

Barbara Sakowski NASA Glenn Research Center

1

## **Customization for Cryogenic Tank Analysis**

- A general purpose SINDA/FLUINT (S/F) stratified tank model was created to simulate self-pressurization and axial jet TVS
- Stratified layers in the vapor and liquid are modeled using S/F lumps.
- The stratified tank model was constructed to permit incorporating the following additional features:
  - Multiple or singular lumps in the liquid and vapor regions of the tank
  - Real gases (also mixtures) and compressible liquids
  - Venting, pressurizing, and draining
  - Condensation and evaporation/boiling
  - Wall heat transfer
  - Elliptical, cylindrical, and spherical tank geometries

### **Customization for Cryogenic Tank Analysis**

- Extensive user logic is used to allow detailed tailoring Don't have to rebuilt everything from scratch!!
- Most code input for a specific case is done through the Registers Data Block:
  - Lump volumes are determined through user input:
    - Geometric tank dimensions (height, width, etc)
    - Liquid level could be input as either a volume percentage of fill level or actual liquid level height



- Initialization of wall node volumes:
  - Determined by the number of nodes input for the vapor wall and liquid wall regions
  - Wall node volumes are not uniform values in the vapor region or liquid region
  - Wall node volumes correspond to "equal" fluid volumes in the vapor or liquid region

- Volume Flow Rate Connectors (VFRSETs) are placed between the layered lumps in each region to equalize volumes:
  - Used during venting, draining
  - Used during mass transfer of evaporation, condensation, boiling
  - User logic determines the volume flow rate through these connectors so that the volumes of the lumps in each respective region remains constant within a small percentage
  - Avoids lumps from becoming too small or too large relative to one another, and consequently avoids the problem of having very small lumps to somehow "disappear"
  - Converted "balloon-like-behaving" lumps with IFACES to something more like a "fixed volume" approach (still at constant pressure)



Fig 2: Stratified Tank Heat Transfer



Fig 3: Stratified Tank Heat and Mass Transfer

## **SINDA/FLUINT Boundary Layer Analysis**

- Thermal boundary layer that forms along the tank wall, due to wall heat leak, is modeled empirically using correlations for free convection.
- Although the two dimensional flow dynamics of the boundary layer could not directly be incorporated into the one dimensional stratified tank model, it is incorporated in a one dimensional sense. Within each fluid lump the following boundary layer characteristics are determined:
  - Characteristic velocity
  - Boundary layer thickness
  - Buoyancy driven volume flow rate

## **SINDA/FLUINT Vapor Liquid Interface Modeling**

- SINDA/FLUINT contains pre-built utility functions to model heat and mass transfer between a liquid and vapor interface (TWIN TANKS)
- For more modelling flexibility, user logic could incorporate the necessary physics to model a wide variety of scenarios:



Fig 4: Vapor Liquid Interface Model

## **SINDA/FLUINT Vapor Liquid Interface Modeling**

• The heat rates for these FTIEs are defined as follows:

QFTIEvap = 
$$h * A_{INTERFACE} * (T_{VAP} - T_{INTERFACE})$$
  
QFTIEliq =  $h * A_{INTERFACE} * (T_{INTERFACE} - T_{LIQ})$ 

### • where,

h	=	Nu / D <sub>INTERFACE</sub> * k
Nu	=	const*Ra <sup>(1/3)</sup>
const	~	0.04 * Function(Height/Diameter)

• The **net evaporation rate at the interface** is calculated to be:

mdot<sub>EVAP</sub> = (QFTIEvap-QFTIEliq) / (heat of vaporization)

- **Evaporation** is modeled via the process:
  - Liquid leaves the "top" liquid lump and enters a DUMMY PLENUM
  - Vapor enters the "bottom" vapor lump from a DUMMY vapor PLENUM
- **Condensation** is modeled via the process:
  - Vapor leaves the "bottom" vapor lump and enters a DUMMY PLENUM
  - Liquid enters the "top" liquid lump from a DUMMY liquid PLENUM
- The DUMMY **PLENUMS** are set at the saturation temperature of the "**top**" liquid lump (updated every iteration)

### NOTE!

• The mass flow rate, whether condensing or evaporating, should be at saturated conditions. However the "**bottom**" vapor and "**top**" liquid lumps may not be saturated. Thus when mass is removed from either of these lumps the amount of energy leaving these lumps needs to be adjusted to account for this discrepancy.

• K-Site LH2 Self-pressurization (1g)

## K-Site LH2 Self-Pressurization (1g)

- 1. Flightweight insulated aluminum ellipsoidal tank
  - Internal volume: 175 ft<sup>3</sup>
  - Tests conducted in vacuum chamber.
  - Tank is supported by 12 fiberglass composite struts.
  - Test article is enclosed by a cryoshroud whose temperatures are maintained with electrical heaters.
  - Tank insulated with 2 blankets of MLI.
- 2. Test fluid is liquid hydrogen
- 3. Steady boil-off test and measurement performed at 95% fill and 117 kPa.
- 4. Tank fill level was reduced to desired fill level.
- 5. Several hours of additional venting at 103 kPa to achieve stationary state.
- 6. Self-pressurization tests were initiated from stationary stratified state.





#### SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES Fluent = lumped ullage model



#### SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES Fluent = lumped ullage model

KSITE 49% FILL LEVEL

HEAT LEAK 30.0 W 22.50 **TEST DATA** 22.00 SINDA/FLUINT FLUENT 21.50 21.00 20.50 20.00 19.50 PRESURE (PSI) 19.00 18.50 18.00 17.50 17.00 16.50 16.00 15.50 15.00 12 1 2 3 5 10 11 я 9 TIME (HD)

#### SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES Fluent = lumped ullage model



#### KSITE 83% FILL LEVEL HEAT LEAK 30.0 W

SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES



KSITE 29% FILL LEVEL

SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES



#### KSITE 49% FILL LEVEL HEAT LEAK 49.35 W

SINDA/FLUINT: 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS, 50 LIQUID WALL NODES, 40 VAPOR WALL NODES

KSITE 83% FILL LEVEL



### SINDA/FLUINT used 50 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS

#### 49% Liquid Fill Level. Total Heat into Tank = 30 W

Fluent = lumped ullage model



#### SINDA/FLUINT used 50 LIQUID LUMPS, 40 VAPOR LUMPS

49% Liquid Fill Level. Total Heat into Tank = 49.35 W



## Validation Cases BACK UP SLIDES!!

• K-Site LH2 Axial Jet (1g)

## K-site LH2 Axial Jet Experiments (1g)

- Same Tank as K-site LH2 1g Self-pressurization experiments. Pump and jet nozzle (mixer unit) was hardware designed for Shuttle Centaur LH2 tank and installed in K-site LH2 tank.
- Jet nozzle and location not changed during axial jet runs, but the jet flow rate was varied.
- Only considering test runs where self-pressurization was used to pressurize tank before turning on jet (Test Series A and B). Tank typically pressurized to 186 kPa before initiating jet.
- Experimental data is available for: tank heat load, ullage pressure, fluid temperature rake,
  - wall temperatures, jet flow rates.





pump section outer dia = 2.52 inch



Test run #	Initial liquid fill, %	Jet volume flow	Jet velocity, m/s	Rejet	Initial pressure, kPa
		rate, m <sup>3</sup> /hr			
436	85.3	1.82	1.32	160,000	187.0
434	86.3	3.47	2.51	304,000	186.1
457	49.1	1.82	1.33	161,000	186.5
449	49.1	3.41	2.47	299,000	186.1

#### Table 1: Experimental conditions for mixing tests.

1.82 m<sup>3</sup>/hr = 8.0132 GPM LH2 3.41 m<sup>3</sup>/hr = 15.0138 GPM LH2 3.47 m<sup>3</sup>/hr = 15.278 GPM LH2

### SINDA/FLUINT used 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 50 VAPOR LUMPS Test Run 436 (85.3% liquid fill, 8.0132 GPM LH2 jet flow rate)



### SINDA/FLUINT used 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 50 VAPOR LUMPS Test Run 434 (86.3% liquid fill, 15.278 GPM LH2 jet flow rate)



### SINDA/FLUINT used 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 50 VAPOR LUMPS Test Run 457 (49.1% liquid fill, 8.0132 GPM LH2 jet flow rate)



### SINDA/FLUINT used 75 LIQUID LUMPS, 50 VAPOR LUMPS Test Run 449 (49.1% liquid fill, 15.0138 GPM LH2 jet flow rate)

