NASA/TM-2014-218194



Salt Spray Test to Determine Galvanic Corrosion Levels of Electroless Nickel Connectors Mounted on an Aluminum Bracket

T.D. Rolin, R.E. Hodge, P.D. Torres, and D.D. Jones Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

K.R. Laird ERC Inc., Jacobs ESSSA Group, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

The NASA STI Program...in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program Office plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program Office is operated by Langley Research Center, the lead center for NASA's scientific and technical information. The NASA STI Program Office provides access to the NASA STI Database, the largest collection of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. The Program Office is also NASA's institutional mechanism for disseminating the results of its research and development activities. These results are published by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA's counterpart of peerreviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION. Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.
- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and mission, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services that complement the STI Program Office's diverse offerings include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results...even providing videos.

For more information about the NASA STI Program Office, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at http://www.sti.nasa.gov>
- E-mail your question via the Internet to <help@sti.nasa.gov>
- Phone the NASA STI Help Desk at 757–864–9658
- Write to: NASA STI Information Desk Mail Stop 148 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681–2199, USA

NASA/TM-2014-218194



Salt Spray Test to Determine Galvanic Corrosion Levels of Electroless Nickel Connectors Mounted on an Aluminum Bracket

T.D. Rolin, R.E. Hodge, P.D. Torres, and D.D. Jones Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

K.R. Laird ERC Inc., Jacobs ESSSA Group, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Marshall Space Flight Center • Huntsville, Alabama 35812

Available from:

NASA STI Information Desk Mail Stop 148 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681–2199, USA 757–864–9658

This report is also available in electronic form at http://www.sti.nasa.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. PROCEDURE	2
3. ANALYSIS	4
4. CONCLUSIONS	24
REFERENCES	25

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Test article assembly setup: (a) Overall plug side view and (b) overall receptacle side view. Plugs are differentiated from receptacles by a coupling nut mated to the jam nut receptacle (yellow arrow). Receptacles are differentiated from plugs by a flange (white arrow)	5
2.	Close-up views of the four plugs' coupling nuts at the interface between the plug connector and the receptacle connector prior to salt spray testing: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14	6
3.	Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket prior to salt spray testing: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14	7
4.	Salt spray test equipment configuration: (a) Salt spray test equipment and (b) test article after testing but prior to removal from the chamber	10
5.	Test article immediately after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) Overall plug side and (b) overall receptacle side of the assembly	11
6.	Each of the four plugs at the interface between the plug connector and the receptacle connector after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14	12
7.	Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14	13
8.	Each of the four plugs at the interface between the connector and the receptacle connector after cleaning: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14. As can be seen in the images, salt deposits remained on the test article after cleaning	15
9.	Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket after cleaning: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14. Salt deposits remained on the test article after cleaning	16
10.	Overall view of the plug and receptacle sides of the bracket: (a) Receptacles from the plug side of the bracket after the plugs were de-mated and (b) receptacle side view of the bracket with receptacle J13 removed	18

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

11.	Close-up views of each of the four plugs after de-mating from the receptacle connector: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14. At this point, the external surface had been cleaned. The sealing characteristics of the connectors performed as designed by keeping the salt spray from entering the pin/contact area. There was no presence of salt on the inside surfaces	19
12.	Close-up views of each of the four receptacles after the plugs were de-mated: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14. The sealing characteristics of the connectors performed as designed by keeping salt from entering the pin/contact area	20
13.	Condition of the plug side of the alodined bracket: (a) Plug side P11, (b) plug side P12 (the only interface on this side that exhibited pitting), (c) plug side P13, and (d) plug side P14	21
14.	Condition of the receptacle flange side of the alodined bracket. This side exhibited some pitting in the flange contact area which did not affect electrical bonding: (a) Receptacle side J11, (b) receptacle side J12, (c) receptacle side J13, and (d) receptacle side J14	22
15.	SEM images showing condition of various EN surfaces after exposure, washing, and storage in ambient conditions after several days: (a) Plug P12, (b) corroded area on J12, (c) small area of the jam nut from J12, and (d) superficial corrosion on plug P14	23

LIST OF TABLES

1.	MIL-DTL-38999 class F, EN-coated aluminum connector part number and test article assembly identification table	2
2.	Electrical continuity test results—baseline and after removal from bath (pre- and post-168-hr salt spray test)	8
3.	Electrical bonding measurement test results-baseline (pre-168-hr salt spray test)	8
4.	Electrical bonding measurement test results—after removal from bath (post-168-hr salt spray test)	14
5.	Electrical bonding measurement test results—after removal from bath, cleaning, and three-day wait (post-168-hr salt spray test and clean)	17

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS

- DWV dielectric withstanding voltage
- EN electroless nickel
- GSE ground support equipment
- IR insulation resistance
- MSFC Marshall Space Flight Center
- SEM scanning electron microscopy
- ZN zinc-nickel

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

SALT SPRAY TEST TO DETERMINE GALVANIC CORROSION LEVELS OF ELECTROLESS NICKEL CONNECTORS MOUNTED ON AN ALUMINUM BRACKET

1. INTRODUCTION

For decades, NASA has flown electroless nickel- (EN) coated aluminum connectors mounted to aluminum chassis and brackets. This mounting scenario has also been used in ground support equipment (GSE) at Kennedy Space Center, where a known salt atmosphere exists. In many cases, the GSE exposure to these environments has been several days. Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) engineers are not aware of any galvanic corrosion issues due to GSE or flight connectors despite long-term exposure to these harsh environments. Unfortunately, these observations have never been officially documented in any report. Additionally, galvanic corrosion charts and series tables (e.g., MIL-STD-889B)¹ show that aluminum-to-nickel contact forms a galvanic couple when the nickel-to-aluminum interface is exposed to aqueous halide environments. These charts and the lack of actual test data forced engineers to consider zinc-nickel (ZN) when the new corrosion certification, NASA-STD-6012, increased the certification time from 48 hr to 168 hr.² One military standard relied upon, namely MIL-DTL-38999, indicates that class F series EN connectors are certified to 48 hr, whereas ZN is certified to 168 hr.³ Because EN connectors were only certified to 48 hr, ZN connectors were an obvious replacement. However, MSFC has no flight history with ZN. Additionally, zinc and zinc alloys are prohibited per MSFC-STD-3012 due to possible vacuum sublimation.⁴ There is also evidence that zinc alloy plating may grow zinc whiskers, which is an electrical shorting concern.⁵ This meant that a significant amount of testing and cost increases were on the horizon to qualify the ZN material. To avoid these issues, a decision was made to purchase several MIL-DTL-38999³ class F, EN-coated aluminum connectors using a typical flight procurement process, mount them to an alodined aluminum bracket, and expose them to a 168-hr salt spray environment. Two pass/fail criteria were established: (1) Post-test connectors must meet the 2.5-m Ω , class R bonding requirement per NASA-STD-4003A⁶ and (2) posttest connectors must not show excessive pitting and flaking that would result in mechanical failure of the connector.

2. PROCEDURE

Four mated pairs of class F connectors were used in the test. The connectors were randomly selected such that there were multiple manufacturers with various lot date codes. The connector selection process was purposely chosen this way to account for the randomness in the procurement cycle, that is, to represent what actual flight hardware would consist of in a real manufacturing environment. The connector part numbers used for this test are listed in table 1. The reference designators were assigned by the testing organization for identification purposes.

Description	ltem	Receptacle	Plug
Connector	In-house reference No.	J11	P11
assembly 1	Connector part No.	D38999/24FF35PN	D38999/26FF35SN
	Backshell part No.	M85049/38S19N	M85049/38S19N
Connector	In-house reference No.	J12	P12
assembly 2	Connector part No.	D38999/24FC35SA	D38999/26FC35PA
	Backshell part No.	M85049/38S13N	M85049/38S13N
Connector	In-house reference No.	J13	P13
assembly 3	Connector part No.	D38999/20FF35SN	D38999/26FF35PN
	Backshell part No.	M85049/38S19N	M85049/38S19N
Connector	In-house reference No.	J14	P14
assembly 4	Connector part No.	D38999/24FJ35PN	D38999/26FJ35SN
	Backshell part No.	M85049/38S25N	M85049/38S25N

 Table 1. MIL-DTL-38999³ class F, EN-coated aluminum connector part number and test article assembly identification table.

Note: The in-house reference number was assigned by the testing lab and used to designate the connector assembly location on the aluminum bracket.

To simulate an actual flight configuration, flight-like cable assemblies were fabricated by a certified technician. Electrical acceptance tests, including electrical continuity and bonding, insulation resistance (IR), and dielectric withstanding voltage (DWV) per NASA-STD-8739.4⁷ and electrical bonding checks per NASA-STD-4003A,⁶ were performed. Electrical continuity measurements were made with a calibrated Fluke 189 True RMS multimeter. Insulation resistance and DWV tests were conducted with a calibrated Slaughter Hipot Tester. Electrical bonding measurements were made with a Keithley 580 micro-ohmmeter. Each connector was wired with six crimped contacts using three two-conductor cables. The cables were identifiable by part number M27500-22RE 2N06. Each wire was inserted in a row of the connector, and each unused cavity was sealed with a contact and a sealing plug. Contact retention tests were performed to ensure contacts were locked into place. Backshells were installed onto each connector and are identifiable by part number M85049/38SXXN. The 'XX' placeholder in the backshell part number is dependent upon the

shell size of the connector used. The connectors and corresponding backshell part numbers used in this test are detailed in table 1.

Each receptacle connector assembly was then mounted to the bracket with either a jam nut (J11, J12, and J14) or mounting screws (J13). Each jam nut and fastener was tightened to flightqualified torque values as specified in MIL-DTL-38999.³ All connector plugs were completely mated to their respective receptacles. The connectors were mounted to a bracket made from 6061-T6 aluminum plate. Before connector mounting, the bracket was alodined per chemical conversion coating specification MIL-DTL-5541.⁸ For purposes of this Technical Memorandum, the completed cable assembly and alodined aluminum bracket will be referred to as the 'test article.'

3. ANALYSIS

As-received photos of the presalt spray test article are shown in figure 1. Detailed photographs of the plugs and receptacles were taken at the interface between the connector and bracket. These photos are shown in figures 2 and 3. The inspection revealed slight manufacturing marks and scratches noted on both the connectors and the mounting bracket. However, no exposed aluminum was evident on the connectors or at the mating interface. These conditions are typically found during chassis builds and are not rejectable per commonly used workmanship standards.

Electrical continuity tests were performed to measure wire and socket/pin engagement. The data showed a nominal resistance of $\approx 0.2 \Omega$. Insulation resistance tests were performed between each conductor and all other conductors as well as between each conductor and connector shell/ bracket. Insulation resistance was >1,000 M Ω at an applied voltage of 500 ± 50 VDC for a minimum of 30 s. The NASA-STD-8739.4 requirement is 100 M Ω ; therefore, all IR tests met the requirement.⁷ DWV tests were performed by applying 1,500 VDC to each conductor and all other conductors in each of the harness assemblies and each conductor and connector shell/bracket. Leakage current was found to be <30 μ A. NASA-STD-8739.4 specifies leakage current to be <1 mA; therefore, all DWV tests met the requirement.⁷ Electrical measurement data from these tests are shown in table 2.

Presalt spray electrical bonding measurements were also performed using a four-probe Kelvin method and a calibrated micro-ohmmeter. Per requirements in NASA-STD-4003A, the electrical resistance must not exceed 2.5 m Ω .⁶ Table 3 contains data from the measurements. The data clearly show that all bonding measurements meet the requirement.



Figure 1. Test article assembly setup: (a) Overall plug side view and (b) overall receptacle side view. Plugs are differentiated from receptacles by a coupling nut mated to the jam nut receptacle (yellow arrow). Receptacles are differentiated from plugs by a flange (white arrow).

5



Figure 2. Close-up views of the four plugs' coupling nuts at the interface between the plug connector and the receptacle connector prior to salt spray testing: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14.



Figure 3. Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket prior to salt spray testing: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14.

Presalt Spray		Postsalt Spray		
J11/P11		J11/P11		
Wire 1 top white	$0.24 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white	$0.20 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.19\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.19 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.22 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.20\ \text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 3 top white	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.23 \text{m}\Omega$	Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	
J12/P12		J12/P12		
Wire 1 top white	$0.23\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white	$0.23~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.19\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.20~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white	$0.19\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white	$0.22~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.20\ m\Omega$	Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.22~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white	$0.20\ m\Omega$	Wire 3 top white	$0.20~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.19\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.20\ \text{m}\Omega$	
J13/P13		J13/P13		
Wire 1 top white	$0.23\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white	$0.23~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.22~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white	$0.20~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white	$0.22\text{m}\Omega$	Wire 3 top white	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.20\ m\Omega$	Wire 3 top white/blue	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	
J14/P14		J14/P14		
Wire 1 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white	$0.20~\text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.22 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 1 top white/blue	$0.22 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.20\ \text{m}\Omega$	Wire 2 top white/blue	$0.20\ \text{m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white	0.21 mΩ	Wire 3 top white	$0.21 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Wire 3 top white/blue	0.22 mΩ	Wire 3 top white/blue	0.22 mΩ	

Table 2. Electrical continuity test results—baseline and after removal from bath
(pre- and post-168-hr salt spray test).

Table 3. Electrical bonding measurement test results—baseline (pre-168-hr salt spray test).

J11 to Bracket		P11 to Bracket	
Flange	$0.13 \text{ m}\Omega$	Coupling nut 0.87 n	
J12 to Bracket		P12 to Bracket	
Flange 0.09 mΩ		Coupling nut 0.25	
J13 to	Bracket	P13 to Bracket	
Flange	$0.48~\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut 0.42	
J14 to	Bracket	P14 to Bracket	
Flange	0.11 mΩ	Coupling nut 0.82 m	

After the electrical testing, each end of the wire pigtails were wrapped with duct tape to prevent moisture from wicking up the wire insulation during salt spray testing. The test article was then taken to the salt spray test facility (P.D. Torres and D.D. Jones, NASA internal memo, "Salt Fog Test of Electrical Connectors for the Space Launch System," 2013). The test article was subjected to the salt test per ASTM B117-11 for 168 hr continuously.⁹ The chamber used is shown in figure 4.

Upon completion of the salt exposure test, the test article was photographed (fig. 4(b)). The test article was then removed from the chamber, and images were taken immediately to document the postsalt spray condition of the test article. These images are shown in figures 5–7. Following photography, postsalt spray electrical bonding measurements were performed at various locations across the connector assembly and backshells to verify conformance to the class R bonding requirements of NASA-STD-4003A of <2.5 m Ω .⁶ All bonding measurements are shown in table 4.



Figure 4. Salt spray test equipment configuration: (a) Salt spray test equipment and (b) test article after testing but prior to removal from the chamber.



(a)

(b)



Figure 5. Test article immediately after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) Overall plug side and (b) overall receptacle side of the assembly.



Figure 6. Each of the four plugs at the interface between the plug connector and the receptacle connector after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14.



Figure 7. Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket after removal from the salt spray test chamber: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14.

J11 to Bracket		P11 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.32 \text{ m}\Omega$	Jam nut 0.26 i		
Body	$0.21~m\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.15\text{m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.22 \text{ m}\Omega$	Backshell	0.11 mΩ	
J11 to P11 Backshell-to-backshell	0.13 mΩ			
J12 to Bracke	t	P12 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.31~\text{m}\Omega$	Jam nut	$0.32\text{m}\Omega$	
Body	$0.28~\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.21\text{m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.28~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell 0.15 m		
J12 to P12 Backshell-to-backshell	0.15 mΩ	2		
J13 to Bracket		P13 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.39~\text{m}\Omega$	Mounting screw	$0.34~\text{m}\Omega$	
Body	$0.43~\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut 0.77 m		
Backshell	$0.43~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell	$0.68~\text{m}\Omega$	
J13 to P13 Backshell-to-backshell	0.03 mΩ			
J14 to Bracket		P14 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.31~\text{m}\Omega$	Jam nut 0.24 ms		
Body	$0.15\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.36 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.16~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell	$0.28\ \text{m}\Omega$	
J14 to P14 Backshell-to-backshell	0.30 mΩ			

Table 4. Electrical bonding measurement test results—after removal from bath
(post-168-hr salt spray test).

The test article was spot rinsed and dried in ambient air for three days. As can be seen in figures 8 and 9, residual salt deposits remained on the test article. Electrical bonding measurements were taken again at various locations across the connector assembly and backshell to verify conformance to the class R bonding requirements. Again, all connectors met the <2.5-m Ω requirement. These test results are given in table 5. Electrical continuity, IR, and DWV were also retested to verify internal connector integrity. Electrical continuity test data were consistent with prespray data and showed a nominal resistance of $\approx 0.2 \Omega$. All IR and DWV test results met the specified requirements. Results of these electrical tests are listed in table 2.





(a)





(c)

Figure 8. Each of the four plugs at the interface between the connector and the receptacle connector after cleaning: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14. As can be seen in the images, salt deposits remained on the test article after cleaning.



Figure 9. Each of the four receptacles at the interface between the connector flange and the bracket after cleaning: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14. Salt deposits remained on the test article after cleaning.

16

J11 to Bracket		P11 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.05\text{m}\Omega$	Jam nut 0.11 n		
Body	$0.17 \text{ m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.66 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.17 \text{ m}\Omega$	Backshell	$0.34 \text{ m}\Omega$	
J11 to P11 Backshell-to-backshell	$0.43 \text{ m}\Omega$			
J12 to Bracket		P12 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.06~\text{m}\Omega$	Jam nut	$0.04 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Body	$0.05\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.15\text{m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.09~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell	$0.22 \text{ m}\Omega$	
J12 to P12 Backshell-to-backshell	$0.23 \text{ m}\Omega$	2		
J13 to Bracket		P13 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.39~\text{m}\Omega$	Mounting screw	$0.96~\text{m}\Omega$	
Body	$0.85\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$1.27 \text{ m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.90~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell	1.24 m Ω	
J13 to P13 Backshell-to-backshell	$0.44~\mathrm{m}\Omega$			
J14 to Bracket		P14 to Bracket		
Flange	$0.07~\text{m}\Omega$	Jam nut	$0.15\text{m}\Omega$	
Body	$0.28~\text{m}\Omega$	Coupling nut	$0.61\text{m}\Omega$	
Backshell	$0.29~\text{m}\Omega$	Backshell	$0.51~\text{m}\Omega$	
J14 to P14 Backshell-to-backshell	$0.66 \ m\Omega$			

Table 5. Electrical bonding measurement test results—after removal from bath,cleaning, and three-day wait (post-168-hr salt spray test and clean).

The plug connectors were de-mated from the receptacles for inspection. Images were taken of the receptacle and plug side of the mounting bracket. These are shown in figures 10-12. As can be seen in the images, some salt spray had entered the threaded interface of the coupling nut on two connectors but did not reach the insert of the connector area. The connector socket phenolic was clean as well as the pin interfacial seal. No salt was visible on any of the pin contacts. The environmental sealing characteristics of the connectors performed as designed by keeping the salt spray from entering the pin/contact area. Images of the alodined aluminum bracket are shown in figures 13 and 14. As expected, some corrosive attack was present in areas where the alodined surface had been damaged and removed. However, the pitting found was not sufficiently deep or numerous to affect structural strength or electrical bonding.



(b)

Figure 10. Overall view of the plug and receptacle sides of the bracket: (a) Receptacles from the plug side of the bracket after the plugs were de-mated and (b) receptacle side view of the bracket with receptacle J13 removed.



Figure 11. Close-up views of each of the four plugs after de-mating from the receptacle connector: (a) P11, (b) P12, (c) P13, and (d) P14. At this point, the external surface had been cleaned. The sealing characteristics of the connectors performed as designed by keeping the salt spray from entering the pin/contact area. There was no presence of salt on the inside surfaces.



Figure 12. Close-up views of each of the four receptacles after the plugs were de-mated: (a) J11, (b) J12, (c) J13, and (d) J14. The sealing characteristics of the connectors performed as designed by keeping salt from entering the pin/contact area.



Figure 13. Condition of the plug side of the alodined bracket: (a) Plug side P11,(b) plug side P12 (the only interface on this side that exhibited pitting),(c) plug side P13, and (d) plug side P14.



Figure 14. Condition of the receptacle flange side of the alodined bracket. This side exhibited some pitting in the flange contact area which did not affect electrical bonding:(a) Receptacle side J11, (b) receptacle side J12, (c) receptacle side J13, and (d) receptacle side J14.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to look at the corroded areas on the plugs and receptacles to determine the level of pitting and/or flaking. Examples are shown in figure 15. In these examples, the largest pits found were on plug P12 and the jam nut on connector J12, but they had no effect on jam nut removal. Pitting and flaking were expected on the jam nuts and thread interfaces due to plating damage at installation; however, these spots did not affect connector mating, electrical bonding, or structural integrity. Corrosion found on plug and receptacle bodies was present but typically superficial and spatially limited.





4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the MIL-DTL-38999³ series III, class F, EN-coated aluminum connectors that were subjected to a 168-hr salt spray test passed the NASA-STD-4003A class R bonding resistance requirement of <2.5 m Ω .⁶ The test verified that when using MIL-DTL-38999³ class F, EN-coated aluminum connectors installed into an alodined aluminum bracket per specifications, electrical performance is not degraded outside current specifications. In addition, optical and SEM inspections did not show sufficient pitting or flaking to cause mechanical degradation of the connectors.

REFERENCES

- 1. "Dissimilar Metals," MIL-STD-889B, May 17, 1993.
- 2. "Corrosion Protection for Space Flight Hardware," NASA-STD-6012, March 8, 2012.
- "Detail Specification: Connectors, Electrical, Circular, Miniature, High Density, Quick Disconnect (Bayonet, Threaded, and Breech Coupling), Environment Resistant, Removeable Crimp and Hermetic Solder Contacts, General Specification For," MIL-DTL-38999, Rev. L, May 10, 2012.
- 4. "EEE Parts Management and Control For MSFC Space Flight Hardware," MSFC-STD-3012, December 17, 1999.
- 5. Wilcox, G.D.: "Observations on Whisker Formation on Electrodeposited Metallic Coatings used in Electronics Manufacture," http://www.materialsfinishing.org/attach/7.%20Tin%20 Whisker%20Growth;%20Geoff%20Wilcox;%20Loughbrough.pdf>, March 2013.
- 6. "Electrical Bonding for NASA Launch Vehicles, Spacecraft, Payloads, and Flight Equipment," NASA-STD-4003A, February 5, 2013.
- 7. "Crimping, Interconnecting Cables, Harnesses, and Wiring," NASA-STD-8739.4, February 9, 1998.
- 8. "Detail Specification: Chemical, Conversion Coatings on Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys," MIL-DTL-5541, Rev. F, July 11, 2006.
- 9. ASTM B117-11, "Standard Practice for Operating Salt Spray (Fog) Apparatus," ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2011.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operation and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE	(DD-MM-YYYY) -05-2014		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Memo	orandum	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
4. TITLE AND SUE	3TITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Salt Spray	Test to Dete	ermine Galva	anic Corrosion Levels	of Electro-	5b. GRANT NUMBER
1000 1 110122		Mittine			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER
T.D. Rolin	ı, R.E. Hodg	e, P.D. Torre	es, D.D. Jones, and K.I	R. Laird*	5e. TASK NUMBER
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION NA	ME(S) AND ADDRE	SSS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Huntsville	e, AL 35812	Jace I light C			M-1383
9. SPONSORING		ICY NAME(S) AND	ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) $NASA$
National A	Aeronautics a	and Space A	dministration		
wasnington, DC 20346–0001					NASA/TM-2014-218194
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified-Unlimited Subject Category 26 Availability: NASA STI Information Desk (757–864–9658)					
13. SUPPLEMENT		~			
*ERC Inc.	by the Space ., Jacobs ESS	Systems Dep SSA Group	partment, Engineering	; Directorate	
 14. ABSTRACT During preliminary vehicle design reviews, requests were made to change flight termination systems from an electroless nickel (EN) connector coating to a zinc-nickel (ZN) plating. The reason for these changes was due to a new NASA-STD-6012 corrosion requirement where connectors must meet the performance requirement of 168 hr of exposure to salt spray. The specification for class F connectors, MIL-DTL-38999, certifies the EN coating will meet a 48-hr salt spray test, whereas the ZN is certified to meet a 168-hr salt spray test. The ZN finish is a concern because Marshall Space Flight Center has no flight experience with ZN-finished connectors, and MSFC-STD-3012 indicates that zinc and zincalloys should not be used. The purpose of this test was tor un a 168-hr salt spray test to verify the electrical and mechanical integrity of the EN connectors mounted to an aluminum 6061-T6 bracket that was alodined. The configuration, mounting techniques, electrical checks, and materials used were typical of flight and ground support equipment. 15. SUBJECT TERMS 					
salt fog, salt spray, corrosion, electroless nickel, zinc-nickel, connectors, electrical performance					
16. SECURITY CL	ASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE STI Help Desk at email: help@sti.na 38 Abb TELEPUOUE WWWDER # Abb					STI Help Desk at email: help@sti.nasa.gov
U	U	U		20	STI Help Desk at: 757–864–9658

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

National Aeronautics and Space Administration IS20 George C. Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, Alabama 35812