Fiber Attachment Module Experiment (FAME): Using a Multiplexed Miniature Hollow Fiber



Membrane Bioreactor Solution for Rapid Process Testing

Janelle Coutts¹, Griffin Lunn¹, Lawrence Koss¹, Mary Hummerick¹, Lashelle Spencer¹, Marissa Johnsey¹, Jeffrey Richards¹, Ronald Ellis², Michele Birmele¹, and Raymond Wheeler³

¹Engineering Services Contract-Team QNA, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899

² Atlanta Metropolitan State College, Atlanta, GA 30310

³NASA NE Directorate, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899

Introduction:

- > Bioreactor research is mostly limited to continuous stirred-tank reactors (CSTRs) which are not an option for microgravity (µg) applications due to the lack of a gravity gradient to drive aeration as described by the Archimedes principle. Bioreactors and filtration systems for treating wastewater in µg could avoid the need for harsh pretreatment chemicals and improve overall water recovery.
- > Solution: Membrane Aerated Bioreactors (MABRs) for µg applications, including possible use for wastewater treatment systems for the International Space Station (ISS).
- > Small 1-L volume MABRs, do not lend themselves to rapid testing capacities. To address this, we designed 125-mL, rectangular reactors, to create the Fiber Attachment Module Experiment (FAME) system.
 - > Each FAME module (i.e., reactor) is self-contained.
- > Easy to plumb with pumps for continuous recycling of fluids/feeding and sensors for parameter monitoring similar to their larger counterparts.
- > Application: Rapid Biofilm Attachment Studies
 - > Goal: Achieve rapid reactor startup and biofilm attachment (based on carbon oxidation and nitrification of wastewater).
 - > Multi-factorial test with data collected over short to study and improve bioreactor performance.
 - > Thirteen fiber surface treatments and three inoculation sources tested.



Figure 1: Various fiber treatments tested: Top: PE (5 sec), 1500-Grit Sanding, Cable Sheath Bottom: Cotton thread, Silk Thread, Spider Silk

Figure 2: FAME rack containing four individual modules during fiber testing.

Materials and Methods:

Reactor Setup

- Multiple polycarbonate FAME racks, each containing 4 modules
- Peristaltic pumps used for fluid recirculation (1025 reactor volumes per day)
- Standard breathing air used as reactor oxygen source
- Modules contained in a Controlled Environment Chamber (CEC) at 25°C in darkness

Fiber Treatments:

Etching	Polymer Coating	Mechanical	Scaffolding
3% HF	Polyacrylic Acid (PAA)	Abrasion via Sand Paper	Nylon Cable Sheaths
Fluoroetch [®] (FE)	Polystyrene (PS)	(Various Grits)	Silk Thread
Piranha Etch (PE)			Cotton Thread
			Spider Silk

Inoculation Sources:

- Effluent from a KSC single-stage carbon oxidation reactor (R3)
- Effluent from a Texas Tech University (TTU) single-stage MABR
- Activated sewage sludge from a local septic tank

Evaluation Parameters:

- Bulk fluid analysis weekly: NH₃, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, total cell counts
- Sample volumes replaced with reactor feed (real urine solution)
- > End of experiment analysis: total cell counts for biofilms attached to fibers
- > Oxygen permeation of modified fibers compared to controls

Biological Results:

- > TTU inoculum showed significantly higher attached cell counts compared to R3 inoculum in all treatments except HF - 15 min.
- Highest cell counts overall were seen for TTU inoculum on FE 18 sec & HF 12 sec fibers.
- R3 counts were the lowest; no significant difference between TTU and Septic.
 - > R3 inoculum was effluent from well-established bioreactor; selecting for planktonic cells in the effluent could explain lower attachment.
- Septic tank inoculum was compared to test a local and consistent source for future studies if comparable to TTU inoculum results.



Figure 3: Attached cells on fibers with six surface treatments and three different inoculum sources. Error bars represent standard deviation (n=6).

Figure 4: Attached cells on additional fiber treatments tested with septic tank inoculum. Error bars represent standard deviation (n=6).

- Bulk fluid counts lowest in TTU-inoculated modules and highest in septic-inoculated modules.
- > Two fiber treatments with highest number of attached cells *regardless* of inoculum:
 - Fluoroetch (18 sec)-treated
 - HF (12-sec)-treated
- > General trend: higher number of attached cells in a module correlated to lower bulk fluid cell counts
- > None of the additional treatments tested solely with septic tank inoculum exhibited increased biofilm attachment over the FE 18-sec and HF 12-sec treatments
- > Polystyrene polymer coating treatment resulted in significantly lower fiber cell counts compared to other treatments.



Figure 5: Cell counts in bulk fluid (outlined bar) and attached (solid bar) in modules with FE 18-sec and HF 12-sec treatments. Error bars represent standard deviation



Figure 6: Biofilms present on samples after fiber harvest for TTU inoculum with control (Left) fibers and FE 18-sec-treated (Right) fibers showing drastic cell attachment differences



Chemical Analysis Results:

- > Limited chemical analysis performed due to nature of experiment; bulk fluid samples collected weekly for pH, NH₃, NO₂, and NO₃:
 - > pH for reactor inocula and feed ranged between 6.3 and 6.5; as experiments progressed, pH rose rapidly to between 8 and 9 due to urea hydrolysis to ammonia
 - > Buildup of NH, indicative of no nitrification; supported by absence of nitrate and nitrite species. Attempt made to lower pH to induce nitrification at DOE 40: attempts were unsuccessful.
- > Results show that formed biofilms were heterotrophs responsible for carbon oxidation/urea hydrolysis. Further fiber development may be required to better attract nitrifying biofilms.





Oxygen Permeation Results:

- > PDMS fibers traditionally used for their high O2 permeation, superior mechanical/chemical resistance, and toleration of high intra-membrane pressures.
- > Modification of PDMS for better biofilm attachment must not sacrifice these properties, especially O₂ permeation.
- > O₂ permeation comparisons completed for five of the modification processes: > Mass transfer coefficient, K, derived for each membrane type.
 - > Describes resistance between gas phase, membrane, and liquid phase boundary layers.
 - Increased K equates to increased O₂ transfer across the membrane.
- > None of the modifications exhibited statistically different K values, showing O2 permeation was not inhibited by the treatment.
- > Further shows that differences in biofilm attachment are not due to changes in O₂ permeation.

Table 2: Mass transfer coefficients for control and treated fibers				
Treatment	Max K (cm s ⁻¹)	Average K (cm s ⁻¹)		
Control	0.00171	0.00115		
FE – 18 sec	0.00173	0.00107		
PE – 5 sec	0.00148	0.00082		
1500-Grit Sanding	0.00147	0.00105		
8000-Grit Sanding	0.00146	0.00109		
HF – 5 min	0.00178	0.00096		

Future Work:

- > Future experiments involving FAME hardware will include further testing of fiber modifications, inoculum choice, reactor feed composition, reactor poisoning effects, biofilm repulsion treatments, and more.
- Fiber modifications which have shown promise will also undergo further verification testing.
- Modifications to current hardware include the addition of external probe tanks for continuous monitoring of pH and/or dissolved oxygen (DO) within the modules to allow for improved process controls in hopes of gaining nitrification in the reactors.
- The value of this hardware is demonstrated for rapid testing of numerous parameters in parallel. FAME racks may also be utilized for future reactor hibernation and biofilm-development time course studies.