

Key Messages

- Identified Technology Gaps and Priorities
- Open discussion with Tech Mirror Days community to provide input to technology roadmap and to explore opportunities for technology development

Demonstrate readiness of key technologies by Astrophysics 2020 Decadal Survey so ATLAST mission concept will begin development in 2020s (Five year plan: FY15 to FY19)

Science Requirements Flow-Down to Telescope and Instruments

Γ	Telescope Parameter	Consensus Requirement
	Primary Mirror Aperture	≥ 8 meters
	Primary Mirror Temperature	~20 C, pending detailed thermal design
	UV Coverage	100 nm (90 nm goal) - 300 nm
	Vis/NIR Coverage	300 nm – 2500 nm
	Mid-IR Coverage	Under evaluation to ~ 8000 nm
Γ	Vis/NIR Image Quality	Diffraction-limited performance at 500 nm
Γ	Stray Light	Zodi-limited in 400 nm - 2000 nm wavelengths
	Wavefront Error Stability for Exoplanet Imaging Using an Internal Coronagraph	1 x 10^{-10} system contrast < 10 pm rms residual system WFE for < 10 min bandpass between λ /D and 10 λ /D

Telescope Design Parameters

Notional Science Instruments Design Parameters

Science Instrument Parameter	Consensus Requirement	
UV Imager	100 nm (90 nm goal) - 300 nm	
0 v mager	$FOV = 1 - 2 \operatorname{arcmin}$	
	100 nm (90 nm goal) - 300 nm	
UV Speetrograph	R = 20,000 - 300,000, multiple modes	
0 v Spectrograph	$FOV = 1 - 2 \operatorname{arcmin}$	
	Multi-object spectroscopy capability	
	300 – 2500 nm	
Vis/NIR Imager	$FOV = 4 - 8 \operatorname{arcmin}$	
_	Nyquist sampled at 500 nm	
	300 – 2500 nm	
Vis/NIR Spectrograph	R = 100, 500, 2000	
	$FOV = 3 - 4 \operatorname{arcmin}$	
x	10 ⁻¹⁰ contrast (raw)	
Starlight Suppression System	10 ⁻¹¹ contrast stability over several days	
*	Inner working angle of ~ 40 mas	
Evenlenet Imagen	Near-UV and Visible channel	
Exoplanet Imager	FOV~ 10 arcsec	
Engelan et Suestus guan h	300 – 2500 nm	
Exoptanet Spectrograph	R = 70,500	
	FOV ~ 1 arcsec	

NB: Mid-IR instrument TBD pending performance assessment

Driving Capabilities

Faint/Distant Objects Sensitivity; Resolution Aperture

ensitivity; Resolution (D⁴) (λ/D)

(λ; D)

Starlight Suppression WFE Mechanical, Thermal Stability (High Contrast)

Driving Capability	Need	Comparison to Current or Planned Space Missions
Sensitivity Resolution	10 m aperture	300x HST, 6x JWST 4x HST, 6x JWST
Starlight Suppression (Contrast)	10-10	10 – 100x WFIRST-AFTA
Wavefront Error Stability (WFE) (Using Internal Coronagraph)	10 pm over 10 min	1000x JWST

Technology Gap Areas

Enabling Technologies

Starlight Suppression System Vibration Isolation and Control System Lightweight Mirror Segment

Enhancing Technologies

Detectors Mirror Coatings

Starlight Suppression System

Key Challenges

- > 10⁻¹⁰ raw image contrast; 10⁻¹¹ contrast stability
- Compatible with segmented aperture geometry
- 40 mas inner working angle
- Broad Bandpass

Approach

- Develop both internal coronagraph and starshade
- Identify technologies that relax stability requirements on telescope
- Test coronagraphs on a vacuum segmented-aperture testbed

Adaptive Control of Aperture Discontinuities: example with JWST geometry



Starlight Suppression System: Key Metrics/Need/SOA/TRL

Technology	Key Metrics	Need	State of the Art	TRL
	Aperture Diameter	≥ 8	6.5 (JWST)	
	(m)		2.4 (HST)	
	Areal Density	< 36 (EELV)	70 (JWST)	
	(kg/m^2)	< 500 (SLS)	460 (HST)	
	Areal Cost	< 2	12 (JWST)	
	(M/m^2)			
Lightweight	Surface Figure Error	< 7	< 7 (HST)	1
Mirror	(nm rms)		25 (JWST)	4 - 6
Segment	Mechanical WFE		50 nm/14 days	
	Stability	< 7	(JWST)	
	(pm rms/10 min)			
	Thermal WFE		50 nm/14 days	
	Stability	< 7	(JWST)	
	(pm rms/10 min)			
	Areal Cost	< \$2M/m ²	\sim \$6M/m ² (JWST)	
			\sim \$12M/m ² (HST)	
	Areal Production	> 10	$\sim 4 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr} (\text{JWST})$	
	Rate	m ² /year	$\sim 1 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (HST)	

Vibration Isolation and Control System

Key Challenges

- System wavefront stability < 10 pm over 10 min</p>
- Line-of-sight pointing stability < 1.0 milliarcsec</p>
- Total system vibration isolation of 140 dB (assuming JWST-like scaling)
- Vibration-isolated mass of 5000 kg or more

- Develop system-integrated vibration isolation and control technologies
- Establish capability to model/test vibration effects on segmented mirrors
- Close partnership with industry

Technology	Sub Areas	Key Metrics	Need	State of the Art	TRL
Vibration	Reaction wheels				
Isolation and	Active Isolation System	Attenuation $> 40 \text{ Hz}$	140	80	5
Control System	Passive Isolation System	(uD)			

Lightweight Mirror Segment

• Key Challenges

- Diffraction-limited optical quality
- Wavefront stability to 10 pm per 10 min
- UV compatibility (microroughness, contamination)
- Low cost, low mass, and rapid fabrication

- Optical system design and modeling
- Segmented mirror system development
- Active thermal control for stability
- System-level vibration damping and isolation
- High-precision actuation



MMSD Lightweight ULE Segment Substrate



AHM SiC-based Segment Substrate

Lightweight Mirror Segment: Key Metrics/Need/SOA/TRL

Technology	Key Metrics	Need	State of the Art	TRL
	Aperture Diameter (m)	≥ 8	6.5 (JWST) 2.4 (HST)	
	Areal Density (kg/m ²)	< 36 (EELV) < 500 (SLS)	70 (JWST) 460 (HST)	
	Areal Cost (\$M/m ²)	< 2	12 (JWST)	
Lightweight Mirror	Surface Figure Error (nm rms)	< 7	< 7 (HST) 25 (JWST)	4 - 6
Segment	Mechanical WFE Stability (pm rms/10 min)	< 7	50 nm/14 days (JWST)	
	Thermal WFE Stability (pm rms/10 min)	< 7	50 nm/14 days (JWST)	
	Areal Cost	< \$2M/m ²	$\sim $6\text{M/m}^2 \text{ (JWST)} \\ \sim $12\text{M/m}^2 \text{ (HST)}$	
	Areal Production Rate	> 10 m ² /year	$\sim 4 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr} (\text{JWST})$ $\sim 1 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr} (\text{HST})$	

Detectors

Key Challenges

- Visible-blind, high quantum efficiency (> 50%) UV arrays
- Photon counting Visible and NIR arrays
 - Coronagraphic spectroscopy for biosignature characterization
 Read noise < 1 e- and dark current < 0.004 e-/s/pix
 - Starlight wavefront sensing and control
- Deep full wells with low persistence and radiation tolerance to enable transit imaging and spectroscopy at all wavelengths

- Parallel development on a family of detectors: UV/Vis/NIR
- Build on detector accomplishments of HST, JWST, and WFIRST-AFTA
- Encourage innovative partnerships (university/industry/government)

Detectors: Key Metrics/Need/SOA/TRL

Technology	Sub Areas	Key Metrics	Need	State of the Art	TRL	
	UV (Visible Blind)	QE (%) Noise (elect rms)	> 50 < 5	5 - 20 < 5		
		Format (Mpixel) QE (%)	4 > 80	1 > 80		
	Vis/NIR	Noise (elect rms) Format (Mpixel)	< 5 16	< 5 16		
		Radiation Tolerance	Rad hard at L2	Visible CCDs not rad hard at L2		
Detectors		QE (%)	> 80	> 60 (Visible only)	3 - 4	
	Photon Counting Vis/NIR	Read Noise (elect rms) Dark Current (e/s/pixel)	< 1 <0.004	EMCCDs have been used to count photons in the visible. Reducing clock induced charge would be beneficial. HgCdTe arrays have been used to count photons at much higher dark count rates		
		Format (Mpixel)	4	1	1	

Mirror Coatings

Key Challenges

- High reflectivity (> 90%) coatings to support starlight suppression and high-throughput UV observations
- > High uniformity (< 1%); large spectral range; low polarization (< 1%)
- Scaling up coatings to large diameter (meters) mirror substrates

- Develop conventional technologies such as physical vapor deposition
- > Develop new coating technologies such as atomic layer deposition (ALD)



Mirror Coatings: Key Metrics/Need/SOA/TRL

Technology	Key Metrics	Need	State of the Art	TRL
	Wavelength (nm)	90 - 2500	90 - 2500	
Mirror Coatings	Reflectivity (%)	> 90	< 50; 90 – 120 nm ~ 85; 120 – 300 nm > 90; 300 – 2500 nm	3 - 4
	Uniformity (%)	< 1	2	
	Polarization (%)	< 1	1	

See study team member presentations on Thursday – Optical Coating Technology:

- Bala (JPL): FUV to NIR mirror coatings development status
- Quijada (GSFC): Recent Progress on 2012 SAT for UVOIR Coatings

Traceable to Cosmic Origins (COR) and Exoplanet Exploration (ExEP) Program Office Technology Gap Needs

Technology Gap	Astrophysics Program Office	Past or Current Funding
Coronagraph	ExEP	Х
Starshade	ExEP	Х
Affordable, lightweight, large-aperture telescopes	COR	Х
Sensing and control at the nanometer level or better	COR	
High-reflectivity mirror coatings for the UV/Vis/IR	COR	Х
High-QE, large format UV detectors	COR	Х
Very-large-format, high QE, low-noise, radiation tolerant detectors for the UV/Vis/IR	COR	Х
Photon-counting visible and NIR detector arrays	ExEP, COR	Х

http://cor.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/2014_COR_PATR.pdf http://exep.jpl.nasa.gov/technology/http://exep.jpl.nasa.gov/technology/

Connections to FY 15 SBIR Subtopics

Subtopic #	Subtopic Title
S2.01	Proximity Glare Suppression for Astronomical Coronagraphy
S2.02	Precision Deployable Optical Structures and Metrology
S2.03	Advanced Optical Systems and Fabrication/Testing/Control Technologies
S2.04	X-ray Mirror Systems, Coating Technology for X-ray to UVOIR, and Free-Form Optics
S5.04	Integrated Science Mission Modeling
S1.03	Sensor and Detector Technology for Visible, IR, Far IR and Submillimeter
S1.04	Detector Technologies for UV, X-ray, Gamma-Ray and Cosmic-Ray Instruments

Path Forward

- Develop more detailed technology roadmap and technology investment plan for reference mission architectures
- Broaden input and collaboration with technical community

carl.m.stahle@nasa.gov; 301-286-6182 matthew.bolcar@nasa.gov; 301-286-5287

References

• Science Drivers

Postman et al., Opt. Eng. 51(1), 011007 (Jan 20, 2012). doi:10.1117/1.OE.51.1.011007

Telescope and Instrument Suites

- Stahl et al., Proc. SPIE 7731, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2010: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, 77312N (August 09, 2010); doi:10.1117/12.856256
- Feinberg et al., Proc. SPIE 9143, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, 914316 (August 2, 2014); doi:10.1117/12.2054915
- Redding et al., Proc. SPIE 9143, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, 914333 (August 2, 2014)