Analysis of Arterial Mechanics During Head-Down-Tilt Bed Rest

Morgan B. Elliott^{1,3}, David S. Martin², Christian M. Westby³, Michael B. Stenger², Steven H. Platts⁴ ¹Houston, TX, ²Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, ²Wyle Science, Technology, and Engineering Group, ³Universities Space Research Association. Houston. TX. ⁴NASA Johnson Space Center. Houston. TX.



Cardiovascular Lab

- · Investigate how the spaceflight environment affects the cardiovascular system to aid in the improvement of astronaut health, develop countermeasures, and potentially benefit other populations on Earth
- Models of spaceflight: head-down-tilt bed rest (HDTBR), parabolic flight, and hypovolemia
- Study objective: retrospective data analysis to understand HDTBR effects on arterial mechanics as a spaceflight analog

HDTBR

- · Physiological deconditioning, specifically a fluid shift, similar to space
- -6° head down 24hrs/day for 60 days
- · Ground based simulation
- · Pilot data indicating carotid distensibility coefficient is lower during spaceflight similar to increased vascular age in a clinical setting



CARDIOVASCULAR DECONDITIONING IN WEIGHTLESSNESS



METHODS

Days analyzed: 5 days pre- (-5), after 60 days (60), 3 days post- (+3) HDTBR 3 arteries analyzed (healthy subjects):

- Carotid Artery 13 subjects (7M, 6F, mean age 35±8, weight 71±10 kg, and height 168±9 cm)
- Brachial and Anterior Tibial Arteries 11 different subjects (8M, 3F, mean age 34±9, weight 74±16 kg, and height 170±9 cm)

Statistics: linear mixed model analysis



HYPOTHESIS AND SPECIFIC AIMS

- · Hypothesis: responses of vessels will vary with physiological location and arterial mechanics will change with days of HDTBR
- Specific aim 1: describe relative difference in arterial structure and function in the upper compared to lower body as the result of HDTBR
- Specific aim 2: define changes in arterial morphology and mechanics during HDTBR due to changing load and pressure profile

RESULTS

Morphological Analysis

Intima Media Thickness (IMT)

Measured: arterial wall thickness (IMT), systolic diameter (SD), diastolic diameter (DD), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and pulse pressure (PP = SBP-DBP)



brachial and tibial IMT (p < 0.001). The tibial IMT decreased relative to the brachial response from BR-5 to BR60 and BR+3 (p < 0.05). The tibial IMT was thinned on BR60 (p < 0.001) and did not recover by BR+3 (p = 0.02). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

60 Day of Bed Rest

Figure 3. The tibial artery trended towards smaller moduli (p = 0.1) from

BR-5 to BR+3. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals

Carotid

Brachia

Tibial

+3





Functional Analysis Cont.



BR-5 to BR+3. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals

Stiffness (β)

Subclinical index of atherosclerosis



Discussion

- Carotid, brachial, and tibial arteries reacted differently to HDTBR. Previous studies have not analyzed the mechanical properties of the human brachial or anterior tibial arteries.
- After slight variations during bed-rest, arterial mechanical properties and IMT returned to pre-bed rest values, with the exception of tibial stiffness and PSE, which continued to be reduced post-bed rest while the DC remained elevated.
- · The tibial artery remodeling was probably due to decreased pressure and volume. Resulting implications for longer duration spaceflight are unclear.
- Arterial health may be affected by microgravity, as shown by increased thoracic aorta stiffness in other ground based simulations (Aubert).

Limitations:

- Small n value
 - Imprecise boundary determination methods
 - · Formulas sensitive to small measurement differences
 - · Single, non-blinded analysis

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the Minority University Research and Education Program for funding this project and the NASA Johnson Space Center Cardiovascular Lab for their guidance.

References

Aubert, A.E. Acta Cardiol, 2005. 60(2): 129-151. Godia, E.C. J Ultras Med, 2007. 26(9):1157-1165. Haluska B. Clin Sci. 2008, 114: 441-447. Hargens, A.R. Eur J Appl Physiol, 2013. 113(9): 2183-2192. Meck, J.V. Aviat Space Envir MD, 2009. 80(5): A1-A8 Norsk, P. Eur J Appl Physiol, 2014. 114: 481-497. O'Rourke, M.F. Am J Hypertens, 2002. 15(5): 426-444. Tuday, E.C. J Appl Physiol, 2007. 102:853-858. Van Bortel, L.M. Hypertension, 1995. 26(3): 531-534.



