

Affordable Development and Demonstration of a Small NTR Engine and Stage: A Preliminary NASA, DOE and Industry Assessment

S. K. Borowski and R. J. Sefcik (NASA GRC) J. E. Fittje and D. R. McCurdy (Vantage Partners, LLC@GRC) A. L. Qualls and B. G. Schnitzler (ORNL) J. Werner (INL) and A. Weitzberg (DOE Consultant) C. R. Joyner (Aerojet Rocketdyne) <u>216-977-7091, Stanley K.Borowski@nasa.gov</u>

presented at

Nuclear and Emerging Technologies for Space (NETS-2015) Albuquerque, NM

Monday, Feb. 23, 2015



at Lewis Field

Glenn Research Center







Formulation of Affordable and Sustainable NTP Development Strategy is Underway Involving NASA, DOE and Industry

- In FY' 11, Nuclear Thermal Propulsion (NTP) was identified as a key propulsion option under the Advanced In-Space Propulsion (AISP) component of NASA's Exploration Technology Development and Demonstration (ETDD) program
- A strategy was outlined by GRC and NASA HQ that included 2 key elements "Foundational Technology Development" followed by specific "Technology Demonstration" projects
- The "Technology Demonstration" element proposed ground technology demonstration (GTD) testing in the early 2020's, followed by a flight technology demonstration (FTD) mission by ~2025
- In order to reduce development costs, the demonstration projects would focus on developing a small, low thrust (~7.5 – 16.5 klb_f) engine that utilizes a "common" fuel element design scalable to the higher thrust (~25 klb_f) engines used in NASA's Mars DRA 5.0 study (NASA-SP-2009-566)
- Besides reducing development costs and allowing utilization of existing, flight proven engine hardware (e.g., hydrogen pumps and nozzles), small, lower thrust ground and flight demonstration engines can validate the technology and offer improved capability – increased payloads and decreased transit times – valued for robotic science missions identified in NASA' s Decadal Study
- NASA, NE-75, ORNL, INL, NNSA, & industry (NSTech, Aerojet Rocketdyne) are working together on formulating a strategy leading to the development of a small GTD engine in the early 2020's followed by a FTD "lunar flyby" mission using a small NTP stage (SNTPS) around 2025
- The preliminary assessment provided here along with similar information provided by DOE/NNSA provides a strawman for continued refinement allowing an informed cost estimate to be made







Glenn Research Center



Rover / NERVA Program Summary*

(1959-1972)

The smallest engine tested, the 25 klb_f "Pewee" engine, is sufficient for human Mars missions when used in a clustered arrangement of 3 – 4 engines

- 20 NTR / reactors designed, built and tested at the Nevada Test Site "All the requirements for a human mission to Mars were demonstrated"
- **Engine sizes tested**
 - 25, 50, 75 and 250 klb_f
- H₂ exit temperatures achieved
 - 2,350-2,550 K (in 25 klb, Pewee)
- I_{sp} capability
 - 825-850 sec ("hot bleed cycle" tested on NERVA-XE)
 - 850-875 sec ("expander cycle" chosen for NERVA flight engine)
- **Burn duration**
 - ~ 62 min (50 klb_f NRX-A6 single burn)
 - ~ 2 hrs (50 klb_f NRX-XE: 27 restarts / accumulated burn time)

* NERVA: Nuclear Engine for Rocket Vehicle Applications



Glenn Research Center



"Heritage" Rover / NERVA Reactor Core Fuel Element and Tie Tube Bundle Arrangement



Glenn Research Center







Fuel Element (FE) – Tie Tube (TT) Arrangements for NERVA-derived NTR Engines

"Sparse" FE – TT Pattern used for Large Engines "SNRE" FE – TT Pattern used in <u>Small Nuclear Rocket Engine</u> "Dense" FE – Tie Tube Pattern used in Lower Thrust Engines



Each FE has 4 adjacent FEs and 2 adjacent TTs with a FE to TT ratio of ~3 to 1



Each FE has 3 adjacent FEs and 3 adjacent TTs with a FE to TT ratio of ~2 to 1



Each FE has 2 adjacent FEs and 4 adjacent TTs with a FE to TT ratio of ~1 to 1

NOTE: An important feature common to both the Sparse and SNRE FE – TT patterns is that each tie tube is surrounded by and provides mechanical support for 6 fuel elements

Ref: B. Schnitzler, et al., "Lower Thrust Engine Options Based on the Small Nuclear Rocket Engine Design", AIAA-2011-5846



Glenn Research Center



OAK RIDGE

National Laboratory



Cross Sections for Low to High Thrust Engines using Various Fuel Element – Tie Tube Patterns









National Laboratory Development of a Common Scalable Fuel Element for Ground Testing and Flight Validation

- During the Rover program, a common fuel element / tie tube design was developed and used in the design of the 50 klbf Kiwi-B4E (1964), 75 klbf Phoebus-1B (1967), 250 klbf Phoebus-2A (June 1968), then back down to the 25 klbf Pewee engine (Nov-Dec 1968)
- NASA and DOE are evaluating a similar approach: design, build, ground then flight test a small engine using a common fuel element that is scalable to a larger 25 klbf thrust engine needed for human missions



25-klb_f "Pewee-class" engine (Radial growth option / sparse pattern)

at Lewis Field

Ref: B. Schnitzler, et al., "Lower Thrust Engine Options Based on the Small Nuclear Rocket Engine Design", AIAA-2011-5846 paper presented at the 47th Joint Propulsion Conference, San Diego, CA

Glenn Research Center



Performance Characteristics for Small & Full Size NERVA-derived Engine Designs – Composite Fuel



ng Us to New Worlds"		_			25 klb _r Axial Growth	
	Small Citicality		<u>SNRE</u>		<u>Option</u>	
Performance Characteristic	Limited Engi	ine	<u>Baseline</u>	<u>Baseline +</u>	Nomina	al <u>Enhanced</u>
Engine System		1	I			7
Thrust (klb _f)	7.52		16.4	16.7	25.2	25.1
Chamber Inlet Temperature (K)	2739		2695	2733	2790	2940
Chamber Pressure (psia)	565		450	450	1000	1000
Nozzle Area Ratio (NAR)	300:1		100:1	300:1	300:1	300:1
Specific Impulse (s)	894		875	900	909	945
Engine Thrust-to-Weight	1.91		2.92	3.06	3.42	3.41
Approx. Engine Length* (m)	6.19		4.46	6.81	8.69	8.69
Length w/ Retracted Nozzle (m)	4.93		N/A	3.65	6.53	6.53
			I			
Reactor			I			
Active Fuel Length (cm)	89		89	89	132	132
Reflector Thickness (cm)	14.7		14.7	14.7	14.7	14.7
Pressure Vessel Diameter (cm)	87.7		98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5
Element Fuel/Tie Tube Pattern Type	Dense		SNRE	SNRE	SNRE	SNRE
Number of Fuel Elements	260		564	564	564	564
Number of Tie-Tube Elements	251		241	241	241	241
Fuel Fissile Loading (g U per cm ³)	0.60		0.60	0.60	0.25	0.25
Maximum Enrichment (wt% U-235)	93		93	93	93	93
Maximum Fuel Temperature (K)	2860		2860	2860	2860	3010
Margin to Fuel Melt (K)	40		40	40	190	40
U-235 Mass (kg)	▶ 27.5		59.6	59.6	36.8	36.8
*Varies with thrust level, chamber pressure, NAR and TPA	A/TVC layout		S	OTA "Pewee-class"		
John Research Center	5			Engine Parameters		
						owis Field
					ai L	





NTP Fuels and Engine Development Sequence Nuclear & Non-Nuclear Testing

Fuel Specimens

- Fabrication and characterization
- High temperature testing including hot H₂ exposure and flow rates
- Irradiation testing at high temperature
- Fuel Elements (Prototypic Cross-Section, Segments or Full Length)
 - Fabrication and characterization
 - High temperature testing including H₂ exposure and prototypic flow rates (e.g., NTREES)
 Addressing Ground Test Challenges
 - Irradiation testing

Reactor Design

- Neutronics and Physics
- Heat Transfer
- Dynamics
- Structures
- I&C

Engine Ground Test

- Prototypic fuel temperatures, hot H_2 flow rates, and operating times
- Engine test also serves as fuel qualification test

Glenn Research Center

Ref: J. Werner, 47th AIAA JPC, INL, 2011

at Lewis Field

• Utilize the SAFE borehole concept

• Use temporary facilities & services

Minimize engine size & number of tests

 Maximize existing facilities (e.g., DAF) and capabilities for testing and PIE

at the ground test site

to qualify for launch





NERVA Graphite - Composite Fuel Elements with Protective ZrC Coating are Being Produced Now at ORNL for NCPS Project



Us to New Worlds



Right: Layoff base / Graphite insert





Above: 19 and 4-hole NERVA fuel element extrusion extrusion dies; Left: Graphite extruder with vent lines installed for DU capability



Above: Test Piece highlighting ZrC Coating **Right: Coating primarily on external surface**

Glenn Research Center





Above and Left: **Extrusion samples** using carbonmatrix/Ha blend 0.75" across flats. 0.125" coolant channels





Beginning of internal channe 200.0 µm





NERVA Graphite - Composite Fuel Elements with Protective ZrC Coating are Being Produced Now at ORNL for NCPS Project



- Fuel Fabrication
 - Layoff base/graphite insert has been fabricated and installed.
 - New feed materials (graphite, resin, and ZrC) have been ordered.
 - A new 19-hole extrusion die has been designed and fabricated.
 - Modifications have been made to the 4-hole hexagonal die design to reduce friction during extrusion.
 - 4-hole fuel elements will be used first to establish ZrC coating specs, then will transition to prototypic NERVA-type 19-hole element.
 - Elements with depleted uranium (DU) will undergo rf-heating tests first before enriched uranium elements are tested in DOE reactor.

Extruder



Layoff Table

Glenn Research Center







Maximize Use of NTS, DAF and Existing Bore Holes / Tunnels

- Testing should be conducted at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) using SAFE (Subsurface Active Filtration of Exhaust) approach in existing boreholes or tunnels.
- NTS provides a large secure, safety zone for conducting NTR testing.
- The Device Assembly Facility (DAF) is located within the NTS and is available for pre-test staging (assembly and "0-power" critical testing) of engine's reactor system prior to transfer to the borehole or tunnel test location within the NTS.
- DAF is a collection of interconnected steelreinforced concrete test cells. The entire complex is covered by compacted earth.
- DAF has multiple assembly / test cells; high bays have multi-ton crane capability. The assembly cells are designed to handle SNM.
- Options exist at NTS/NNSS to test in vertical boreholes or in tunnels.



Aerial View of the DAF at the Nevada Test Site





Non-Nuclear Subscale SAFE Bore Hole Feasibility Test

Propelling Us to New Worlde'



- Driving the hydrogen exhaust into the alluvium soil at the NTS allows capture of gases in a geology proven to contain heavy elements
- Fission products (if any) exhausted into the hole will be trapped into the soil strata at low concentrations ~10⁻⁹ gms/cm³
- Use of the bore hole as an "in-situ" exhaust scrubber system potentially offers a low cost testing option for NTR
- Potential option is to have a suitably sized subscale validation test performed in the Phase II NTP project for ~2 – 2.5 M\$
- Component inventory and cost breakdown for subscale test being reevaluated by GRC and DOE/NSTech to identify potential savings

SAFE: Subsurface Active Filtration of Exhaust

Glenn Research Center

Schematic at left shows the idealized configuration of the testing concept including the mounting pad, containment, water spray, and dispersion profiles





Aerojet-Rocketdyne' s ~2.1-klbf "fuel rich" H/O engine is an attractive option for non-nuclear, subscale validation testing



Trailers Configured for Controls and Measurements Readily Moved to Other Test Areas





Other Nuclear Tests

Cold Critical Experiments

 Confirmation of critical configuration
 Excess Reactivity
 Static physics/safety parameters

Hot Critical Experiments

Kinetics parameters Safety coefficients (feedback)

Gamma/Neutron Exposures Irradiations to establish tolerance







Glenn Research Center





2025 Small NTPS FTD Mission: "Single-Burn Lunar Flyby"



Glenn Research Center -





Assumptions for "Sporty" SNTPS GTD & FTD Mission Schedule

Propelling Us to New Worlds

- A 10-year period to a ground tested "qualification engine" by 2024 is conceivable but challenging and many things must line up / flow well.
- By necessity it would be a success-oriented high–risk activity requiring immediate and serious financial commitments to the following areas:
 - Management and acquisition approach is streamlined
 - Composite fuel is the baseline and fuel element (FE) production levels are scaled up prior to complete verification of all processing activities; Testing in boreholes or tunnels at the NTS
 - NEPA and launch safety analyses is initiated along with ID' ed shipping and ATLO facility mods
- A single co-located nuclear "skunk works" type temporary facility is sited at the NTS near the site of the candidate borehole / tunnel. Its function would be reactor assembly, criticality testing, disassembly and PIE. Required equipment would be procured as "turn-key" for placement in the temporary facility. A single hot cell module (similar to that used by the UK at their Sellafield hot cell facility) would be used to disassemble and inspect the reactor and fuel elements after operation. After inspection, smaller groupings of parts could be shipped off-site for final disposal in existing shipping casks.
- •The GTD program would focus on borehole testing of two units:
 - Engineering reactor and engine test article (90% fidelity) in 2023
 - Qualification engine (100% fidelity) in 2024 after qual-level testing (e.g., vibration) in 2023;
- The flight unit identical to the qualification unit would be launched in 2025

Glenn Research Center





CAK RIDGE National Laboratory **Notional NTP Ground & Flight Test Demonstration Milestone Schedule**



at Lewis Field

Idaho National Laboratory







Summary and Conclusions

- NASA, NE-75, ORNL, INL, NNSA and industry (NSTech, Aerojet Rocketdyne) are working together on formulating a strategy leading to the development of a small GTD (~7.5 – 16.5 klb_f) NTR engine in the early 2020's followed by a FTD mission using a small NTP stage (SNTPS) around 2025
- 10-years to a ground tested "qualification engine" by 2024 will require immediate, serious financial commitment along with a streamlined management and acquisition approach *DOE*
- Graphite-based "composite fuel" is the baseline; an engine using this fuel type can be built sooner than one using another less established / less tested fuel at relevant conditions *DOE*
- Testing should be conducted at the NTS using existing bore holes and/or tunnels; should maximize the use of existing facilities and consider temporary new facilities as required; new nuclear infrastructure is a long lead item – DOE
- If graphite-based fuel and borehole testing are not used, years of additional schedule and significant additional dollars will be required *DOE*
- The FTD mission proposed by GRC is a single-burn "lunar flyby" mission to keep it simple and more affordable; small size engine and stage can also reduce development costs & allowing utilization of existing, flight proven engine hardware (e.g., hydrogen pumps and nozzles) *Aerojet Rocketdyne*

If NASA wants to go somewhere soon they need to get moving now - DOE

Glenn Research Center

